	المستر أحسب	ECCUTATION OF	. 33	ort to Mit.
Office of Crisin:	W DVO			The Marian of the Control of the Con
Report Made At: Subject:	23 February 1945	Cremied Cove	med:	85/037 & REPORT
Subject: OFRHARD N Classification:	COUP AND	•	T	Status:
	YX-870 dated 13 Jan.	10:5 entitled VS	F(478()	

As requested by Numing, the following was furnised by two members of hir fround forces assources and recipical Staff.

The first source, M/Sgt. Harry Sines states that Newman's first ness is TRIMED. He was born and educated in Termany. Harry frequently mentioned the type of education he received under the Germans and also the methods of instructions. Sgt. HARS said that NETHANN was a mechanic with the AVO prior to entering the U.S. 1787. Due to his work with the AVO, NETHANN is a close friend of General CHENATT. He is a quiet fellow and very selden enters into conversation. He is bald due to typhus.

The second source, Mr. James artist?, states that an income was exiled from Jermany and has been in China for a long time. Prior to joining the AVO, he was an engineer. According to Mr. MREST", Heumann never expressed any political sentiments. He is a quiet fellow and seldon engages anyone in conversation. This source also states that he never heard Newmann mention his femily relations.

INDEVELOPED TEADS:

At Kumming: This case is considered to be closed at Resigning. The Kumming office may obtain further information from other fellow coldiers of the Air Ground Forces Resource and T chairs Staff.

walnablor:	Company St.
listribution: // // // // // // // // // // // // //	Micer in Carge
1 - 4epro 1 - pet. 202	
2 - Kunsding 1 - Yeelyang	
Must have	

CUSTODY OF THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECERDS SERVICE

1/8/76 Date of Request

Name of Depository: JOHN F. KENNEDY LIBRARY

Address: 360 TRAPELO ROAD

WALTHAM, MA 02154

Frome #: 617-223-7250

I hereby request mandatory review of classified material (see attached list) in the records/document collection

National Security Files
(record group or collection)

in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11652, Section 5 (c).

Name of Requestor: Richard D. Kahoney

Address: 4019 S. Mill Ave.

Tempe, Ariz. 85282

Phone #:

•

* * * .

14-00000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service. Weshington, DC 20409

In reply refer to:

APR 1 9 1975

E-76-124

Mr. Gene P. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Under the provisions of Section 5(C), Executive Order 11652, a researcher has requested the mindatory classification review of the enclosed document(s) from the holdings of one of the Presidential libraries. Enclosed are copies of the researcher's request and a document list. The identification of the library file which contains the document(s) is given in the document list. If there is any question concerning this request, do not hesitate to contact us.

Please review the document(s) and if they may be declassified, mark them appropriately. If a document should remain classified in the interest of national security, please provide us with the applicable exemption category from Section 5(B) of the Executive order and with a date or event when automatic declassification may be accomplished. We would appreciate return of the document copies with your response.

Cincaraly

EDWIN A. THOMPSON

Director

Records Declassification Division

Enclosures

AFR 22

SECRET



UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ENCLOSURES

Keep Freedom in Your Future With U.S. Savings Bonds

GSA DC 76-7638

26 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee

ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information

SUBJECT : E.O. 11652 -- Richard D. Mahoney (E 76-124)

REFERENCES: A. Initial Request dated 8 January 1976.

GSA Referral Letter w/att. dated

19 April 1976.

C. IPS Reply dated 26 July 1976.

D. Appeal Letter dated 15 August 1976.

1. Summary of Recommendations: Sustain the initial determination to deny the document in toto under provision 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652, as amended. Add provision 5(B)(3).

2. Background:

a. On 8 January 1976, Mr. Richard D. Mahoney requested a mandatory review of two classified CIA documents under provisions of Executive Order 11652 Section 5(C). The documents were in custody of the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in the John F. Kennedy Library.

b. On 19 April 1976, the NARS referred Mr.
Mahoney's request with copies of the documents to
CIA. The Agency was asked to review the documents.
If declassified, they were to be marked appropriately.

E2 IMPDET CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL When Detached From Attachments

SECRET

If they remained classified, the Agency was requested to cite the applicable exemption category from Section S(R) of the E.C. and a date when automatic declassification may be accomplished. They requested that the copies of the documents be returned with the Agency's response.

- c. On 26 July 1976, IPS wrote to the NARS and returned copies of the documents. Both documents were found to require continued classification under E.O. 11652 Section 5(B). However, a sanitized version of Item *1 was found possible and was enclosed. NARS could advise requester of his right to appeal directly to CIA.
- d. On 15 August 1976, Mr. Mahoney wrote to the CIA and appealed the decision to withhold from declassification Item #2 of his request.

3. Recommendations:

- a. Tab B-2 contains TDCSDB 3/657,851 dated 12 November 1963. During the initial review, the document was denied in toto to requester under provision 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652, as amended. The document is based on ACL-1832 dated 10 November 1963. I recommend that the initial determination to deny the document to the requester in toto under provision 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652, as amended, be sustained and that provision 5(B)(3) of E.O. 11652 now be applied. The information is from a sensitive source with unique access to a political leader. If released, the information could cause embarrassment to the United States Government and exacerbate relations with a friendly foreign government.
- b. During the DDO appeals review, a classification review was conducted. The document is properly classified and properly marked in accordance with the provisions of E.O. 11652, as amended. Due to the sensitivity of the subject matter, a meaningful sanitization cannot be made.

CONFIDENTIAL When Detailed From Attachments

SECRET

4. OGC has not yet concurred in these recommendations.

Louis J. Dube DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments:
Tab A = References A-D
Tab B = TDCSDB 3/657,851

C/AF/PLANS Sund Though COORDINATION:

CONFIDENTIAL When Detached From Attachments

SECRET

Acc 23 (0: 11/76

1966 1 15. 2.76

Appeal = 76-124

Information Review Consistes c/o Jena F. Wilson Information and Privacy Septimator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, p.c. 20305

Door Sir:

Under the provisions of Greative Order 17633, I would like so appeal your desirion to attitud! Crass desiresting that localent 2 of NIK-76-763.

Since I do not have any idea what in benish at in the IDCD document. I can only address agreeff to the general position that the chemie of righted to Doctoral and the religioust of its African territories may well relate the sensitivity of this document. Could the Convert be released in saultized form?

Thank you for your spiralderation. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sin erely.

Richard Doyle Mahonay Jose F. Kennedy Litrary 301 impelo Rosd Velsham, Wart. Oligh

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service Washington, DC 20108



13AY 4 0 17/8

In reply refer to: NLK-76-321

Mr. Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator Contral Intelligence Agency Washington, DC -2050\$

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Under the provisions of Section 5(C), Executive Order 11652, a researcher has requested the mandatory classification review of the enclosed document(s) from the holdings of one of the Presidential libraries. Enclosed are copies of the researcher's request and a document list. The identification of the library file which contains the document(s) is given in the document list. If there is any question concerning this request, do not hesitate to contact us.

Please covier the document(a) and if they may be declassified, mark them . appropriately. If a document should result classified in the interest of national security, please provide as with the applicable exemption category from Section 5(8) of the Executive order and with a date or event when, automatic declassification may be accomplished. We would appreciate return of the document copies with your response.

Singerely,

EDIVIN A. THOUPSON

Director

Records Declassification Division

Enclosures

POR MANDATORY REVIEW OF CLASSIFIED HATERFALL AND OF OF THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE

1/8/76 Date of Request.

Address: 330 TRAPELO ROAD

WARTHAM, MA 02134

Thome #: 617-223-7250

I hereby request mandatory review of classified saterial (see attached list) in the records/document collections of Executive Order 11652, Section 5 (c).

Mame of Requestor: Richard Doyle Eshoney

Address: 4019 S. Hill Avo.

Tempe, Arizona 85282

Phone #:

.

Superary

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

Unmarked (but possibly classified)

Total Classified

Unclassified Attachments
(for information only)

Class

				្តាសន្ន
ate	Description (identification, subject)	Сонов		fica-
1/13/6 3	SC No. 00609/63B: "Significance of FEG-African Association Agreement"	7	. •	ន
/10/ 43	TPUS 09-3/656,740: "Subject: Generals of French Officials on French Activities in Black Africa"	6		S
)/?3/6 3	OCT No. 2375/63: "Subject: African Reportions to US Positions in Security Council Debates on Portuguese Territories and South Africa"	5		C

for archivist: Documents from NSF:00:Africa, 8/63-11/63, Box 3

NUMBORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee

ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Advistant for information

SUBJECT : Executive Order 11652 Appeal -- Richard Doyle Mahoney (E 76-150)

REFERENCE: A. Initial Request from NARS dated 10 May 1976 with attachments.

B. 1PS Reply to NARS dated 24 June 1976.

C. Appeal Letter, 23 September 1976.

1. Summary of Recommoniations: Sustain the initial determination to deny in tolo TDCSbb-3/650,740, an intelligence report originated by Paris Station dated 19 September 1808 under exemption 5(B)(2) of Executive Order (E.O.) 11682, and add the claim of 5(B)(3) of E.O. 11652.

2. Background:

a. Richard Doyle Mahoney, a member of the public who is identified as a researcher, initiated on 8 January 1976 through the John F. Kenn dy Library a mandatory review of TDCSDB-5/056,740 he accordance with the provisions of E.O. 11652, Section 5(C). The request for review was forwarded to 198 via the National Archives and Records Service (MARS) on 10 May 1976.

b. IPS responded to MARS on 24 June 1976. Among other things, CIA denied in toto TOCSDB-3/656,740, which was Item 9a on the NARS request, ou the ground of Section 5(B)(2) of E.O. 14652. The

H2 [MPDET] CL BY 012170

CALLANDA AND

SECRET

reply stated that the document had to remain classified indefinitely in order to protect intelligence sources and methods, and stated that release of segregable portions was not feasible. This was based upon a classification review by a knowledgeable officer with experience in French-African affairs, dated 24 May 1976.

c. Mr. Richard Doyle Mahoney appealed this determination directly to IPS in a letter dated 22 September 1976. He specifically asked if it would not be possible to release a sanitized version of the document without jeopardizing national security.

3. Recommendations:

a. See the Appeals Review Forms for specific detailed recommendations.

b. A second classification review was requested by DDO/ISS/PICG. The second review was conducted on TDCSDB-3/656,740 on 22 December 1976 by Europe Division, which decided to retain the classification at Secret in accordance with E.O. 11652. Europe Division determined after review that there were foreign policy and liaison sensitivities which precluded downgrading TDCSDB-3/656,740, or sterilizing the document and releasing portions thereof, at this time.

CONFIDENTIAL When Data hed From Attachments

-z-Securi

Apprope Division determined that it was albo necessary to protect someon and methods: The source is currently active, and access to information in the document about the French security service was sufficiently limited that current disclosure of that information would be in fact be filely to point the finger of suspicion at a relatively small group of percential sources, one of whom is source. Failure to protect this source would in turn joopardize CFA relations with corrent and luture sources.

d. The undersigned appeals and litigation Officer agrees with this position.

4. Mr. Lavery of OGC has not yet concurred in these recommendations.

> Much F. Wood, for Mack H. Wood, Jr. BuO Appends Officer

Actachments:

Tab A = References A-C

Tab B = Full Text of TDCSDB-3/656,740

COORDINATION:

DATE

X EUR/EXO William W. Philps

E-76-150 NLK 76-321

Soptamber 22, 1975

LOS/1198AL E 76-150

Information Roylew Committee c/o Come E. Wilson Information and Petvacy Coordinator Contral Intelligence dg may Machington, D.C. 20505

Dar Information Roylas Completas:

What's the providious of Executive Order 11552, I would like to appeal the CIA's decision to except document on any of its portions thereof from being declarations. Net -74-311.

As the Agency has done with some many of my declaratification requests, hould it not be possible to release a satisfical version of the document without Jospardiaing national security?

I look forward to receiving your reply and thank you for your consideration of this and provious appeals.

Sincoroly,

Richard Poylo Mahonay John F. Konnedy Library 330 Trapolo Road

TUBIES

330 Trapolo Road Valthan, Misso 02154

J. 29 8258

El January 78

14 East 61

-0901

COPYIDESSAL

SP LOGIS OFFIDE TELEMENO

ROM SUPERIO (SURDAN)

WHAT CHEET HE WHE DES AD CORS CHAP, D/P, HIS GYTHE OF CUTHINI AD MAN PHANKS DESCRIBED. MOS TO THES COVIDED EAR AND THROUGHNEST OR THE SERVICE PULLWERS

T P 31

****...

LAITERGITEOS

At	tai 8 uppor	•			
Chied					
rma					
		<u>, to to kilo kilo bila bila bila bila bila bila bila bila</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	. • . • . • . • . • . • . • . • . • . •				
According to		2 6 2 3 1			
	ist -s-	25331	Δ	25331	u
1		a St. Louis offici	- CANA - CANA		
		contactyes this offi	ce, caked us	for any	
formation we	Mig: bave	corration se wilght p	ave concerni	i ili reputati	OD.
na fidoe, ot	c. This cal	il was stimulated by	en inquiry i	rom	522
					5-2
ations to t		apparently been ask	ed to countrie	which in turn	
tributes fu	de in	for unknown purpo	508.	attempted to	
				apic	
bed been to	ld was in Be	office about t	he mutter. Agency fundi	ng opointion w	
3. Bickel our file. It is a	inco this ex les impoliat	office about t	Agency fundince on the mue a DD/P oper	ng operation w	• •
3. Bickel our file. It is a	inco this ex les impoliat	office about the crise resembles such for corresponde that this may be	Agency fundince on the mue a DD/P oper	ng operation w	• •
3. Bicket our file. It is a	inco this ex les impoliat	office about the crise resembles such for corresponde that this may be	Agency fundince on the mue a DD/P oper	ng operation w	• •
3. Bickel our file. It is a	inco this ex les impoliat	office about the crise resembles such for corresponde that this may be	Agency fundince on the mue a DD/P oper	ng operation w	• •
3. Bickel our file. It is a	inco this ex les impoliat	office about the crise resembles such for corresponde that this may be considered to the constant of the const	Agency fundince on the mue a DD/P oper	ng operation w	• •
3. Bicket our file. It is a	inco this ex les impoliation conceiva th our hands	office about the crise resembles such for corresponde that this may be	Agency fundince on the mue a DD/P oper	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	• •
3. Bicket our file. It is all pans through	inco this ex les impoliat	office about the cream respondence of the correspondence of the co	Agency fundince on the mie a DU/P oper the matter?	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	• •
3. Bicket our file. It is all pass through	inco this ex les impoliation conceiva th our hands	office about the reference resembles surely for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the	Agency fundince on the Fue a DD/P oper the matter?	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	• •
3. Bicket our file. It is all pass through	inco this ex les impoliation conceiva th our hands	office about the reference resembles surely for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the	Agency fundince on the mie a DU/P oper the matter?	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	• •
3. Bicket our file. It is all pass through	Inco this ex les impoliates conceive in our bands	office about the create resembles and cly for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the co	Agency fundince on the mie a DD/P oper the wetter?	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	• •
3. Bickel our file. It is all pass through	inco this ex les impoliation conceiva th our hands	office about the create resembles and cly for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the co	Agency fundince on the Fue a DD/P oper the matter?	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	• •
3. Bickel our file. It is all pass through	Inco this ex les impoliates conceive in our bands	office about the create resembles and cly for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the co	LCUIS VERM	ng operation while the but found that did	
3. Bi nekel our fil w. It is a	Inco this ex les impoliates conceive in our bands	office about the create resembles and cly for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the co	LCUIS VERM	ng operation while the but found that did	
3. Bickel our file. It is all pass through	Inco this ex les impoliates conceive in our bands	office about the create resembles and cly for corresponde that the may be considered on the constant of the co	LCUIS VERM	ng operation w bject but four ation that did	

PENTIAL

76 007

31 December 1975

ME HORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT

REFERENCE : F75-4057


The FBI forwarded for our review two documents
Release of these documents
is denied under (b)(1)(b), (b)(3)(a) and (b)(6), FOIA.
(CSCI-316/02690-70 undated and CSCI-316/00515 dated April 1971.)

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

112000 1000

E2 IMPDET CL BY 0012170

COL



Administrative - Internal Use Coly

4 November 1975

MUNORANDUM FO	R: Chief, Informatio	n and	Privacy	Staff	
SUBJECT:	.04				

REFERENCE: Request No. 75-4057

This Directorate does maintain an official file on Subject. A review of this file and other records of this Directorate reveal the following information identifiable with requester.

- a. Seven documents originating with the FBI. Please forward these document descriptions to the FBI so they can correspond directly with the requester.
 - (1). Subject: Venceremos Brigade
 Date: 7 January 1970
 Origin of Report: Washington, D.C.
 No Bureau File Number or Field File
 Number provided.
 - (2). Subject:
 Date: 16 June 1970
 Origin: Washington, D.C.
 No Bureau File Number of Field File
 Number provided.
 - (3). Subject: Committee of Returned Volunteers
 Date: 12 June 1970
 Origin: New York City
 File Number: 100-447724
 - (4). Subject:

 Date: 30 September 1970

 Origin: Washington, D.C.

 No Bureau File Number or Field File

 Number provided.
 - (5). Subject:
 Date: 27 April 1971
 Origin: Washington, D.C.
 File Number: 100-47256

Administrative - Internal Use Page

Odministrative - Internal use 🥷

(6). Subject:

Pate: 30 June 19.2

Origin: Washington, D.C. File Number: 100-47256

(7). Subject:

Date: 12 1972

Origin: Washington, D.C.

No Sureau File Number or Field File Number provided.

b. One INS report entitled "Sugar Cane Cutters Returning from Cuba," dated 29 April 1970, originating in Portland, Maine. A copy of this document is attached for referral to the Immigration and Naturalization Service so they can correspond directly with the requester.

- c. Three documents originating with this Agency, of which sanitized versions are attached. Further descriptions are as follows:
 - (1). Name Trace Request dated 12 November 1968, exempt per (b)(3)(a)(sources and methods), (b)(6)(privacy) and (b)(1)(properly classified) of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.
 - (2). Memorandum dated 21 November 1968, exempt per (b)(3)(b)(CIA Act of 1949) and (b)(1) (properly classified) of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.
 - (3). Cable dated 20 February 1970, exempt per (b)(3)(b)(CIA Act of 1949) and (b)(1)(properly classified) of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff JUL 28 7 27 AH '75

22 July, 1973

4401 Pine St. Philadelphia, Pa. 19104

Robert S. Young Coordinator, Freedom of Information Act CIA Washington, D.C. 20505

IRS 75-4057

Dear Robert Young

I am writing pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, % U.S.C. Sec. 552, et seq., to request that you provide me with any and all records, documents, memoranda, letters and any information of any type or kind and in any form concerning me that is on file, in the possession of, accessible to, or maintained by your agency. If for any reason you decide not to forward to me any of the information that I have hereby requested, I further request that you identify the nature of the information withheld and the reasons for your action.

I ask you to waive any fees according to the Act which permits it when the request "is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the public." I think this is the case.

As provided in the amended Act, I expect to receive a reply within 10 working days.

Sinc	eren		

SLUKEI

17 November 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee

ATTENTION Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information

SUBJECT FOIA Appeal

A. REFERENCES Initial Request dated 22 July 1975.

B. IPS Reply dated 19 March 1970.C. Appeal Letter Received 26 April 1976.

Summary of Recommendations:

- a. Sustain initial determination to deny in toto to requester two PBO originated documents under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(6) of FOIA. Remove (b)(6) from one document; add exemption (b)(2) to both documents. (Tabs B-S and B-6).
- Release additional information in three DDO originated documents previously released in sanitized form--excised portions exempted under (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), and (b)(6) of the FOIA, as appropriate. (Tabs 8-1 thru 8-3).
- c. Correct the names of the deciding officials in the appeal response to requester.
- Advise requester that document B-5 supercedes document B-6 and contains the exact same information.
- e. IPS advise FBI that document B-5 supercedes document B-6 and that the latter should be destroyed to avoid duplicate referrals in the future.
 - f. Correct dates on documents B-5 and 6.

E2 IMPDET CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL When. Detached From Attachments

SECRET

2. Background:

- a. On 22 July 1975, wrote to CIA and requested all and any information concerning her maintained by CIA under the FOIA. She provided some biographic information and asked that any fees be waived.
- b. On 15 December 1975, IPS/DDA advised DDO that two documents had been referred to CIA by the FBI.
- c. On 19 March 1976, IPS wrote to and enclosed sanitized versions of four documents, three of which were DDO documents. Deletions had been made under (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(6) of the FOIA. Two additional DDO documents (those referred by the FBI) were denied in toto under the same exemptions. The exemptions were explained, the denying officials were identified by name, and

was advised of her right to appeal.
was also advised that FBI and INS originated material was being referred to the FBI and
INS for their direct response to her request.
[N.B. When IPS listed the four documents they
erroneously listed the Deputy Director of Security
as denying document 1 and Chief, Services Staff
as responsible for documents 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.
In fact, the Deputy Director of Security was the
denying official of document 4 and Chief, Services
Staff for documents 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6. OGC should
point out and correct this error in their letter
to requester].

d. In an undered latter received by CIA on 26 April 1976, appealed the decisions pursuant to subsection (a) (6) of the FOIA.

3. Recommendations:

a. Tabs B-1 thru B-3, B-5, and B-6 contain the documents constituting the DDO appeals review. Please refer to these tabs for my recommendations concerning individual documents.

CONFIDENTIAL When Detached From Attachments

SECRET

- b. As stated in Paragraph 2c above, I recommend that OGC, in their letter to requester, correct the previous error in identifying the deciding officials.
- c. Document B-6 is a CSCI that transmits an accumulative machine listing to the FBI. This document is updated periodically and previous listings should be destroyed. Document B-5 is the updated and final machine listing for 1970 and superceded document B-6 which should have been destroyed. I recommend that OGC advise requester that document B-5 supercedes document B-6 and contained the exact same information. I further recommend that IPS draw this matter to the attention of the FBI so future duplicate referrals may be avoided.
- d. A classification review of the five documents listed in Tab B has been conducted. The documents are properly marked and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 11652, as amended. All non-exempt information has been identified and recommended for release to requester.
- e. The FBI and INS documents pertaining to Subject located in DDO files have been referred in writing to the respective agencies for their direct response to requester. (See Tab C).
- 4. Mr. Bill Ashbaugh of OGC has concurred in these recommendations.

Louis J. Dube DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments:

Tab A = References A-C

Tab B = Documents B1, B2, B3, B5, and E6

Tab C = NIS & FBI Referral Letters

CONFIDENTIAL When fortached From Attachments

SECRET

14-00000

Halking Copy

Company of the first

Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Gene Wilson,

On 23 March, 1976 I received some material on myself from your files which only partially answered my request for information. Your letter indicated that an appeal should be directed to you. This letter consitutes that appeal pursuant to subsection (a) (6) of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552).

As provided for in the Act, I will expect to receive a reply within 20 working days.

Sincerely,	. :

4rx 26 / 55 W 7

i

18 April 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

FRON

Frederick C. Randall Chief, ISS/Policy and Information Coordination Group

SUBJECT

American Volunteer Group; American Voluntary Guerrillas; Alternate Voluntary Government; requested by Terry Catchpole

REFERENCE

Request No. F-77-147

Subject organizations have been checked with all components that night have an interest or a record. The search revealed no records in this Directorate pertaining to subject organizations.

Frederick C. Randall

CLERS to recounty

F-77-147 JE (pls ack) 919 North Michigan Av Chicago, Miñois 60811 312 649-0808 March 7, 1977 DPG 'reedom of Information Coordinator ocr? Central Intelligence Agency Cashington, D.C. Dear Sir, This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act as amended (5U.S.C. Section 552). Briefly, my request is for information that may be in your files pertaining to a U.S. paramilitary organization known variously as the American Volunteer Froup, American Voluntary Guerrillas or Alternate Voluntary Government (all AVG). As you know, the amended Freedom of Information Act provides that if some parts of a file are exempt from release, "reasonably segregable" portions shall be provided. I therefore request that, if you determine that some of the requested information is exempt, you provide me immediately with the information that can be made available. Of course, I reserve my right to appeal any such decisions pertaining to "exempt" material. I am prepared to pay reasonable costs for locating this information. Cordially, Terry Catchpole, Managing Editor

CONFIDENTIAL

10 May 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

FROM Frederick C. Randall

Chief, Policy and Information Coordination Group

Peter A. Schey Request - Ku Klux Klan, et al, at Camp Pendleton, California SUBJECT

REFERENCE F 77-025

The files of this Directorate have been searched with regard to the listed organizations' connection with Camp Pendleton, California and the result is negative for each organization.

Frederick C. Randall

CONFIDENTIAL

E SE IMPORT OF BY EVEL 170

F-77-025 - EK
Pls ceknowledge

LAW OFFICES OF

LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO, INC.

ey cent A/DeT

SOUTHEAST OFFICE 3040 IMPERIAL AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92102 (714) 239-9137

January 13, 1977.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY Fort George G. Meade Md. 20755

CHIEF OF NAVAL CPERATIONS DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY Washington D.C. 20350 Attn. OP-09B18

DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE Ds4A Washington D.C. 20301

NATIONAL SÉCURITY COUNCIL Attn. Staff Secretary Old Executive Office Building Washington D.C. 20506

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 9th and Penn Ave. NW Washington D.C. 20535

DIRECTOR
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Attn: SC (FOIA)
Washington D.C. 20301

DIRECTORATE FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs) Room 2C757. Pentagon, Washington D.C. 20301

CIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COORDINATOR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCY AGENCY Washington D.C. 20505

RE: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act as amended (5 U.S.C. §552).

have any that records, but, that records, but, that is request, well have he a fee daposit.

SPR

He had "my pile" request 75-5938

I am writing this letter on behalf of myself and Dr. Carlton Goodlett and Reporter Publications.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. \$552, as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, 68 Stat. 1561, we wish to Obtain access to and the opportunity to copy the following documents:

1. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the formation, existence, activities, operations, membership, size or presence of any of the following organizations at Camp Pendleton Marine Base, California:

```
a. Ku Klux Klan;
```

- b. California Ku Klux Klan;
- c. United Klans:
 - d. Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- e. United Klans of America;
- f. Southern Ku Klux Klan:
- g. White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- r h. White Brotherhood;
- I. United Klans of America Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- j. Minutemen:
- K. Secret Army Organization;
 - 1. Christian Crusade Church;
- m. American Rangers;
- n. Invisible Empire of the Ku Klux Klan;
- O. Christian Defense League;
- p. The National States Rights Party;
 - q. California Anti-Communist League;
- r. Christian National Alliance;
 - s. American Volunteers Group;
- v t. Provisional National Government of the U.S.A.;
 - u. American Nazi Party;
- v. National Socialist White Peoples Party;
- w. Leagion of Justice;
- x. Operation Unicorn-And other similar groups.
 - 2. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies, or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the foundation, existence, activities, operations, size, membership or presence of any of the organizations named in No. 1 above in the United States Marine Corp.
 - 3. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to rascism at Camp Pendleton Marine Base.

 All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to rascism in the United States Marine Corp.

Since none of the statutory exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act's mandatory disclosure provision applies, access to the requested records should be granted within ten (10) working days.

In the unlikely event, however, that access is denied to any part of the requested records, please describe the deleted material in detail and specify the statutory basis for the denial as well as your reasons for believing that the alleged statutory justification exists in this case. Please also state your reasons for not invoking your discretionary power to release the requested documents in the public interest.

We also request that you waive any applicable fees since disclosure will meet the statutory standard for such waiver in that it would clearly be "in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public". 5 U.S.C.A. \$552(a)(4)(A).

Should any procedural problem arise as to this request, please call me (collect) at (714) 233-5463.

We await your prompt reply.

Peter A. Schey

Attorney at Law

TERESA ORTIZ MOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA & Principal Office, San Biego Co. Calif. My Commission Exp. Oct. 7, 1978

PAS:pcn.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on January 14, 1977

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND GOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

LAW OFFICES OF

LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO, INC.

SOUTHEAST OFFICE
30% INFERIAL AVENUE
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92102
(714) 239-9137

January 13, 1977

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY Fort George G. Meade Md. 20755

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY Washington D.C. 20350 Attn. CP-09B18

COMMANDANT, UNITED STATES MARINE CORP. Department of the Navy Washington D.C. 20350

DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE DS4A Vashington D.C. 20301

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL Attn. Staff Secretary Old Executive Office Building Washington D.C. 20506

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 9th and Penn. Ave. NW Washington D.C. 20535

DIRECTOR
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Attn: SC (FOIA)
Washington D.C. 20301

DIRECTORATE FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs)
Room 2C757, Pentagon,
Washington DC 20301

CIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COORDINATOR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Washington D.C. 20505

RE: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

TO WHOM IT HAY CONCERN:

This later is imitten on hehalf of the following narrone and

Page 2 (continued)

organizations:
William Bishop, 6202 Capestone, Dallas, Texas
Gregory Coffee, 1521 Wellstone Place, St. Louis, No.
Eddie Page, Jr., Bay Springs, Mississippi,
D.R. Hunter, 2715 Drewry Lane, North Carolina,
Ricky McGilvery, 6202 Capestone, Dallas, Texas
William Spencer. 511 Henry Place, High Point, N.C.
H. Fletcher, 2222 Superior, Detroit, Mich.,
Clarence Capers, 209 Powder Mill Lane, Edgewater Park, Phil.
Anthony Matthews, 3904 E. 155th, Cleveland, Ohio
Dr. Carlton Goodlett, President, National Newspaper Publishers Association - Black Press of America, 1366 Turk Street, San
Francisco, CA 94115.
Reporter Publications, 1366 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 9411
Military Law Office, National Lawyers Guild, San Francisco, CA.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, 88 Stat. 1561, they wish to obtain access to and the opportunity to copy the following documents:

- I. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the formation, existence, activities, operations, membership, size or presence of any of the following organizations at Camp Pendleton Marine Base, California:
- a. Ku Klux Klan;
- b. California Ku Klux Klan;
- c. United Klans;
- d. Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- e. United Klans of America;
- f. Southern Ku Klux Klan;
- g. White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- h. White Brotherhood;
- United Klans of America Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- j. Minutemen;
- k. Secret Army Organization;
- Christian Crusade Church;
- m. American Rangers;
- n. Invisible Empire of the Ku Klux Klan;
- c. Christian Defense League;

p. The National States Rights Party;

q. California Anti-Communist League;

r. Christian National Alliance;

s. American Volunteers Group;

t. Provisional National Government of the U.S.A.;

u. American Nazi Party;

v. National Socialist White Peoples Party;

w. Leagion of Justice;

- x. Operation Unicorn-And other similar groups.
- 2. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies, or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the foundation, existence, activities, operations, size, membership or presence of any of the organizations named in No. 1 above in the United States Marine Corp.
- 3. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to rascism at Camp Pendleton Marine Base.
- 4. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to rescism in the United States Marine Corp.

Since none of the statutory exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act's mandatory disclosure provision applies, access to the requested records should be granted within ten (10) working days.

In the unlikely event, however, that access is denied to any part of the requested records, please describe the deleted material in detail and specify the statutory basis for the denial as well as your reasons for believing that the alleged statutory justification exists in this case. Please also state your reasons for not invoking your discretionary power to release the requested documents in the public interest.

We also request that you waive any applicable fees since disclosure will meet the statutory standard for such waiver in that it would clearly be "in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public". 5 U.S.C.A. \$552(a)(4)(A).

Should any procedural problem arise as to this request, please call me (collect) at (714) 233-5463.

We await your prompt reply.

Peter A. Schey Attorney at Law MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT:

REFERENCE:

Request No. 75-4508

B. OS Referral dated 8 December 1975

This Directorate maintains a file on The following paragraphs describe the results of a review of this file and the other record holdings of this Directorate.

There are six FBI documents identifiable to Mr.
Attached is a list of the descriptive data for these documents that should be referred to the FBI for their determination.

1. The following documents may not be passed to Mr.

	Pocument	and Date		Exempti	on		
1	Cable, 12	July 1970		(b) (1) (liaison),	(b)(3),	(b)(6)
. %	Pispatch,	28 July 1970		**	** ,	**	**
A	Dispatch,	6 August 1970	.*	••	11	11	••
, A	Cable, 20	February 1970		11	***	10	**
1.	Dispatch,	13 Hay 1970	•	••	**	•	•
.0	Cable, 16	Nay 1972		(b)(1),	(b)(3),	(b) (6)	

E2 IMPDET CL BY 012170 COLLEGE

The document referred to this Directorate by the Office of Security should be returned to them for their review. The document concerned is a list of names of people who traveled to Cuba to cut sugar cane, dated 18 May 1973. For futher guidance on this list, please see DDO/PIC memorandum, dated 5 March 1976, Subject: Documents Relating to the Vencoremos Brigade.

A. Committee A. Brings

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

Attachment: A/S

cc: OPS/ITG

LA

.

30164.15 Robert S. Young readon of Information Coordinator entral intelligence Agency

IRS 75-4508

our Mr. Young,

thington DC 20505

nder the provisions of 5 WSC 552, the Freedom of Information Act, request access to, and copies of all files and deciments maination by the CIA relating to mysolf.

he material I sock includes, but is not limited to, dessiers, card iles, microfilm files, microfiche files, and all computerized data nd references maintained by the CIA. This request also encompasses ny photographs and fingerprint files which you may have.

f, perchance, you rule that portions of these files are "reasonably ogregable" I request copies of the remaining material and reserve y right to appeal any such deletions.

If, perchance, this request is denied in whole or in part, please inform me of the appropriate appeals procedure.

if deletions are made, please cite the statutory justification for each delated itom.

an submitting my full name, date and place of birth and Social tecurity Number. I am propared to pay a reasonable fee for the reproduction of this material.

is required by 5 USC 552, I expect to hear from you within 10 jorking days.

yours,	
	yours,

COMMOTRIAL

5 Harch 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT:

Pocuments Relating to the Venceremos Brigade

1. This Directorate is unable to locate or to acknowledge origin of the following reports contained in the files of the Office of Security:

a. The Venceremos Brigade - a Report - 31

b. List of names of People Who Traveled to Cuba to Cut Sugar Cane - dated 18 May 1963. No therefore decline to rule on the releaseability of these two documents on FOIA and PA requests, and ask that these documents not be referred to us for action.

2. From the portions forwarded in connection with specific requests, there would appear to be no reason for denying the information. We defer, however, to OS for a final judgement.

Frederick C. Randall
(signed)
Frederick C. Randall
DDO/PIC

EZ IMPDET CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

27 October 1977 MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff (U) Robert E. Owen (U) DDO Information Review Officer FROM SUBJECT REFERENCE P-77-0140 (U) (U) A search of the DDO records systems has produced nine documents whose recommended disposition is as follows: (U) Six documents are denied in toto. (Tab A, Document Nos. 1-6). Document Nos. 7 and 8 should be referred to the FBI; and Document No. 9 should be referred to the Department of State. (Tab B) Robert E. Owen (U) Attachments: a/s cc: ISS/IPG/SCB (U) ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY When Detached from Attachments

SECRET

≠og/P Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 2050s Dear People at the CI.A., My name is I am requesting under the freedom of Information Act all information pertaining to me in your rigercy files and records. Yours truly, affirm that all information provided above pertaining to myself is correct. On this 4th day of January before promply appeared to me know to the individual mentioned in a my man signed the foregoing letter, Amenickon instance of the stand to see the second of the second

H.E.L

20 April 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT:

A Quaker Action Group

REFERENCE:

Request No. F 76-211

- 1. A review of the files of this Directorate revealed the documents discussed below concerning Subject.
- 2. Documents which may be released in their entirety consist of twenty-four overt items; copies attached. (Documents 1-24)
- 3. One document dated July 1971 may not be released; it is exempt under (b)(1) and (b)(3)(limison), FOIA. (Document 25)
- 4. One memorandum dated 8 November 1976 (Document 26) consists entirely of a direct quote from an FBI report dated 30 May 1967, Washington, D. C.; Subject: Protest Activity in Washington, D. C., By A Quaker Action Group Beginning May 26, 1967; no file. This report should be referred to the FBI for release.
- 5. In addition there are eighty-seven other FBI documents which are not being listed since your office has advised that the FBI also has a request on Subject. (Documents 27-114.)

nin me isk 🙏 Briggs

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

Attachments: A/S

iones. A

E2 IMPDET CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

Congressional Record for John Irvin Je Design . . . pers pleaded no contact con about 23 minutes by

The second secon

wate1

Robert A. Young Control Intelligence Agency Weahington, D.C. 20505

F 76-211

Dear Sire

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, et. seq., on behalf of A Quaker Action Group (AQAS). We, the undersigned, were the authorized officers at the time of the dissolution of the organization.

We request that you provide us with any and all records, documents, memoranda, letters, or any other type of kind of information concerning our group that is currently on file, in possession of, or maintained by your agency.

The full name of the organization is A Quaker Action Group. It was also known by its initials, AQAS. The organization was established in 1965 and existed until 1971. The main office was maintained at 20 South 12th Surget in Philadelphia, PA.

If for any reason you determine not to forward to us any of the information that we have hereby requested, to further request that you identify the nature of the information withheld and the reasons for your actions.

As you know, the amended Act permits you to reduce or weive the fees if that "is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the public." We believe that this request plainly fits that catagory and ask you to thive any fees.

As provided for in the anended Act, we will except to receive a reply within 10 days.

Sincerely,

	`~	

CONFIDENTIAL

23 June 1976

	Chief, Information and	Privacy Staff
FROM	Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff	
SUBJECT :	Group"	A Quaker Action
REFERENCE :	A. OS Referral B. Request No. F 76-211	
	n. Request No. 1 70 21	est.
	dated 18 April 1968, ree Office of Security has	

Release of the document

exemptions (b) (1) and (b) (3) of the FOIA.

Charles A. Briggs

is denied under

CONFIDENTIAL

F. ZIMPORTICE BY C12170

29 September 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee (U)

ATTENTION

Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information (U)

SUBJECT

FOIA Appeal (IPS F 76-211) (U)

REFERENCES

A. Initial Request Letter, 22 March 1976 (U) B. IPS Response, 20 July 1976 (U) C. Appeal Letter, 23 August 1976 (U)

(U) Summary of Recommendations:

a. Sustain initial denial in toto of two DDO documents under exemptions (b) (1), (b) (3) and (b) (6), as applicable. (Tabs 3 and 4).

b. Release portions of ten DDO documents found during the appeals review, citing exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6), as applicable, for the deletions. (Tabs 5-14).

c. Deny in toto one DDO document located during the appeals review, citing exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b) (6) as the justification. (Tab 15).

d. Refer a list of 88 FBI Documents to the FBI for review and direct response. (Tab 16).

e. Refer one Department of the Army document to them for review and direct response. (Tab 17).

f. Five NSA-originated items have been referred to NSA for review. (Tab 18).

DIMPORT OF BY DIRECT


CONFIDENTIAL Whe Detached From Attachments .

2. (U) Background:

- a. Subject submitted a request under FOIA, on 22 March 1976, for any and all information the Agency held on "A Quaker Action Group" also known as "AQAG." In the 20 July 1976 initial response, 24 newspaper clippings and one leaflet were released to him; two documents were denied.
- b. On 23 August 1976 Subject appealed, requesting that further information be released to him on the group.
- c. During the appeals review 11 additional documents were located and are included in the recommendations below. These documents were not considered during the initial review since they were not considered to meet the criteria for "records" extant at that time and they contained only incidental references to the subject of the request.
- d. During the appeals review we were unable to identify any Air Force documents concerning the subject of this request. The requester should be told that this was apparently an error.
- e. During the appeals review we were unable to identify any Department of State documents concerning A Quaker Action Group. Two State documents located, and possibly referred to in the initial response, do not concern A Quaker Action Group. The requestor should be informed that this was also apparently an error.

3. (C) Recommendations:

- a. My specific recommendations are shown on the Appeals Review Form attached to each document. A classification review has been conducted and all documents are considered properly classified and marked in accordance with E.O. 11652, as amended.
- b. I recommend that the two documents denied in toto under the initial review continue to be denied. One of them (Tab 3) could identify a still active and sensitive source. The other (Tab 4) was obtained through a sensitive operation conducted jointly by American and German authorities.



- d. One additional document located during the appeals review should be denied in toto, since it was received from a foreign linison service. (Tab 15).
- e. 88 FBI documents located during the initial review, but not referred to the FBI, should be referred to the FBI in writing for review and direct response. These documents are listed under Tab 16.
- f. One Department of the Army document should be referred in writing to them for review and direct response. The title page and a description of the document are attached as Tab 17.
- g. Five items from NSA have been referred to them by APS for review. (Tab 18).
- h. Several other documents turned up during both the initial review and the appeals review should be considered not responsive to the request since they refer to the generic term "Quakers" but not to "A Quaker Action Group" as specified in the request. (Tab 19).
- 4. (U) Mrs. Devine of OGC has concurred in the above recommendations.

Richard D. Wagner
DDO Appeals Officer (U)

Attachments:

Tab A - Initial Letter
Tab B - IPS Response
Tab C - Appeal Letter

Tabs 3-19 - See Review Forms

COORDINATION

EA/OEMZFOI

ISS/IPC/SCB

EUR/EXO

DATE

3/19/2/

3 06+77

SECRET

16 Try 1975

MENORAMBUT FOR: Chief, Information Povice Staff

SUBJECT:

MEFERENCE:

Request No. 75-801

1. This Directorate maintains a personality dossier A review of this file and other records of this pirectorate reveal the following information pertinent to Subject:

- a. A few PBI documents, which are excluded under 1990.3 (g) (4) of the Federal Register. The office that maintains the information on Subject is unable to further identify these documents.
- b. Overt information provided by a joint Department of the Army and foreign limison operation abroad. This material is considered exempt under 5B (1) of E.O. 11552 and 1900.3 (g)(4) and (3) of the Federal Register.
- c. Two Agency dispatches based on information from unilateral and liaison sources abroad concerned Subject's travel to Cuba. This material is considered exempt under (b)(3) of FOIA and 53 (1) of E.O. 11652.
- d. One memorandum to the FBI reported Subject's travel as mentioned in paragraph 1.c. above. This material is considered exempt for reason stated in paragraph 1.c. above.
- 3. Form 3533 is attached.

151 5. . Add A Briggs

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

Attaclment: Form 3533

COMPROPRIE

L.Ugo

Freedom of Information Coordinator Contral Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

Gentlemen:

The Office of the Deputy Attorney General referred me to you. I formally request to see any and all information the CiA has about no. he make is Phillip Allan Singermans I was

Thank yoù

Phillip A. Singerman

cc. Office of Congressman Toby Moffitt

Stillist 1 8 24

INCH MURITARIONOS

Chairmen, Information Roview Counittee

KOTAWATEA

Mr. H.G. Boan, Executive Secretary

SUBJECT:

POIA Appeal - Pailip A. Singerman

REPAREICES:

- a. Request from Mr. Singerman dated 1 April 1975
- b. OPS/ITG Meso dated 14 Hay 1975
- c. DO/83 #75-340 deted 16 Hay 1975
- d. IES 75-801 dated 30 May 1975
- s. Appeal from Mr. Singersen dated 26 June 1975
- f. OPS/ITG Memo dated 15 July 1975
- 1. The initial request and subsequent appeal by Mr. Singerman, the Agency's correspondence to him, and the documents that pertain to Mr. Singerman have been reviewed and the documents involved are as follows:
 - a. B-561. 11 August 1969
 - b. HDCVA-37509, 10 July 1969
 - e. 1091A-37416, 23 June 1969
 - d. Headquarters 876, 24 June 1969
 - e. MEXICO CITY 329, 23 June 1969
 - f. HEXICO CITY 330, 24 June 1969
 - g. EX-3663, 24 October 1969
 - h. TH-559888, 28 August 1969
- 2. Items a., b., and c. from the list in paragraph 1. were the besis of the initial response and denial to Mr. Singerman (Reference d.). Items d. and a. do not mention Mr. Singerman by mans but are relative and are included for background information. The documents f., g. and h. did not become available until after the initial response to Mr. Singerman and therefore were not considered in that reply.
- 3.- On the basis of our review, we believe items a., b. and c. were properly exampted in accord with (b)(1) and (b)(3) of the FOIA and \$3(1) of E.O. 11652. This was reported to Mr. Singarman in Reference d. on 30 May 1975.

Administrative-Internal Use Only When Separated from Attachments.

SECRET

Despite the detailed explanation provided Nr. Singerman, we note in his letter of appeal that he continues to seek a basis for the decision. With regard to these Jocuments, we believe and agree that releasing them to Mr. Singerman would violate protection of sensitive sources and mathods. The original denial is correct and should be upheld by the Information Review Committee.

- 4. Our review of the remaining three documents (items f., g. and h.) has resulted in the following recommendations.
 - a. TH-559665. A passenger list which the Agency apparently obtained through foreign lisison The nature of the information does not permit segregating and releasing parts of the List to Mr. Singarman. We believe the document is exampt under (b)(1), (b)(3) of the FOIA and 5B(1) of B.O. 11652.
 - b. MEXICO CITY 330. Provides the same information as RMA-37509 and is, therefore, exempt under the same exemptions cited in the original deutal, i.e., (b)(1), (b)(3) and 58(1).
 - c. EX-3663. We believe certain parts of this 25-page document can be segregated and released to Mr. Singerman. We agree with ITG on the selected portions that should be extracted for release (see attached marked copy). The balance of the document, however, must be considered except under (b)(3)(a) and (b)(6) of the FOIA.
- 5. In summary, it is recommended that the appeal be denied and the examptions upheld on all the related documents as listed above except in the case of EX-3663. The selected portions should be sanitized and provided to Mr. Singerman. This recommendation has been discussed with Mr. Makowka of the OCC and he concurs with our decision.

13/

Michael L. Cleffi DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments: A/a

ISG/EXEC/MLCleffi:tcf 30 July 1975

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

- 1 - OGC (Mr. Makowka)

1 - C/IRS

2 - DDO/FIO

1 - ISC, w/o atts.

1 - Chrono, w/o atts.

MEMORANDUM FOR: Freedom of Information Officer, DDO

SUBJECT:

Phillip A. Singerman

RIFERENCE:

IRS 75-801 (Appeal)

Request for Agency documents


1. Attached are the three Agency documents listed in our memorandum of 14 May 1975:

- a. Agency dispatch HMMA-37416, dated 23 June 1969. This covering dispatch does not mention Subject by name; Subject's photograph was forwarded as an attachment to this dispatch. Two other attachments listing Subject's name on two flight manifests are not available here, but the reference to his travel from Mexico city to Cuba had been incorporated into a Special Channel memorandum to the FBI, 8-561, dated 11 August 1969 (paragraph id of our 14 May memorandum).
- b. Agency dispatch HMMA-37509, dated 10 July 1969 listed requestor as a traveler to Cuba and listed his passport number. It also forwarded a copy of his passport in an attachment which is not available here.
- c. Special Channel Memorandum B-561, dated 11 August 1969 (see paragraph la above).
- 2. We believe that the above mentioned documents have been properly exempted in our 14 May memorandum under (b)(1) 5(B)(1) (foreign liaison, in this case, two sections of liaison) and (b)(3). The material is not segregable for release, as the nature of the information and its format are such that the requester could determine that the information concerning him was obtained by the Agency through surreptitious

Release would thus violate

protection of sensitive sources and nethods

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

5. One more document (not indexed into the 201 file or any other records of this office) has become available:
Transmittal Manifest 599888, dated 28 August 1969, forwarded by 608, Ottawa. This manifest lists Subject among passengers arriving in Canada from Cuba. No covering dispatch was used and no source is given, but the information may be presumed to have been provided by foreign liaison. The material is thus probably exempt under (b)(1), 5(B)(1) (foreign liaison), and is certainly exempt under (b)(3) (intelligence methods). The format and the nature of the information do not make it feasible to segregate and refease

4. Attached is a segregable portion of internal Agency memorandum EX-3663, dated 24 October 1969. This document is a 25 page paper and refers briefly to requestor, repeating in part the reference to requestor's travel as reported in HMMA-37509 (paragraph 1b above).

The excised portion of the document is considered exempt under (b)(3)(a) and (b)(6).

5. Also attached are copies of three additional Agency cables. Mexico City 330, dated 24 June 1969, is referred to in HMMA-37509 (paragraph 1b above), and provides the same information (except for copies of passports). The reference cited in this cable, in turn, is Headquarters 876, dated 24 June 1969, which requested that names and passports of groups traveling to Cuba be cabled, as the information was urgently needed for a paper being prepared for the White House. This cable in turn refers to Mexico City 329, dated 23 June 1969, which explains the reason for the investigation of requestor's foreign travels. Although Headquarters 876 and Mexico City 329 do not mention requestor by name they are attached for background information.

Alan P. Mire Chief, International Terrorism Group Operations Staff

Attachments: a/s

Ja 1 8 53 97 75

te: 75-801

Zuno 20, 1975 🗀

C. Nother B. Young CEA Welder ation inview Committee Cla Minington, 5.C. 203050

mar Sire

This is in response to your textor of her 30 conting following of three abouters in your client about my foreign Stravels

I horsby appost this decision. Chould like to know the basis for this decision. I wish to advise you that I seeking the advice of counsel.

Sincerely, Phillip A. Singerman

CC. Congressman Toby Madfett

12 December 1975

MEHORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT: (

Carl Oglosby

REFERENCE:

Request No. 75-1161

1. This Directorate maintains an official file on Subject. A review of this file and other records reveals the following information pertinent to Subject:

a. Twenty-nine PBI documents. See attached listing.

b. Five Agency documents (one cable and three dispatches) concerned Subject's travel and activities abroad and transmitted information

One special channel (B) memorandum forwarded material provided to the FBI. All of this material is considered exempt under FOIA, (b) (1), and (b) (3).

c. Five Agency documents (one cable, three dispatches, and one field information report) referred to Subject's travels and activities abroad. The nature of the information could identify the source if it were released. One RL memorandum, one special channel (B) memorandum and one CSCI memorandum forwarded this information to the FBI. One CSCI memorandum ferwarded a report to the U.S. Intelligence Community. All of this material is considered exempt under (b)(3), sources and methods and (b)(1) properly classified.

d. One agency dispatch forwarded information on Subject's activities abroad provided by a U. S. source. This material -s exempt under FOIA (b)(1) properly classified and (b)(5), sources and methods.

E2 IMPDET CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

- e. Pour internal office memoranda (EX) pertained to Subject's travels, contacts and activities. The source of three of these was information from the FBI; the source of one of these was the Department of State memorandum to CIA dated 1 February 1971. All of these are exempt under FOIA (b) (J), sources and methods, and (b)(1).
- f. One internal office memorandum contains a listing of Subject's foreign travel. It was taken from a composite of all-source information, including foreign sources and is considered exempt under FOIA (b)(3) and (b)(1).
- g. One internal office (EX) memorandum concerning Subject's background and activities, which included material from the FBI, an Agency field information report from a unilateral source and an Agency dispatch forwarding information provided by a This memorandum is considered exempt under FOIA (b)(3) and (b)(1).
- 2. The following documents with sterilized segregable portions attached are considered releaseable to Subject under the FOIA.
 - a. CSCI memorandum dated 17 May 1968: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b)(6), personal privacy, (b)(3) and (b)(1).
 - b. Internal office (EX) memorandum dated 14 July 1967: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b)(6), personal privacy, (b)(3) and (b)(1).
 - c. Internal office memorandum (EX) dated 26 April 1968: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b) (5) personal privacy, (b)(3) and (b)(1).
 - d. Internal office memorandum (EX) dated 10 July 1967: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b) (6), personal privacy, (b)(3) and (b)(1).
 - e. Attachment to a dispatch dated 23 November 1970: excised portions exempt under (h)(6), personal privacy and (b)(1). Dispatch exempt under (b)(3) sources and methods and (b)(1).

f. Dispatch dated 15 November 1965; excised portions exempt under FOIA (b)(1) and (b)(3).

 Attached are copies of three documents which may be used in obtaining reviews by the originating agencies;

- a. Department of Army ACSI-DSCC Momorandum dated 8 July 1966, Subject: Transmittal of Summaries of Information.
- b. Department of State tolegram Copenhagen 2014 dated 21 November 1967, Subject: Russell Tribunal Denmark: Highlights
- c. Department of State memorandum dated 1 February 1971 from Director, Passport Office regarding Subject.
- d. FBI. Cable from Director, FBI, dated 6 November 1968, Subject: Washington Mobilization Committee to End War in Vietnam.

Joy Chesten A. Bulgan

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

Attachments: NS

cc: OPS/ITG

3

CONFIDENTIA

Identification of FBI documents mentioning Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr., IRS 75-1161, 30 April 1975.

- 1. Report dated 28 June 1965, Detroit, Michigan; (no file numbers) Subject: Communist Infiltration of Students for a Democratic Society
- 2. Report data 6 August 1965, Detroit; Michigan; Subject: Carl Oglesby (no Bufile file *, no FOF *)
- 3. Report dated & 8 October 1965, Detroit, Michigan; Eile # 100-33032, Bufile 108-142056; Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. Security Natter SDS
- 4. Report dated 6 December 1965, Washington, D.C.; File # (IS) 105-142056 (no Bufile #) Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby Security Matter C
- 5. Report dated 17 May 1966, Washington, D.C.; Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby (no file)
- 6. Report dated 17 May 1966, Washington, D.C.; Subject: International Days of Protest, March 25-26, 1966 (no file #)
- 7. Report dated 20 May 1966, Detroit, Michigan; Re: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. (no file *)
- 8. Report dated ____ 30 June 1966, Detroit, Michigan; File # 100-33032, Bufile 105-142056; Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. - Security Matter - SDS
- 9. Report dated 14 September 1966, Detroit, Michigan; Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. (no file #s)
- 10. Report Dated 27 October 1966, Detroit, Michigan; Burile 105-142056, Field office file 100-23032; Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. Security Matter Students for a Democratic Society
- 11. Report dated 28 October 1966, Detroit, Michigan; Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. (no Bufile, no FOS #)

2011-27-17-17

And the state of second second

- 12. Report dated 8 June 1967, Cincinnati, Ohio; Field office file CI 100-13602; Sufile 100-438262. Subject:
- 13. Report dated 7 July 1967, Detroit, Michigan; (no file #s) Subject: Students for a Democratic Society
- 14. Report dated 27 eptember 1967, Detroit, Michigan; File # 105-11627; Bufile 62-110039. Subject: Inter-University Committee for Debate on Foreign Policy
- 15. Report dated 7 December 1967, Phoenix, Arizona; (no file #s) Subject: Anti-draft activities December 4-8, 1967; Demonstration at Selective Service Hqs, Tucson, Arizona, December 4, 1967
- 16. Report dated 14 December 1967, Portland, Oregon; (no file #s) Subject: Antidraft Activities, Portland, Oregon
- 17. Report dated 11 December 1967, Cincinnati, Ohio; Field office file CI 100-15928; Bufile 105-142056. Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. Security Matter SDS
- 18. Report dated 31 January 1968, Washington, D.C.; (no file #s) Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. Internal Security CU.
- Report dated 124 June 1968, Cincinnati, Ohio; Field office file CI 100-15928, Bufile 105-142056. Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr.
- 20. Report dated 10 October 1968, Washington, D.C., (no file #s) Subject: Foreign Travel New Left Groups ---
- 21. Report dated 18 November 1968, Jacksonville, Florida; Field office file 105-1613 (no Bufile #) Subject:
- 22. Report dated 12 December 1968, New York, N.Y.; Field office file 100-148047 (no Eufile #) Subject: Students for a Democratic Society IS SDS
- 23. Report dated 6 January 1969, San Francisco, Calif.; (no file #s) Subject: Carl Preston Cglesby, Jr.

- Report dated 9 January 1969, Cincinnati, Ohio; Field office file Cl 100-15928; Bufile 105-142056. Subject: Carl Freston Oglesby, Jr.
- 25. Report dated 10 February 1969, Washington, D.C.; (no file #s) Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby
- 26. Report dated 14 April 1969, San Francisco, Calif.; Field office file 100-55497; Bufile 105-142056. Subject: Carl Preston Oglesby, Jr. - Security Matter - SDS
- 27. Report dated 6 February 1970, Atlanta, Georgia; Field office file 100-7808; Bufile 105-178431.
 Subject: (Oglesby on pg. 7)
- 28. Report dated 28 January 1966, Detroit, Michigan, Bufile 97-5029 field file 105-11615, Subject: Committee to Aid the Vietnamese.
- 29. Report dated 14 January 1966, Washington, D. C. no file numbers, Subject: Demonstrations Protesting United States Intervention in Victnam.

Rr. Robert S. Young Freedom of Information Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency, Washington

Dear Mr. Young,

Thank you for your letter of April 24, 1975, acknowledging my Freedom-of-Information request.

Here is the additional information you ask for:


Place of birth: Akron, Ohio Date of birth: July 30, 1935

The FBI, in a similar reply to a simplar request, also wanted my Social Security number, which you may also need. It is:

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely.

Carl Oglesby





April 11, 1975

12 Arnold Circle Cambridge, Mass. 02139

Hr. Dibert S. Young CIA Freedom of Information Coordinator CIA Haqtrs Langley, Va.

Dearkr. Young,

Pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, please make available to me any materials or fikks the CIA may have collected and kept on my activities.

Past President ((1945) Students for a Democratic Society (1965)

Zr.HALLA BIATA

MEMORINDER FOR: Chairman, Information Series Committee (U)

ATTENTION: Mr. H. G. Pean, Assistant for Information (U)

SUBJECT : 101A Appeal - Mr. Carl Oglesby (F 75-1161) (U)

RELEGINCES : A. Original Request dated 11 April 1975 (1)

Bo 11'S Response dated 19 February 1976 (U) Co Appeal Letter dated 24 February 1976 (U)

1. ((0) Summary of Recommendations:

a. Release additional information from Six DDO documents initially released in segregated versions.

b. Release portions of eight 690 documents initially denied in toto.

c. Sustain the denial in toto of 13 190 documents.

d. Release in toto nine news items and seven DPO documents discovered during the appeals review.

e. Deny in toto 15 PDO documents discovered during the appeals review.

f. Release segregated versions of two 1990 documents discovered during the appeals review.

2. (U) Background:

a. Mr. Carl Oglesby on 11 April 1975 requested "any material or files the CIA may have collected and kent on my activities." The original DDO search surfaced 27 DDO documents, 29 FBI files, one FBI cable and three otheragency documents. IPS responded on 19 February 1976

releasing six of the DDO documents in segregated versions and denying the remaining 21. IPS advised Mr. Oglesby that the non-DDO documents were being referred to the originating agencies for direct response to him.

b. Mr. Oglesby appealed on 24 February 1976 requesting full release of all withheld data, and this triggered an additional search with the following results:

- (1) Nine press items, not considered under earlier guidelines were located.
- (2) As a result of an exchange of referrals between the DDO and the O/S, DDO accepted responsibility for five documents not located during the initial review-i.e. those covered by Tabs 28, 30, 32, 33 and 34.
- (3) As a result of a Privacy Act request levied by Mr. Oglesby on the FBI, the latter referred three DDO memoranda, per P 76-180. Two of these--CSCI 316/02677-67 and CSCI 316/05757-67--had not previously surfaced, but the third had been handled initially under Tab 19(H). We informed the FBI we would handle the former two in the appeal.
- (4) In addition to the documents discovered per (2) and (3), 17 DDO documents were located through re-checking files and running down references. These additions have resulted in a total of 51 DDO documents being treated in this appeal, an increase of 24 since the IPS response, and nine presentems.
- (5) Four EX memoranda--895, 1070, 2187 and 3363-were referred to the FBI on 5 October 1976 for
 coordination regarding FBI information therein. The
 FBI replied on 28 October 1976 regarding portions to be
 withheld and exemptions to be applied.
- (6) FJTA-48,789 dated 6 December 1965 (Tab 20) was referred to the US Air Force on 5 October 1976 for coordination regarding Air Force data therein. The Air Force responded on 29 October 1976 releasing its input.

- (7) Three FBI files, in addition to those uncarthed during the initial search, were discovered. DBC 02629 and DBB-89223 were referred to the FBI on 5 October 1976 for direct contact with the requester, and DBD-24857 was similarly forwarded on 26 April 1977.
- (8) Three State Department documents were forwarded to the Eureau of Public Affairs on 1 October 1976. Two of these were State memoranda addressed to the CIA. Passport called on 26 October 1976 to advise that both had already been referred earlier. The third document-Tab 21(0) was cleared for release by the same telephone call.
- c. A classification review has been conducted on all DPO documents involved in this appeal, and all have been marked to reflect this review. In those cases where segregation of non-exempt data has been possible, such has been identified and recommended for release to Mr. Oglesby. In those cases where the documents have been denied in toto, meaningful segregation of non-exempt data pertaining to him has not been feasible.
- 3. (U) Recommendations: See Tabs 12-48.
- 4. (U) This memorandum has as yet not been coordinated with Mr. Ziebell of the Office of the General Counsel.

PDO Appeals Officer (U)

Attachments: Tab R -- References Tabs 12-48 -- As Stated



16. 45. 161 16. 45. 161

12 Arnold Circle Cambridge, Mass 02139 February 24, 1976

Mr. Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

RE: FOIA Appeal

Dear Mr. Wilson,

By letter dated 11 and 26 April 1975, I asked for my file. By letter dated, 19 February 1976, you responded with some of the requested documents. However, some were censored and others were withheld altogether. I hereby appeal these deletions.

I look forward to hearing from you within 20 days, as required by law.

Sincerely.

Carl Ocleany

CONFIDERTIAL

5-116.9

20 December 1975

HENCRANDUM FOR:	Chief, IPS	
SUBJECT		
REFERENCE :	Request No. 75-4681	
1. This Direct	orate maintains a file. The following parago	raphs describe the
documents held by the association with the	is Directorate which refer to	her and her specific
2. The documen 1900.3(g)(4) of the	ts listed below are from the fi Federal Register.	31 and are exempt per
	ittee of Returned Volunteers on Washington, D.C., no file nu	dated umber.
	turned Volunteers (CRV):, dated	1 27 August 1969, from
c. "Commidated II Septem	ittee of Returned Volunteers (Coer 1969, from Washington, D.C.	(RV) no file number.
dated 24 Septem	Constittee of Reper 1969, from New Haven, Conne	turned Volunteers", cticut, no file number.
e. 'Susar dated 21 Februar	n Heiligman Frank & Richard Cyr ry 1973, from New York, H.Y., f	11 Frank, SM-RU . 11e number 100-339235-416.
	ttee of Returned Volunteers", Ninois, file number 100-45701.	
the Passport Office 1	Department of State letter, we're information of a security of February 1971, no file number 0.3(g)(4) of the Federal Registate Repartment.	ature pertinent

E2 IMPOET CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

4. The following documents may not be passed

Anency cable dated 24 June 1969 which contain information and are exempt per (b)(1), (b)(3)(a) and (b)(3)(b) of the FOIA and 5(b)(1) of E.O. 11652.

b. Two Agency dispatches dated 23 June 1969 and 10 July 1969 which contain information from the same source as in paragraph 4a above. The same exemptions apply.

5. The following three items may be passed copies are attached for each. In all cases, the excised portions are exempt per (b)(1), (b)(3)(a), (b)(3)(b) and (b)(6) of the FOIA.

- a. One Agency memorandum dated 1 December 1971.
- b. One Agency dispatch dated 6 December 1971.
- c. One Agency dispatch dated 30 November 1971.
- 6. Form 3533 is also attached.

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

Attachment:
As Stated Above

August 7, 1975

Robert Young Freedom of Information Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505 LOGALIN

Dear Mr. Young,

I understand that under the Freedom of Information Act that an organization can seek disclosure of any and all information and documents that the Central Intelligence Agency may have collected pertinent to its activities.

As the president of the now inactive, but still existant

, I would like to request any information and documents that concern the organization.

Also, as an individual I would like to request any information cocerning

my activities.

I would appreciate any relevant information that you could send along.

I look forward to your reply and well as in regards to mayself.

Sincerely.

110

SECRET

19 September 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chairman, Information Review Committee (U)	
ATTENTION :	Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information (U)	
SI BJECT :	FOLA Appeal	
REFERENCES :	A. Initial Request dated 7 August 1975 (U)	70 74
	B. C1A's Response dated 6 April 1976 (U) C. Appeal Letter dated 5 May 1976 (U)	1. 1.

1. (U) Summary of Recommendations:

- a. Release additional information from three documents initially released in segregated form. Deleted portions are exempted under (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) of FOIA.
- b. Sustain initial denial in toto of four documents under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA as applicable.
- c. Release in segregated form twenty-one documents discovered in the appeal process. Deleted portions are exempted under (b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA as applicable.
- d. Dony in toto seven documents that were located in the appeal review. These documents are being denied under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(b) and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA.
- DCO1e. Pass in toto six overt documents located during the appeal review.
- f. Refer to the FBI for their action an identification list of nine additional FBI reports that were located during the appeal review.
- g. Refer one document located during the appeal reviews to the Department of the Army for their action.

CONFIGENTIAL Wash Detached From Attachments

SECR


Zampoct of m.C/2220.



(U) Background:

a. On 7 August 1975, wrote the	2
CIA requesting "any information and documents the	
concern" an organization called the	
Ms. Barnes explained that the	
is the income the seill substituted	-
is "an inactive, but still existant" research	
group of which she is the president. als	
stated: "as an individual I would like to reques	t any
information concerning my activities."	
b. The 6 April 1976 response stat	ed
seven DDO documents were being released in sanitized	
form. This memorandum also stated that FBI and Stat	
Repartment documents had been surfaced in our search	
and that these were being referred to the originatin	
agency for action. was also informed of	her
right to appeal.	
c. On 5 May 1976, appealed.	
d. At the initial review, the search was limited	d to
information about including any associat	
with the During the appeal	
the search was broadened to include any information a	bout
the regardless of	11/046
,	
association with it. This later search located addit	. ronar
documents which are included in this appeal package.	
3. (U) Recommendations:	
a. Tab A-1 contains nine overt documents that I	
recommend be released in toto.	

b. Tab B contains the DDO documents that were considered in this appeal case. The Appeal Review Form attached to each of the documents reflects my recommendations concerning the release of the information in the document. All meaningful, non-exempt information applicable to this request has been identified and is recommended for release. A classification review has been conducted on each of the DDO documents reviewed in this appeal case. A DDO officer with national security classification authority has asserted that each document is properly classified and marked in accordance with E.O. 11652, as amended.



- d. Tab D contains a document that originated with the Department of the Army. I recommend that it be returned to the Army for their action.
- e. Tan E contains a copy of the document that was referred to the Department of State for their action, and a list of the FBI documents that were referred to the FBI for action on 10 March 1976. These documents were surfaced at the initial review search.
- f. Tab F contains an FBI document that contained classified information furnished by the CIA. The FBI referred the document to the CIA for review. A full text copy and a copy as approved for release are attached. The two CIA documents that were surfaced by the FBI and forwarded to the CIA for action are included as documents 32 and 33,
- (V) Mr. Strickland of OGC has not yet concurred in these recommendations.

Helen H. Stilson (U) DDO Appeals Officer (U)

Attachments: (U)

Tab A - References A through C

Tab A-1 - Documents to be released in toto with appeal package

Tab B - DDO documents reviewed at appeal level

Tab C - Documents for referral to FBI
Tab D - Document to be referred to Department of Army

Tab E - pocuments referred with initial review

Tab F - FBI Referral

May 5th

Gene F. Wilson CIA Information Review Committee CIA Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson, On August 7, 1975, I made a request to the CIA under the Freedom on Information Act for information, and documents By letter dated April 6, 1976, the agency agreed to release a newspaper clipping and three consored documents. The remainder of the requested documents were witheld. I hereby appeal the agency's denial of my request for documents on the grounds that the exemptions relied upon do not apply to the requested documents. In reviewing whether classified information is till properly classified and therefore exempted from <u>disclosure</u> urder subsection (b) (1), you should be formally disbanded three years ago. ware that the In addition, I have reason to believe that the agency's initial reply is incomplete. In particular . the documents released by the agency c The documents already released contain only one publication indicate that the agency was at least aware of other publications. I hereby request a copy of any catalogue, list or index of these publications. As to any documents or portion of documents which are witheld after this appeal, I hereby request: (a) specification as to whether the material pertains to or to the (b) as to material withled on the basis of exemption (b) (5), names of any other agency which initiated or received copies of inter-agency memoranda; and (c) as to the material witheld on the basis of exemption (b) (7) the authority for the law enforcemet activities of the Central Intelligence Agency and the function of the investigation.

Sincerely.	<u> </u>	

C'Ha Eu C II M

2 October 75

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT:

REFERENCE:

Request No. 75-5742

This Directorate has no file on
Two Agency documents, however, were surfaced. Inese
documents are denied under (b)(1), as being properly
classified under E.O. 11652 and (b)(3) (sources and
methods) FOIA. Release of the portion concerning
Subject would probably identify the source to him.
Thus, these documents must be denied in full.

Charles A. Briggs Chief, Services Staff

> E2 IMPDET CL SY 012170

SEP 5 1 44 PH175 125 75-5742

September 1, 1975

Freedom of Information Act Robert Young CIA Cordinator CIA Washington D. C. 20505 LOSILI

Dear Sire;

I have reason to believe the CIA may have a record file on me.

In 1961 I was a member of an Anti-Castro group in the Florida Keys. And resided on No-Yame Key in the Florida Keys for a time.

Since I was a devote anti-communist at the time and participated in a raid or two (that never accomplished anything), I'm courious to see if anything is in print regarding my so called 'wild eats' days and under the Freedom of Information Act I hearby respectfully request a copy of any possible files on me.

ctful	fn117.		
	ctfn1	ctfull7.	ctfully.

4 December 1975

SUBJECT: REFERENCES:	B. Rep	ginal Rec	juest, d		10 111		
REFERENCES:	B. Rep	ginal Rec ly to Sub	juest, d		the state of		
		eal lette	nject, d	ated 29 (Oct 75		
							• ***
1. Summary: in our reply of 3 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), mentioned herein. 2. Backgrou	29 Oct 7 (b) (3)	5 be affi	rmed, b	ased on T	Title 5		
•		linieini	rovniac‡	emo Esn	a conv. c	e any Aa	ency file
on him.		untia	requese	was tor	a copy c	r any Ag	ency life
b. The	original	l search was deni					
documents we correct any	re denie possible	appeal sed him and misinfo	d reques	its the d	ocuments	ed at wh so that	y the he can
3. The two	document	s are:		•			
a. Agenon ANGIERV1 wished to vo (b) (1) proper and (b) (6) profes of LA Division	memo of lunteer rly class rotection	to "fight sified, n of the	ation t in CUE (b)(3) p privacy	A." This protection of othe	and s docume n of sou rs. A r	others on t is der rces and epresenta	who nied under methods ative
b. Agend to the FBI co							memorandu traces.
i						<u>.</u>	
	•						
			i	•			

This document must be denied to protect the former deep cover asset, AMCHEER/1. This document is denied under (b)(1) proper classification, (b)(3) protection of sources and methods and (b)(6) protection of the privacy of others. A representative of LA Division does not wish to declassify this memorandum, and I concur.

- 4. Recommendation: It is recommended that the initial denial as stated in our reply of 29 Oct 75 be reaffirmed, based on Title 5. U.S.C. 552(b) (1) (b) (3) and (b) (6) as stated above.
 - 5. Mr. Lavery of OCC has concurred in the above.

Frederic McCann DIO Appeals Officer

Attachments:

A. References

B. Agency dispatch UFGA 2192, 23 Aug 61

C. Agency memo CSSI 3/767,435