The state of the s

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

06-77-6286 1371-72

11 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SE Division

ATTENTION : Division Security Officer

FROM : (Thomas L. Briggs)

· SE/X/LA

SUBJECT : Appearance As Witness In Behalf of the

United States Government

REFERENCE: USA V. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al. (76-371-CR-CA)

- 1. During the period of my employment as a Special Agent at the Drug Enforcement Administration (M.) 1871 Jan 1977), I conducted an interview of Gerald Patrick Hemming (DPOB 1 March 1937, at Los Angeles, California) on 23 May 1975 at DEA Headquarters in Washington, D.C. My report concerning that interview has become part of the case file in USA v. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al., Case Number 76-371-CR-CA, Southern District of Florida, Miami, Florida.
- 2. Upon my entrance on duty in SE Division on 5 January 1977, I orally informed the Division Security Officer, Billy Hix, about the information in paragraph 1 above. Mr. Hix asked me to submit the information in writing as soon as I was settled in the Division. On 7 January 1977, however, Special Agent Robert Fredericks telephonically contacted DC/SE/X/LA, and told her that the United States Attornery, Southern District of Florida, had requested that I appear at the U.S. Courthouse, Miami, Florida on 10 January 1977 to confer with the Assistant United States Attorney, Karen L. Atkinson, regarding my appearance as a witness in behalf of the U.S. Government in the trial of Gerald P. Hemming.
- 3. During the afternoon of 7 January 1977 I conferred with Mr. Hix who advised me to contact the Office of the General Counsel. I talked to Mr. Edmund Cohen who advised me to get in touch with the Office of Security, External Activities Branch (OS/EAB). I completed an Outside Activity Approval Request (Form 879), obtained the concurrence of DC/SE, Mr. John Stein, and submitted the Form 879 to OS/EAB. OS/EAB sent me to Central Cover Staff (Mr. Blandford) and then to the Office of the General Counsel. After discussions with Mr. Cohen, I called

S/A Fredericks in Miami at Mr. Cohen's suggestion. S/A Fredericks was not available. Mr. Cohen then advised me to return to my office and he would contact me with further instructions. Mr. Cohen retained the Form 879. Subsequently, Mr. Cohen advised me that I should travel to Miami as requested and that CIA would reimburse me for expenses connected with my activities in behalf of the U.S. Government. He further advised me that being in an overt cover status I should state, if asked under oath, that I am currently a CIA employee.

4. On 10 January 1977 I traveled to Miami where I met and conferred with AUSA Karen Atkinson and DEA S/A Robert Fredericks. They informed me that Gerald P. Hemming's case had been severed and his trial would not begin on 11 January. AUSA Atkinson told me she wanted me as a rebuttal witness if Gerald P. Hemming presented the defense that he had been working at the direction of DEA. She said they would not call me until and unless that defense was presented. She also said that she felt that any attempt to question me concerning my current place of employment was irrelevent and she would object to any such line of questioning. She said she would only ask me where I was employed at the time I interviewed Hemming. I returned to Washington D.C. the same day.

03 (Thomas L. Briggs)

Distribution:

1 - CCS

1 - OS

1 - OGC

SUFF

16 January 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM:

14-00000

Edmund Cohen

Assistant General Counsel

SUBJECT:

U.S. v. Hemming

1. On 22 November 1977 the undersigned accompanied by Messrs. Thomas Briggs Gary Mattocks and Robert Barteaux, travelled to Miami to confer with Judge Hoeveler, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Thomas M. Sherouse, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida, about subject case. Messrs. Briggs and Mattocks had dealt with Mr. Hemming while they were employed by the Drug Enforcement Administration and Mr. Sherouse wanted them available as rebuttal witnesses. Mr. Barteaux also was sought as a possible rebuttal witness to describe the Agency's relationship with Mr. Hemming in the event that Hemming raised a CIA defense.

- 2. On 23 November Mr. Briggs, Mr. Barteaux and the undersigned met in chambers with Judge Hoeveler, Mr. Sherouse, Mr. Roger Howard, law clerk to Judge Hoeveler, and Mr. Ted Sakowitz, Public Defender for the Southern District of Florida. Mr. Sherouse decided that Mr. Mattocks would not be required to testify and he did not attend the meeting. The Judge initially expressed some reluctance to have an exparte conference because Mr. Hemming had assumed primary responsibility for his own defense with only limited assistance from the Public Defender. The Judge insisted that a transcript of the meeting be made but he promised that the transcript would be sealed and, in fact, would not be transcribed.
- 3. The undersigned indicated that the Agency had no interest in the outcome of the case and interposed no objection to the introduction of any relevant information. Our sole concern was to protect Mr. Briggs and his relationship with CIA both before and after his DEA employment. The Judge was informed that Mr. Briggs sole connection with Hemming was on 23 May 1975 and that he had no contact with Hemming during any period of Agency employment. The national security consequences of exposure of Mr. Briggs as well as the career consequences were outlined. In addition, the Judge was informed that Mr. Barteaux was prepared to testify as an overt Agency employee about any relationship between Hemming and CIA.

SECRET

E. 2. IMPDET CL BY 035717

- 4. Judge Hoeveler asked Mr. Sakowitz to cross-examine Mr. Briggs to determine whether he had any information which might be relevant to Hemming's defense. Mr. Sakowitz stated that although he was aware that Mr. Hemming wished to call Mr. Briggs as a witness, he was not fully informed of all aspects of the case and, therefore, reluctant to question Mr. Briggs Thereupon, Judge Hoeveler, swore in Mr. Briggs and proceeded to ask him a series of questions to determine whether Mr. Briggs interaction with Mr. Hemming had been in any way connected with his CIA employment. At the conclusion of this examination the Judge gave Mr. Sakowitz a second opportunity to question Mr. Briggs and when Mr. Sakowitz again declined, he stated that in light of the national security consequences which had been brought to his attention he was not inclined to permit questions relating to Mr. Briggs CIA employment.
- 5. At the conclusion of the conference in chambers, but before the jury was admitted into the courtroom, Mr. Sherouse informed Mr. Hemming that Mr. Briggs) was available as a witness. When the trial proceeded Mr. Hemming concluded his defense without calling Mr. Briggs). Mr. Sherouse, however, did call Mr. of Briggs as a rebuttal witness. On cross-examination Mr. Hemming asked Mr. Briggs whether he was with CIA. Mr. Sherouse promptly objected to the question, the Judge sustained the objection and Mr. Hemming went on to the next question. The trial concluded soon afterwards without Mr. Barteaux being called as a witness. It was subsequently learned that Mr. Hemming was found guilty as charged.

Edmund Cohen

EC: jz

14-00000

Distribution:

Original / LITIGATION-CRIMINAL, Hemming Gerald P.

1 - EC Signer

1 - Chrono

CLASSIFY AS APPROPRIATE

OUTSIDE ACTIVITY APPROVAL REQUEST						
COMPLETE AND FORWARD ORIGINAL AND 2 COPIES TO EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRANCH						
TO :	DIRECTOR OF SECURITY: ATTN:	DIRECTOR OF SECURITY; ATTN: EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRANCH				
ļ	(Staff, division or office head and so			ไ เรื่อ	r. 77	
THRU:	(brain, brains) of office head and of	courty officer, w.				
	SE Division Security Officer					
FROM:	NAME AND GRADE OF EMPLOYEE (Print or type)	COMPONENT	ROOM NO. AN	ROOM NO. AND BLDG. PHON		
FROM.	Cales, thomas). 12/3	* * /	180 582	9	3.53	
concert the the for the forethe for the forethe for the forethe for the forethe foreth	DESCRIPTION OF OUTSIDE ACTIVITY FOR WHICH RNED. DATES. LOCALE. ETC. (Refer to HR 10 to 7 January 1977 of approar. In Section to be been to be phonically levide (CCC 305-51-520), levy to fine to appearance as a sixual computation appearance as a sixual consulted still the de civerion in At approar. In SO lites with the CCC in the	CTIVITY, I WILL NOT)	ne) n r. Transpricks no ield dirici Tele nosy 10 damesry di spairen bereid dec a lo davined m decide to via odv acci. L MAKE NO REFEREN BE IDENTIFIED AS	relses for it in wants me in crider for continue to co	o)errn to travel to prepare .ect cla	
ur (3	was a CIA employed from Jan 69 noor cover at them time. The Files. Was 77).	e rut governoer		singe gy	ner	
DAT	CONCUR: FOR COMPLETION BY EXTERNAL ACT	DATE IVITIES BRANCH AND	SIGNATURE OF C RETURN OF ORIGINAL TO		FICIAL	

(FOR) CHIEF, SECURITY SUPPORT DIVISION

CLASSIFY AS APPR

Mo Sovery - discuss Therese all re Q Doc re DCD androts you drug - Let de Bob Borteaux to be ready to today. hop Brossery section. MFR on a Doc Spoke uf Tom Sherouse 305 350 5449 Trul 250ct. 250ct - but call will call the on 210cd to see where y tand 10/25 Spoke W/ Shewer Hemma care is #8 on Judger Calade in a coeft! earlied is late Next who as earlied Henry - will be own lawyer Balan, By, Madox of he rebulled care Hubs For late AM roof to call on 16th of check. 11/15 Meet of Mo 5. Bryp Maddox, Barteaux reven Showed statent, ok or why left apy or why returned, who doing. Sales weed of Me & Bartenno- get 800 (Mel) view re import of public exposure or (Arygo) or Modelon or briefor review of Bartenn all recent on Herry. reserve for Iru EA 195 Not 18 and 9 to 3 annu 1120 11/17 - Called M - for more

3/P/

Lon Briggs r M9 Rockville Md 20850 Jan 69 - May 74 C/A - Arry & Ar ame - Army

b. + May 74 - Dan 77 DEA

"orangen ops" clentition 3. S. Jan 77 - No one State Even 2 only control of Herry -23 May 75 3. Deng to DC to see Chemi up so onen told see Tom -Y Now Sor target resemble name warme from 50 - regyred - law enforcements

Level can't we entail, remember

3/12/

US vs Hemming Coev	ald P. Hemming) S. Do se No 76-71-Cr-CA	(f/a.
P.O. Bldg + Ct Home 30	OONE. First Ave Miami 5	Flonda
	Ast US Attriney (305) O Ainsley Bldg.	
	Name of the state	
		•
•		
	,	
		· .

<u>~:</u>

1819

521 Florida Ave apt 104

Herndon Va 22000

Bany Maddox - 4378958

19nd

- DEA Rights - 26 Ed 77 (comm one)

5-1 who then CID 27. Feb 77 - gen 5-6 why Han State Cover nor lugar por cator peple ducastoms 2 The later than Dec 76 could of Maddax no color befre DEA

no color befre DEA

never personal color of Moud DEA conti 3. red my hemmy met mode some se purhose I navethors
3 m Miane and, hour augul re some
a) without making shed gust s) prend re Commencelyse V vm - = Caspa gr -

- Confice a KP? ogphe fronzel -ned No problems av al for Rends de not it of he over engloy has filely clared asse 3- In Och 60 3 amy m amy 1161, 1 m 1967, 3 m 1970 Ale 23 Ay 70 Henry Can who sperly need in oc W

