

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

XAAZ-17622 of 2 July. Helms & Rankin. Reports details of alleged control over CBO in his office by Gov. Carter. Rankin letters: Y47848. Gives opinion that letters may have should be made in Washington but really Y. or that if comes here turned into one. ENR. This would have been Sr. LITAVS

XAAZ-22941 of 3 July 1964. Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also includes FBI report of 28 March attaching a signed statement by Edwin Benson.

XAAZ-27202 of 3 July 1964. Letter from Rankin transmits State Dept. cables & questions re Soviet visa procedures, esp. as applicable to Soviet cases.

XAAZ-27201 of 9 July 1964. MOA by Mr. Helms: Discussions with W. Brent Stovall re questions of Soviet policy towards American wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?). Our reply had expressed to Stovall having with husbands, State had dealt with larger question of visas. deposits.

XAAZ-22912 of 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA disclosure of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the wife in report. Stovall said that the Commission in was not reproduced at text but refer to substance. Carter discussions on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.

XAAZ-17623 of 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation. Requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ-27209 of 10 August 1964: MOA by Helms & Helms on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Stovall re defacto wife handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "use of Husbands' info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Stovall, Helms present. Report covered agreement to report

✓✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 21 Aug 64: Sergievsky on whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having been shot 240 in body 1960, alleged that KGB caught on KGB. as well as he. An Am. Int. office was present. S. alleged in plain one of two gets unguarded. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his extensive and deliberate behavior indicates a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or coma. Healthy life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Coaly. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been possessed & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met L.O.D. April 2 day. Head phrases wet appears in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Grand on by. Dist. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB part. knew he was in the field & his progress. He walked out fleeing past both unit & owner.

? ? Mental case ^{in file}
Was this info passed to intelligence? Did it not wind up on 7 24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 64: "Apparent harmlessness in Material 9 provided the Commission by Co. 14 the Dept of State Nelson R. Barkin, further & letter by Barkin & Nelson 3 July 64. Report to talk between Shannon & Wagon 9 July 1964 regarding practices re Sov. estyria departure from USSR. Stipulate were only worked on owner of the case, including 10 American

XAAZ 27249 cont'd. This ^{is} meant ^{to be} ⁱⁿ ^{Serg} ^{copy} ^{of} ^{the} ^{original}.

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of H from file problem. Draft of a general reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and attached

(2) • NA: one of these papers refers to a British lab. working who had mentioned LHO. See the ~~attached~~

XAAZ - 22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren Comm. (Kaulin & Helms) for permission to publish list of docs. as author. in "Probe Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report. Wishes for a letter approval.

XAAZ - 22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MDR by Hull & Shorley on Pres. Fr. Com. Section 2 Report & letter gist of selected para & wording

XAAZ - 22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Anton 1964. See additional notes

XAAZ - 22800 of 31 Aug 64. Kaulin & Helms: Extract from memo of course title date 19 Feb 1964 sent to Comm.

XAAZ - 22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kaulin & Helms. Request - handwriting analysis of articles from LHO Cuba with application, all from Cuban govt. State translations. Also in case.

XAAZ - 22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendation for approval of copy to Kaulin

XAAZ - 22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State translations of LHO application, Mex. for info and copy by Norman 15 Oct, plus Cuban concrete comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Letter to Fisher, re
communication to public agency memo. 2 July 1964. re
statement made in an affidavit in the Forest Accountant
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.
by some info

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Detained memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's passport
no. First color account is, has passed through
Dwan son D092526. Their later ledger copy
show it as D892526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin #240E, ex Cuba coast.
Lagos

[NB: Betty Darling interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
8 April 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22755 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin & Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. A. H. Cohen, Comm. Ex. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 3 Jan & 1 June 1964 re
doin. info relative to intel. methods & org. Request
date of 4 June 1967 revised

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Darling on
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targeted
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 115 Dec 1964 : re Allegation of unid.
accident of Cuban involvement in bus organization
aboard. ~~held up~~ ^{at} Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, semi dressed, hood
and suit from a well known Cuban secret
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico city. This guy got to be sleazy.
[FBI rejected the account because of notes and
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9434) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 7 Oct 1965: Letter from Katz, author of
a book concerning working of security controls
on CIA corrao. forwarded to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 11 Sept 1965: Old's only to the re
security controls on CIA corrao. Explain
background and notes how agreement
reached on each item. State that in no instance
was an inv. work held from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the organization
(copy prepared by Chubby -

CSCI-316/01398 17 Oct 1965 1 forward copy of
a book. letter to Sw. Sect. referring to LHO.
Named: Um. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XAA2 - 27167 of 27 June 1966.

ASA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, St.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March '64 - Mr. Wilkin, Mr. Stone et al (from
briefing on case handling, working party
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Lofth (re Dr. Mohrman's))

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Golding)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting, prep.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office
with Murphy & R.A. 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Lawson, Helms, Murphy, Sogley)

NB: ASA named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5877 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA brief

re Commission's criticism of Warren Report (where
discom is already noted). This brings down
general conclusions out: (2) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (3) Critics follow selective narrative
re details & quotations (4) conspiracy impossible in
this case by nature of circumstances. (5) Oswald as a
loner (6) Report not a much job. (7) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 48 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)


088-2914 28 Aug. 67: see 2 Enclosures, 1st & 2nd

60 pages. Material placed in file by FBI

19 Nov 1965 conference in Washington, DC. 2
members and 2 key persons. FBI says in lines
now made in the report. (See previous
memo 27 Oct 67 in 87 pages (17) which shows
date last met 17 Jan 67 & last file.

XAT2-27214 22 NOV 1967. Comparison of
General case with other American Reports
to USSR and material relating to re-exports
and their work (14 2)

HMA7-10152 27 Oct 1970



DST-18668 28 Aug 1971. 3rd file fragments

2 files and missing. Date by that employee
check with other files in performance of work
report in comparison. (See previous case report
no. 297-210, 67-59, 730-86). Logic used by FBI
and FBI of 50. Some. There are differences. Some

of case with file. (see case) on 10 files
1965. Case given in 2 columns. See details
in Memorandum

The report of the case provided

0000

Doc 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review sets of
materials prepared for external circulation re
mess for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

061 06 Mexi - 1963

(201-337252)

@ Marcos

Mannell Eugenio VEGA Perez, b. 1919 Havana.

First Sgt of 1st. Sgt. Mx. ^{from} ^{the} ^{thing} ^{of} ^{Latin} ^{Am.} ^{Int.} ^{Identified} ^{as} ^{the} ^{author} ^{of} ^{the} ^{report} ^{of} ¹⁹⁶³.

2nd & 3rd Sgts. 1st Lt. ^{Presumably} ⁱⁿ ^{charge} ^{of} ^{security} ⁱⁿ ^{Havana}. ^{Pres.} ^{for} ^{new} ^{"Committee} ⁱⁿ ^{charge} ^{of} ^{the} ^{Latin} ^{Revolution}". ^{Ex} ⁱⁿ ^{school} ^{instructor}

Reportedly worked under supervision of someone like CONRCA Montoto, Comm. Comandante

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTRE/1?

AMSTRE-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Enoch Azcue in prison had told VEGA Perez that one instructor of 1st. Lt. Carlos Waldberg to AZCUE that VEGA was to handle such cases as proposed problems & difficulties (he had read & had been handled by someone else.)

Relates report from 1963 on handling subv. contracts. (AMSTRE/2, from SINOSIS).

6 Jan - 13 Feb 1963 on leave in Havana

NB: as of 14 Mar 63 "fullest possible coverage" had been req. by Hqs. on this individual. In March 63 Hqs. proposed being in Mex Tourist Embassy.

In Henry's Official 2 Sept 63, Mex. embassy contains

OB of Cuban installations of files - 14 in all.

Query: was it normal for a case like VEGA's explanation to be handled by a local employee not an officer

described 21 Nov 1963 (UFAA-12559) as in Mex in charge of courier work. Actual agent same to Latin.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Regalado) were in contact with the ^{Micosoqua} agents of groups led by Oscar Aguilar ^{groups} which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for trig. This confirmed by two sources.

Another Dis Officer: Miguel Pich. by 1st Lt Natl Valdes 5:1-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DGI in Mexico City, replacing Alfredo MARRABEL in that capacity. He was in contact with them in August 22 June 63.

Alfredo MARRABEL Deputy Consul, Consul Mexico 2 Legation held in contact. From Johnson Sec. Oct 63. Vega ref: Mexi 16 April 64 to replace Regalado Rodriguez for investigation. Re: intelligence PCJ 25 April.

Random on Vega:

Arr. Mex	<	Har	2 Apr. 62
Dep. Mex	>	Har	6 Jan 63
Arr. Mex	<	Har	13 Feb.
Dep. Mex	>	Har	13 Apr. 63
Arr. Mex	<	Har	21 April 63
Dep. Mex	>	Har	3 Nov 63
Arr. Mex	<	Har	16 Apr. 64 - as chas. 1961

HB: outdated with info passed to Co

NB: This would make him about Mex on 27 Nov 63. And he was present during LIT's stay 27 Nov - 2 Dec

All people against Cuba legend or allegedly must first contact subject. He to this being. Data and pending at the Cuba for review checking. This review checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Har. from Mex. Nov 63 and was assigned to the Dep. Natl. Int. of DGI as Nicaragua. He left Mex. City on April 1964. He left

Vega munit de Hon 921 + ref. ...
1966. Vega munit de Hon 921 + ref. ...
L'his. d'ISA, 14 April 1966. Vega munit de Hon 921
27 June 1966. Vega munit de Hon 921 + ref. ...
de Palasencia. Vega munit de Hon 921 + ref. ...
de cultura en Cuba.

Vega munit de Hon 921 + ref. ...


Replena de la Vega munit de Hon 921 + ref. ...

hon 921 + ref. ...
Hon 921 + ref. ...







1










2



Duplicate

Nate

1. Circumstantial Facts: from late Sept - 27 Nov the case was handled as a matter of ordinary business in both Mexi and in Hqs. Handling was by the book, however in mind @ Mexi Station had a large on going case load and @ LHC (resident, friendly, asset) and reported (18th Oct) to Hqs^(19th) and disseminated (10th) was no issue and that case went in to the investigation as a matter of @ Vets & conclusions prepared by Oct 1968
 @ in 1964 met to what was decided part of the case during the whole case open to further facts (see attached)
 To Bold/Criticisms can be sustained against Mexi Station and Hqs concerning handling between 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

(1) Mexi Station erred in its forwarding of LC identification to Hqs based on Vold's estimate only on 15th Oct. ~~and~~

d. ... in an individual ...
 (2) US\$... *that all in only the topic was made; and which had when would have not ...*

(3) Hqs disseminated the Mexi info Sept 8th on the 10th with-
 during the analysis, info based on the photographe of
 unidentified individual.

(4) In its reply to Mexi on 11th, however Hqs gave Mexi a correct description of (Henry) which was at clear variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, normally to break any evidential connections between the two facts.

(5) Mexi Station though asked by Hqs did not press or secure an identification or followup on LHC before the arrival of Nov. (i.e. locate where he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: maybe, this

and analyzing Hqs can be
 2 - 10/15/68
 2 - 10/15/68
 2 - 10/15/68

(c) The Russian Embassy - Application
17 Feb 22nd, 1971. Requesting that it be given to the
Commission on Kennedy's assassination.

8. The front of the...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...

(9) No other...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...

(10) Request...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...

(11) Mexico...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...

(12) Request...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...
The front of the...
The USSR report...

- (b) ^{two} the Brothers - Ramos Kennedy telephone calls on the _____ and _____
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahov -

4. Mexi. coverage after ~~exam~~ ^{length} based on technical coverage and wire interceptions of [] The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviets & Cubans aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetration in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to Show or known degree of contact between UFD ^{was suspected by} them ^{at the time} the substantive details of the intercepts?
viz:

(1) Caso de Gileberto Alvarado Ojeda - Mica-
raguana walked in 24 Nov. investigation
prolonged through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silvia Aron. (Can her testimony in May 1967 → statements to confid. source be trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Clara Corro de los details.) S. Aron was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we know or could surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villaverde case (in Guatemala & Cozumel). [This appears to have been rebutted.]

(8) Case of Elena Garro de Log. See the successor's reports of Pat's report officer, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in Extrajurisdiction were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Elvira Human and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point that two attorneys have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Garro, in attendance at Cuban Human's party)

(9) Observations by Elia Collet de Stare (belonging down) into lead reconnaissance, Thurston fell in his Cuban trip for FPPC org. March 22 - 24 June 1963 Wife's relationship to FPPC activity is probably unclassified and unclassified on its face unless it can be assumed that FPPC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

sign
on
activity
with
relevance

(10) Case of Excess Contribution. In time, Case Trujillo beginning in May 1967 - relation to Wife's alleged contact with per radio student groups at NY. David is was attained to know Elia, segment for one occasion.

Dr. Stare, Viktor

5. acknowledging fundamental subject of 1967, which was later initiated.

(a) He denied she and she was not in NY at the time of the trip to know about about the subject.

(b) It was then that she was in NY at the time of the trip to know about about the subject. It was then that she was in NY at the time of the trip to know about about the subject.

10
Lats: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Smeets or Cubans or both, that must be beyond its transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Emb. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage and that his effort to redefeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determining) "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said ^{possibly} that was self-compromising in his ^{postulation} dealing with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent ^{US} anti-Soviet and anti-Cuban, etc. - which he realizes the Soviets nor Cubans can admit after the events of 1962, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Washington Embassy reception? Note that VPI would not require element of the last statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual in July 1963. Therefore Castro must have a significant level of insight for what is said about the nature and degree of involvement of platform against

Prison, his alias and JFK is dead, now we see evidence
in act of assassination where it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychiatric institution for
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you have conducted
an investigation in order to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a ^{or could have been} political assassination? again,
in the absence of factual evidence that goes beyond
what we do know, namely that it was the will
of JFK and Walter Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the Director's statements to
his interviewer: what specific evidential
was he detached to what he said? (Illustrated the
remarks issue involved a psych. insight.)

The question of lack of supporting evidential associated
also requiring that there were two separate sources
which come out immediately after the assassination, one
Soviet (Khrushchev) and the other Cuban (Robert F. Kennedy
through a young companion consisting two
high intelligence and Cuban intelligence branches series
off the book

*NB: by you for a very long time and you'd like to see
Khrushchev, Khrushchev or someone else in the past but
you'd like the writing in present year, 1961.

1. There is every reason to be unhappy with the way of
the present inquiry, that it is not here to
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not revealing what the
anti-caste who were doing, assuming they were. It is here
to know the policy was the deal with the buying
but not the encouragement to become hostile, in fact
with the whole planning of the operation that the
there is the Communist leadership in the past or the present
the only argument with a theory in the absence of evidence
concerning the operation what were the actions known
to conclude to be the cause of the assassination

(Note that after specifically completing his work for
forming by his reporting identified by several aspects
of foreign involvement.)

In part of the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's
position was or is not known to me — it may be that
the report did not ^{was given subject and} could have left a larger opening
for further accumulation of evidence relative to
the group conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was
or advised by C.I. staff at the time. In any
case they did a number of measures or checking and
since we are talking here of degrees of suspicion,
perhaps the Report properly read does leave
the question of ultimate responsibility of C.I. or
a Douglas Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff fail to formulate
the questions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and
that have investigated — in this instance by the FBI

R. Items of info developed abroad after investigation
is possible direct bearing on assessment of the
general conspiracy theory.

- (A) South. Is. defector 1944 — info passed
- (B) Moscow — early 1944 — info passed in substance
- (C) Espionage — within for info ¹⁹⁴⁴ ~~1943~~
at Langley Associated news 3rd info
at Berlin 1943 identical case.

~~Additional info developed in the course of the investigation~~
is important, case?? ~~is of the nature of the~~

- (D) Espionage — explaining
the evidence that info has been passed by
with key defectors, including St. L. relates
and other.

9. Returns on record re full disclosure of full
 facts held by Agency in sworn testimony. West. May
 6, 1964 (see Vol II: 120-124, next by affidavit
 Exhibit 868 and 869). Also in summary, AG
 on 1/25 that in no instance was any doc. withheld
 from public domain if it had a direct bearing
 on the assassination.

10. In general the formal case file shows a
 minimum input from Wore and no concern
 with the inclusion of statement, however, by
 statement re

Costa on 7/12/63. Query: what was needed,
 within all that highest level, to that statement?
 This should be reasonable in "doing [illegible]
 not [illegible] and [illegible]."

11. In [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 need to go back and [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible]

12. [illegible]

(1) [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

in the
 [illegible]

(2) See also [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

⑤ Report does not note the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑥ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑦ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑧ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑨ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑩ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑪ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

⑫ Let it be the length of the AP report by Hester in 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on the point of view of the mission that occurred in Trans-Action and has been covered by him. At what time main line between 1 to 412 B.

13. Report does not consider Oswald's sequence of activity as an ^{possible} operational continuum in which Cuba and Cuban ^{is} more involved ^{than} their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission report should have left ^{a wider} opening on this aspect because:

- ① there was ^{any} reasonable argument and some facts to conclude ~~involvement~~, assessment and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interests, included an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Paso. And ^{of this when any doubt, Nozules's testimony as KGB file is conclusive.}
- ② The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Sweden) fell far short of anything that fact and reasonable inference ^{the} suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22 Nov.)

14. In relation to the implicit ~~blame~~ ^{acknowledgment} of ~~responsibility~~ ^{responsibility} suggested by the ~~house~~ ^{house} (Caldwell) intercept - she is identified by a ~~documentary~~ ^{documentary} reporter as having been associated with the ~~Def~~ ^{Def} during her ~~visit~~ ^{visit} to ~~London~~ ^{London} - there are

① the ~~circumstances~~ ^{circumstances} of ~~her~~ ^{her} ~~visit~~ ^{visit} to ~~London~~ ^{London} in 1962?

(Records to ~~file~~ ^{file})
 ② ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~visit~~ ^{visit} to ~~London~~ ^{London} from ~~Netherlands~~ ^{Netherlands} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~Nov~~ ^{Nov} 1963 - but reported ~~after~~ ^{after} ~~its~~ ^{its} ~~circumstances~~ ^{circumstances} (OS: ~~visit~~ ^{visit} was known at one time as "Albino" and eventually was announced ~~known~~ ^{known} from the ~~paper~~ ^{paper} by the ~~government~~ ^{government} ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~given~~ ^{given} a ~~solid~~ ^{solid} ~~background~~ ^{background} info. 1963.

News...
 signed. Type
 got it with
 in England

Notes

O-lets - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the rubric: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Referred to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar, 13 Feb 1964 "before
developed by CIA on the subject of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, District 27 to Oct 3, 1963,
page 4, sub 3, we stated:

"⁷ Through all the evidence on Oswald we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anywhere other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. Two words
in your version of our report, on page four
and five, as based on the following: the
initial known and mean that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and the later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problem. This may well
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Wave diagram reported by US 8045 of 22 Nov, 22622, that
#110-117 53 reported #115,926 delegate and radio date
 sometime in Aug. 63 on 110-117. Summary of
#115,926 file relates to name, some columns 1300 on
22 Nov.

Valerij Vlad. Kostikov is identified KGB operationally believing
to be a 13th Dept operative. Being known to have worked
in matter of military sabotage. Belief of open invitation
or potentially non-clandestine matter known to be not the
basis an accepted doctrinal point at least among OLL.
NB: we passed to Fy' mind. (RSMW) dated in October and
3 families papers hearing on topic - if this was
concerned with Sov case of KGB. 2 hours. Ex. but. (Aug 61)
2 other. Sov. Sect. Exe. but. (Oct 61)

Dir 84915 of 23 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only
the gist of intelligence info reported by Miami re "North
American" on 23rd aft. full file review.

Report from Santiago Club re Nov re Cuba. 2nd reaction
indicated unind. reaction that was "you know
CIA", Extensive report re segregationist to them. This
was change Pedro Martinez, FLETZ. Source then he stated
that mission was involved in, FLETZ indicating that this
mission only suspect of extreme segregationist
in Cuba. (The Santiago 6153 IN 6714),
23 Nov 63)

NB: There was no known recorder on Sov side on 4 Oct.
(See Miami 7023- IN 67108). This cable relates the North
42 the 28th calls to Oswald identified in case of 1 Oct but
indicated no voice comparison could be done [redacted]
last time word press receipt of second call.

It is clear from context that Fy' was sent over to
Kalle, afternoon word carried 2 sets of my
photos each return, to Miami but. on 1 & 7
Oct Miami it should be the ...

14-00000

Man provided full number of all probable calls beyond
the 27th in London & including the 3 October call by the 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252)

Messing
Minnards
Blair

29/4

According to Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera
was down on '27 for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw
worked personally on various subsequent
photo trips. Was certain that under-coverage
applicable or indicated. Not a matter of
human malfunctions (loading & unloading),
either. Should attempt to bring elements of
recall - consult them on this point. We
won't get in trouble.

Derry: Granted above, why did we not
get 5 at its usual distribution on 18th 20th ?

14-00000
- Culture female - presented to father. IR interest
worked out...
- at morning...
over...
long... things... made...
=

John... about... week - collecting
processed...
my...
at...
=
From...
=

~~...
...
...~~

3. ...
...
...

~~Report that Phoenix City station, ^{written its}
~~...~~~~

~~limitations and capabilities had done
that
all it could, while in compliance with
headquarters instructions: there were no
further contacts by Oswald to report because
it was ultimately ^{occurred}
he had left Phoenix city, ~~...~~
and, ~~...~~ ^{...} it would
have ~~...~~ ^{...} a positive
identification ^{...} ~~...~~
registration under alias.~~

Talk with Michael Fournier

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, 2 Sat, Cass 2 fri)
Actions to work.
2. Din 74676 to Stat, 3 Sat, 20122
3. Din 74830 to Mexi, reg. Mex 6453
22-092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Sat 1993 164-0377
173-02. (reg. for plant.)

What is

111 Report 2013

Claimed J. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Disruption Council of the Americas. New Orleans.
has no testimony material from this man

Get (Sons) record info by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Assou's latest report for
the Berlin & Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call home on non-family of Cuban
airline

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 123

✓ Get transcription copy of Houston & work

The ...
...
...
...
...
...

...
...

Discussion with H. Phillips 23 April = it was
Cuban concern that was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing photos of J. Butler, Council of
Disruption, etc.

- Cost 400 =

- Cost publication of 1000 copies for end
1965 - about 11 months 44.

- We must see in the course of discussion with
the Commission about the photo -

- And then see about the possibility of improvement
of the photo - I have been with the
Commission.

28/7

There is a possibility of a new book on what
was done in the past, especially in Cuba
since the revolution, which is a very
interesting subject.