

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

XAAZ - 17622 of 2 July. Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CBO in his office by Gov. Carter Panel letters: YATFV. Give opinion that letter may have thought to apply to Westhorp but really it is that K comes have formed his own. ENR. This would have been SW. 6/19/64

XAAZ - 22941 of 3 July 1964. Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 21 March attacking a alleged statement by Edwin Brown.

XAAZ - 27202 of 7 July 1964. Letter from Rankin transmits State Dept memo regarding a Soviet visa procedures, esp. as applicable to Soviet cons.

XAAZ - 27221 of 9 July 1964. MOA by Mr. Helms: Discusses memo to David Patten regarding Soviet policy towards Russian visas of American & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?), Our reply had expressed to sponsor leaving with handcuffs, State had dealt with larger question of immig. deposits.

XAAZ - 22912 of 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA dispatch of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the info in report. Shown said that the Commission in memo not reproduced at text but refer to substance. Earlier discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.

XAAZ - 17623 of 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ - 27209 of 10 August 1964: MOA by Helms & Helms on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Shovon on defector info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "use of H. Rankin's info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Shovon, Helms, Helms, Helms. Report given agreement to report

✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 27 Aug 68: Sergius or whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having killed LHO in body 1960, alleged that this account was KGB as well as he. An Am. emb. officer was present. S. alleged in plain view of two govt. myocardial. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his extreme odd behavior indicates a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or injury. Healthy life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Cooby. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been present & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met LHO. April & May. Head phrases wet appear in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Gained on by. Emb. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB pres. knew he was in the Emb. & his program. He walked out firing post both suit & amir.

? ? Mental case ^{is it}
Was this info passed to ^{intelligence} community? Did it not wind up on 7 24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 1964: "Apparent homicide in material furnished the Commission by Col. R. D. Dept of State Nelson R. Barkin, further & letter by Barkin & Nelson 3 July 1964. Report to talk between Shannon & Wagner 9 Jul. 1964 regarding questions re Sov. espionage activities from USSR. Stipulate are only mentioned in summary of 26 cases, including 10 American

XAAZ-27209 contd. This ^{part} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~original~~ ^{original}

non-mention of N. info. Review SB concept of
N form file problem. Draft of a general
reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and
attached

② • NA: one of these papers refers to a British lab.
worker who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the letter~~

XAAZ-22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren
Comm. (Kovlin & Helms) for permission to
publish list of names of authors in "Proble
Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report.
Asks for a letter approval.

XAAZ-22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MDR by Hall & Sharkey
on Pros. Fr. Com. Section of Report & letter parts
of selected para & wording

XAAZ-22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Petrov
LHO. ~~Large meeting~~ ^{with} ~~to~~ ^{to} ~~General~~ ^{General} ~~Cuba~~ ^{Cuba}, Moscow (29 July 1964).

XAAZ-22860 of 31 Aug 64. Helms & Kovlin: Extract
from memo of same title date 17 Feb 1964
sent to Comm.

XAAZ-22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kovlin & Helms. Request
- handwritten analysis of articles on LHO taken
via application, ~~all~~ ^{all} ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~Cuba~~ ^{Cuba} ~~govt.~~ ^{govt.} State
translations. Also in case.

XAAZ-22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendations forwarded
by Kovlin to Helms

XAAZ-22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State
translations of LHO applications May. for review and reply
by Kovlin 15 Oct, plus Helms consult comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Rankin to Helms, re
permission to publish Agency memo. 2 July 1964, re
statement made by an officer in the Soviet Consulate
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.
by same info.

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Helms memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's passport
no. First color account is here passed through
Miami via D092526. This later ledger entry
shows it as D092526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin A240E, re Cuba coast.
Loren

[NB: Boston Working interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
14 Sept 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22795 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin to Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. Attached Census. Exh. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 21 June 1964 re
Oswald. info relative to intel. methods & org. Request
was of 4 June 1967 re: info

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Cook on
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targeted
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 of 15 Dec 1964: re allegation of unid.
account of Cuban involvement in ^{an} assassination
attempt. ^{held up at Houston} Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, since deceased, lived
and worked for a well known Cuban secret
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico city. This forgotten by an alibi.
[DBI rejected this account because of internal
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9484) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 of 8 Feb 1965: letter from Katz, author of
DBI concerning working of security controls
on CIA corresp. furnished to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 D 27 Feb 1965: DBI's reply to the re
security controls on CIA corresp. . Reproduces
background and notes how agreement
reached on such items . States that in no instance
was an inv. withheld from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the assassination
(Reply prepared by Chasly-

CSCI-316/01398 of 3 Grand 1965: forward copy of an
amb. letter to Sov. Emb. referring to LHO.
Named: U.S. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

RSA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, IT.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March 64 - Mr. Wilkins, Mr. Stone et al (James
Murray on case handling, written records
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Noble (re Dr. Mohrenscheldt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting prep.)

Mr Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office)
with Murray & RSA, 27 March 14:30 (see above)
24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Stinson, Helms, Murray, Boyle)

NB: RSA named, p. 13 on on of the liaison officer
between Commission's staff & agency, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5277 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA briefing

On Commission's criticism of Warren Report (whose
discovery is already noted). This brings down
general lines pointing out: (1) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (2) critics follow selective criticism
re details & questions (3) conspiracy, especially in
this case by nature of circumstances. (4) Oswald as a
loner (5) Report not a search job. (6) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission's queried 48 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

DBB-79914 of 28 Aug. 67: case of Enrique Guedes |
Gonzalez. Mentions protest NYC, arrested by FBI
19 Nov 1963 confessed to be member of team of
assassins sent to kill Kennedy. FBI says he was
never arrested nor interviewed. (See further
notes of 7 Feb 1967 in El Tiempo (NY) which claims
Carter looked out for team to US & back to
Cuba.)

XAAZ-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
Dawson case with other American defections
to USSR and mentions relating to re-defectors
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State transmission
of letter and ency. doc. by ex State employee
Charles William Thomas in circumstances of Cuban
esp. in conspiracy. (See Warren Commission Report
no. 299-310, 68-59, 730-86). Copies sent by letter
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. source
of conf. with Mrs. Pary (nee Gans) on 10 Dec
1965. Clean Gans m. to Arthur Pary. She documents
to Museum.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000
DCB - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review vols of
materials prepared for national circulation re
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

061 OB Mexi - 1963

(201-334252)

@ Marcos

Manuel Enrique VEGA Perez, b. 1919 Havana.

First Sgt. Capt. Ent. Mex. ¹⁹⁵¹ ~~1952~~ ¹⁹⁵³ ~~1954~~ ¹⁹⁵⁵ ~~1956~~ ¹⁹⁵⁷ ~~1958~~ ¹⁹⁵⁹ ~~1960~~ ¹⁹⁶¹ ~~1962~~ ¹⁹⁶³ ~~1964~~ ¹⁹⁶⁵ ~~1966~~ ¹⁹⁶⁷ ~~1968~~ ¹⁹⁶⁹ ~~1970~~ ¹⁹⁷¹ ~~1972~~ ¹⁹⁷³ ~~1974~~ ¹⁹⁷⁵ ~~1976~~ ¹⁹⁷⁷ ~~1978~~ ¹⁹⁷⁹ ~~1980~~ ¹⁹⁸¹ ~~1982~~ ¹⁹⁸³ ~~1984~~ ¹⁹⁸⁵ ~~1986~~ ¹⁹⁸⁷ ~~1988~~ ¹⁹⁸⁹ ~~1990~~ ¹⁹⁹¹ ~~1992~~ ¹⁹⁹³ ~~1994~~ ¹⁹⁹⁵ ~~1996~~ ¹⁹⁹⁷ ~~1998~~ ¹⁹⁹⁹ ~~2000~~ ²⁰⁰¹ ~~2002~~ ²⁰⁰³ ~~2004~~ ²⁰⁰⁵ ~~2006~~ ²⁰⁰⁷ ~~2008~~ ²⁰⁰⁹ ~~2010~~ ²⁰¹¹ ~~2012~~ ²⁰¹³ ~~2014~~ ²⁰¹⁵ ~~2016~~ ²⁰¹⁷ ~~2018~~ ²⁰¹⁹ ~~2020~~ ²⁰²¹ ~~2022~~ ²⁰²³ ~~2024~~ ²⁰²⁵

Identified 8/63. Served 22 and 1963.
2nd Lt. Capt. 64. Presumably wife of
senator in Embassy. Served for new "Committee
in response of the Cuban Revolution". Ex. in school
instructor

Reportedly worked under supervision of General
Cesar Cuomca Montoto, Comm. Counselor

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTOKE/1?

AMSTOKE-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Enrique
AZCUBA in prison had told VEGA Perez that
one witness of Capt. Carlos Beltrano to
AZCUBA that VEGA was to handle such
cases on passport problems & identification
(AZCUBA had received & had been handled
by someone else.)

Beltrano reported from 1963 on handling subv.
contracts. (AMSTOKE/2, from SINOBIS).

6 June - 13 Feb 1963 on leave in Havana

NB: as of 14 Nov 63 "fullest possible coverage" had
been req. by Hqs. on this individual. In March 63
Hqs. expressed being in Mex Joint Exchange.

In Henri's Report 2 Sept 63, Mex. network contains
OB of Cuban installations of 14 in all.

[Query: was it normal for a case like VEGA's
application to be handled by a local employee
not an officer

Describes 21 Nov 1963 (UFIAT-12557) as in Mex
in charge of covering visas. Actual flight
from Cuba.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Rojas) were in contact with the ^{Mexican} agents of group led by Raul Aguilar ^{friend} which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for pay. This confirmed by two sources.

Another Des Office: Miguel P. by 1st Lt Nard Palat 59-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DBI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo M. KABEL in that capacity. He acted as chief of DBI then in August 22 June 63.

Alfredo Miguel Diaz Conrad, arrived Mexico 2 Sept 63 held in custody. From Guatemala. Oct 63. Vega ref: Mexi 16 April 64 to release Rojas Rodriguez for investigations. Possible left PC/ 25 April.

Random on Vega:

- Am. Mex < Apr 2 Apr 62
- Dep. Mex > Apr 6 Jun 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 13 Feb.
- Dep. Mex > Apr. 13 Apr. 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 21 Apr 63
- Dep. Mex > Apr 3 Nov 63
- Am. Mex < Apr 16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1961

NB: only with lets turned to Co

NB: This would make him about Mexi on 9 27 Nov 63. And he was there during LIT's stay 27 Oct - 2 Oct

All people against Cuba legal or allegedly must first contact Subject. He to then being. Date and number ref to Cuba for name checking. This name checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Apr. from May. Nov 63 and was successful to the Dep. of Intell. of DBI in Nicaragua. He left Mex. City to April 1964. I left

Vega reunió a don 921 ref. y retornó a la zona
1966. con objeto de establecer un punto de interés


de los ej. de SA, 14 April 1966. Después de eso


27 June 1966. Act. de los ej. de SA en la zona de interés
de Petisco. Este momento del comienzo de
de cultura en Cuba.



Vega reunió a don 921 ref. y retornó a la zona
29 May - 14 June 1967



Repleto de los ej. de SA en la zona de interés


don 921 ref. Act. de los ej. de SA en la zona de interés,
Huerto Cordero, San Juan












2

6



Duplicates

the legal attaché or the Mex. representative or the Embassy (which was informed by the 10th Det).

- (4) Though requested by Mexico Station to ask the Navy for a photo of the UHO, but did not permit and secure a photo before the event.
- (5) Mexico Station did not match the 1st main intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17th and 19th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov. event, when it did so with alacrity.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy and on 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22/Nov.
- (7) Despite the discrepancies in description Mex Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (in effect, being faced with a fait accompli). (Trans. notated)
- (8) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of UHO in Mexico City. The intro. of the unidentified individual - in good faith by COS - was restricted and compromised the operations in the long run, though every effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.
- (9) Two members of Cuban emb. known to have dealt with UHO: Silvia Lomon and Conrado Argon. Both left & replaced on 21/11/51. named.

9. The thrust of the information after the 22nd Nov event was against the USSR report, rather than the Cuban coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The basic Calderon conversation - afternoon 22nd Nov. which seems not to have gone to the Commission. Possibly? - This must be checked

- and (b) the ^{two} Brothers - Pinos through telephone calls on the _____ and _____
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahov -

4. Major coverage after ~~20th~~ ^{length} based on technical coverage and size utilization of The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Smith & Labrous aware of the coverage visual & audio before 20th?

(b) Did Sova and/or Labrous have penetrations in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to show a major degree of contact between UFD ^{at various points in the} than the substantive details of the intercepts?
viz:

(1) Case of Gileberts Alvarado Yaguste - Nicaragua within 24 hr. investigation protracted through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Bureau. (Can he later in May 1967 -> statements to confid. source he trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Cuban Case details -) Silva Bureau was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we knew or could surmise from its intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villanueva case (in broadcastings & lognormal). This appears to have been admitted.

(4) Case of Elena Garro de Paz. See the audience's reports of that night affair, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in 60s denunciations were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Silvia Human and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point these two attacks have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Garro, in attendance at Cuban Human's party)

(5) Observations by Eliz. Cottrell de Ochoa (belonging to)
 notes lead (accompanied) ^{in person} Theodore Hill on his Cuban trip for FFFC org. Winnipeg - 21 June 1963
 Hill's relationship to FFFC activity is probably undoubted and undeniably on its face unless it can be assumed that FFFC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

see also
 ...
 ...

(6) Case of Oscar Contreras. In his case, Torgio requires in May 1961 relation to Hill's alleged contact with pro Castro student groups at U.S. Mexico was attempted to secure Hill's consent for visa connections.

Dr. Betty Vildor

5. Acknowledging gradual aspects of Hill, which have been indicated.

(a) He denied the usual mode ^{of operation} ...
 safe to know about ...

(b) He was then ...
 ...
 ...

lets: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviets or Cubans or both, that must be beyond the transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Ent. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage and that his support to the defeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving [and self-determined] "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his dealings with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Castro individuals which he felt the Soviets and Cuban leadership would not accept after the events of 1959, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of LHO's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Georgetown University reception? Note that FBI records could require element of the AP statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual's self-love. Therefore LHO's account must be a significant collateral weight for what is said about the active and degree of involvement of LHO against

... since, his alias and JFK is dead, was ever evidence
an act of assassination where it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychotic victim for
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you now conduct
an investigation, or do you want to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a justified ~~retorsion~~ ^{or could have been} again,
in the absence of factual collateral that goes beyond
what we do know, namely that all was the will
of JFK and Officer Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question: the author's observations * to
his [redacted] F.O. informant: what signif. coincidentally
can be attached to what he said? (Submitted the
remarks [your emotional & psych. insight].)

In question of lack of supporting evidential isolated
also requiring that these were two distinct sources
unless come out immediately after the assassination, one
Soviet (Korshak) and the other Cuban (Robert [redacted])
travels of varying composition consisting ^{of} ~~of~~
both Soviets and Cuban intelligentsia ~~travellers~~ ^{services}
off the books

*NB: In 1964 for a brief source and reported it to [redacted] ^{by the USSR}
[redacted] ^{by the USSR}
[redacted] ^{by the USSR}

7. There is every reason to be unhappy with the work of [redacted]
upon the [redacted] in many aspects, but it's not [redacted] B
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not [redacted] about the
[redacted] into some [redacted], assuming they [redacted]. It's [redacted]
I know the policy was the deal [redacted] the [redacted]
but not the [redacted] in the [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]
with [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] B. not [redacted] that the
[redacted] in the [redacted] [redacted] in 1961 was
the only [redacted] such a [redacted] in the [redacted] of [redacted]
[redacted] to [redacted] what [redacted] to [redacted] [redacted]
[redacted] to [redacted] of the [redacted] [redacted]

(Note that if we specifically complain to the FBI for failing to report identified U.S. assets of foreign involvement.)

In fact at the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's position was or is not known to me — it may well be that the report, ^{as we} said, could have left a larger opening for further accumulation of evidence relative to the foreign conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was or advised by C.I. staff at the time. In any case they set a matter of measure or shedding and because we are talking here of degrees of complicity, because the Report properly need does leave the question of ultimate responsibility of L.A. or a Singleton Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff do to formulate the questions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and what have investigated — in this instance by the FBI

B. Items of info disclosed abroad after assassination, i.e. possible direct bearing on circumstances of the foreign conspiracy theory.

- (A) L.A. info, defector G.M. King, info passed.
- (B) Hawaii — early 1964 — info passed in substance.
- (C) Concealment — within 100 miles of L.A. 1963-64, all info, 1964, Associated Press & info at Berlin 1960. Mental case.

~~What is the nature of the information disclosed to the press?~~
What is the nature of the information disclosed to the press?

- (A) to evidence that info has been passed, explaining with date, defectors, including State, cables and message.

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full facts held by Agency in sworn testimony Wed. May 6, 1964 (see Vol I: 120-124, and by affidavit Exhibits 868 and 869). Also he announced ^{1/16} on ^{2/17/64} 1/16/65 that in no instance was any doc. withheld from public domain if it had a direct bearing on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a minimum input from Waver and no concern with the implications of Statement, concerning his Statement on 7 Sept 1963. [Query: what was reaction within all of highest levels to that statement? This should be traceable in Helms files, if not in C & H files and Gps.]

11. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver and to Gps on 10/10/63, to get to know Waver & Gps. on 10/10/63.

12. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver
 (a) Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 10/10/63. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 10/10/63. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 10/10/63.
 (b) The presumption is that Helms would have been Helms' conversation equivalent on 10/10/63. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 10/10/63.
 (c) See also Helms' testimony, Vol I: 120, 121, 122, who is interviewed by Gps, 1/16/65.

13. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 10/10/63.

14. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 10/10/63.

controlled him, requested a copy, offered to turn
 into a letter enclosed for info, and distributed to
 his own agency. This was possible as I had when
 I simply found the printing asset, wearing a
 sign, showing name of Hill as holder of FCC.
 On 12th there was a municipal court matter
 for which Hill pleaded guilty, a fine of \$100. On 14th
 he was going out to work in some cases. Congress
 was a friend of Hill's house on 16th, on 21 Aug there
 was a radio debate. He was against Hill &
 Ed Butler of Hill's Council for the Americas.
 (see also records to Charles testimony)

FBI it is remarkable that no one apparently focused
 on the Hill promotion or had attempted

(1) what does one make of the evidence testimony,
 with Hill's testimony, and the background, histo-
 rical

(2) what was the involvement of O's alleged son det.
 activities in H.O. on behalf of the FCC? How
 are except this idea he had own evidence or
 contacts. after he had begun to write & labor
 cons. by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
 What does a chronic account of his narrow
 int. & movement look like starting with the
filled printed procedure dates?

See Delgado's testimony

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Harker on
 9 Sept 1963 - more was testimony taken on this
 point & through the decision that appeared in
Tennis Bicentennial must have been seen by him.
 It reflects main line however p. 412 ff.

13. Report does not consider Overall's sequence of activity as ^{possibly} operational continuation in which Goss and Cuban more involved ~~in~~ their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission report should have left ^{a reader} guessing on this aspect because:

- ① there was very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude surveillance, assessment and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interests, including an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Toro. And if there was any doubt, Nostrale's testimony in KGB file is conclusive.
- ② The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Swan) fell far short of anything that fact and reasonable inference suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22/10/57.)

14. In addition to the implicit identification of ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~subject~~ ^{subject} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the house (Caldwell) intercept - she is identified by a ~~number~~ ^{number} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~pages~~ ^{pages} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept as having been associated with the ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~being~~ ^{being} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~subject~~ ^{subject} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept - these are

① the ~~intercept~~ ^{intercept} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept - Kennedy reports after the event - end 1962

(Ricardo L. ~~intercept~~ ^{intercept})

② ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~subject's~~ ^{subject's} ~~name~~ ^{name} ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~known~~ ^{known} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept - but reported after the ~~intercept~~ ^{intercept} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept (the name was known at one time as "J. Lee" and eventually an ~~alias~~ ^{alias} ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~known~~ ^{known} ~~to~~ ^{to} ~~the~~ ^{to} ~~authorities~~ ^{authorities} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept by the government who ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~known~~ ^{known} ~~to~~ ^{to} ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~subject~~ ^{subject} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept.

However, the ~~intercept~~ ^{intercept} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~subject~~ ^{subject} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ the intercept -

Notes

O. file - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the rubric: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Reported to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar, 19 Feb 1964 "inform
developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, was studied:

" 7. From all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. Two words
in para seven of same report, on pages from
our files, a word in the Russian and
since name and mean that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problems. This day would
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Wane Miami reported by the USSR of 22 Nov, 22622, that
AMT-NT 53 reported AMIS, 1964 delegate and radio dts
conducted in Aug. 63 on U.S. radio world. Summary dts
AMIS, 1964 file, related to name, same column 1964 on
22 Nov.

Making West. Kestner is ...
 in ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

25 Nov 63 2206Z ...
 ...
 ...

Report from ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

NB: ...
 ...
 ...

It is clear from ...
 ...
 ...

14-00000

Miss provided full numbers of all probable calls beyond
the 7th in Adama & including the 3 October call by no. 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252).

Miss
Adama
Blair

23/4

According to C/Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera was down on '27 foot for some mechanical malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw worked personally on various subsequent photo trays. Also certain that was-considered replicable or indicated. Not a matter of human malfunctions & breaking & including, either. Would attempt to bring elements of recall & consult them on this point. We would get in touch.

Query: Granted above, why did we not get E at its known locations on 18th 20th ?

- Custom create - processed in the poller. IR will not work out unless...
- At morning gets a message of error - after some time...
 oriented... promised to be... He also says
 doing other things... He had made his point...

John called about twice a week - collecting
 processed & steps provided...
 say for just a year... would be done in
 at first...
 From Mike...

Not a balance
from necessary
to some funds
to some funds

3/10/68
at some

Appears that Mexico City station, ~~within its~~
~~power to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done
all it could, ~~in~~ compliance with
headquarters instructions; there were no

further constraints by Oswald to report, because
he had left Mexico City, ~~on 2 October~~
~~and~~ ~~it was ultimately essential~~

and, ~~in~~ photographs ~~showing~~ it would
have ~~been~~ ~~possible~~ to make a positive
identification of Oswald's hotel
registration under alias.

Talk with Mr. Lee Fournier

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? set, case 2 fig)
Action to web.
2. Dis 74676 to Stat, 3-51-2012
3. Dis 74830 to Mexi, ref. Mex 6453
22092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1953 74-6357
17302. (copy for photo)

What is

111 Report in O.K.

Edmund S. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Disarmament Council of the Americas, New Orleans.
ask for testimony not taken from this man

Get Sony joined up by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Assoc. report for
the Berlin + Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call Gene on non-family of labor
circle

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 12³

✓ Get transcription copy of H. Water & work

I don't want to see the photo of the
man in the suit. I want to see the
background. The man in the suit is
a laborer.

We can see the man in the suit.

Discussions with H. Phillips 28 April = it was
Custom camera this was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing other photos of the Emb. Council &
anti-air Club.

- Get photos of ...
- Get photos of ... for end 1963 ...
- We must ... in the ... of discussion with the Commission ...
- ... (in ... or ...)

28/7

Mike ... of ... since ...
 was
 since
