This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

. JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA

RECORD NUMBER : 104-10331-10261

RECORD SERIES : JFK

AGENCY FILE NUMBER : PROJFILES-CORRESPONDENCE

Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC

2107 Note). Case#:NW 53217

Date: 06-22-2017

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

AGENCY ORIGINATOR : CIA

FROM: BRECKINRIDGE, SCOTT. LEXINGTON KY

TO : BRIGGS. VIENNA VA

TITLE : LETTER: CHURCH COMMITTEE DOCUMENTS

DATE : 06/02/1999

PAGES: 2

SUBJECTS : CHURCH COMMITTEE

JFK ASSASSINATION

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER

CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED

RESTRICTIONS : 1A

CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED IN PART PUBLIC - RELEASED WITH DELETIONS

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 04/30/03

COMMENTS: JFK-M-16: F10: 2000.02.10.10:21:10:827044

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED 104-10331-10261

THE OAKS - #13
395 REDDING ROAD
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40517
2 June 1999

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR RELEASE OF CIA INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT

Hon. Charles A Briggs 1752 Brookside Lane Vienna, Virginia 22182

Dear Chuck,

Your welcome phone call stirred a lot of dead (or almost dead) embers of memory. First, as I reflect on it, there was no complete record of all the documents that the Church Committee saw. I think that there would be records in transmittal letters of what was sent (in whatever records are left from Seymour Bolten's staff -- over which I held a temporary role while he was recovering from his heaert atack).

My recollection (which can be in error) was that the different Church staffers who came out to the agency did their own thing their own way. When they wanted some document it was always sanitized and they carried it away. I can, on reflection, can think of instances when they saw ppaper in which I was involved.

Senator Baker (an attractive, talented and highly regarded legislator) did a hatchet job on CIA when he was Nixon's personal representative on Senator Ervin's Watergate Committee. He brought up from Tennessee a man who eventually became his successor, who did it. They published a "report" in early July 1974, trying as best they could raise all manner of likelihoods that CIA was involved in the Watergate break-in. The CIA IG conducted an extensive inquiry into all manner of rumors and questions that had been raised. Colby did a first run, replying to the so-called "Baker Report." As I fretted about it, Seymour Bolten and I did a later 70± page comment on Baker's report. Later, when the Church Committee came on the scene, Baker's personal staff man, Mike (last name lost to this old man's aging memory) came to the IG's office. I said I wouldn't give him access to our Watergate file (I recall as some two safes full) until he had read the Bolten-Breckinridge critique of the so-called Baker report. In that case they saw our paper as well as the IG "Watergate Files" and an unfinished paper being done by John Richards when he underwent surgery, from which he didn't recover. I tell some of that story in my "CIA and the Cold War" pages 170-172, 182-184, 225-226.

Anyway, the Church staffers saw the paper Seymour and I did, as well as the IG file, and the uncompleted draft done by John Richards. I don't know how one can retrieve those records, much less that they were seen by the Church people.

Anne Karalekas, an impressive young lady, was the professional historian on the Church staff, and I recall that she got into CIA's history shop. She saw just about everything they had produced, eventually doing a book on CIA that I recall was well received. Without looking now I think some of her work will appear in the Church report. But she saw a lot of that material.

You will recall the dramatic exaggerations about MHCHAOS, which some Church people wanted to be seen as proof that CIA conducted massive surveillance inside the U.S. In fact. CHAOS was a foreign CI program, which is discussed in my "CIA and the Cold War," pages 164-166, 202-206. Anyway, the Church people saw the "Eyes Only" IG report on CHAOS, where ever it may reside (probably at least among the papers on the EUR inspection in 1972).

I suspect that rather than a formal record center the Church people, for the most part asked to take papers that seemed relevant to whatever issue they pursued as of a moment, and carried it away with them (or had it sent to them — hence the above mention of transmittal letters). You mentioned seeing Church staffers' notes; would any such papers accompany them?

That's the best 2nd thoughts can produce after all these years. If more occurs to me I'll try to summarize it if it seems worth while.

'Twas good to talk with you. Good luck

Best wishes,

Another 2nd Mought. I did have 2 special.

File room established for all IG paper
coming of at the Church attain My
enoight was it might some a later
proposed by no view was all that
proposed by no view mistes people!

but if it can be found it
may show semething that view
seen by them.