This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

Date:

2/16/201

Agency Information

AGENCY:

HSCA RECORD NUMBER:

180-10142-10135

RECORD SERIES:

CIA SEGREGATED COLLECTION

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

HSCA

23-15-11

FROM:

CIA

TO:

TITLE:

DATE:

00/00/0000

PAGES:

5

SUBJECTS:

CUBANA AIRLINES

CIA, METHODOLOGY

DOCUMENT TYPE:

REPORT

CLASSIFICATION:

Unclassified

RESTRICTIONS:

CURRENT STATUS:

1A; 1B

DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

Redact 08/07/1995

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

Box 12

Released under the John

Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992

(44 USC 2107 Note). :ase#:NW 53080 Date:

6-01-2017

NOVEMBER 22, 1963 CUBANA FLIGHT

Book V of the Senate Intelligence Committee discusses Report) refers to a reported five-hour delay (6:00PM EST to 11:00 PM EST) of a Cubana flight from Mexico City to Havana the evening of President Kennedy's assassination, November 22, 1963. (Senate Intelligence Committee Report, April 23,1976, p.30) The most 55 account involved intriguing aspect of the hat the alleged of the colonna Slight delay was to await arrival at 10:30 PM EST of a pri-Thearceraft vate twin-engined aircraft, which deposited an unidentified passenger who boarded the Cubana aircraft without customs clearance and traveled to Havana in the pilot's cabin. (ibid ps. 60 -61) The Senate Intelligence Committee wrote that the Central Intelligence Agency "had no information indicating that a followup investigation was conducted to determine the identity of the passenger and had no further information on the passenger, and no explanation for why a followup investigation was not conducted." (ibid p.61)

raises four major Questions:

a)Did the Central Intelligence Agency the

Senate Intelligence Committee that no investigation
of the November 22, 1963 Cubana flight was conducted?

- c) What were the results of the Central Intelligence Agency investigation?
- d) Were the results of the Central Intelligence
 Agency investigation forwarded to the Senate Intelligence Committee?

In an attempt to answer the questions raised by the Senate Intelligence Committee Report, the House Select Committee on Assassinations has the following:

a) Conducted an extensive review of the Central Intelligence Agency files that pertain to the November

22, 1963 Cubana flights.

tosporto Generalinging

b) Reviewed Tab B of the 1977 Cid Staff Report which included a section on the November 22, 1963 Cubana flights

c) Reviewed the February 4, 1976 Central Intelligence.

Agency letter to the Senate Intelligence Committee.

DID THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WRITE THE SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE THAT NO INVESTIGATION OF THE NOVEMBER 22, 1963 CUBANA FLIGHT WAS CONDUCTED?

ON / TED?

formal ta

After a careful review of the February
4, 1976 Central Intelligence Agency letter to the

does (

its not just enough to Say we its not just emined so nething-on whe we will we make the

Senate Intelligence Committee, the House Select

Committee on Assassinations has determined that the

Senate Intelligence's interpretation of the letter

is misleading. The Central Intelligence Agency wrote

the Senate Intelligence Committee that the Mexican

authorities were asked about the reported flight

delay, although there was no recorded response. (CIA

letter to Senate Intelligence Committee, 2/4/76)

DID THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FURTHER INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATION?

The Central Intelligence Agency conducted regular surveillance of Cubana flights, filing cable reports to Headquarters. (1977 CIA Staff Report, Tab B, p. 11) There was one unilateral CIA surveillance team (Lifet) that observed arrivals and departures of Cubana flights, reporting any unusual incidents and providing copies of flight manifests. (ibid, p.11) The Mexican government authorities also had a surveillance team of its own at the airport which provided the CIA with photographs of passports and copies of passenger lists. telephone tap operation (LIENVOY) against the Cuban Embassy provided trans-A 16/10es the Cubana office and cripts of conversations the Mexican Airport Control office. ifed p.11)

WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY INVESTIGATION?

DIFFERENCE SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE AND HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS FINDINGS

The House Select Committee on Assassinations findings differ from those of the Senate Intelligence

Committee in the following manner:

a) The Cubana flight was on the ground in Mexico City for a total of four hours and about ten minutes. It was not delayed five hours as reported in Book V.

NW 53080 DocId:32271439 Page 5

b) The Cubana flight departed at 2035 hours

Mexico City time, 55 minutes ahead of the alleged

arrival at 2130 of a private flight with a secret

passenger..

c) The 2035 departure also contrasts further with the Senate Intelligence Committee Report that the Cubana flight departed at 2200.

aircraft carrying a lone passenger exists. In addition, no record exists of a passenger traveling to Havana in the pilot's cabin. In view of the surveillance coverage of the Cubana flight, it is doubtful that the alleged activity involving the private twineengined aircraft and passenger would have gone unnoticed or unreported had it occurred.

WERE THE RESULTS OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FORWARDED TO THE SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE

The Central Intelligence Agency gave the

Senate Intelligence Committee only the informant

allegations. The Senate Intelligence Committee

did not review the LIFIRE or LIENVOY records. Relying

solely on the informant's allegations, the Senate

Intelligence Committee could not have reported the

story any differently.

werd to