

2 August 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR : General Counsel
Director of Security

FROM : S.D. Breckinridge

SUBJECT : HSCA Request of 20 July 1978 -
OLC-78-2728

1. Attached is correspondence from the DDO focal point on the HSCA investigation, responding to Question #2 of reference. It states that there are and have been no agreements (at least not as described in the HSCA request) between CIA and the FBI, or other government agencies, that pertain to the Agency's responsibilities to report on U.S. citizens abroad who contact Communist embassies or officials. It also states that current legislation forbids such reporting.

2. Attached are copies of papers collected by O/IG during the Rockefeller Commission's inquiry; I recall that there was a 1966 agreement, which is not in these papers. In any event they do not seem to contain a specific provision such as is envisioned in the report. It is possible that interpretations of NSCID and DCID provisions resulted in routine reporting of such contacts, although there were no agreements, per se, for such action. Since the promulgation of Executive Orders 11905 and 12036 there have been limitations on reporting on U.S. persons, which may affect past practice. However, this office is not in a position to provide an Agency position on this issue.

3. It is requested that you coordinate with the DDO in preparing an answer to an Agency response to the HSCA question.


S.D. Breckinridge

Distribution:

- 1 - General Counsel
- 1 - D/Security
- 1 - OLC/Subj.
- 1 - OLC/Chron
- 1 - Mr. Gregg w/lat
- 1 - Mr. Shepanek

~~SECRET~~
~~SECRET~~

This document may be
downgraded to AIUO
when detached from
attachment

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL SECRET		
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Mr. Breckinridge		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks: Expansion of DCD-FBI Relationship Book Dispatch 9335 of 18 Feb 1975 Two sanitized copies ready for Mr. Knoche.			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
Walter F. Little			3/18/75
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM	
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP	
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH
COMMENT	FILE
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION
PREPARE REPLY	RECOMMENDATION
RETURN	SIGNATURE
Remarks:	
<p>2 copies each of the attached sent to Mr. Knoche via IG 3/18/75</p>	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

OUTGOING TELEPOUCH

SIGNAL CENTER USE
 TEL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE - TIME GROUP

DISPATCH NUMBER

TELEPOUCH

BOOK DISPATCH 9335

☐ INDEX ☐ NO INDEX☐ RETURN TO:☐ IF FILES

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: G2361870

TO: FR/ATLANTA, FR/CHICAGO, FR/CLEVELAND, FR/BOSTON, FR/SAN FRANCISCO
 FR/LOS ANGELES, FR/NEW YORK, [REDACTED]

SECRET TELEPOUCH

DISP NO: BD 9335

22 12 52z FEB 74

DATE: 18 FEBRUARY 1974

ISOLOG

TO: CHIEF OF BASE, ATLANTA; CHIEF OF BASE, BOSTON; CHIEF OF BASE, LOS
 ANGELES; CHIEF OF BASE, CHICAGO; CHIEF OF BASE, CLEVELAND; CHIEF OF
 BASE, SAN FRANCISCO; CHIEF OF BASE, NEW YORK; [REDACTED]

FROM: CHIEF, FOREIGN RESOURCES DIVISION

SUBJECT: RELATIONS WITH THE FBI

1. ATTACHED HERewith IS THE SUBSTANCE OF A LETTER FROM FBI HQS
 TO ITS EIGHT FIELD OFFICES IN CITIES WHERE FR BASES ARE LOCATED. THIS
 LETTER IS AIMED AT CLARIFYING A NUMBER OF BASIC FACTS REGARDING THE
 MISSION OF DDO IN GENERAL AND FR DIVISION ACTIVITIES IN THE USA
 IN PARTICULAR, AND IT SPELLS OUT IN SOME DETAIL OUR AGREED INTERPRE-
 TATION OF SOME OF THE HISTORICALLY MORE TROUBLESOME ASPECTS OF THE

1966 AGREEMENT.

DATE: 15 FEB 74
 ORIG:
 UNIT: FR/COPS
 EXT: 5885

FR/CO

RELEASING OFFICER
 CLASSIFICATION

COORDINATING OFFICERS

C/FR/B1

C/FR/B2

C/FR/B3

IF USE ONLY

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

TEL E 0 0 0 0 0 0

2

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE - TIME GROUP

DISPATCH NUMBER

TELEPOUCH

BD 9335

☐ INDEX ☐ NO INDEX☐ RETURN TO:☐ IN FILES

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY:

2. WE WERE ESPECIALLY GRATIFIED WITH THE OPENING PARAGRAPHS OF THE LETTER WHICH EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT FR IS CARRYING OUT A U.S. GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENT IN ITS RECRUITMENT OF FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES, AND THAT THIS ACTIVITY IS BEING CONDUCTED IN THE USA WITH FULL BUREAU CONCURRENCE. ANOTHER BASIC POINT WHICH THE LETTER MAKES IS THAT THE PURPOSE OF THE GUIDELINES (1966 AGREEMENT) IS TO PROTECT THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, NOT ENABLE THE BUREAU TO MONITOR OUR OPERATIONS.

3. THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION INVOLVED IN DRAFTING THIS LETTER, BUT NO REAL STICKY DIFFERENCES AROSE. WE BELIEVE IT MIGHT BE USEFUL TO GIVE ADDRESSEES SOME OF THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THESE DISCUSSIONS AS BACKGROUND FOR ANY TALKS YOU MAY HAVE ON THESE SUBJECTS WITH YOUR FIELD OFFICE CONTACTS. THESE ARE SET OUT BELOW:

A. PAGE 2, LAST PARAGRAPH: ON THE QUESTION OF WHAT FOREIGN OFFICIALS ARE OF "PRESUMED INTEREST" TO THE BUREAU; WE AGREED THAT THIS CATEGORY CHANGED WITH WORLD DEVELOPMENTS AND THAT FR WOULD SIMPLY USE A COMMON SENSE JUDGMENT TO MAKE ITS CASE BY CASE DETERMINATION. WE AGREED THAT TRYING TO BROADEN OR LIMIT THE CATEGORY BY

DATE:	COORDINATING OFFICERS	IF USE ONLY
ORIG:		
UNIT:		
EXT:		
PLACING OFFICER		
CLASSIFICATION		
SECRET		
COORDINATING OFFICERS		
	REF NUMBER	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY										2 1 1		PAGE 3 OF 3	
TEL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
CLASSIFICATION													
SECRET													
MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR										DATE-TIME GROUP			
TELEPOUCH										DISPATCH NUMBER			
										BD-9335			
<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO <input type="checkbox"/> IF FILED													
										E 2 IMPDET CL BY:			

FURTHER DEFINITION WAS NOT PRACTICAL.

B. PAGE 3, TOP PARAGRAPH: PLEASE NOTE THAT THE CRITERION OF OVERLAP AND/OR CONFLICT IS USED THERE THE SAME AS IN THE '66 AGREEMENT PARAGRAPH TWO CONCERNING BUREAU CONCURRENCE FOR RECRUITMENT APPROACHES.

C. PAGE 3, BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: ALTHOUGH WE ARE REQUIRED ON TO KEEP FBI APPRISED OF INFORMATION RELATING TO ITS COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND INTERNAL SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES, WE CONCURRED IN THIS REQUEST FOR MORE DETAILED AND TIMELY REPORTING ON CERTAIN CASES SINCE WE CONSIDERED THE DETAIL ASKED FOR TO BE OF DEMONSTRABLE CI VALUE AND TIME LIMITS TO BE REASONABLE. THE BUREAU WAS COMPLETELY AMENABLE TO LIMITING SUCH REQUIREMENTS TO CASES WHEREIN THEIR STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES WERE CLEARLY INVOLVED, AND WE WERE PARTICULARLY PLEASED THE ADDITIONAL AGREEMENT ALLOWING THE FIELD OFFICES AND THE FBI BASE TO WORK OUT THE LOCAL HANDLING OF ALL OTHER CASES, INCLUDING SPECIFICALLY THE "PRIOR NOTICE" REQUIREMENT OF PARAGRAPH THREE OF '66 AGREEMENT. (NOTE THAT THIS ENTIRE PORTION OF THE LETTER INVOLVED TARGETS WHICH ARE HISTORICALLY AS WELL AS PRACTICALLY, IN THE BUREAU

DATE: ORIG: UNIT: EXT:	COORDINATING OFFICERS		- IF USE ONLY
RELEASING OFFICER CLASSIFICATION			
SECRET CRYPTO REFERENCE		FILE NUMBER	

SIGNAL CENTER USE
 TEL 0 0 0 0 0 0
 CLASSIFICATION
 PAGE 4 OF 4

~~SECRET~~
 MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR
 TELEPOUCH

DATE - TIME GROUP

DISPATCH NUMBER

BD-9335

☐ INDEX ☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO:
☐ IP FILE

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY:

"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE TERRITORY". IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE BUREAU HAS ALREADY AGREED TO PROVIDE US WITH CERTAIN TYPES OF OPERATIONAL INFORMATION IN EXCESS OF ANY EXISTING AGREEMENT, AND WE HAVE RECEIVED INITIALLY FAVORABLE REACTION TO PROPOSALS FOR ADDITIONAL ITEMS IN THE FUTURE. WE DO NOT BELIEVE THIS REPORTING AGREEMENT WILL CAUSE YOU ANY SERIOUS PROBLEMS, BUT IF IT SHOULD BE BROADENED OR INTERPRETED IN A WAY THAT YOU CONSIDER UNREASONABLE OR BURDENSOME, PLEASE ADVISE AND WE WILL TRY TO HAVE THE CRITERIA DEFINED MORE CLEARLY.

D. PAGE 4, BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: WE HAD CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION ON THIS SUBJECT. THE BUREAU FELT THAT IN SOME INSTANCES WE WERE "STRETCHING" THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS INTO THE FIRST STAGES OF RECRUITMENT, AND IN SOME OTHERS WE WERE MOVING INTO RECRUITMENT WITHOUT FORMALLY SEEKING BUREAU CONCURRENCE AND COORDINATION. GOOD FAITH BEING PRESUMED, IT BECAME APPARENT THAT OUR PROBLEM WAS BOTH SEMANTIC AND ONE OF DEFINITION. THE BUREAU INSISTS, AND WE RECOGNIZE, THAT CONCURRENCE IN OUR PROCEEDING WITH ASSESSMENT CANNOT BE INTERPRETED AS CONCURRENCE TO MOVE BEYOND ASSESSMENT. THEY EXPLAIN THAT THEY

DATE:	COORDINATING OFFICERS	IP USE ONLY
ORIG:		
UNIT:		
EXT:		
RELATING OFFICER		
CLASSIFICATION		
SECRET		
EXPIRATION DATE		

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY										PAGE 5 OF 5	
TEL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLASSIFICATION											
SECRET											
MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR				DATE - TIME GROUP				DISPATCH NUMBER			
TELEPOUCH								BD-9335			
<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX		<input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX		<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO		<input type="checkbox"/> IF FILED		E 2 IMPDET			
								CL BY:			

OFTEN CONCUR IN OUR ASSESSMENT OF TARGETS IN WHOM THEY HAVE A VE
ACTIVE "RECRUITMENT INTEREST" WITH BUREAU SOURCES IN TOUCH, ETC.
HENCE, BEFORE THE AGENCY MOVES INTO THE ACTUAL RECRUITMENT OPERA
THE BUREAU NEEDS SPECIFIC NOTICE IN ORDER TO ASSESS ITS OWN COUN
INTELLIGENCE OR INTERNAL SECURITY EQUITIES AND MAKE A DECISION.

THE DEFINITIONS OF "ASSESSMENT" AND "RECRUITMENT" IN THE LE
SHOULD BE CONSIDERED TOGETHER; THE ONLY POSSIBLE AREA OF CONFUSI
WOULD SEEM TO BE IN THE "PROCESS" OF RECRUITMENT. A SIMPLIFIED
COMMON SENSE BREAKDOWN WOULD BE THAT ASSESSMENT IS THE OBTAINING
AND ANALYZING OF INFORMATION CONCERNING A TARGET INDIVIDUAL TO E
US TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS DESIRABLE AND/OR FEASIBLE T
MOUNT A RECRUITMENT APPROACH; IF OUR ASSESSMENT IS AFFIRMATIVE,
THEN MOVE INTO THE RECRUITMENT PHASE - PRIOR TO WHICH MOVE WE MU
SEEK BUREAU CONCURRENCE AND COORDINATION.

WE INTERPRET MANIPULATION OF A TARGET VIA SOCIAL CONTACTS,
MANY CASES BY OVERT, LEGAL, NONCOMPROMISING BUSINESS ARRANGEME
TO BE PART OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS; THAT IS, AN ATTEMPT TO OBT
INFORMATION REGARDING HIS VULNERABILITY, STRENGTH, IDEOLOGY,

DATE:	COORDINATING OFFICERS		IF USE ONLY
ORIG:			
UNIT:			
EXT:			
RELEASING OFFICER			
CLASSIFICATION			
SECRET			
CROSS REFERENCE		FREE NUMBER	

TEL 0 0 0 0 0 0

6

~~SECRET~~
MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR
TELEPOUCH

DATE - TIME GROUP

DISPATCH NUMBER

BD-9335

☐ INDEX ☐ NO INDEX

☐ RETURN TO:

☐ IF FILE

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY:

ASPIRATIONS, ETC., ALL OF WHICH ARE PERTINENT TO OUR DECISION TO OR NOT GO FOR A RECRUITMENT APPROACH. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE INTERPRET A COMMERCIAL ARRANGEMENT WHICH IS IN ANY WAY COVERT OR QUASI-LEGAL OR IS A PLANNED STEPPING STONE TO THE PLANNED INTRODUCTION OF U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST, TO BE PART OF THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS, TO REQUIRE PRIOR BUREAU CONCURRENCE.

E. PAGE 4, BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: BASES WILL NOTE THAT WE AGREED TO CONSIDER AGENTS RECRUITED IN THE U.S. WHO REMAIN HERE. SAME AS AGENTS RECRUITED ABROAD WHO COME HERE FOR TOURS OR VISITS. ALTHOUGH ONLY THE LATTER ARE SPECIFIED IN THE AGREEMENT, WE FELT IMPLICITLY IT INCLUDED THE FORMER. WE FEEL THAT OUR EQUITIES ARE ADEQUATELY PROTECTED BY THE VERY SPECIFIC TERMINOLOGY OF PARAGRAPHS FIVE AND SIX OF THE 1966 AGREEMENT. NOTE ALSO THAT ALTHOUGH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPHS FOUR, FIVE AND SIX OF THE 1966 AGREEMENT ARE ALL-ENCOMPASSING, WE ARE IN PRACTICE CONCERNED ONLY WITH THOSE AGENTS, OPERATIONS AND SOURCES WHO HAVE REAL OR POTENTIAL CONTACT OR CAPABILITY IN THE FIELDS OF COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND/OR INTERNAL SECURITY; THAT IS, WHO ARE OF KNOWN OR PRESUMED INTEREST TO THE

DATE:	COORDINATING OFFICERS		IF USE ONLY
ORIG:			
UNIT:			
EXT:			
CLEARING OFFICER			
CLASSIFICATION			
SECRET			
CROSS REFERENCE		FILE NUMBER	

OUTGOING TELEPOUCH

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

TEL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 1 OF 1
7 87

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATION

TELEPOUCH

DATE - TIME GROUP

DISPATCH NUMBER

BD-9335

☐ INDEX ☐ NO INDEX☐ RETURN TO☐ INDEX

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY:

BUREAU. IT IS INCUMBENT UPON US, HOWEVER, TO BE METICULOUS IN DETERMINATION OF "PRESUMED" INTEREST AND TO LEAN TOWARDS THAT PRESUMPTION WHEREVER THERE IS ANY DOUBT

4. FILE: 100-002-090.

ATTACHMENT - FBI LETTER, AS STATED ABOVE - H/U

E2 IMPDET-H

DIST:

- 1 - FR/REG, W/ATT
- 1 - FR/COPS W/ATT
- 1 - 100-002-090

DATE: ORIG: UNIT: EXT:	COORDINATING OFFICERS	IF USE ONLY
RELEASING OFFICER CLASSIFICATION SECRET		