This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

Date:

10/20/20

Agency Information

AGENCY: **HSCA** 

RECORD NUMBER:

180-10110-10108

RECORD SERIES:

SECURITY CLASSIFIED FILES

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

009093

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

**HSCA** 

FROM:

TO:

TITLE:

DATE:

06/08/1978

PAGES:

4

SUBJECTS:

[Restricted]

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** 

**OUTSIDE CONTACT REPORT** 

CLASSIFICATION:

Unclassified

RESTRICTIONS:

1**A** Redact

**CURRENT STATUS:** DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

06/13/1997

**OPENING CRITERIA:** 

COMMENTS:

Press clipping attached.Box 4.

Released under the John

. Kennedy

Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).

Case#:NW 53080 Date:

p5-30-2017



## 009093

	Identifying Information: Name Cynthia Thomas	Telephone	
	Address 4420 50th St. NW., Washington 1	D.C.	
	Type of Contact: Telephone $\frac{1}{xx}$ Person		
	Summary of Contact:		
	On 5/29/78 Ms. Thomas was interviewed at her hor	me on 50th Street NW b	oy Dan
	Hardway and Harold Leap. Ms. Thomas said that her husband, Charles W.		
	Thomas had committed suicide in 1971.		
	Ms Thomas was questioned about Elena Garro de Pa familiar with the story and only wondered why we		
9	She said that Elena is now in hiding and has alw		
	Ms. Thomas was adament in denying that Elena was	s at all "crazy". N	Ms. Thomas
	believes very strongly that Elena had to have ha	ad some basis for her	reporting
	Ms. Thomas said that she believed Elena's allega	ation about Duran beir	ıg
	Oswald's lover because Elena was not the type of	f woman who took part	in
	gossip, "women talk" or stories about other women	en. Ms. Thomas said t	hat
	it was her husband, not Elena, who had figured o	out the correct dates	of
	the party from Elena's datebook. Ms. Thomas do	oes not know where thi	is date-
	book is now. Ms. Thomas said that Elena was ver Recommended Follow-up (if any):	ry bright and "knew to	oo much".

When asked why she knew too much, Ms. Thomas hedged and did not divulge any specifics. Ms. Thomas said that their last contact with Elena was in 1968. At that time Elena called her husband from New York. She (Elena) said that she was being held at a hotel against her will and asked Charles Thomas for help. Thomas tried to help her. Cynthia does not remember all the things that her husband did to try to help, but does remember that he called Octavio Paz. She was sure that Thomas took other actions at the time but cannot remember them. She said that he always kept extensive notes and she would try to locate his notes from this incident. After contacting Octavio, Charles Thomas tried to locate Elena at the hotel she claimed to be at. When he called there he was told that she had checked out in a hurry, leaving her luggage behind.

Ms. Thomas said that Elena had trusted Charles Thomas and had taken him into her inner circle of friends. Most of Elena's friends were Mexican intellectuals and leftests, hence the value of this contact to the CIA.

Ms. Thomas said that the CIA used Thomas to get information from these people and that Charles Thomas willingly cooperated in this effort.

Ms. Thomas offered two reasons why Charles Thomas' report of Elena's allegations recieved the type of response from the American officials that it did. The first reason was that Win Scott was very close to President Diaz Ordaz and that this, in some way, may have been the reason for suppressing Elena's story. The second reason that she offered was that Ferris, the Legal Attache at the time, resented someone from the political section poking around in his business.

Ms. Thomas said that her husband had always "bailed out" the CIA by providing them with the information that he obtained. She said, because of this the CIA was always trying to "pick up" their expenses but they

always declined the CIA's offers.

The Thomas' left Mexico City in 1967. At that time a CIA officer took over Charles Thomas' contacts. Ms. Thomas said that the officer was named Stanley Watson and that he was now retired and living in Cuenavaca, Mexico. Ms. Thomas termed Waton a "bungling manipulator". She said that Waston was involved in some way that she is not sure of in the Student riots in Mexico in 1968. She speculated that he may have been involved in inciting them. When asked specifically whether Watson had taken over the contact with Elena in 1967 Ms. Thomas responded affirmatively.

Not long after the last contact with Elena in 1968, Charles Thomas learned that he was being "selected out" of the Foreign Service. The reason for his selection out was that he had not recieved a promontion in the required manner. After his selection out Mr. Thomas applied for over 2000 jobs. He remained unemployed nevertheless until his suicide in 1971. It is Ms. Thomas' beleif that he was blackballed. Ms. Thomas provided an article about her husband that appeared in the Washington Evening Star. (Copy attached.) She also showed us a copy of an article that appeared in Time magazine, p. 20, on 11/15/71.

After Charles' suicide, Cynthia took actions to get her husband reinstated in the Foreign Service. As a result of this she obtained a job in the Foreign Service. William Fulbright and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee held hearings on the case. Most of Charles' documents were turned over to the SFRC. Mrs. Thomas dealt with Robert Dockery (phon.) at the SFRC. After holding hearings the SFRC introduced a private bill which reinstated Charles Thomas. (Copies of report and bill attatched.)

Ms. Thomas said that she took the matter to the Foriegn Relations Committee only after she had tried to get relief through State Department channels. She said that her case was handled at the State Department by Wilmet Hastings, an assistant to Eliot Richardson, and John McCumber. Ms. Thomas said that it was McCumber who offered her the job with the Foreign Service, and that he is now associated with the Museum of Modern Art. She said that he has always had strong ties to the CIA.

Ms. Thomas suggested two other people who might know something about Charles Thomas and Elena Garro de Paz's allegations. Wally Stewart was the Political Consul in Mexico City at the time and all of Charles Thomas' reports went to him. He was Thomas' direct superior. Ms. Thomas said that he was also a very good friend of the Legal Attache. The other person Clare Boonstra was also a political officer and was aware of the discussions with Elena. Ms. Thomas thinks that Stewart is retired in Mexico and that Boonstra is retired somewhere in Florida.

# Despair Among Diplomats

By GEORGE SHERMAN

"Selection out", "involuntary separation", "too long in class"—obscure terms, pernaps, but they spell despair to Foreign Service officers of the United States.

These are the polite words for telling a career diplomat he is fired.

They are part of a system that is coming under attack both inside and outside the State Department.

Even officers who have sur-vived the tough competition for promotion say morale is sagging

and revolt simmering. A deter-mined move is afoot to strip the "O" (for organization) office of its grip on the promotion sys-

tem.

In Congress, Sen. Birch Bayh,
D-Ind., and Senate Minority
Leader Hugh Scott, R-Pa., plan
to introduce this week legislation to force a grievance system
on the State Department. It
would operate directly under the
secretary of State, outside the
O office.

The shock which madical

The shock which produced this momentum came April 12 when "retired" Foreign Service

officer Charles W. Thomas. 48, unemployed lawyer and linguist, put a gun to his head at his Potomac Avenue NW home.

tomac Avenue NW home.

Almost two years earlier, July
31, 1969, Thomas had been forced
out of the State Department
without a pension. No one
claimed he was incompetent or
a security risk. He was simply a
victim of competition, having
failed to gain promotion out of
Class 4 Foreign Service officer
in the required eight years.

Today the department estab-lishment acknowledges that "un-fortunate mistakes" were made

in the Thomas case. They include the misfiling of an excellent performance report and alleged falsification of another—both errors unknown to the promotion boards.

motion boards.

For two years Thomas and his wife, Cynthia, tried to appeal the verdict. They went through the system they had served for the past 18 years. They failed. At 46, Thomas was irrevocably "selected out," only to discover that he was an over-qualified and middle-aged misfit in civilian life.

# Continued From Page A-1 He collected nearly 2,000 job rejection letters. He went heavy by first oebet against his \$10,000 prejection letters and the standard of the page of his "c" to be against his \$10,000 prejection letters. He went heavy by first oebet against his \$10,000 prejection letters and the page of the pa FSO: Despair Among 'Retired' Diplomats

reports by superiors, had hurt his promotion chances.

Promotion boards meet every fall, one each for the 8 classes of the Foreign Service. They assess some 15,000 people in the State Department, Agency for International Development, and United States Information Agency—including the 3,000 career diplomats. Each board has seven members — some ranking Foreign Service officers, some drawn from other government departments, some from the public at large.

These boards are allowed to recommend a certain percentage of the hundreds in each class for promotion each year — a percentage based largely on the money available from Congress. They also rate the lowest 5 or 10 percent in each class, who automatically become candidates for selection out. Recommend a certain percentage to Deputy Unders and the same time of the promotion of the



conclideren. The best he has been offered so far is two-year extension of his time in Class 4, making him—he say=—a "non-person" ineligible for promotion.

Mr. X has refused. He charges the department with inequity and injustice and is demanding its "day in court." That means a grievance committee to hear his complaints — a committee which the regulations says and the requestive working days after the request should be formed within five working days after the request is received.

He has gone right up the ladder in protest—from Director of Personnel Howard P. Mace. Subordinate—the chied der in protest—from Director of Personnel Howard P. Mace. Subordinate—the chied der in protest—from Director of Personnel Howard P. Mace. Subordinate—the chied der in protest—from Director of Personnel Howard P. Mace. Subordinate—the chied for in protest—from Director of Personnel Howard P. Mace. Subordinate—the chied for in protest—from protects—for Personnel Howard P. Mace. Subordinate—the chied for in protest—for promoted, or passed over. If he protest—for promoted, or passed over. If he protest pro

has just moved to centralize and control all personnel programs still more tightly from that of-







NW 53080