

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**

DATE: 11-14-2017

JFK Assassination System
Identification Form

Date: 4/10/201

Agency Information

AGENCY : FBI
RECORD NUMBER : 124-10320-10430

RECORD SERIES : HQ

AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 100-409763-313

Document Information

ORIGINATOR : FBI
FROM : BT
TO : HQ

TITLE :

DATE : 07/07/1972
PAGES : 53

SUBJECTS :

MARK LANE

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : Unclassified
RESTRICTIONS : 3; 4
CURRENT STATUS : Redact
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 06/09/1998

OPENING CRITERIA : INDEFINITE

COMMENTS : INC ENV, STATEMENTS, MEMO

DATE: 11-14-2017

FD-263 (Rev. 12-19-67)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BUTTE	OFFICE OF ORIGIN NEW YORK	DATE 7/7/72	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 6/2/71 - 6/21/72
TITLE OF CASE MARK LANE, aka		REPORT MADE BY LESLIE B. DIECKMAN	TYPED BY imw
CONFIDENTIAL		CHARACTER OF CASE SM - SUBVERSIVE	

REFERENCE

Report of SA WAYNE A. MILLWARD, New Orleans, 8/31/71;
Report of SA LESLIE B. DIECKMAN, Butte, 5/1/72

- P -

ADMINISTRATIVE

The period of this report is somewhat extended; however, it has been maintained in a constantly current status through interoffice communications.

DECLASSIFIED BY **5668 SLD/KSR**
ON **4-30-97 (JFK)**

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
ENVIC.	AUTO.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
								PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
COPIES MADE	
5 - Bureau (100-409763) (Reg.) 1 - 15th District, OSI, Malmstrom AFB, Montana (Reg.) 2 - New York (100-117844) (Reg.) 4 - Butte (100-9200) (1 - 100-9224 - TCW) (1 - 105-1043 - MUGAR)	

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

100-409763-313	REC-1
JUL 10 1972	SI-115

Dissemination Record of Attached Report			
Agency	ROM(2); SA; OSI		
Request Recd.			
Date Fwd.	7-20-72		
How Fwd.	els		
By	LJB/dgh		

Notations

CONFIDENTIAL

COVER PAGE

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1970 O-375-139

BT #100-9200

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

INFORMANTS

BT T-1 is BT 535-CS (former).

BT T-2 is ARTHUR T. HODGENS, 121 Webb Street, Weymouth, Massachusetts, former Special Agent, FBI, (conceal at his request).

BT T-3 is BT 545-PSI.

BT T-4 is GLENN HADLEY, Security Officer, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho, whose identity is concealed at his request.

LEADS

BUTTE DIVISION

AT MOUNTAIN HOME, IDAHO

Follow and report activities of Subject.

- B* -
COVER PAGE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Page 1, Group 2.

MARK LANE

Reference is made to investigation conducted at the District Attorney's office Queen's County New York, in which one Phyllis Golden, and one Elizabeth Stephenson, aka Lee Stephens made a written depositions concerning the sexual deviation of the above named subject, and the description of the masochist acts which they performed on his body.

21 West 12th St NYC NY ELIZABETH LEE STEPHENSON
An attempt was made to interview Lee Stephens at her last known address, 11 5th Avenue, New York. The manager of this apartment house furnished information that Lee Stephens had moved out of her apartment, January 1, 1964 because one Marilyn Durand, her former roommate had previously vacated the premises and Stephens could no longer afford the apartment which rented for \$450.00 per month. The manager stated that to the best of his knowledge Stephens was never legitimately employed, but that she was engaged in prostitution. He stated further that she and her room mate were "part of a call girl ring" operated by "unknown madame". Stephens had also been the subject of investigation by another federal investigative agency since approximately January 1, 1963. This investigation, according to the manager was not so much concerned with her activities as a prostitute, but with her "political and social connections." The manager further stated that this federal investigative agency had placed an audio-surveillance device in her apartment with his knowledge and consent. He had also furnished information from time to time to this agency concerning her activities. The manager could not positively identify by name any of her political or social associates, but stated that he could identify them if shown photographs. Stephens left a forwarding address of 180 Thompson St., New York City.

Attempts to locate her at this address revealed that she no longer lived there, nor did she leave a forwarding address. This investigator found out that Stephens is currently living at 21 West 12th St., New York City. This investigator with committee investigator Lewis J. Russell contacted and interviewed Stephens at this address. In reference to her activities with Lane, Stephens said that the deposition which she made to Queen's County District Attorney Bernard Patten was true in it's entirety, and that she could identify Lane as a masochist. Stephens revealed that she had met Phyllis Golden while she was working as a volunteer for the political machine of Carmine DeSapio in New York City. No attempt was made by Committee Investigators to further delve into her current activities as a prostitute, nor did we ask of her current associations. Stephens further stated that she had not seen Mark Lane since the afternoon of the incident, nor has any attempt been made by Lane or his associates to have her change or alter her deposition.

PHYLLIS GOLDEN

PHYLLIS DENISE GOLDEN

Phyllis Denise Golden

104-20 Queens Blvd Forest Hills, N.Y.

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DATE: 11-14-2017

Group 3, Page 1

March 18, 1964

MARK LANE - PHYLLIS DENISE GOLDEN

(OBSCENE)

A confidential source having knowledge of the Queen's District Attorney's office furnished the above named investigators with a copy of an affidavit for review only, made by one Phyllis Denise Golden, on January 30, 1962. Which affidavit was taken in question and answer form by Bernard Patten, Assistant District Attorney for Queens County, New York. Golden resides at 104-20 Queens Boulevard, Forest Hills, New York.

The circumstances leading to the taking of this affidavit involved a bad check complaint against Mrs. Golden. This complaint was made by Alexander's Department Store in Forest Hills on December 22, 1961. After the complaint was made, Investigator Sidney Goldstein of the Queens District Attorneys office was assigned to serve a subpoena upon Mrs. Golden requiring her appearance on January 30, 1962 in the District Attorney's Office.

The check involved was for \$144.77. After the subpoena was served on Mrs. Golden she made statements to the effect that she knew Mark Lane, the Assemblyman and that he would take up the check for her. When Golden became unsuccessful in having Lane pick up the check, she became bitter and said she had something to hold over him for the rest of his life, and that the s.o.b. was responsible for her financial predicament, because he had never paid her for some office work she had performed for him.

On January 29, 1962 Golden was questioned by Assistant District Attorney Patten and on the following day the affidavit from Golden was taken. Extracts from this affidavit are quoted or quoted in part as follows:

Statement taken on January 30, 1962 in District Attorney's office by District Attorney Patten, from Phyllis Denis Golden Apt. 15 X-:

Name: Phyllis Denise Golden - 104-20 Queens Boulevard, Forest Hills.

Q. Now Mrs. Golden, do you know one Mark Lane?

A. Yes.

Q. How long have you known him?

A. Two years and three months.

Q. Where did you meet him for the first time?

A. In an address.

Q. Yes?

A. 339 East 77th St. (Purpose of the meeting was to discuss legal problems; several legal problems of a personal nature. Mrs. Golden is an heiress and receives money monthly from her father's estate.)

Mrs. Golden said she subsequently saw Lane at his office; at his home; at

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 4-30-97 BY 5668 SLD/KSR
(JFK)

3/

Q. What is it?

A. That was the test that Mark handed to me in a sealed envelope on January 18, 1962.

Q. At your apartment?

A. Right.

Q. Are you familiar with Mark Lane's handwriting and printing?

A. Yes.

Q. I ask you in whose handwriting or printing this is?

A. In Mr. Lanes printing.

Q. Now tell us what tests, so called tests were conducted in your apartment on January 18, 1962. In complete detail, and just what you did and what Lee did, and what Mark did.

A. The first thing was Mr. Lane had his temperature taken. First he undressed himself as far as the shorts were concerned. Then he laid face down on the bed, and I said "you will have to remove your jockey shorts too." And he took them down, and in that I assisted him. After that I inserted a candle into Mark annaly. After that I tweezed some pubic hair and then Lee beat Mark with his own belt on the buttocks, then pins were inserted around the annal area and into the testicles. Then he got an enema, both of us gave him the enema, I believe I held the boy and then I put it in, and then he started to masturbate after the enema was completely in him, and he wasn't supposed to relieve himself until after he masturbated. But he claimed he had to relieve himself and he did. And then when Mark came back into the room the next thing on the instruction sheet was for him to masturbate himself, and at which point he got embarrassed and he decided he couldn't do it, and a comment was made by either Miss Stephens or myself that the tests can't continue unless he does it, so then Lee inserted a candle into his anus, and then I believe she beat him again, I am not positive. Then Lee, having read the instruction sheet with me suggested the obscene pictures. Before the pictures, the first set of pins and needles were just regular, so "Lee lit the needles and I put them in, we both did it." About this point Golden said Lane said it was too embarrassing for him to masturbate, or something like that, and "Lee asked him" if it would be easier if she did it. And with that "she proceeded to masturbate him."

Q. Did he reach a climax?

A. Yes he did, it was at this point that Lee suggested taking the picture and I got the camera. One of the pictures showed Mark lying face down on the bed with the candle inserted rectally. Another was taken of Lee beating him. Another was taken of Mr. Lane on his stomach not only with a candle inserted rectally, but also with two stems of artificial flowers. A fourth was taken of Lee singing his hair around the testicles with a lighted match.

After a few pictures were taken, his hands were tied behind his back and

DATE: 11-14-2017

Page 4/

Miss Lee gave him another enema. She left the room about 6:00 PM and told Lee she could continue the tests. At one point I heard from another room what sounded to me like someone being beaten with a belt. At this point, Patten asked Golden when she came back into the room and did she see Lee Stephens.

Q. Was she dressed or undressed?

A. She was wearing a two piece suit. She still had her jacket on and skirt on, but her skirt was pulled up to her waist and under that she was nude.

(Didn't see Lane) She said Lee told her what happened.

Q. What did Lee tell you happened?

A. She told me that after I left, that she and Mark had been looking at the pictures that had been taken, and that she inserted the Martini stirrer into him with the alcohol on it; and that she had beaten him again and that Mr. Lane made advances toward her, and that because she had been aroused by what happened, she told me she had intercourse with him.

Q. I show you a photograph and ask you if that is one of the pictures that you took?

A. Yes.

Q. Who's picture is that?

A. Mark Lane.

Q. And is there another persons hand in the picture?

A. Yes.

Q. Who is that?

A. Lee Stephens.

Elizabeth Lee Stephenson, also known as Lee Stephens gave a statement to Bernard Patten of the District Attorneys Office on January 30, 1962. Which was also reviewed by the reporting investigators. In this statement Miss Stephens said that she resided at 11 5th Avenue, New York City, New York. That she was 24 years of age and single. That she was employed by Pacific Enterprises of Los Angeles, and that she was the firms New York representative selling advertising specialties. She also said that she had known Phyllis Golden since August or September 1961. That she knew Golden lived at 104-20 Queens Boulevard. Parker Towers Apartment. That she visited Golden on January 18, 1962 at 2:30 or 3:00 P.M. That a man

Page 5/

later came to the apartment and that she knew he was Mark Lane. That he asked Phyllis to ask her if she wanted to be a witness to one of his tests. That Lane said they were tests a psychiatrist was running with taking the pulse for the electric chair. That she consented to be a witness, that the tests began at 3:30 or 4:00, and that the tests started when Lane undressed and Phyllis started by sticking a candle into his rectum. That Lane had given Phyllis a list of instructions before the tests started. That she didn't see Lane hand over the list to Phyllis, but Phyllis had told her that Lane had given the list to her. That Phyllis did show her the instruction sheet. (Patten during this investigation received affirmation that the sheet which he showed her on yellow paper was the same one Phyllis had shown her.)

That the first thing Phyllis did was to stick a big candle into Lane's rectum, and then took a small one with vaseline and shoved it into Lane's rectum; that Phyllis then plucked hair from his testicles with tweezers, and then put a cocktail stirrer in the hole of his penis; that Phyllis then put alcohol on the cocktail stirrer and stuck it in the hole of his penis; and then put alcohol on his penis; that they gave Lane an enema, and had him hold the water while he masturbated, but that he couldn't hold the water and had to go and relieve himself; that they beat Lane with a belt (Lane's) until welts appeared on his buttocks; that they took humiliating pictures of him so that evidence would be there if needed; that they repeated the candle, and tweezer bit, and the enema; then heated candles over a cigarette lighter and then poked them into his testicles and his rectum, and beat him with his belt; that Lane wanted to keep on but Phyllis had to feed her daughter, so she Lee continued to beat him. That she used the cocktail stirrer and the alcohol; that Lane wanted her to masturbate him which she started to do; that she tried and Lane started to take off her clothes; that finally she said "the hell with it" and she removed the balance of her clothes; that later Phyllis came to the door, and at Lane's request she told Lee not to come in for a while; that Lane made love to her; that he was sadistic and trying to hurt her, that he tried to repeat the act of intercourse, but she put on her clothes and told him she was ashamed and never wanted to see him again. That she showed him the pictures they had taken and some other pictures, such as Phyllis had a vase with long stemmed flowers which flowers they stuck in his rectum and took pictures of that. That Lane had asked them to use their imagination, so Lee used matches and set fire to the hair around Lane's rectum and testicles. Lee identified a photo which she said Phyllis took with a polaroid camera. Concerning this picture, Patten asked the following of Lee Stephens:

Q. I direct your attention to a hand in the lower part of the picture, and I ask you who's hand that is?

A. It must be my hand.

Q. And what were you doing at the time?

A. I imagine I was sticking needles in his testicles, hot teedles.

Page 6/

Lee also said that before she had relations with Lane, he attempted to enter her rectum, and asked her to place her mouth on his penis. When she refused asking Lane "are you crazy".

Q. At any time during this orgy did you or Phyllis tie his hands?

A. Phyllis tied his hands.

Q. Where?

A. Behind his back, right at the beginning of it, at his request.

Q. And were his hands tied to any fixed position?

A. Just behind his back, and not too securely.

Q. And was this pursuant to his instructions also?

A. Yes.

(Sworn to on January 30, 1962.)

Page 7, Section 1140 of the Penal Code.

The picture mentioned herintofore shows Lane fully unclothed with hand on his testicles which is that of another person. Lane has part of a black sash belt on his body and still has his glasses on. A copy of this picture was obtained through extremely confidential means, and is available only through the Staff Director.

The affidavit taken from Phyllis Golden was never signed by her, but was taken in the presence of a witness. On the night the affidavit was taken Mrs. Golden had her baby with her, and it was agreed that she could take the baby home because it was crying. Rather than have her wait until the affidavit was typed up by the reporter. Prior to the time Golden was to appear in the District Attorneys Office to sign the affidavit, she called Detective Goldstein of the District Attorneys office on the night of January 31, 1962 and advised him that Mark Lane was coming to her apartment and that she feared bodily harm from him. On this night Detective Goldstein went to the Golden apartment and secreted himself in a closet. However a noise gave him away, and Lane left the Golden apartment without making any statements of a threatening nature. However it is believed by those concerned that Golden was frightened into signing an affidavit for Lane, refuting the statements made by her to Assistant District Attorney Patten. This affidavit was dated February 1, 1962, and was a handwritten document and witnessed by Stanley Geller and Norman Dorsen. Both alledged professors at New York University. This statement contained seven points of dispute between the statement made to Mr. Patten and the denials made by Mrs. Golden. A few of these points as follows:

1. Golden claimed that Detective Goldstein asked her if she knew Mark Lane after he told her of the charges made by Alexanders Department Store.

Page 7/

Detective Sidney Goldstein and Walter R. Anderson of the Queens District Attorney's office state that Goldstein handed Phyllis Golden a subpoena on January 23, 1961, returnable January 3, 1962, that Goldstein advised Golden it was for a bad check for \$144.77 made out to Alexanders Department Store, which was returned by the bank upon which drawn, marked "no account at this bank." Goldstein further states that Phyllis Golden told him she was Secretary for Mark Lane and that Lane was obligated to her for the rest of his life. And that she would get money from him to take up the check.

2. Golden claimed she received a telephone call from Goldstein asking her to come down to the District Attorney's office, and that on the same day she received a telegram requesting her to come down to the District Attorney's office.

Goldstein and Anderson state that this is true but that this matter pertained to other bad check cases being handled by Assistant District Attorney William T. Garvin.

Goldstein said that he did not bother her since he had served her with a subpoena.

3. Point three is covered in part by above one and two.

4. Golden states that she was handed a yellow sheet of paper, and asked to identify Mark Lane's signature, she claimed in her own affidavit, that she did not know then, (January 30, 1962) and does not now, (February 5, 1962) know whether it was Mark Lane's handwriting.

Detective Anderson and Goldstein state that point four is not true. That actually "at her place", that Detective Investigator W. R. Anderson, in the presence of Sidney Goldstein, Detective Investigator, was given a yellow piece of paper with handwriting on it on January 24, 1962 by Mrs. Golden at 6:05 PM which contained "a series of instructions to be imposed upon the person of Mark Lane," which was in the handwriting of Mark Lane. But she Mrs. Golden told them, Anderson and Goldstein that she knew Mark Lane's handwriting since she was his Secretary.

5. Mrs. Golden said she never saw the handwriting (Mark Lane's) before it was shown to her in the District Attorney's office, and that she never participated in any such tests with Mark Lane as described by the yellow piece of paper.

Detectives Goldstein and Anderson state that this isn't true, that on the date of January 24, 1962 when Mrs. Golden turned over the yellow instruction sheet to them, Detective Anderson received a picture of a nude male from Mrs. Golden, which depicted a man lying in bed with a hand, not his own, placed near his private parts. And that the picture according to Mrs. Golden, was that of Mark Lane the New York Assemblyman.

Page 8/

Mrs. Golden also told them that she took the picture with a polaroid camera and explained that the hand shown in the picture was that of her girlfriend. That the picture was evidence that Mark Lane is a masochist, and that she "was keeping the picture as evidence."

Mrs. Golden also told Detectives in answer to questions, that Lee Stephens had never seen Mark Lane before the events described herein occurred. When the Detectives asked Mrs. Golden how it was that Lee Stephens, her girl friend would participate in such acts, she said, "Lane is a very convincing talker." And claimed that he had received the instruction sheet from a psychiatrist or psychologist friend who wanted to experiment and find out how much pain a human being could endure. Golden also told the detectives that on January 24, 1962 that both she and Stephens participated in the acts performed upon Lane.

6. Mrs. Golden claimed that she was told by the District Attorney's office not to discuss the case with anyone and that therefore she did not call an attorney. Detective Goldstein stated that he and police woman Helen Pastore were present in Lt. Roche's office when Phyllis said she had to call an attorney in Albany. Moe Weinstein, which she did. However she did not discuss the Mark Lane case, but did discuss a personal matter with Weinstein.

7. Mrs. Golden claimed that on the night of January 31, 1962 Detective Goldstein requested her to ask Mark Lane questions or to get Lane to say things that would bear out the story she had told Mr. Patten.

Detective Goldstein stated that this was untrue and that this was the night she called him and told him that since Lane was coming to her apartment she feared bodily injury. Detective Goldstein was present in Mrs. Golden's for her protection.

Assistant District Attorney Bernard Patten interviewed Lane after the case broke. During the interview Lane admitted he knew Phyllis Golden and had known her for a "couple of years," as she had worked for him, but not on salary. That he had represented her as an attorney; that she had worked for him during his campaigns; that she had gone to Albany four or five times and performed secretarial work for him. That he did not know whether she had ever visited him at home, but he would not answer to whether he had ever visited Golden's home, unless he was apprised of the charges against him. Lane denied that he had ever engaged in sex deviations with Mrs. Golden, but said that he did have friends that were psychiatrists and psychologists. However he did not recall ever having an arrangement whereby he would conduct tests for them. He named one psychologist friend as Fred Hahn of New York City.

Lane admitted he knew Phyllis Golden on Queens Boulevard, but refused to say whether he had visited the Golden apartment on January 18, 1962. Lane complained to Patten that the press had called him about the investigation and claimed that he knew that Assembly Speaker Carlino was making an investigation of him for alleged sex deviation.

Lane upon being confronted with the nude picture made by Mrs. Golden denied that it was he that was depicted in the picture. Lane admitted that he had seen Phyllis several weeks before the day of the interrogation by Patten.

DATE: 11-14-2017

Page 9/

After the affidavit submitted by Mrs. Golden on behalf of Mark Lane, was received by District Attorney Patten he made a trip to Florida and took another affidavit from Lee Stephens. At this time Stephens was vacationing and working in Miami as a photographer on the Calypso, a tour boat which runs from Bimini and Miami daily. Her residence in Florida was 2115 Bay Drive, Normandy Isle, Florida where she was staying with one Helen Sansouci.

This second affidavit dealt with her testimony before the Queens County Grand Jury on February 1, 1962 relative to certain immoral and perverted activities between herself, Phyllis Golden and one Mark Lane. Stated substantially as follows:

Lee Stephens had not seen Phyllis Golden since the day of the Grand Jury hearing, (February 1, 1962). She identified Golden as having testified on that day. (see other notes.) Golden had telephoned Lee Stephens room mate, one Marilyn Durand of 11 5th Avenue, New York, as to the whereabouts of Lee Stephens. Patten showed Lee Stephens a photostat of a contradictory affidavit made by Golden on February 5, 1962, attested to by one Stanley Geller. (Notary Public New York). And Norman Dorsen, both alledged professors of law at New York University. Lee Stephens, and we show the back sheet of this affidavit, Lee Stephens stated that Golden perjured herself in regarding her contradictory testimony in the affidavit of February 5, 1962 because on February 1, 1962 while both women were waiting to testify before the Grand Jury, Golden told Stephens that Lane told Golden, "Phyllis, not only is my political career finished but my life is finished." Golden was afraid of her own safety and/or Lane might try to kill himself.

Lee Stephens said that Golden's statements regarding the tests made by the three named individuals on January 18, 1962 in her February 5, affidavit were false, and the testimony before the Grand Jury on February 1, 1962 were true.

Lee Stephens stated she did not know if Golden was paid money to sign the affidavit of February 5, 1962.

Lee Stephens stated she had never met or had contact with Lane prior to January 18, 1962. But did know of his political reputation and knew of him through Golden. Lee Stephens said she consented to participate in the "tests" at the request of Golden, because she was curious. That Lee Stephens did not receive money for it. (Golden had obviously related stories of previous tests to Lee Stephens.)

Lee Stephens related the events which occurred on January 18, 1962 substantially as follows:

She arrived at Golden's apartment 104-20 Queens Boulevard, and Golden was not feeling well and was in bed. At that time Lee Stephens found out Lane was coming and had not yet arrived. When Lane arrived Lee Stephens admitted him, and they proceeded to the bedroom where Golden was. Lee

DATE: 11-14-2017

Page 10/

Lee Stephens left them alone in the bedroom for approximately 45 minutes, after which time Golden came out of the bedroom and produced a yellow slip of paper on which the tests were written, and asked Lee Stephens if she would like to participate. Lee Stephens consented. Lee Stephens didn't consult with Lane as to the contents of the test, either prior, during or after the tests. During the tests Golden took the pictures. She stated that ten pictures in all were taken of him in humiliating poses. And all were shown to Lane with the exception of the one that was later turned over to the police. Lane took the other pictures with him. In the photo she identified the point of the pin actually in his testicles, and also the black belt used to tie his hands behind his back. With further reference to the Golden affidavit, in behalf of Lane, it should be mentioned that Golden admitted initialing the police exhibits beyond instructions she stated further, but said at the time she did so she was confused, in a highly nervous state and physically ill.

A report submitted by committee investigator Phillip R. Manuel on March 15, 1962 contains information regarding the results of the interview conducted with Lee Stephens by Investigator Manuel and Louis J. Russell. This report reflects that Miss Stephens confirms her affidavits in detail during the interview with her. Miss Stephens appears to be definitely opposed to Left wing activities, and the current and past activities of Mark Lane.

Assistant District Attorney Bernard Patten advised that he knows Lee Stephens is a prostitute, which she admits. He also said he believes her to be entirely truthful despite her occupation. He also advised that his office was severely castigated by Louis Kaplan, who at the time of the Lane-Golden incident, was Investigation Commissioner of the City of New York. This censure came about, because Kaplan, according to Patten, was trying to rule the Reform Democrats because he intended to run for public office. Kaplan is now a judge in New York City, and was appointed by Mayor Waggoner, despite bitter opposition from the New York Bar Association.

Reports concerning the endorsements of Stanley Geller, who witnessed the Golden affidavit, are being submitted separately because of the obscene nature of the contents reported herein.