

FILE TITLE/NUMBER/VOLUME: KDF-10101 / KDLITER9L
CLEMENTY / KICALLA
Base House

INCLUSIVE DATES: 25 June 1957 - 26

CUSTODIAL UNIT/LOCATION: LA / DDO

Room: 3C34

DELETIONS, IF ANY:

NO DOCUMENTS MAY BE COPIED OR REMOVED FROM THIS FILE.

A close-up photograph of a document page. The word "CONFIDENTIAL" is printed in large, bold, black, outlined letters, arranged vertically down the page. The background shows some faint, illegible text and markings.

CONFIDENTIAL

NOTICE

THIS FILE HAS BEEN PROCESSED AND RETIRED. DO NOT

ADD ANY DOCUMENTS TO THIS FOLDER. FORWARD CS

DOCUMENTS THAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THIS FOLDER

TO RID/RPU GE-58.

NOTICE

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET
MAILED FEB 19 1973

FROM: (Headquarters component) BH/1		SUBJECT AND PROJECT FILE REQUEST AND FIELD NOTIFICATION OF ACTION		
TO : IP/AN		FROM: (Division) WHD		
TO : (Station/Base) Mexico City		INFORMATION FOR REQUESTERS		
<p>COMPLETE ITEMS 1 THROUGH 12.</p> <p>ITEM 3-ALL FILE TITLES MUST BE STERILE SINCE THEY WILL APPEAR ON THE FIELD COPIES AND ON MACHINE LISTS.</p> <p>ITEM 6-ALL REMARKS MUST BE STERILE.</p> <p>ITEM 7 AND 8-USE FIRST THREE LETTERS OF DISPATCH SYMBOLS FOR FIELD STATIONS AND LIST HEADQUARTERS ELEMENTS ACCORDING TO ESTABLISHED NUMERIC CODES.</p>		<p>ITEM 10-ENTER OLD TITLE WHEN AMENDING A TITLE. CITE ANY FILES, SUCH AS 201 OR PROJECT FILES THAT ARE RELATED TO THE FILE COVERED BY THIS REQUEST. ITEM 10 MAY ALSO BE USED TO GIVE REASONS FOR THE ACTION BEING REQUESTED, TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF MATERIAL TO BE PLACED IN A FILE OR TO MAKE OTHER EXPLANATORY REMARKS.</p> <p>ITEM 11-A RECORDS OFFICER MUST SIGN ALL FILE ACTION REQUESTS. CONSULT YOUR RMO ON ANY PROBLEMS THAT ARISE.</p>		
INFORMATION CONCERNING FILE				
1. TYPE OF FILE		2. CODE (FILE) NUMBER		
<input type="checkbox"/> SUBJECT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROJECT		COUNTRY NUMBER	CATEGORY NUMBER	SPECIFIC SUBJECT NUMBER
		050	006	074/7
3. FILE TITLE (SPECIFIC SUBJECT) (PROJECTS LIMITED TO 15 SPACES)				
KDFATOR / KDLITERAL				
ACTION REQUIRED				
4. ANALYSIS ACTION		5. DISPOSITION		
<input type="checkbox"/> OPEN FILE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLOSE FILE (INDICATE DISPOSITION)		<input type="checkbox"/> RETAIN <input type="checkbox"/> DESTRUCTION RECOMMENDED THRU (DATE)		
AMEND FILE TITLE		REOPEN		
6. REMARKS (FOR FIELD DISTRIBUTION)				
7. INTERESTED STATIONS (PROJECTS ONLY)		8. INTERESTED HEADQUARTERS DESK (LIST ACTION DESK FIRST)		
HNM		050		
9. FILES ACTION				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOLD IN CS FILES		<input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNRESTRICTED	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE FOLDER AND SEND TO REQUESTER
<input type="checkbox"/> CHARGE PERMANENTLY TO OFFICER & DESK				
10. COMMENTS (INCLUDE SPECIAL HEADQUARTERS ROUTING INSTRUCTIONS)				
11. ENDORSEMENT SIGNATURE OF BRANCH/DESK R. O. <i>Dona L. Lewis #630</i>		12. COORDINATION SIGNATURE OF BRANCH/DESK R. O. (WHEN APPLICABLE)		13. RIO APPROVAL REQUEST APPROVED BY <i>Dona L. Lewis</i>
EFFECTIVE DATE <i>23 July 1973</i>		CODE (FILE) NUMBER	50	06
		50	06	074/7

4300
HMMW ~~6868~~

3/12/56

Sov. Photo.

DISPATCH		<small>COPYRIGHT 1967</small>	<small>PROCESSING ACTION</small>
		<small>SECRET</small>	
Chief, VRD		X	READY FOR MODIFICATION NO DRAFTS REQUIRED ONLY QUALIFIED PERSONS CAN MAKE MODIFICATIONS CONFIDENTIAL
Chief of Station, Mexico City			
LIBERTY/Security of LILYRIC, LIBITRD and LICALLA INTERVIEW SOURCES			
<p>Action Required: Put Your Information</p> <p>1. In December 1966, Raymond S. ORENDS, Principal Agent for the LIBERTY Project, was asked to prepare a security review, including his opinions, on the security of the LILYRIC, LIBITRD and LICALLA Headquarters vis-a-vis Soviet knowledge of their existence. The following paragraphs contain a slightly edited version of ORENDS' report, which was received on 23 December 1966. Station comments follow ORENDS' and are so noted.</p> <p>LILYRIC</p> <p>2. In its present new location LILYRIC is the newest of the photo bases under my control. According to LIBERTY-13, there has been no indication of interest of any sort by the Soviets in the family's move to the new apartment nor was there in the past any sign of interest or peculiar behavior on their (Soviet's) part with regard to the old base and the possibility that they might be under photo surveillance.</p> <p>3. The cover for the work carried on by this base is good since the wife, LIBERTY-14, who does most of the work has no trouble in justifying her presence at base all of the time. The son, LIBERTY-27 is a medical student and attends his classes regularly and can justify his hours as study hours. (He continues to be an excellent student and was exempted from examinations at the end of this, his second year in medical school. Actually, he studies most of the night). LIBERTY-13 requires no cover as he has a full-time job as production manager for a large concern and he usually makes his meetings with ORENDS on his way to or from work.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">continued...</p> <p><i>LICALLA</i></p>			
Distribution: 1 - Chief/VRD			
REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	EEA-31164	26 January 1967	
	CLASSIFICATION	JOB FILE NUMBER	
	<small>SECRET</small>	50-6-32/3	

CONTINUATION OF
DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

DISPATCH NUMBER AND NUMBER

S E C R E T

EMMA-31164

4. The set-up in the old as well as the new base is the following: The equipment - camera, scope and tripod - is mounted in one bedroom behind venetian blinds with one slat removed. This room is locked to keep servants or any chance intruder from entering. The operators do not spend all their time behind the camera, but go to the adjoining bedroom where a table and typewriter are set up and where the daily logs are transcribed, knitting done, or some other activity that does not require full concentration is carried out. The curtains and blinds in this room are kept partially or fully open to allow good visibility and provide a normal appearance. Most of the daily movement of the Soviet personnel is followed from this room and when it is necessary to take pictures of visitors, unknown persons or newly arrived Soviets, the operators go to the other room and use the pre-set equipment.

5. ORENDE's Comment. It seems to me that any careful observation by the Soviets would have revealed the tell-tale position of the venetian blinds in both the old and the new haven. I have no doubt that the Soviets realize they are under photo surveillance. The move by the family from the old to the new building must surely have been noted by the Soviets. Possibly the Soviets think the family is working with the local authorities due to LILYRIC-13's former association with the Mexican Army.

6. Station Comments. The Station agrees with ORENDE that careful observation by the Soviets would have revealed the missing slat in the venetian blinds and also the fact that these blinds are either open. The Station also agrees that the Soviets realize that they are under photo surveillance. This was pointed out recently when a Soviet shielded an INERNO walk-in when they passed the front gate of the Embassy and made a sign to the walk-in indicating that they could be seen by someone watching with a telescope from the buildings across the street. Also, as Headquarters is aware, there have been numerous comments by residents of Mexico City to the effect that anyone entering the Soviet Embassy is photographed; however, seldom has it been rumored that the "Americana" are doing it, which might indicate most people believe the Mexican Government is doing any such photographing and thereby reaffirming ORENDE's statement that the Soviets possibly believe the Mexican Government runs the base.

7. Given the assumption that the LILYRIC base is blown, the Station at this point does not plan to terminate or rework the LILYRIC installation. Any operational change by the operators would be spotted by the Soviets and if the base were closed the Soviets would start looking for a new installation. The LILYRIC base is performing a useful function and it is believed that it will continue to do so. The Soviets have had opportunities to complain to the Mexican Government about being monitored, photographically and have not seem fit to do so and it is believed that they probably will not do so unless there is a radical change in Soviet and/or Mexican policy. The Station has instructed ORENDE to take every precaution during his meetings with LILYRIC-13 to assure that LILYRIC-13 does not lead the Soviets to him and thereby jeopardizing other operations, especially LICALA.

LIMITED

8. The operator of the LIMITED base, LILYRIC-6, is, to my knowledge, the oldest hand in the photo surveillance of the Soviet target and possibly the most likely to be "burned". He resided for years at the former base (now the site of the new LILYRIC building) and his move to the present base could not have gone unnoticed by the Soviets. His cover is that of an insurance salesman, but he actually does not work at the cover end is at a disadvantage in trying to explain his source of income. At times, in years past, he had to attend multi-tier hearings over the issue, which allowed him no time to engage in credible cover activities.

9. ORENDE Comments. I do not believe that LILYRIC-6/LIMITED is a security risk; however, his present position, just across an open door leading to his balcony probably can be figured by the Soviets. LILYRIC-6 is extremely cautious about checking himself for surveillance when making meetings.

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION	SEARCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER
	SECRET	10061 - 31164

10. Station Comment. The same Station comments made in reference to LILYBIRD also apply to LIBERTY. Also, the use of LIBERTY as the radio call sign for the LIBERTY-4 surveillance team has concerned the security of this base. In regard to LIBERTY-4, LCCOM-1 has reported that the Soviets are very interested in LIBERTY-4/LIBERTY and that no new Soviets have talked to him (LCCOM-1) about LIBERTY-4 that he is convinced that the Soviets have a "fear" and an "obsession" about LIBERTY-4. LCCOM-1 reported on 7 January 1967 that the Subject of 401-744-101 and the Subject of 401-744-100 asked him on 19 December 1966 for full details known to him about LIBERTY-4; and Subject of 401-744-100 went so far as to say that he believed that LIBERTY-4 is "watching the Soviets".

LICANIA

11. When the Soviets raised the height of the wall in their backyard about two years ago, they could have blocked completely the view of this base's working window by raising the wall a few inches higher. Since they did not do this, it would seem to indicate that they are not aware that their activities are being monitored from this point. On the other hand, in recent months LIBERTY-9, the chairman, has reported that activities in the back yard have been curtailed more and more. For example, the woman no longer commutes there daily, the school children enter their classes by a route not visible to LIBERTY-9 and the trees and shrubs have not been trimmed in years, making LIBERTY-9's view poor in most directions. LIBERTY-9 says that he has never noticed any undue interest in his house or his activities by the Soviets. Access to the operating room can be seen from the window which LIBERTY-9 believes to be the access room in the target installation.

12. The cover for this base is that of a normal residence. LIBERTY-9's cover work is that of a car and insurance salesman in a local automobile agency in the afternoon only. LIBERTY-9 does work in his cover. LIBERTY-9's cover for the use of the operating room is that he does accounting work for client firms. The door is kept locked at all times and LIBERTY-9 never allows the servant to enter, even for cleaning purposes. The equipment is kept locked in a trunk within the room when not in use and all logs and photos are kept in a safe located in LIBERTY-9's bedroom closet.

13. **OPTICAL** Element. I believe the Soviets are aware of the photo surveillance being carried out by this base due to the position of the window and the curtains during the hours when LIAMITY-3 is operating.

16. Station Comment. The Station does not believe that this photo base is known to the Soviets. This belief is based on the fact that the LITALIA coverage is excellent and there has been no indication that the Soviets act for the benefit of observers while they are in the backyard. It is interesting to note that the Soviets made no effort to "protect" the LANCY wall-in mentioned in paragraph 6 above during a visit to the backyard and LITALIA did obtain photographs of the wall-in. The school children no longer pass through the backyard because the location of the school was recently changed and the children now go by another route. The Station has instructed HERONNE to take every precaution in order to protect the security of the LITALIA base.

15. As a general comment pertaining to the security of the bases involved in Project LIMA-TV, it should be noted that the Soviets are aware of the fact that LIMAUSQUE is the owner/builder of the new LILYREC building. LIMAUSQUE is also the owner of the LIMESEA complex, through a holding company.

16. The Station realizes that most of the details repeated in this dispatch are known to Headquarters; however, the Station wanted to make the opinions of the Principal Agent and the Station a matter of record in a consolidated form.

for Willard C. Cross

DISPATCH

		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
TO INFO		SECRET// TOP SECRET	MARKED FOR EXECUTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED ONLY QUALIFIED CDS CAN MAKE INDEXING MICROFILM
FROM SUBJECT		Chief of Station, Mexico City LIEMPTY Project Renewal Request	
ACTION REQUIRED - RESOURCES			

Action Required: See Paragraph 2

1. Station Mexico City's Project LIEMPTY expires on 30 November 1966. Forwarded herewith and under separate cover in split transmission is the Request for Renewal of Project LIEMPTY.
2. It is requested that Project LIEMPTY be renewed for the period 1 December 1966 through 30 November 1967 in the amount of \$45,000. Of this total, \$29,020 should be allotted for FY 1967 and \$15,960 for FY 1967.

for/Willard C. CURTIS

Attachment:
Left hand side h/v
Right hand side u.s.c.

Distribution:

3 - C/WHD v/att h/v & u.s.c.

LIC/11/11/66

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
	H204A-30403	26 October 1966
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER
		50-6-74/1

SECRET//~~TOP SECRET~~

the LIMERICK installation and personnel. Specific examples of the LEMPTY Project's progress and contributions to the R&D will be found in Section 3 below (INFFECTIVENESS).

2. CHANGES.

A. Operational - As noted in the previous Request for Project Renewal, the former LIMITED property was sold to LIMOUSINE, who as per formal agreement with the Station, demolished the old structure and constructed a new edifice. While it was initially contemplated to place the LIMITED (LEMPTYs -6 and -7) personnel in the new building and to retain the same, equal division of workload between LILYRIC and LIMITED, it was later decided to move the present LILYRIC basehouse personnel (LEMPTYs -13, -14, -26 and -27) into the new base instead, along with the following ancillary modifications:

An increase in the hours of both the photographic and physical surveillance coverage provided from the new base by the LILYRIC operators (0900-1600 hours) during the weekdays and to have the LIMITED base provide photographic and physical surveillance coverage from 1600-1830 hours on weekdays and from 0900-1330 hours on weekends. These changes were dictated by the following considerations:

1. The new LIMOUSINE building offers a much better vantage point for both photographic and physical surveillance than does the present complex which houses the LILYRIC and LIMITED bases. Therefore, the Station felt that the personnel occupying this new base should be those possessing the highest technical skills and also those able to provide the most thorough and complete coverage. Since the LIMITED base now has only one trained operator while the LILYRIC basehouse has three, the LILYRIC group and a revision of working hours was indicated.

2. Because it was apparent that the coverage of the LIMERICK installation would be a long-range and continuing requirement, the Station desired to staff the new base with personnel of long-range potential. LEMPTY-6, now almost 60 years old, does not possess this potential; however, the LILYRIC agent complex happily combines experienced personnel with youthful agents.

3. Finally, the social and financial status of the LILYRIC personnel is much more compatible and consistent with the environment of the new building than is that of the LIMITED family.

The above-cited revised work schedule was initiated in July 1966 and the actual movement of the LILYRICs into the new base began in late September 1966.

1/2 class *revised*

SECRET

16 July 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief/TSD/QA
SUBJECT : LIMESA/LICALLA - Audio/Photo Survey

WH Division would like to express its appreciation for the excellent survey of the LICALLA/LIMESA complex in Mexico City conducted by Mr. Glen Whidden. The results from the audio/photo survey will certainly clarify the feasibility of technical operational possibilities against the FJSTEAL target. The photo composite gives the first realistic picture we have had of the premises since the height of the original wall was increased, and we feel sure this will serve as an example to be followed in future target analysis efforts by the Mexico City Station.

**W. J. Kaufman
C/WH/1**

DDP/WH/1/CBustos-Viddla:ljc (16 July 1965)

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Addressee
1 - LIMESA/ops
1 - LICALLA
1 - Memo chrono

4-00000
9 July 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: WH Division
ATTENTION : Mr. Kaufman
SUBJECT : LIDESA/LICALLA - Audio/Photo Survey

Attached herewith is the survey report prepared by the undersigned as the result of his last TDY visit to Mexico City.

Harvey C. MULFORD

Attachment:
Survey Report

Distribution:
Orig. & 1 - Addressee, v/att

Licalla

**BOMBING REPORT
LIMA/LIMA**

1. A survey was performed on 28 May 1963 by the ~~unplanned~~ to determine the factors involved in obtaining null and nonnullable returns and also the possible 100 readings of conversations coming on the grounds at the rear of the LIMA target in Lima City.

2. In general, it can be said that the ambient acoustic noise level is so high in the area of interest that it precludes the picking of any intelligible voice sounds in the distances involved. Return picture percentages can be made of persons in a nonessential part of our target area over the LIMA base. Such percentage can be assumed as a return being as the unimportance of the areas described below is conceivable for the purpose.

ACOUSTIC CRITERIA

1. Several measurements via directional characteristics and noise have been arrived in this application were used in preparation for this project. Two were finally selected as the best providing and were subjected to more detailed testing. Of the two, the GR-16 microphone was selected as being superior in sound clarity and noise characteristics. This tested with very much sound sources, the ability of the GR-16 to pick up and reproduce the sounds was definitely limited by the ambient acoustic noise and not from electrical noise. The general is true of the other microphones tested. (Masterworks Model 61)

2. Previous total level measurements made of street noise in the vicinity of this target displayed average levels of 70-75 dB per second as high as 80 dB. It was estimated that this source noise was at a level of at least 100 dB at the LIMA base during this test.

The voice sounds could have to travel about 100 feet from the rear wall of the target building, 175 feet from a regular area far enough away from the grounds, and then 200 feet from the further point at which conversations were observed to take place. In studies of conversational voice sounds at conversational levels have been found to carry as far as 60 ft in level three feet from the speaker's mouth. Sounds have decayed at the rate of 6 dB each time the distance over which they travel is doubled in free space. This rate of loss is about the same sort of decay. Therefore, the 60 ft level at 3 feet would have a level of 74 dB at 6 feet, 78 dB at 12 feet, etc. Return entries as low as 20 dB will have losses in target areas indicated above and can be considered to have losses as between 20 and 30 dB. The noises produced

卷之三

1. A study of forces available prior to the survey indicated the following:

 - c. The visual system could provide an image in which the object's head would nearly fill the field of view. This was likely directly related to the several species particularly in the environment containing these animals usually be more than one.

- b. The strategy 16 in movie cameras has a film capacity of 1000 feet, which will allow filming for about three minutes at the speed of 16 frames per second. This greater speed was believed to be required for lip reading. The measurements made by the member of the CP indicated that there was a limited sense of space available between the ceiling and the top of the wall surrounding the target ground. As this distance is critical in the collection of a camera with an external film magazine, particular attention was paid to this point during the survey. An Artitron 16 mm camera was selected as most suitable for the application, if it could be used with a 1000 foot magazine. This would provide about 15 minutes of filming with the need to change film.

- b. Once entering the op., a corner of the target area was made using a rough cipher and no measured distances. This information is shown on the standard photograph. During the day of the survey there was a considerable amount of communication between members of target personnel in the target area and the operator's command post. This included other pieces of equipment of which another operator controlled cameras at distances between 100 and 200 feet from the op. The markings are arranged such that they must travel to cover the various ground truth areas of interest in approximately 15 degrees. This took 30 minutes. The total distance from the first to the last point was 1000 feet. The target area will now be based on the operator's command post as an additional reference point. A final set of view points will be taken at 100 feet, and a final set will have a field of view about 30 degrees wide at the same distance.
- c. Light levels were used and exposures could be made using 8x-2 film (ASA 50) between f/1.9 and f/1.9 @ 35 MM, depending on weather or if the sun was still in the sun or the shade. This provided a general overall view of fields at the distances travelled. Exposures used were 1/125 seconds at f/1.9 and 1/5.6 @ 35 MM. During the op. (10 AM - 1 PM) required lens settings of f/1.9 and f/5.6 @ 35 MM during the greater part of the day. This resulted in a much more accurate set of field and character calls for later critical processing. This can cause problems when the field lines are setting but much greater in helping the operators ease to binarized via its precision.
- d. Components of the camera system in Table 10 is a much better solution than with the present still camera. This is basically because the lens must be able to cover at least 12 degrees of the video to cover the area of the target infrared. This is mandatory because the distance to the target building is so short that the lens could be visible on the exterior of the video unit by viewer. This allows more light to be taken and thus makes the subsequent more discernable from the target grounds. One potential solution with target markings is to use a small exterior and to decrease the size as much as possible.
- e. In view of the considerable precision and the space limitations of the ceiling of the op., the best way to meet the requirements is to use a lens with a wide angle of view, thereby leaving plenty of space for a large piece of furniture and which requires a relatively small aperture to do this. A piece of furniture could be set up to block part of the window, and the participant could look out through an opening in the furniture.
- f. Because of the limited field of view provided by the infrared lens used with the mobile video camera, and the fact that the operator may be sitting on a chair with other participants, he will need some arrangement for controlling the mobile video target area from this position. A closed

14-00000

closed circuit TV system with a wide angle lens on the camera would be excellent for this application as it would allow the operator to observe the target area in general even while concentrating his camera on a given group within in the area. The TV system is highly recommended for this CP even if the motion picture installation is not made.

7. The test film has been turned over to the Headquarters' engineer for his inspection with the request that he comment on the magnification and any other points that he feels might be appropriate. Further action on this project will await the results of that inspection.

HARVEY C. MERRILL

Attachment:
1 Composite photograph

TO: Glenn H. Whidden/rb
NIT: DC/TSD/OA
#1: 2603
AT: 20 May 1965

MESSAGE FORM 25

3 SECRET

 INDEX
 MM INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

ROUTING

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

O MEXICO CITY

TO: DIRECTOR

FROM:

TSD 6

INFO WHI, CCS 3, SOD G, FI, VR,
FILE

O MEXI

INFO

CITY DIR

12133

AQUATIC


1. HARVEY C. MULFORD ARRIVING MEXI, TOURIST COVER, ABOUT 26 MAY TO CONDUCT DIRECTIONAL MIKE AND MOTION PICTURE TESTS FROM LICALLA BASE. EQUIPMENT FOR TESTS ARRIVING VIA POUCH AND NEXT IURADIO FLIGHT. DESIRES CHECK EQUIPMENT DURING 27 MAY AND TEST AT LICALLA 28 MAY DURING DAYLIGHT HOURS.
2. REQUEST THAT EITHER FRANCOTTE OR RESCIGNO PARTICIPATE THESE TESTS IN PREPARATION FOR POSSIBLE FUTURE SUPPORT THIS ACTIVITY.
3. ALSO REQUEST MEETING WITH CURTIS TO DISCUSS PLANNING FOR FUTURE KURIOT SUPPORT.
4. FIRM ETA MULFORD FOLLOWS.

END OF MESSAGE

TSD COMMENT: Information re MULFORD's forthcoming TDY to MEXI.



SEYMOUR RUSSELL
C/TSD
RELEASING OFFICER

WHI - Mr. Spera
x:4506, by phone

ROBERT O. BUSSEY
C/TSD/OA
AUTHENTICATING
OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS
SECRETGROUP I
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief, MI Division	AMX	MARKED FOR PRIORITY
INFO.	Miller, ERIC		NO PRIORITY REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE PRIORITY
SUBJECT: DRAFT			
Visit of MI Agent Miller, R., GRAHAM to Mexico			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			

What follows is a brief resume of the Dulley R. GRAHAM's activities during his visit to Mexico City 19-25 March 1965.

SUMMARY

1. GRAHAM accompanied by Joseph M. MUSCIGLO made one night time visit to the DIAHQW/LB for the purpose of surveying it and the target. Despite the absence of the target personalities from the premises, the unexpected arrival of the mail's family provided access to the target itself for radio purposes. At the present time the possibilities are not encouraging, from the standpoint of the installation of additional audio devices from target side. The problems are: (1) the occupants do not move from the premises according to any given pattern; (2) there is little advance warning of their comings and goings; (3) DIAHQW/LB feels that the mail's loyalty rests with the residents and not with the Mexican authorities. However, a common wall does exists between the MI garage and one of the MI target rooms which have not yet been tapped. GRAHAM's survey concluded that it was technically feasible to make an audio installation from the MI garage through the common wall.

2. The Station is proceeding with plans to undertake this effort and will request Headquarters ERICOM assistance when plans are firm.

LICALLA

3. GRAHAM technical survey of LICALLA was forwarded to Headquarters in HQM-ERICOM, 20 March 1965 for further ERICOM investigation.

4. The Station has no further plans concerning the radio possibilities unless additional information is forthcoming from Headquarters.

continued...

Distribution:
2 - C/MIO
1 - C/ERICOM

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
	JHMIA-25(R2)	6 April 1965
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	MOS FILE NUMBER

LICALLA

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	ORIGINATOR NUMBER AND DATE 1092A-1962
DISCUSSION.		
5. The JEWELS radio device was satisfactorily tested and subsequently installed on 2 March. As is now known, this device was communicated to IMEX installation officers on 2-30 March. No further activity is planned for the immediate future.		
LIMANNE.		
6. During his TDY, GNAUTER worked very extensively with all officers involved in the LIMANNE-1 and -2 operations. Despite all efforts, LIMANNE-1 ceased functioning on 27 March. After his departure from Mexico City, GNAUTER contacted the technical contractor of the radio device in Dallas and subsequently passed alteration suggestions to REUTION. As a result LIMANNE-2 is now operating.		
LEACK.		
7. GNAUTER discussed with REUTION the technical aspects of placing a transmitter in a telephone used by the PHEMEN installation in Andrew P. METZEN's city of residence. This transmitter will receive its power from telephone central. The objective is to record conversation (at our discretion, if a suitable device is available) whether the telephone is on or off the hook.		
8. The Station is now awaiting the arrival of the altered telephone apparatus forwarded to Headquarters by METZEN.		
CONCLUSION.		
9. In summary, Station believes that GNAUTER's TDY to Mexico City was exceptionally profitable and worthwhile (see above). It is example of how KUBARK could capitalize on a PWARS specific mission (JTFPW), to on-the-spot advice and guidance on several other active radio activities being conducted at the Station. GMS wishes to express his appreciation to KURIOT for GNAUTER's successful visit.		
Willard C. CURTIS		
FORM 53a GSA USE PREVIOUS EDITION	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	PAGE NO. 2

DISPATCH

SECRET

HMMA-14973

TO INFO	Chief, WH Division Chief, KUTUBE D Chief, SR Division	HEADQUARTERS INFORMATION
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City	DATE
SUBJECT	Soviet Embassy Cipher Clerks Stanislav SHILNIKOV	<input type="checkbox"/> RE-ASS - (CHECK IF ONE) <input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED INDEXING CAN BE RUGGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESA ONLY
ACTION REQUIRED	None	
SUBSTATION(CRIS)		HMMA-14489

1. In reference dispatch the Mexico Station suggested six persons assigned to the Soviet Embassy who were male clerks working full time inside the Chancery who could also be cipher clerks. One of these persons was Alekandr Ivanovich TRUKHACHEV (201-183352 - P-944). On 7 April 1960 Stanislav SHILNIKOV arrived in Mexico City and according to LICALLA replaced TRUKHACHEV who returned to the USSR on 16 April 1960.

2. LICALLA had been briefed by his KUPARK Case Officer to be alert and to watch for any Soviet in the Chancery area who might be carrying copies of telegrams. On 5 May 1960 LICALLA photographed Stanislav SHILNIKOV signing receipt for two telegrams from Ivan Aleksandrovich KONOVALOV (201-202418 - P-3093) who is a full time guard and gate keeper.

3. SHILNIKOV is assumed to be one of the cipher clerks. Enclosed is a copy of the photograph of SHILNIKOV and KONOVALOV but this photograph is not to be used outside KUPARK or shown to other than KUPARK staff employees since the photograph was taken from a sensitive clandestine base. The Mexico Station has no public photographs of SHILNIKOV at the present time. He has not left the compound of the Soviet Embassy during daytime hours. He was not photographed on arrival, by LIFIRE. The Station hopes, however, to obtain public photographs in the near future which can be shown to other than KUPARK personnel.

Willard C. Curtis

3-CWH Div w/att
1-SR Div w/att
1-C KUTUBE D w/att

[Redacted]

*filed
Licalla
(empty)
(2)*

50-8-10


DISPATCHCLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

TO : Chief, WH Division	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO. : Chief SR Division : Chief, KURIOT	<input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM : Chief of Station, Mexico City <i>W.C.C.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT : Operational/ Survey of LICALLA	<input type="checkbox"/> MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

- References: A. DIR- 94105
 B. MEXI-2892
 C. HMMA 25155. 13 January 1965
 D. HMMA 25275. 28 January 1965



1. Reference B provided a reply to the Headquarters queries made in reference A. Forwarded herewith are the photographs and sketches made by Dudley R. GNASTER in his survey of LICALLA.

2. Although the audio assist possibility for the lip reading operation is basically negative, the Station defers to Headquarters on whether an attempt should be made to plant sensitive probe type microphones in the wall adjacent to the LICALLA property. Discussion with GNASTER at Headquarters will undoubtedly assist in making this decision.

3. Whatever decision is reached on the issue above, the Station recommends that Headquarters pursue the requests made in reference C and D, namely those of acquiring an improved motion picture camera and of sending the lip reader to Mexico for on the spot experimentation.

4. In a following dispatch, the Station will report on the extent of growth of ivy along the entire wall area from LIMESA units 11 through 17, and on Station considerations to overcome this potential sight hazard.

The following action is authorized:


Attachments:
as stated above

Signer: *Willard C. Curtis* Willard C. Curtis

Distribution:

- 2 WHD w/att h/w (1 copy)
 1 SRD w/att h/w (1 copy)
 1 KURIOT w/att h/w (1 copy)

Note: If distribution, cite reasons and coordinate if appropriate.



CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
	HMMA-25679	26 March 1965

	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER
	SECRET	FFN: 50-6-74/3

DISPATCH		SECRET	CLASSIFICATION [REDACTED]	PROCESSING ACTION
TO : Chief WII Division		X	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
INFO : Chief SR Division : Chief KURIOT			NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM : Chief of Station Mexico City			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT : Operational Survey of LICALLA			MICROFILM	
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES				
<p>References: A. DIR- 94105 B. MEXI-2892 C. HMMA 25155 13 January 1965. D. HMMA 25273 25 January 1965</p>				
<p>1. Reference B provided a reply to the Headquarters queries made in reference A. Forwarded herewith are the photographs and sketches made by Dudley R. GNASTER in his survey of LICALLA.</p>				
<p>2. Although the audio assist poss. bility for the lip reading operation is basically negative, the Station defers to Headquarters on whether an attempt should be made to plant sensitive probe type microphones in the wall adjacent to the LICALLA property. Discussion with GNASTER at Headquarters will undoubtedly assist in making this decision.</p>				
<p>3. Whatever decision is reached on the issue above, the Station recommends that Headquarters pursue the requests made in reference C and D, namely those of acquiring an improved motion picture camera and of sending the lip reader to Mexico for on the spot experimentation.</p>				
<p>4. In a following dispatch, the Station will report on the extent of growth of ivy along the entire wall area from LIMESA units 11 through 17, and on Station considerations to overcome this potential slight hazard.</p>				
RECORDS OFFICER STAMP				
<p>The following action is authorized: <u>Willard C. Curtis</u></p>				
<p>Attachments: <u>as stated above</u> Distribution: <u>2 WHD w/att h/w (1 copy)</u> <u>1 SRD w/att h/w (1 copy)</u> <u>1 KURIOT w/att h/w (1 copy)</u> <u>S E / X / L A</u> Signature: <u>B. Brewer</u> If distribution, cite reason and coordinate if appropriate.</p>				
CROSS REFERENCE TO X	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER HMMA-25679	DATE 26 March 1965		
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET/R [REDACTED]	HQS FILE NUMBER FFN: 50-6-74/3		

~~SECRET~~

From: COS Mexico City


To: CHIEF SPC Division


22 May 65


66752-A MMA-25679


ATT. ATT.


~~SECRET~~



















































C






























TARGET

← TARGET WALL →





LICALLA

HUT WALL TOWARD TARGET

DISPATCH		OPERATION SECRET	MAILING ADDRESS 50-6-6968
CITY		50-6-25/3	
POW	SAB		
GENERAL INFORMATION		AS "SAB" - KNOWN TO CND	
LIPSTICK Operations		MARKED FOR INDEXING	
ATTACHED See Below		X NO PUBLISHING REQUIRED	
		DISSEMINATION CAN BE ADOPTED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY	
REFERENCE			
CC-A-11057			

1. The LIPSTICK (50-6-25/5), LYRIC (50-6-25/8) and LIMITED (50-6-25/9) assets under the LIPSTICK Project have been transferred to Project LIBERTY. Does the Station wish to have the LIBERTY Project (50-6-76) broken down with separate files for each of these operations? If so, please so advise Headquarters.
2. Unless advised to the contrary by the Station, the LIDGING (50-6-25/6) and LIMA (50-6-25/7) operational files will be retired with the LIPSTICK files since these two assets are no longer in use.

OLIVER O. CALBORD

23 October 1958

Distribution:
3 - Mexico City

Abstract from HMMA 607b, 6 Feb 57 re: LIPSTICK Monthly Report - Nov. 1956

1. Operational:

d. LICALLA was operative during this period with fairly notable results. Many associations between the various LIMERICK Personnel, previously unknown, have been revealed by this photographic coverage. A great hindrance has been ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ the foliage on the trees in the LIMERICK compound which has obscured most attempts to photograph subjects in the garden. This situation improves each day with the advent of colder weather. The photos taken from this base facing directly toward the rear of the LIMERICK bldg leads the Station to believe that we may be able to observe directly into the Limerick reading room.

VIA AIR
Capacity Air or Sea Postal

DISPATCH NO. HM-A-2300

63



TO Chief, WHD
FROM Chief of Station, Mexico

DATE 12 March 1956

JKC

SUBJECT GENERAL Operational
SPECIFIC Basehouses surrounding LIMERICK Target

REF: DIR 49977

1. There is transmitted by separate cover three photographs of the LIMERICK installation in Mexico City. The house marked "a" in Picture #1 was authorized to be rented by reference but since it was vacated on 26 February 1956 under a pre-existing agreement with the owner for a new tenant to assume occupancy on 22 February, we were unable to accomplish the rental.

2. The aerial photographs sent by separate cover attachment were taken by the local ODUNIT officers with the guidance of the undersigned in December 1955. Picture #1 shows the following locations:

- a. The house described in para. 1 of this dispatch;
- b. LIMERICK target installation and grounds;
- c. The LIPSTICK/LIDOMINO base which is no longer rented by this Station. It had previously been used for the RAPPER operation but did not offer any other operational uses.
- d. The LIPSTICK/LICALLA base;
- e. The LIPSTICK/LILYRIC base;
- f. The LIPSTICK/LIMITED base.

DESENSITIZED

Ref DOI 70-17

3. Picture #2 is self-explanatory. It should be noted that there are two copies of pictures #1 and #2 submitted; one with pencilled notations and the other without marks so that the areas of interest can be clearly seen. Picture #3 was taken from the top of the LIPSTICK/LIDOMINO building when it was under construction in May 1954 and shows the front of LIMERICK target.

Received from Project, NM-101/KOLTEKA

62 Classification: C-6-74/7

Job # 73-8335169
Box: 35 Fold: 7

Matthew S. Dingley
Matthew S. DINGLEY


Attachments: Photographs UNDER SEPARATE COVER

Distribution:

- 3 - Wash(w/atts)
- 2 - Files(no atts)



50-6-74/7





Copy of HPIK-4162 (EAPOL 529), 2037856,
(Original HPIK-2276 by TSS.) sent to
Miss Sally, TSS Photo Support, Rm 107,
West End Reg. (x 3773) *ff*

Other copies in LILYRIC

LIPSTICK

Chroms

S-E-C-R-E-T

DATE OF CRYPTONYM CHANGE - 20/04/71

KDFATOR

KDFITERAL
LICALLA

FORMER CRYPTONYM - LIEEMPTY

LICALLA

CRYPTONYM CHANGE AUTHORIZED BY - ARMSTRONG COMPONENT - RIO/AN
(RQ OR RQ SECTION CHIEF)

CABLE OR DOCUMENT REFERENCE (FROM ITEM 10 OF FORM 812) - HMMS-7138

N-O-T-I-C-E

THIS IS AN RQ NOTICE OF A CHANGE IN FILE
TITLE CRYPTONYM CAUSED BY COMPROMISE. IT
IS TO BE PLACED IN THE FILE INDICATED AND
TO REMAIN THEREIN AS A PERMANENT OFFICIAL
CS RECORD.

VERIFIED

S-E-C-R-E-T

FILE IN - 050-006-074/07

SECRET

(Please Print All)

CASE FILE REQUEST			
TO: RI/ANALYSIS SECTION FROM: (Requester Name) Charlotte Zehring		DATE 17 Dec 58	
1. CASE TITLE LICALLY/LICALIA		COMPONENT WH	BUILDING Barton
		ROOM NO. 2120	TELEPHONE 2753
		ACTION REQUESTED	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OPEN FILE AS INDICATED	
		<input type="checkbox"/> AMEND FILE AS INDICATED	
		<input type="checkbox"/> CLOSE FILE	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RESTRICT FILE TO (DESK) WH/III/Mexico	
2. COUNTRY AND NUMBER Mexico 50		1. CATEGORY AND NUMBER Operational 6-747	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT CHARGEOUT TO (DESK) WH/III/Mexico
			<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE FILE FOLDERS AND SEND TO REQUESTER
3. COMMENTS: (Include brief description of file or project) technical basehouse			
LICALIA used to fall under the LIPSTICK Project and used to have RI file number 50-6-25/5			
COPY			
4. FOR RI USE ONLY			
A MEXI RECORD WH/III/M			
5. ENDORSEMENT THIS REQUEST SATISFIES RECORDS REQUIREMENTS.		6. APPROVAL REQUEST APPROVED	
 RI/ANALYSIS		RONALD O. NORDEEN FOR CHIEF, RI	
EFFECTIVE DATE 19 Dec 1958		RI CASE TITLE NUMBER 50 6 747 N.S.	

14-00000
SECRET
(When Filled In)

CASE FILE REQUEST

TO: RI/ANALYSIS SECTION	DATE: 25 June 1957
FROM: WH/III/Mexico	ROOM NO.: 2121 Barton
TELEPHONE: 2555	

4. CASE TITLE:

Project LIPSTICK/LICALLA

2. ACTION REQUESTED	3. COUNTRY AND CATEGORY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OPEN FILE AS INDICATED	COUNTRY: 50
<input type="checkbox"/> ANARCH FILE AS INDICATED	CATEGORY: 6-25/5
<input type="checkbox"/> CLOSE FILE	IF OPERATIONAL FILE, RESTRICT TO: WH/III

4. COMMENTS: (Include brief description of file or project)

Physical surveillance & Operating Base from house adjacent to the
Soviet Embassy Backyard

Original of documents entitled LIPSTICK/LICALLA to be placed in
50-6-25/3, with cc for 50-6-25/5

5. FOR RI USE ONLY <i>2nd folder permanent chargeout to WH/3 Mex</i>	
6. ENDORSEMENT THIS REQUEST SATISFIES THE RECORDS REQUIREMENTS OF CLAN- DESTINE SERVICES.	7. APPROVAL REQUEST APPROVED <i>ANNA J. LANCEFIELD</i>
SIGNATURE RI/ANALYSIS <i>RONALD O. NORDEEN</i>	SIGNED (For Chief, RI)
EFFECTIVE DATE 3 JUL 1957	RI CASE TITLE NUMBER 50. 6 25/5

FORM NO. 812 OBSOLETE PREVIOUS
1 AUG 58 EDITIONS.

RI COPY SECRET

130