This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Address Reply to the Division Indicated and Refer to Initials and Number

104-10104-10092

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND/QR RELEASE OF THIS DOCUMENT

APR 25 1967

Mr. Lawrence R. Houston General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Mr. Houston:

On January 15, 1967, agents of the Bureau of Customs arrested three men at Tamiami Airport, Dade County, Florida, as they were preparing to depart in an airplane carrying bombs, napalm, and some small arms. The men were charged with violating Title 22, United States Code, Section 1934. The United States Attorney for the Southern District of Florida is presenting this matter to a grand jury and will seek an indictment which probably will charge offenses under 22 U.S.C. 1934, 18 U.S.C. 960, and 49 U.S.C. 1522. The following individuals are possible defendants under the prospective indictment:

JJ4

Postponement 3



Additionally, on April 14, 1967, Customs agents arrested at Tifton, Georgia on a separate ?3 charge of attempt to violate Section 1934. It is anticipated that an indictment will be sought in Georgia similar to the one being sought in the Southern District of Florida, and naming several of the same individuals as defendants.

We would appreciate any comments or observations you might wish to make relative to any operational interest which your agency may have currently or may have had in the past with respect to any of the individuals named above, including In the event of any such past or present interest, we would appreciate your comments as to whether the disclosure of such interest would be detrimental to your Agency's operations.

It would be further appreciated if you would advise us as to whether any of the individuals named as prospective defendants were subject to electronic surveillance by your Agency.

Sincerely,

J. WALTER YEAGLEY Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

By: JOHN H, DAVITT, Chief Criminal Section

- 2 -

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR RELEASE OF THIS DOCUMENT

APR 2 5 1967

Mr. Lawrence R. Houston General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Mr. Houston:

IL

On January 15, 1967, agents of the Bureau of Customs arrested three men at Tamiami Airport, Dade County, Florida, as they were preparing to depart in an airplane carrying bombs, napalm, and some small arms. The men were charged with violating Title 22, United States Code, Section 1934. The United States Attorney for the Southern District of Florida is presenting this matter to a grand jury and will seek an indictment which probably will charge offenses under 22 U.S.C. 1934, 18 U.S.C. 960, and 49 U.S.C. 1522. The following individuals are possible defendants under the prospective indictment:



Postponent?

- Post ponement 3

P3

P3

was among those arrested at Tamiami Airport, but he is not expected to be named as a defendant in the indictment.

Additionally, on April 14, 1967, Customs agents arrested at Tifton, Georgia on a separate charge of attempt to violate Section 1934. It is anticipated that an indictment will be sought in Georgia similar to the one being sought in the Southern District of Florida, and naming several of the same individuals as defendants.

١.

. .

· · • • · · ·

î,

We would appreciate any comments or observations you might wish to make relative to any operational interest which your agency may have currently or may have had in the past with respect to any of the individuals named above, including In the event of any such past or present interest, we would appreciate your comments as to whether the disclosure of such interest would be detrimental to your Agency's operations.

It would be further appreciated if you would advise us as to whether any of the individuals named as prospective defendants were subject to electronic surveillance by your Agency.

Sincerely,

J. WALTER YEAGLEY Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

By:

JOHN H. DAVITT, Chief Criminal Section

