

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

Date: 02/21/05

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10414-10123
RECORD SERIES : JFK
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : RUSS HOLMES WORK FILE

Released under the John
F. Kennedy
Assassination Records
Collection Act of 1992
(44 USC 2107 Note).
Case#:NW 53320 Date:
06-26-2017

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

AGENCY ORIGINATOR : CIA
FROM :
TO :
TITLE : NOTES ON KEY DOCUMENTS IN OSWALD FILE
DATE : 01/01/1900
PAGES : ~~38~~ 26

SUBJECTS :

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER
CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED
RESTRICTIONS : 1B
CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED IN PART PUBLIC - RELEASED WITH DELETIONS
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 08/25/98
COMMENTS : JFK-RH07 : F130 : 1998.08.25.10:53:30:840128 :
CORRECTED TO 38 PAGES VICE 27.

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED 104-10414-10123

investig on Oswald
FBI reports NOT in CIA's possession, before
22 Nov 1963.

(b) Report dated 7 March 1961. Title:
Lee Harvey Oswald. by ^{SA} John W. Fain,
Dallas Office of the FBI. 10 numbered
pages. [This report is a chronological
account of Oswald's life from
birth to his defection to the USSR and
indicates he was contemplating
return to the US. (We received this
report on 2 Mar 1961)]

(c) Report dated June 3, 1960. to the
Office of Security of the Department
of State. ¹⁹⁶⁰ Subject: Lee Harvey Oswald
Refers to Foreign Service Report no. 234
of 2 Nov 1959 and ^{includes} other reporting
on the case and draws attention to
the fact that background check
could be made of Oswald's
the health certificate surrendered
by Oswald to the Soviets. (We
received this report on —
1975)

(c) Report dated 10 July 1962. Title:
Lee Harvey Oswald. By ^{Sgt} Julius W.
Fair, Dallas office. 14 pages
[Oswald's background info re
subject stay in USSR and
records subject denied the
recruitment by Sov. IS. as any
deal with the Soviet government]
(We received this report on 9
March 1964.)

(d) Report dated 31 October 1962.
Title: Lee Harvey Oswald - Early
life in New Orleans and
activities for Fair Play for
Cuba Committee. Report by
Sgt. Milton R. Kaack. 16
pages. (We received this by
letter from T. Edgar Hoover on
9 March 1964.)

Note

1. Circumstantial Facts: from late Sept - 22 Nov the case was handled as a matter of ordinary business in both Mexi and in Hqs. Handling was by the book, bearing in mind @ Mexico Station had a large on going case load. and @ LHO, unidentified (by name) was reported (18th Oct) to Hqs^(19th) and disseminated (10th) was a Navy and FBI case under the delimitation agreement. ^{Views & conclusions propounded by Mexi LHO in 1964 meet to what was credited part of the 1964 leaving the matter open to further facts (see Helms file)}

2. Criticisms can be sustained against Mexico Sta and Hqs concerning handling between 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

- (1) Mexico Station erred in its forwarding of LO identification on 8 Oct (based on Voice intercept only) on 10 Oct with ~~an arbitrarily selected description of an individual~~ ^{based on a short note selected from the USSR Emb. source copy} ~~on a short note selected from the USSR Emb. source copy~~. On the evidence there was no reason to believe the person identified by voice intercept made any visit that day. Contra: there was no reason to believe he had not or could not have. ^{But ultimately the logic was weak; only individual who could have met who was in Mexico}
- (2) Hqs. disseminated the Mexico info on 8th on the 10th including the ^{above} info based on the photog of unidentified individual.
- (3) In its reply to Mexi on 11th, however, Hqs. gave Mexi a correct description of (Henry) O which was at clear variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, normally to break any evidential connection between the two facts.
- (4) Mexico Station though asked by Hqs. did not press or secure an identification or followup on LHO before the 22nd of Nov. (i.e. locate where he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: neither did

27-10-64
Hqs. can be
asked to be
pressured
for 10 days
for a period.

the legal advisor or the Mil. representatives or the Embassy (which was informed by the 16th Oct).

- (5) Though requested by Mexico Station Hqs. asked the Navy for a photo of LHO, but did not permit and secure a photo before the 22nd.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match the 1 Oct radio intercept with its radio intercept (of 27th and 28th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov event, when it did so with alacrity.
- (7) Mexico Station did not match its 1 Oct coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Soviet Embassy and on 15 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22/Nov.
- (8) Despite the discrepancies in descriptions Mex Station put the 1 Oct photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the ^(council) Ambassador and the Division Chief. In effect, being faced with a fait accompli. (Thomas notified)
- (9) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of LHO in Mexico city. The introd. of the unidentified individual in good faith by COS - was inevitable and compromised the operations in the long run, though every effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.
- (10) Two members of Cuban Int. known to have dealt with LHO: Silvia Amador and Counsel Aguilera. Both left & replaced on 7/19 Nov 1968. unnamed.

3. The thrust of Hqs. instructions after the 22nd Nov event was against the USSR regard. rather than the Cubans. Coverage very early however, turned up at least one unguessed intercept:

(a) The Russian Ambassador, conversations - affirming of the 22nd Nov which seem not to have gone to the Council in November? in this must be checked

and (b) the ^{two} Dodge-Panor through telephone calls on the — and — ,

and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahov -

4. Mexi. coverage after 22nd based on ^{length} technical coverage and close utilization of liaison. The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviets & Cubans aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetrations in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated tending to show a larger degree of contact between UFD than the ^{possibilities for} substantive details of the intercepts?
viz: [?]

(1) Case of Gilberto Alvarado Ugarte - Nica. requiera walk in 26 Nov. investigation protected through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Duran. (Can see lots in May 1967 → statements to confid. source he trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Chen Carras de los details -) S. Duran was not subjected to a thorough dictionary examination based on what we know or could surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villanueva case (in Guatemala & Guatemala). [This appears to have been rebutted.]

(4) Case of Elena Garro de Paz. See the occasional reports of State Dept Officer, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in Guadalajara were in a position to manipulate and circumvent the Edna Berman and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary points these two cases have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Garro, in attendance at Cuban Berman's party)

(5) Observations by Elis, Colette de Mars (Betty Olson) who had ^{various} accompanied Theodore Pele on his Cuban trip for FFFC org. 28 Dec - 21 Jan 1963. LHO's relationship to FFFC activity is totally unclarified and unbelievable on its face unless it can be assumed that FFFC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

she was
on return
flight w/ you

(6) Case of Oscar Contreras. to Am. Cons. Tamy's begins in May 1967. relates to LHO alleged contact with pro-Castro student groups at Univ. Mexico & his attempt to secure their support for visa concessions.

5. Betty Olson

5. Acknowledging questionable aspects of LHO's whole Mexico City initiative:

(a) He denied he had made ~~such a trip~~ and got wife to remain silent about it.

(b) He was there 4 full days, 2 partial: 11 1/2 hours in all. Assuming 1/3 time at rest, there are 77/78 hours of full time activity unaccounted for. He says on day he left early and returned

To
lets: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviets or Cubans or both, that meant beyond the transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Int. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage and that LHO's effort to defect was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determining) "donations".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said ^{protestations} that was self-compromising in his dealing with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lines of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Castroists which the Soviets nor Cubans can admit after the events of 22 Nov, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas events - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Congolese Embassy, receptors? Note that VPI version ^{is} a signif. element of the AP statement. Without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated powerfully on a homicidal individual like LHO. Therefore Castro must bear a significant historical weight for what he said ^{and} whatever the nature and degree of ^{his} knowledge of plotting against

him, his alive and JFK is dead. How can we describe
an act of assassination where it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychotic person as
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, do you now conduct
an investigation in such a way as to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a justified retort, ^{or could have been!} again,
in the absence of factual collateral that goes beyond
what we do know, namely, that LHO was the killer
of JFK and Officer Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same questions of the Sautis' observations* to
his Dutch F.O. interlocutor: what signif. coincidentally
can be attached to what he said? (Admitted the
remarks gave insights & psych. insights.)

On question of lack of supporting evidential collateral
also recognizing that these were two defector sources
who came out immediately after the assassination, one
Soviet (Nosenko) and the other Cuban (whose info
through of ranging comparative ^{product} consistency tools
both Soviets and Cuban intelligence & security services
off the hook

*NB: On 5 Jan 62 a brief source had reported CFB cell Lindsey
MORSE Bennett Zakaria as having said to the cell that
"JFK" will be within the present year, 1962 -

7. There is every reason to be unhappy with the work of Team
14 on the foreign conspiracy aspect, but it is no benefit to
to shift blame ^{now} on CIA ^{by the US Govt} for allegedly not revealing what the
anti-Castro side were doing, assuming they knew. Else for
I know the policy was to deal with the Emigres
but NOT to encourage, or to become directly involved
in their anti-Castro plotting. 3 2 not recognizing that the
bias of the Commission leadership & US Govt in 1963 was
clearly against such a theory in the absence of credible
evidence to the contrary. What was to be done: draw
the conclusion in spite of the absence of credible
evidence?

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full facts held by Agency in sworn testimony Wed. May 6, 1964 (see Vol I: 120 + 129, and by affidavit Exhibits 868 and 869). Also he announced ^{21 Feb} 1965 that in no instance was any doc. withheld from public domain if it had a direct bearing on the assassinations.
10. In general the Oswald case file shows a minimum input from Wove and no concern with the implications of statement/warning by Coster on 7 Sept 1963. [Query: what was reaction within WH & at highest level to that statement? This should be traceable in Telling file, if not Wove, Malin and Hqs.]
11. Re Malin O's test. (Vol. I): she asserts his intent was not to go to USSR but to get to Cuba & stay there, somehow get her to join her.

12. Note the persistent interest in contact & Cuba:

① Delgado testimony, ^(Vol. VIII) re Malin's Corps period in LA area of ^{filled} contact with Cuban Consulate. One visit from unid. individual & mail receipt. Early identification with Castro revolution.

NB: The presumption here is that this would have been Cuban Consulate reporting on LHO going back to 1959 which he was still in the USMC

② See also FRIE testimony, Vol IV: 224, 228, 229 who is hooked up by WADE, Vol V: 235

③ De Mohrenschildt testimony, IX: 267,

④ Carlos Brancier testimony concerning ^{of his} sequence of events beginning on 5 Aug 63 when

NB: The
re: Malin
with (Hqs) Malin

contacted him, repeated to him, offered to train
into better contacts for info, and attempted to
give him money. This happened on 9 Aug when
Bungie found LTV heading west, wearing a
sign, & wearing name of Hiddell on behalf of FPCC.
On 12th there was a municipal court action
for which LTV pleaded guilty & fined 100. On 16th
he was passing out handbills in some cases. Bungie
met a friend of LTV's house on 16th. On 21 Aug. there
was a radio debate. Br. also repeats facts to
Ed. Smith of hope learned of the American.
(See also GERACI & Blalock testimony)

NB: it is remarkable that no one apparently focused
on the ~~WATER~~ pervasion I had attempted

① What does one make of the Andrews testimony,
of the Peña testimony, Ernesto Rodriguez testi-
mony.

② What are the implications of O's alleged subplots
activities in N.O. on behalf of the FPCC? Can
one accept the idea he had no guidance or
contacts after he had begun to write to Cuban
cons. in Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
What does a chrono account of his known
act. & movement look like starting with the
filed & posted procedure dates?

See
Delgado Testimony

③ Report does not note the AP report by Hooker, on
9 Sept 1963, nor was testimony taken on this
point, though the version that appeared in
Times Picayune must have been seen by him.
It reflects main lines however: p. 412 ff.

Notes

- Soviet reactions to the issuance of the Warren Report were inquiries in surrounding countries to ascertain available opt copies. This noted by Station on 7 Oct 1964. Coverage restored & operating by June of next year but U.S. visitors had become notably fewer and rarer. This decrease U.S. visitors attributable directly to exposure of the photo.

- Elena Garro de Paz. This is a persistent account noted by COS in MORA on 12 Oct 1964. Reported by her to Emb. Political officer, Charles Thomas 10 Dec 1965; she added that in Nov 63 she was inhibited by an official in the Gobernacion from going to U.S. Embassy with her story. Story was then reported [Mapi 562 of 16 Dec 1965] 25 Dec 1965, she was reinterviewed and complimented & corrected her earlier account. [NB: Elena Garro is cousin of Horacio Duran; cousin-in-law of Silvia DURAN.] NB: Garro was interviewed by Legat on 17 Oct 64 - furnished similar info but not substantiated by inquiries. Legat reported his disengagement to COS on 27 Dec 65 & to Ambassador on 23 Feb 1965. Further news of conversation by Thomas with Elena Garro on 13 July 1966, collateral detail on her attendance 30 June 1966 Emb. Embassy functions. On 13 Oct 66 Legat confirms that Elena Paz had been registered 23 Nov 1963 at Hotel Vermont, 25-26 & 28-30, as she had claimed. 7 Feb 67. Memo of Cont. between Thomas and Elena Garro on her continuing vicissitudes with Cuban 28 Aug 1967. State Dept transmitted package of Garro de Paz materials as compiled by Charles William Thomas.

Examples of Repeated & Continuous Green wires
Loss of labor involvement at heavy or operational
level - continued:

① Fundacion Misdegnat's bulletins.

② Joe I LASAGI, paper, sent to White
House: "Proch. psych. Motivation in the
cases of Mrs. Kennedy"

③ American Sec. Council Int. Staff: "background
for assassination" - analysis of Moscow
movement.

④ Ralph de Toledo, Hidden Side of the Oswald
Case.

⑤ Cash Joe Benizera reported by FBI to be
writing a book on linking LHM with FC.
(DBA - 70147)

⑥ Detailed analysis by BILLION - Imp. by Allen & Scott.
of LHM, activity in Soviet Union under KGB control

⑦ Ex-hunt. Henry J. Taylor did a series in Nov 67
of articles on Oswald as a Sp. agent.

Notes

- Beginning with 25 Nov. Mexi had a succession of exceedingly fine cross-examination and voir dire was related to alleged Cuban participation:

25/11-05/12:
Jm - 7:45 in
morning

- Ⓐ Havana to investigation (was this for real?)
 - Ⓑ ^{Villanueva} ~~Barro~~ ^{Coat} ~~Barro~~
 - Ⓒ ~~Barro~~ ^{allegations}
 - Ⓓ Oscar CONTRERAS (1967)
- (is it conceivable that Cubans would handle contact in his presence, without any SPARKS?)
 Ⓔ Robert Edward Ballout - Santa Clara City, Mexico
 CNB: This was not FBI, was a newspaper for [?]

- Soc. reactions was mixed. in Mexi. The link for business and stayed off the phone. There were calls in by jaguar and by Bob [?]. The former contained speculative suggestive language of personal level. In general all talk of [?]

✓ - The Cubans reaction was of suggestive interest only in the case of Luisa Calderon.

- There was no specific ref. in records to Mexi or anywhere else that related the detail under investigation or being "ported" to Cuban retaining after alleged participation or knowledge of anti-Castro assassination or direct action in the preceding summer or fall. The answer seems obvious - the one and lone perpetrator could not be coincidentally linked with either the Cubans or the Soviets. Additionally: Was not their a matter of direct concern to the FBI (see their DBA-77161)?

Subbia

- The interviews with Duran were not structured against the ^{hypothetical} schedule of LHO contacts. His account is therefore inept and poor in (detail/chronology).

- Total inadequacy of reporting and coverage from Miami Station for the period after 22 Nov.

- Note Mexico station reported various this travel movement info in Nov - Jan. pertaining to name "Donald" which were blind leads - but which had to be reported.

First phase

USSR TCall #1? NO: possible that LHO made call at 1037Z success. for VISA for Cuba. Call was in Spanish & went to proper consular number.

LHO recap re Mexico stay:

27 Sept 1963: arrives c. 10:00. Went at least

twice to Cuban Cons: and talked with Silvia Ursua Cuba #1 visit (presumed) at least once to Soviet Cons., in between his

two Cuban Cons: visits. Second trip to Cuban Cons: USSR #1 visit (presumed) was c. 1600 (just before closing). After two

Cuba #2 + telegraph visit (with calls) were sometime before this stage and his arrival & check in time.

We do not know who he talked to at Sov. Consulate,

through Silvia Ursua talked with at least 2 different sources about

28 Sept 1963 (Saturday): cons. for.

813-5571
Pan Am

Cuba's Communist was down

~~USSR #1 visit (presumed) was c. 1600 (just before closing). After two Cuba #2 + telegraph visit (with calls) were sometime before this stage and his arrival & check in time.~~

USSR #2 (61) ~~visit (presumed) was c. 1600 (just before closing). After two Cuba #2 + telegraph visit (with calls) were sometime before this stage and his arrival & check in time.~~ LHO went first thing Sat. morning to Sov. Cons., spoken to a Consul, but had forgotten his address.

~~USSR #2 (61) visit (presumed) was c. 1600 (just before closing). After two Cuba #2 + telegraph visit (with calls) were sometime before this stage and his arrival & check in time.~~

Cuba #3 LHO goes c. 11:51 to Cuban Cons., gets his address visit + from Silvia Ursua [!] and has her call telegraph to USSR Consulate. Soviet says why not come back with it?

USSR #3 LHO presumably made second visit (presumed) USSR Cons. with his address. (Apparently he was told Soviets would send a telegram to Washington)

29 Sept 1963 (Sunday) No activity registered

30 Sept 1963 (Monday) No Activity registered
LHO made reservation for space on Mex City - Havana bus, leaving 28th

1 Oct 1963 (Tuesday). LHO made reservation for Grayhound from Havana to Dallas

USSR TCall #1 (tel. call) LHO at 1031 calls re this visit on 28th talk was mostly personal work. Telegram anything new? Given new number to call.

USSR TCall #2 LHO at 1045 calls NIKOLAY KOSTIKOV to whom identifies self by name for first time. Report makes a third call. Given name KOSTIKOV

anything new? Nothing, not but they have been sent. Observed. Long ago.

Question: from above it is clear that LHO contact was on 1 Oct limited to telephones. Why did Win assume he'd find anything corroborative on his film coverage? There is no suggestion in these conversations that LHO had any reason or intent to enter the installation.

NB: I identify with called on 27th & 28th Sept not made until Mexi had taken from Hqs, on its out 1 Oct reporting details of 1 Oct flight. (an that was a composition made with copy of earlier photo. This making July 27th & 28th on 10 Oct but not in the development of 27th & 28th)

2 October 1963 (Wednesday) No activity

Registered. [LHO. departs. c. 0830 for Laredo - Dallas.]

3 October 1963 (Thursday). At 1539 there

was a call to Sov MA line (Some one used by LHO one USSR Call #1) by individual speaking broken Spanish and then English. Wants a visa for USSR. Directed to call 15-60-55. This is probably not a LHO call:

- ① LHO left Mex City 0830, 2 Oct
- ② Substrate inconsistent with status
- ③ Broken Spanish not his boy.

Only the 1 Oct info had been disclosed & this omitted (prior to ENIT).
Summary:

- ① All coverage by CIA during 27 Sept - 2 Oct period was technical, no line source collection
- ② "LHO" identified self by name only on 1 Oct.
- ③ D. made at least three entries to Cuban Embassy, 2 on 27 Sept and 1 on 28 Sept. He made at least 3 entries to the Soviet Embassy, 1 on 27 Sept and 2 on Sat. Sept 28th. He made at least 2 telephone calls to Soviet Consulate on 1 October, very evidence of entry.
- ④ There was no photo coverage of D at any time. He was missed on 27th. Coverage was off on 28th & 29th (Saturday and Sunday) which were non working days. LHO did not enter any of the installation on 30th.

⑤ Delay of this info was...
⑥...
⑦...
⑧...
⑨...
⑩...

Notes

O file - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under the subject: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Reported to USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roach 19 Feb 1964 "Information developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Henry Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963," para 4, sub 3, we stated:

"^{P. 2}
3. From all the evidence on hand we deduce that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963 and again sometime on 28 September 1963. There is no evidence that he visited anyone other than once on the days mentioned, but we cannot exclude these possibilities. The wording in para seven of our report, on page four and five, is based on the statement of Silvia Hanson and means that Oswald was at the Consulate at least once after his initial visit, and this later visit was on the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about Oswald's main problem. This may well have been 29 Sept., but we cannot be certain of the conclusion."

Wave Miami reported by its 8045 of 22 Nov 2242 Z, that AMHINT 53 reported AMSPOLL delegate had radio date sometime in Aug. 63 on N.O. station WDSV. Summoning AMSPOLL files; which to receive from release 1300 on 22 NOV.

HMMNT - 22533 of 22 Nov 1963 forwarding photos. ⁱⁿ current file was envelope containing only one photo of Oswald on 4 Oct. No relation or memo ^{of Oswald} ⁱⁿ ^{envelope}.

Valerij Vlad. KOSTIKOV is identified KGB operationally believing
to be a 13th Dept operative, being known to have worked
in matter of missing hostages. Whysters of open installation
or potentially non-detection matters known to be with the
times an accepted doctrinal point at least among GLL.
NB: we passed to FBI unind. (23 Nov) detail on Kostikov and
3 finished papers bearing on topic - of these two were
concerned with Sov. use of kid. & drags. Exh. list. (Aug 60)
& the other, Sov. Stat. Exh. list. (Oct 61)


Dir 84915 of 23 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only
the gist of supplementary info reported by Mexi re "North
American on 23rd aft. full file review

Report from Santiago Club 23 Nov re Cuban kid. reactions
indicated unind. reactions that accus. was "you know a
CIA", Extreme right & segregationist to blame. This
was charge Pedro Martinez PIER. Several times he denied
that assassin was involved in FPCC identifying that this
person only suspect of extreme rightist & segregationist
is to blame. (This Santiago 6153 IN 6714),
23 Nov 63)

NB: There was no listening recorder on Sov. Emb. on 4 Oct.
(see Mexi 7023 - IN 67108). This cable relates the North Amer.
of the 28th calls to Oswald identified in each of 1 Oct, but
indicated no voice comparison could be done "because
first time would prior receipt of accused call"

It is clear from context that FBI rep sent spec. to
Dallas afternoon 22nd carried 2 sets of six
photos each referring to Unind. kid. on 1 & 4
Oct. One set of each of these six, plus one each of
the photos taken 15th at Cuban Emb. were punched

Maxi provided full rundown of all probable calls beginning
the 27th in Adena & including the 3 October call by the 7033
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67232)



23/4

According to CIA 23 April 1975, the camera was down on '27 Sept for some mechanical malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw worked personally in review of subsequent photo strips. Was certain that malfunctions were explicable or undistorted. Not a matter of human malfunctions (loading & unloading) either. Would attempt to bring elements of recall & consult Shaw on this point. We would get in touch.

Query: queries above, why did we not get 0 at the Custom installation on 27th 28th ?

- Cuban Courier - processed by the police. IR intercept worked out to history
- AT news gets - processed by Cuban. He also was operating, processed by a Cuban. He also was doing other things. He did radio dispatching

films collected about twice a week - collected
 processed & strip printed. Turn around time
 say for pickup on a Monday would be delivery
 on Friday
 from Miles from

Tom Keener
Deputy
Cordoba

3 photos have been taken
in front of bus back
at Soviet, high conductors

Agree that Mexico City station, ^{within its} ~~was in~~

~~position to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done
that

all it could, ~~take~~ in compliance with

Headquarters instructions: there were no

further contacts by Oswald to report business
it was ultimately occurred,

he had left Mexico City, ~~on 2 October~~

and ^{message with} ~~some~~ of photographs ~~it~~ it would
taken very special efforts,

have ~~been~~ ~~made~~ to make a positive

identification ~~of~~ in view of Oswald's hotel

registration under alias.

Talk with Michael Jensen

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36017, 9 Oct, 00042 film)
Action to WOB.

2. Div 74676 to State, FBI & New, 20.12.2

3. Div 74830 to Mexi, ref. Mex 6453
22092.

4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1963 In 40357
17302. (ref. for photo)

Who is:

No Report in OA

Edmond S. Butler - Ex. Director of the
Information Council of the Americas, New Orleans.
His was testimony not taken from this man -

Get (Govs) passed info by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Assoc. West report for
Mr. Selin & forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call Dunc on non-family of Cuban
side ex

✓ Call Eddie & tell him about 12th

✓ Get distribution copy of Alstern & Wt -

Check see if there is a 201 on V.I. PETROV who
was allegedly reported 11 Nov 1959 as KGB chief
Bilgyslav in SSK. The MVD man at this time was
A.N. AKSENOV.

Discussion Summary with P. Antonov

Discussions with H. Phillips 28 April - it was
Cuban camera this was done, he does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
to explain further of Gov. Emb. Council &
photo exp. CTR.

- Get Vols I & II of the 201

- Get pulled back the R&T Chron for end
1963 & first 18 months 64.

- We must fill in the sequence of discussion with
the Commission staff re the photo -

- Are there any people - letters or newspaper
pieces relating to relations with the
Commission?

28/14

Mike Farmer - servicing of Sov Emb. Console which
was automatic & clock operated - Cuban
device was down (Allen White) - but was
normally operated.