

X442 - 17622, 2 July. Holmes & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact made CIO in his office by Gov. Ervin Parish leaders. YATFWS. Gave opinion that both may have thought he spoke to Hostler but really they thought he came from farmed him over. INB. This combination has SW. L174018

X442 - 22841, 7 3 July 1964. Rankin & Holmes regarding translation of Spanish docs. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also includes FBI report of 28 June addressing a signed statement by Soviet Bureau.

X442 - 27222, 7 3 July 1964. letter from Rankin to USIS. Requests USIS do not interfere in question of Soviet visa procedures, esp. as applicable to Soviets.

✓ X442 - 27221, 10 1 July 1964, 190a, by his designee: Rankin writes to David Green for questions of Soviet policy toward American wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was this info inconsistent with States' info?) Green very had expressed to Green leaving over husbands, State had dealt with larger question of wives' deportations.

X442 - 22812, 7 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. related to CIO discussion of 11 Oct. 1963 on use of the info in report. Green said that the Commission was not responsible at last but after its adoption. Earlier discussions on this (20 July) had taken place with Tolokonnikova who had talked with Hall.)

X442 - 17623, 7 22 July 1964. Holmes & Rankin, two meetings of doc. & consultation. Requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

✓ X442 - 27209, 7 10 August 1964: Work by Murphy & Wray on 27 July falls into AWD, Rankin & Stevenson for deportee info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "use of N-Surveillance info of Warren Commission. Report - AWD, Rankin, Stevenson, Holmes, Murphy, Wray. Report general agreement by most

14-00000

✓ Add to:
XAAZ-22425 of 22 Aug 64: Serniush, a whom
we had no from walked in, told a story about
having been hit by a car in early 1960, stated that his
head was KO'd so well as he. In his hist. of his
was present. S. alleged. in which one of two gets
injured. Told a troubling, disconnected
story but his extreme good behavior belies
a mental problem, though he claims to have
suffered brain damage or injury. Possibly life
treatment. Born 21 July 1935, died near Cooley.
Failed medical exam. for Dr. Off. Institute.
Claims had been present a brain injury 5
K.O.'d in March 1960 after which he went KO'd.
April & May. Head physician wet appears in
own note. Head & suffered oxygen. Based
on his hist. strengthens after this episode.
He acknowledged KO'd prof. knew he was in
it but did not know. He walked out leaving
post both without warning.

? Mental con ^{is it} ~~is it~~
Was this info given to him? Did it not
wind up in 24 hrs?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 Jul 64: "Apparent humanism
in National Guardsmen the Community CIO to the
Dept of State" Nelson & Barbara, further to letter by
Barbara & Nelson 3 July 64. Report to follow before
✓ [Barbara & Nelson 3 July 1964] regard further re
Govt's extra departure from USA. Report to come
very soon based on over 26 cars, including 10 American

X442, 27205 contd. This must
be very easily
coal.

non-ridiculous of N. wif. Review SB concept of
H form side problem. Draft of a general
reference to depositors with ~~marked out~~ and
~~strikes~~

- ④ - NA: one of these papers refers to a British author
mentioning who had mentioned C.H.V. ~~Each other~~

XATZ - 22773 of 24 Aug 1968: Request from Warren
Comm. (Lambin & Helms) for permission to
publish last 2 docs. as author. in "Tropical
Forest Congress" section of its report.
Wait for a letter approval.

X 492-22855 of 27 Aug. 1964. MGR by Hull & Danby
on Proc. Inv. Com. Section 2 Report & letter sent
2 selected pieces & working

X412-22429 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Knutson's letter
to Dr. L. S. Cobb, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Corvallis, Oregon, 29 July 1964.

X AT 2 - 22800 of 31 Aug 64. Return to Ronkin: Ethad

from mess N come till date 19 Feb 1964
sent to Conn..

XAAZ-22774 of 15 Sept 1964. Loukin & Helm. Report
- *Endostomus heteropterus* sp. n. collected from 670 below
via aqueduct, 100' from Carbon point. Stats
translations. Notes for species.

Litt. - 22775 of 3 Sept 1964: *Kandianaria formosa*
Sug. Cogn. by Kühnem.

Exhibit - 22939 of 10 Dec 1965; showing out of stat. translations of LHC application Reg. for inter and exp. by former 15 Act, plus before consider comment

XAT2 - 22776 of Sept 11, 1964 : Refers to Helen, who
commits to public Army news. 2 Aug 1964. Her
statement made in an official interview conducted
in Tokyo City, was a salvo. memo containing info.
by some info.

XAT2 - 22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Received memo of his
concerning his Carbon copy reporting on LHC; passport
no. First code number is his. passed through
Swiss was D892526. Then later ledger copy
show it as D892526. [This found to be twice.
reading. Under stress I measured as 0]
See XAT2 - 22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAT2 - 22781 of 17 Sept 1964 : Helen & Roslyn
in Bangkok stage, & Helen stated.
Loring

[NB: Barbara Bradley interviewed by Bernard Fenton and J.
Fayard 14/71 See Unknown Name in Oswald Case]

XAT2 - 22755 of 21 Sept 1964. Barbara & Helen for
assistance in identifying Oswald fellow workers in
British. Attached Cossor. Est. 2625

XAT2 - 22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Received version of info
provided in memorandum of 3 Jun 1964 to
show. wif of relation to established methods of org. Budget
was 17 & June 1967 version

XAT2 - 17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Arthur Orley on
results of visit to Communist & Nampu FBI agent
refers to persons in Cuba. Lorraine was targeted
as name of individual, agreed, should be deleted.

CSC1-316/02545 of 15 Dec 1968 : re allegation of comit.
 concerned w/ Cuban involvement in downing
 advised. Held ^{25 Nov 1968} at Cuban plane flight
 after noon of 22 Nov. Since, said downed, had
 and out from a well known Cuban ^{25 Nov 1968}
 assy. On 23 Nov 68 he had forgotten to report.
 Then arrived 1200 hrs. from Dallas via
 Tijuana and Mexico City. This goes to an alibi.
 (FBI refuted this account because of internal
 inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1968 - DAB
 9434) of that date.)

{ X 992-23580 of 8 Dec 1965 : letter from Kalyazin to
 FBI concerning security. I. Security controls
 are CIA controls. presented to Sec. Commission
 X 992-23581 of 27 Dec 1965 : Obj's only to the se
 security controls on CIA controls. Proprietary
 technology and other long agreement
 worked on each other. State that in no instance
 was an Sec. work held from public domain
 if it had a direct bearing on the administration
 being pursued by Sec. -

CSC1-316/01398 of 1 April 1965 1 former copy to an
 am. letter to Sec. Sec. referring to LHD.
 Name: Van. R. DOBREKINS.



. . . this man was investigated by
 FBI in US & in Russia -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

PSA Unions of members, with Warren Commission:

14 June (Mr. Roskin to Mr. Miller), 64 ADL, Roskin, St.

12 March (Mr. Roskin) '68

27 March '64 - Mr. Wilkins, Mr. Stone at all times
present in one building, working closely
st.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Webb (at the White House)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stevenson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

29 Aug.? (at Commission's meeting room.)

The Holmes Sessions: 14 June (see above) ; ^{28 June}
^{10 AM} (at Roskin's office), ^{12 March (Mr. Roskin Office)}
not Murphy's ^{10 AM}; 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (ADL), Roskin,
Warren, Holmes, Murphy, Boyle)

N.B.: Also named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers
between Commission and East agencies, in Fay
Epstein's book Targets (1966).

BD - 5877 of 4/Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : A brief
on Committee's criticism of Warren Report (where
discrepancy is already noted). This brings down
general line pointing out: (① no signif. new evidence
has emerged. ② critic follows selected material
or details & signatures ③ conspiracy impossible in
this case by nature of circumstances. ④ Donald as a
source ⑤ report not a wishful job. ⑥ the deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission found 418 instances, the FBI found
25,000.)

D85-79814 of 2 Aug. 67: con I European friends
Gongora. Went to patient NYC, arrived by 781
15 Nov 1963 confirmed he was member of team I
assisted suit to try Kennedy. FBI says he was
more assisted than interested. (In fact,
arrested 21 Feb 1967 in St. Domingo (WV) while planning
Cuban Coup and I team & DS & held off.

X A42-27214 of 2 Nov 1968. Comparison of
Described case with other American fugitives
to USSR and materials relating to K-defects
and their work (DD 56)

HMMT - 10150 27 Feb 1970. Kansas City file an case

DST - 18668 of 25 Aug 1969. Translators furnished
⑦ 2 letters and among docs. by ex-Balt employee
Charles William Thomas in possession of Cuban
agent says. in conspiracy. (See Warren Commission Report
pp. 299-310, 628-59, 730-56). Copy sent by him
also to FBI & Sec. Gen. Thomas did orig. memo
of conv. with Mrs. Pray (see Garris) on 10 Dec
1965. Elmer Garris m. to Octavia Pray. She describes
as Communist.

↑
⑧ This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000

Dec - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 2 vols. Review vol. of
materials prepared for National circulation re
unless for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

D61 OB Mexi-1563

(20/- 33/- 20/-)

© Marsos

Marmilla Eugeniae VEGA PEREZ. b. 1915 Hanno.

Third Inst. last. Inst. ^{Aug.} 20. ^{Aug.} 20. ^{Aug.} 20.
Inst. identified by Dr. ^{as his} ^{at the} ^{at the}
Dr. ^{as his} ^{at the} ^{at the}
Dr. ^{as his} ^{at the} ^{at the}

Reportedly worked under supervision of former
less known Mortato, Comm. Commissar

NB: who is *infector* (1964) AM STOKE/1 ?

OMSST-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Ensign
Fuchs in person had told VOGT during their
initial interview at Camp Hillbungen to
Admire that VOGT was to handle such
cases as present problem of application
(he had received a bad burn today
by someone else.)

Heathy reported Jan 1963 on managing Subv. contacts. (AMIS report #2, from SINIST).

6 Jan - 13 Feb. ¹⁹⁶³ are here in Florida

NB: as of 14 Nov 63 "fullest possible coverage" had been recd. by Hqs. re this individual. In March 63 Hqs. received draft in Max Torit coverage.

The Bureau Offices - Sept 63, New methods consisting
of 2 Cuban revolutionaries officers - 14 in all.

E Querry: was it normal for a case like 4083
application to be handled by a local analogue
not an officer

described 21 Nov 1963 (U.S.A. - 12559.) as in Key
in charge of conserving rivers, fitted first
Army & Labor.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Rodriguez) were in contact with the agents of groups led by Augusto Cesar Sandino which was plotting to kill General Bustamante Sonora (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for try. This confirms by two sources.

=
Another Dis. Officer: Miguel Pera. of 1st Lt Natl Police 59-60. Friend of Vega.

=
Vega acted as Inter. Chief of DIA in Mexico City, replacing Alfredo M. KATZEL in that capacity. Received a brief from them in August, 22 June 63.

=
Alfredo Morales Diaz, consul, came Mexico 2 Sept 63
Held in custody. Son. Johnson Soc. Ad 63. Vega ref.
Mexico 16 April 64 to release Miguel Rodriguez for investigation.
Admissible PC 25 Sept.

Question on Vega:

A.M. Mex < Hav 2 Aug 62	
Dep. Mex > Hav 6 Jan 63	
Arr Mex < Hav. 13 Feb.	
Dep Hav > Mex. 13 Aug 63	
Arr. Mex < Hav. 21 Sept 63	
18 Aug. Mex > Hav 3 Nov 63	

N.B. This would make him about 23 Nov 63
when he was there.
from 1st to 2nd stage
27 Sept. 28 Oct

Arr. Mex < Hav 21 Aug 64 — as above. 15 Oct

All people going to Cuba legal or otherwise must first contact subject. He is then being checked and forwarded to Cuba for name checking. This name checking procedure normally takes 15 days.

= Went back to Hav. from Mex. Nov 63 and was assigned to the Dep. M. Katzel. Int. of DIA as Nicaragua C.I. etc. Met Mrs. etc. Rodriguez & left

14-00000

Vega mounted Nov 21, 1956. - retained uniformly
1956. Was added to collection in small stages
Lars. of USA, 14 April 1966. Described there as
27 mm wide last 36 hours to last hour and about
in Palaearctic but recent set wings seems
to indicate on Cuba.

Vega mounted Vega ab. 29 May - 16 June 1957



Reproduced by photocopy typid. No authority.



Bauer ex. from L. V. L. R. 1966
Horn Cotter line wide.







14-00000







Duplicato.

Walter

1. Circumstantial Facts: from late Sept - 27 Nov the case was handled as a matter of routine, business in both Hys. and in Hys. Handling was by the book, however in most (Ex. Mexico Station had a large on going case load, and (Ex. LHD, President, friendly, etc.) and reported (8th Oct) to Hys., and disseminated (10th) was no place, and 11th gave word to his supervisor in re: went. (Ex. Vicar's conclusions forwarded by his super-^{int} to Hys. next to him are reliable for at least the following 13th (criticisms can be sustained against Mexico's 11th and 12th, concerning handling actions 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

 - (1) Mexico Station compiled a memorandum, of LHD identification Bk 5 Bk based on Vida extrajudicial, and on 16.11 sent the same to his supervisor, dissemination undoubtedly delayed (assuming it to USLH East. Station), On 17.11 evidence then was sent to Mexico Station, the person identified, by Mexico's 13th, and was on 17.11.1944 notified there... reason to believe that he could not have been installed and that he had been in the area.
 - (2) Hys. disseminated the memo on 17th or 18th without doing the analysis, info based on the photograph of unidentified individual.
 - (3) In its reply to Hys. on 11th, however Hys. gave Hys. a correct description of Henry C which was at close variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, internally, to break any evidential connection between the two facts.
 - (4) Mexican Station though asked by Hys. did not press or secure an identification or follow up on LHD before the end of Nov. I.e. locate whom he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: neither did

the legal outcome or the U.S. representation or the
Embassy (which was informed by the 11th Oct).

- (4) Though requested by Major Stationery, asked the Navy
for a photo CCO., but did not persist and secure
a photo before the return.
- (5) Major Stationery did not match the 1st man intercept
with its earlier intercept (of 12th and 14th Sept joint)
after the 22nd Nov. count, when it did so much
slowness.
- (6) Major Stationery did not match its 1st coverage
of the unidentified individual with its coverage
of the same individual 14 Oct. in front of the
Forest Embassy, and as 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban
Embassy until after 22 Nov.¹²³
- (7) Despite the clear differences in description Major Stationery
put the 16th photos into the chain of evidence on
22 Nov. 22nd hour. Ultimately the decision having
been made by the ¹²³ Ambassador and the Director
Chief ¹²³ being faced with a fait accompli.
(There notified)
- (8) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of CCO in
Major city. The introd. of the unidentified individual
in good faith by COB - was understandable and
compromised the operations in the long run,
though every effort was made to satisfy the require-
ments of the Commission and the security of the
operations.
- (9) Two members of Cuban Int. know t have dealt with CCO:
Silvia Amor and Comand. cigar. latter left - explained
as 21 Nov. 1953. named.
3. The threat of the situation after the 22nd count was against
the USSR repr. rather than the Cuban. Coverage very
weak however, turned up at least one significant
intercept:
- (a) The Cuban Collector. Conversation - afternoon
if the 22nd threat ever got to him gone to the
ambassador formally? - the count to check

and (b) the ^{two} Brothers-Priests Germany Ediphos
call on the — and — ,
and (c) ambiguous response by Yugoslav ambassador
Vlakov -

4. May: Country often ~~were~~ based on technical coverage and
size utilization of liaison. The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviets & Cubans aware of the
coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Soviets and/or Cubans have penetration in
the government which would have per-
mitted them to blunt the threat of the
succession of major tests which
will investigate leading to known or
higher degree of constant estimates (AD
^{overranging by far} than the substantive details of the intercept?
viz:

(1) Case of Gobernador Alvarado Ugozte - Nica.
regarding walk in to Mr. Hernandez
protested through Sec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail
given by Silva Ibarra. (Can he be
in day 1957) → statements to confid. sources he
trusted? If so this is also reason
to review the Clean Hands de los
detain -) S. Ibarra was not subjected
to a thorough electric examination
based on what we know or could
surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Garcia Rivas Villanueva case
(in Guadalajara & Coquimbo). This
expenses to him were submitted. 3

(8) Case of Cleon Barros de Log. See the audience's report of FBI Agent Officer, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in Colombia were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Cuban Human and other books affecting themselves, including the Alsop matter; yet the extraordinary point here two alleged have as common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alsop who is the Cuban compound, according to Cleon Barros, in attendance at Cuban Delegates party)

(9) Aberrations by Eliot Costello to Hazel (Betty) Brown
when lead ~~concerned~~^{concerning}, Theodore Zeldin in his
Cuban trip for FFFC org. Lined up - 24 June 1943
WPA's relationship to FFFC activity is probably under-
lined and manipulatively on its face unless it
can be assumed that FFFC and Cubans themselves
were more aware of what he was doing
than they have acknowledged.

(10) Case of Texas Contractor, R. J. Lee, Texas. Inquiry
began in May 1947 - relation to his alleged
contact with pro Hitler Student groups at U.S.
Texas. An attempt to name them, request
for one concession.

(11) Betty Walker

5. acknowledging questionable aspect of last, which
Krause fails to indicate.

(12) We denied she was ever ~~employed~~^{such a fact}, but got her
to admit what about it.

(13) We were then & just days, especially in view
of all documents, when asked where the FBI
House of first time held its general annual
meeting, she came to me in self consciousness when

Date: 7/7/68.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviets or Cubans or both, that went beyond its transit-via aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Amb. Washington after return from Mexico.). Conclusively it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an election, developmental stage, and that his effort to reflect was bona fide. (Note the symbiotic identity between his missile attempt in Moscow in 1959 which tipped the balance in the favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassination as his ultimate self-protecting (and self-determining) "declaration".)

N.B.: this allows for LBJ having said publicly that was self-compromising in his dealing with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviets and anti-Cubans, etc., which he neither the Soviets nor Cuban can admit after the events of 22 Nov, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without formal collateral relating to Bogotazo and Dallas event -- of Corkas' statements as reported by AP, on 2 Sept 1963 at Biological weapons negotiations? Note that AP's reading and original element of the AP statements. Without factual collateral its probative value is taken as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual in this case. Therefore Corkas must mean a significant, but limited weight for what is said's whatever the value and degree of persuasiveness of platform against

14-00000

Price, his alias and J.F.K. is dead, has given evidence in act of assassination where it may have been a conditioned response by a psychopathic criminal for "justifiable homicide". Or worse, do you now conduct an investigation in such a manner as to suggest that the assassination was indeed a political motivation? again, in the absence of factual collateral that goes beyond what we do know, namely that Lee was the killer of JFK and Officer Tippett but that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the Janet's statements to his death D.O. interviewer: what specific, evidentiary can be extracted & what to said? (Remember the remarks give material & people insight.)

In question of lack of supporting evidential associated also recognizing that there were two distinct sources unless come out immediately after the assassination, one Soviet (Voroshilov) and the other British (colonial origin through a ongoing congressional investigation - took early documents and evidence with him from embassy - carries off the books)

(N.B.: Is it fair to say now as I repeat the well known facts, namely, probably no man born living will last till next his working life, present year, 1961?)

There is every reason to be unhappy about the work of Price in the present inquiry, many expect that it will have to do to shift blame on C.I.A. for allegedly not revealing what the anti-communist were doing, among they were: (1) to know the policy was to kill since the beginning but stop the encourage it to become directly involved in this anti-communist plotting; (2) not necessary that the head of the commission, headlined a book in 1961 was clearly against such a thesis, in the absence of reliable evidence to the contrary, when was to be done, however, he concluded the opus of its analysis' research

(Note that CIO specifically concedes the necessity for
leaving his reporting, glorified it, until aspects
of foreign involvement.)

In first of its working level in CIO - what the FBI's
position was or is not known to me - it might be felt that
the report itself could have left a larger opening
for further accumulation of evidence relating to
the foreign conspiracy aspect, ~~than~~ the bulk more
or advised by CIO Staff at the time. In any
case this is a matter of measure or sloshing and
hereas we are talking here of ~~degrees~~ of negligence
whereas the Report properly read does leave
the question of ultimate responsibility to CIO or
a single other ~~open~~.

What did the Commission Staff fail to formulate
in question necessary to cover all aspects of the case and
to fit their investigations - in this instance by the FBI.

B. Items of information handed down by Commission
Reported first hearing on assessment of CIO
foreign conspiracy theory:

- (a) fact. Mr. Defector (Milner) - high grade
- (b) Name - early 1969 - info provided in public
- (c) Foreigner - worked for and after 1969
at length for Associated Press - 3rd info
at roughly 1970. Identical code.

Additional information - 3rd info
- wanted, this? - do with information available.

Suspicious, -

- (d) to conclude that prior has been present
with high defector, including 3rd info
and before.

9. Returns on record re full disclosure of full
trust held by legacy in sworn testimony Wed. May
6, 1967 (See Vol I:120 - 129 and by defendant
Exhibit 168 and 169). Also the amendment A/HG
of 1965 that as no notice was my son, was held
from public domain if it had a brief hearing
on the administrators.

10. In general the board can file about a
minimum input from Board and no concern
with the satisfaction of Plaintiff, however the
Statement of

Porter on 7 Sept 1963. [Saying what was needed
within all at highest level. In that statement,
this should be discussed in every filing, if
not more specific and freq.]

11. The Board can not file a complaint in their name
and to go in right and the right date, a copy of complaint
go to the court, see

12. Legal expenses to be paid by Plaintiff

(a) Defendant's attorney fees prior to 1968
will be substantial and believe appropriate. But
we'll have to make detailed financial account.
task illustrations and costs resolution.

(b) The purpose for this is that there could be
further compensation regarding the 1968 filing
which to 1980 which former 1970, the filing

(c) We also FPLD expenses, tel 101, 220, 225, 229
and a checkbook of 220, and 229 etc

The return statement, 11. 227

(d) The trustee's expenses come in, including
return of trust documents and so on

unpublished book, organized violence, offered to him
and later submitted for myself, and subsequently to
give him money. This was published on 9 Aug when
Bengali government officials presented another, reading as
follows, "Victory name of Hitler no victory of FFLC".
On 12th there was a municipal court trial
for which LHC pleaded guilty against H.C. On 16th
in the evening the condemned were executed. Bengalis
paid a fine of 1000 takaes on 16th. On 21 Aug. there
was a Hindu Sabbath. In the greater part of C.
S. but 3 of hills turned to the Hindus.
Can you verify to British testimony?

1983 It is remarkable that we are apparently interested
in the British assassination. What about questions

(1) what does one people in the Indian testimony,
of Hindu killing, want? Hindu killing, Hindu
money.

(2) what are the instructions of D. or delayed instructions
activities in H.C. on behalf of the FFLC? Can
we accept the idea he had new guidance or
instructions after he had begun to write & publish
Slogans & first cons. by Los Angeles from Ed Tres cons?
What does a Hindu want? I his honor
act. & movement look like starting with the
list of printed questions later?

(3) Report does not note the AP agent by Harbin on
9 Sept 1983, nor was testimony taken on this
point throughout the session that opened in
Tumiclicoum must have been seen by him.
It reflects main line former, p. 412 ff.

13. Report has ^{already} not considered Oswald's sequence of activity as an operational continuum in which Soviets and Cubans were involved in their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission's report should have left ^{a wider} opening on this aspect because:

- ① this was very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude assassination, assignment and handling of LHO ("Red Tiger") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interest, included in a unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to 1970s. And if this was any doubt, Novak's testimony in 1963 fits it conclusively.
- ② The material furnished by the FBI and Cuban (via Soviets) file for short of anything that fact and reasonable inference suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22 Nov.

14. In addition to the implicit plausibility of aforementioned observations suggested in the basic (calculus) interpretation - she is interrogated by a non-selective agent as having been associated mostly the DIA during her Cuban period - thus an

- ③ this letternumber says - Henry, reporter fifty the point - end 1962?

(Ricardo L. Ritter)

- ④ the Soviet KGB from Cuba, had been
- . 7 Nov 1963 - but reported fifty its conservatism (i.e.: initial was known at one time as "it has" and eventually, you know).
- . Known from the paper by his government who gave a coldly broad info.

"Novak" type
and, for
get it with
by R. L. Ritter

Notes

O-lets - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Harvey. Referred to
USSA in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Rosalia 13 Oct 1954 "before
developed by CIA on the subject, Lee Harvey
Oswald in Mexico City, Exhibit # L-let 3, 1955,
page 4, sub 3, we stated:

"From all the evidence, we found no evidence
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime in October 1963.
This is the evidence that he visited Mexico City
this time on his last mounting, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. The only
information given by our agent, on paper form
and first, is found in the following:
Since known and seen that Oswald was
at the Consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this later, visit was on
the afternoon of a day preceding the last
time he telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Korolev's visa problem. This may well
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Wau Miami reported by file 005 122-NAT, 22622, that
ATT-ACT 53 reported AMSCOR delegate and radio check
sometimes in Aug. 63 on M.B. station with G. Commanding
AMSCOR file, subject to radio from station 1300 on
22 Nov 63.

Valerie Vlad. Kostin is identified KGB operationally believing
to be a 13th agent. KGB known to have worked
on creation of missing sabotage. KGB also of open instability
or potentially non-combative methods known to be at the
time an accepted doctrinal point at least among KGB.
KGB proposed 2 FBI memos. (2) KGB stated on October and
3-fingered proposal relating to topic - of the two were
concerned with Service of Kid. & Arson, Esp. Int. (Aug 61)
2th other, Sov. Stat. Spec. Int. (Oct 61)

DIA 34615 D 23 Nov 63 2206Z distib. to FBI only
the first D 13th supplementary info reported by NISI re "Natch"
American on 23rd after full file review.

Report from Smolens, USSR to NISI re Kub. 2nd memo
indicated commun. contains that amou. was "yesterday
at 14", extreme right & segregationist to Kuban. This
was George Kub. Hartley, 8102. Known to be known
that amou. was involved in 712th indicating that this
was not, except a victim, responsible for segregation
in Kuban. (The Smolens 6153 FBI 6714),
23 Nov 63)

NB There was no German recorder on Sov. Amb. to GDR.
(See NISI 7023 - IN 67108). This note relates the Sov. Amb.
82 8th 28th calls to Oswald identified in code of 1 Oct, but
indicates no voice comparison could be done "because
first tape erased prior receipt of second call".

It is clear from context that Hargay sent copy. to
Kuban, afternoon and carried 2 sets of six
photos each night, to Sov. Amb. and 6712 9
at P.M. It does not state

14-00000
Nest provided full number of all probable calls begin-
ning 2-7-65 in Adena & including all 36 calls, call by 2-7-65
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 62252)

Memory
Minnows
etc.

23/4

According to Ch. 23 April 1915, the canon
was down on 27 May for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Davis
worked personally on each & subsequent
photographs. Was certain there was damage
applicable or inadvertent. Not a set of
human malfunctions (locking & unlatching),
either. Would attempt to bring elements of
recall - consult him on this point. He
would get in touch.

Perry: Quoted above, why did we not
get it at the Cuban institutions on 18th 20th?

{ - Extra female - present in April & May
work continues
(- At most just 1 egg per day - otherwise all
ovarii remain white to the naked eye
long time, though often made difficult by

=
failure to, when time a week - collecting
processed stage present. This would be
very far up in October, would be difficult
to find.

From Notes of Dr. C. M. Ladd

~~Not taken for identification~~ ~~in accordance with~~ ~~law~~ ~~but~~ ~~not~~ ~~in~~ ~~accordance~~ ~~with~~ ~~law~~

~~36th Division and~~ ~~37th Cavalry took~~ ~~at least 1000 bodies~~

~~After that New City station, writing its~~
~~orders to do anything~~

~~Limitations and capabilities had been~~
~~that~~
~~All it could, despite its proximity with~~
~~headquarters instructions: there were no~~
~~further contacts by General to report because~~
~~it was ultimately ordered~~
~~he had left New City, probably for a party~~
~~and, the last we heard from him, it would~~
~~take many special efforts~~
~~to get him to make a positive~~
~~identification of anyone to receive body~~
~~restitution under this.~~

Tell. with Michael Fournier

- 14-00000
1. Mex 6453 (IN 36977, ? Ent., case 2 hrs)
Actions to wos.
 2. Dri 74676 to Stat, Fisher, 20/12/2
 3. Mex 74830 to Mex, reg. Mex 6453
22-09-2.
 4. Mex 6534, 15 Oct 1963 16403577
17302 - (reg. for plant)

Who is

Edward J. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Information Council of the Americas. No statement
was testimony not taken from this man.

11, Report in P.R.

- ✓ Get (or) traced info by T for Ted to look at.
- ✓ Get copy of Assem. First report from
the Berlin & Forward via Channels or
otherwise.
- ✓ Call home on non-ground of Cuban
airbase
- ✓ Call Gordie and tell him about 12³
- ✓ Get Chairman's copy of statement & will

The following is a copy of the letter sent to
Chairman of the House Select Committee on
Intelligence.

Dear Sirs:

Discussions with H. Phillips 28 April = it was
Cuban communists that was down. It does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but it would prefer publishing the background
but in plain terms of what kind. Committee &
not in plain terms of what kind. Committee &
not in plain terms of what kind.

- Get 46 =
- Get public info & bill numbers and 1963 as well as months up.
- We must now in the process of discussion with the Commission staff on the plot -
- See the map page 8 - (including the improvements place which is relevant with the Commission)

=====

28/4

This from a surveyor of the Lake Shore in what was an area of about 1000 ft. of lake shore
driven in during 1963 only, and it was
intended to be used.