				4	are the	Marical of
	SENDER WILL CH	ECK CLA	TICATION			
· ·	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDE	NTIA	L	SECRET
-	OFFI	CIAL R	OUTING	G SI	LIP	!
TO NAME AND ADDRESS				DATE		INITIALS
1	C/FIOB/SRS			3/	3/27/72 JRP	
2	DC/SRS			3-28 13/2		
3	CISKS			3.	28-72	NH
4						
5	Leonard					
6						
	ACTION	DIREC	T REPLY		PREPARI	E REPLY
	APPROYAL	DISPA	TCH		RECOMMENDATION	
	l					
	COMMENT	FILE			RETURN	
Ren	COMMENT CONCURRENCE Darks:		MATION		RETURN SIGNATU	JRE
	CONCURRENCE	INFOR			SIGNATU	
	concurrence narks: 2nother generalise	Lug A	MA. L.	SENI	SIGNATU	
	concurrence narks: 2nother garantee	Lug A	MA. L.	SENI	SIGNATU	
	concurrence narks: 2nother generalise	LINFOR LINFOR LINFOR ADDRESS AN CONARD,	ETURN TO	SENI 10. SRS	SIGNATU	~e

Use previous editions



16 March 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, FIOB/SRS

— RYPHOVED FOR DELEASE 1923 — CLA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM

FROM

1.4.00000

: Robert J. Leonard

SUBJECT

: Marvin KANTOR

aka Melvin KANTOR

#539 326


STATUS REPORT

Synopsis:

NORMAN reported that Melvin KANTOR, born 1937, whose cryptonym is "KARP," and who was a student at Harvard University and who had distant relatives in the Soviet Union, was, in 1961, reported by Eugene NOSKOV as having been recruited on ideological grounds. Vladimir Vladimirovich IVANOV handled this case which was turned over to the First Chief Directorate. NORMAN admitted that NOSKOV could have been mistaken on the date as NOSKOV did not have first hand knowledge of the matter.

Marvin KANTOR, a professor at Northwestern University, born 9 May 1934 at New York City, New York, was a student at the University of Copenhagen from 1957 - 1961. He visited his uncle in Minsk, USSR in 1958 and 1959 and admitted to a CIA officer in 1961 extensive contacts and cooperation with Soviet officials in Copenhagen, Denmark and in Minsk, USSR. He also recounted a clear recruitment attempt by one Evegeniy KAN in Minsk in 1959 which he allegedly refused. Yet he continued contacts with Soviets in Copenhagen through April 1961. He has made additional visits to the Soviet Union in 1965, 1969 and 1970. He has repeatedly denied any contacts in the United States, or abroad, by Soviet Intelligence since 1961.







Background:

Marvin KANTOR was born on 9 May 1934 in New York City, New York, of Russian born naturalized parents, Irving and Sarah (BRODSKY) KANTOR. Marvin KANTOR served in the U. S. Marine Corps from February 1953 to August 1955, attaining the rank of Corporal (aviation mechanic) and remained in the USMC Reserve until 1963.

KANTOR attended the following schools: DeWitt Clinton
High School, Bronx, New York, graduated 1952; Fairleigh Dickinson
University, New Jersey, 1956-1957 as a night student; University of
Copenhagen, Denmark, September 1957 - June 1961; Fordham University, Bronx, New York, September 1961 - August 1962, M.A.
degree; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, September
1962, working for Ph. D. and employed as a lecturer in Slavic
languages.

KANTOR visited the Soviet Union on the following occasions:

May - July 1958 Minsk, 3 days in Moscow
June - Sept 1959 Minsk, 2 days in Moscow
August 1965 Moscow, Study-Tour Group
Mar - Apr 1969 Moscow, Study
Mar - Apr 1970 Moscow, Leningrad - researching

KANTOR, upon receiving M.A. degree at Fordham University in 1962, attended the University of Michigan where he studied for a Ph.D. and was employed as a lecturer in Slavic languages. He was still affiliated with the University of Michigan when he accompanied the tour group of students to the Soviet Union in 1965. In June 1966, after KANTOR's return from a years study in Europe, he indicated he would begin employment as an instructor at Brooklyn College. By 1969 KANTOR was employed in the Russian Language Department at Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois.

In 1969, KANTOR advised the FBI that at no time since his return to the United States in 1969, and also after his visit to the Soviet Union in 1965, have any Soviet personnel contacted him other than the communications he has had with his relatives in Minsk. He stated that he feels the Soviet Intelligence Service has just forgotten about him.





Information From NORMAN:

NORMAN was given three pages of his notes, on 28 November 1967, and was requested to translate the notes and give an explanation of how he obtained the information. NORMAN furnished the following in response to this request:

"The recruitments of the 1st Section, 7th Department, 2nd Chief Directory in 1960-1962, reported to me by a senior case officer of the 1st Section, NOSKOV, Evgeni, who, during the absence of the chief of the 1st Section, DERA, temporarily acted as Chief of Section...

"4'Karp' - KANTOR Melvin, born in 1937, a citizen of the USA, student at Harvard University, has far relatives in Russia, recruited on a loyal basis. Against him worked a senior case officer (later chief of Section), IVANOV, Vladimir. The file was given to the 1st Chief Directory."

On 9 May 1968, the FBI furnished a translation of available notes of NORMAN. A report on "Recruitment of Foreigners by the personnel of the 1st Section" contained the following:

"1961 - 6 'Karl' - Melvin CANTOR, born 1937, Harvard University student; has distant relatives in the USSR. Recruited on loyalty basis (case) transferred to PGU."

On 27 July 1968, NORMAN was requested to consider carefully several cases on NORMAN's list of "recruitments of the First Section of the Seventh Department in 1960-1962 reported by NOSKOV." NOR-MAN was asked if there could be any cases on this list which were in 1959. NORMAN replied that there could be 1959 cases included; that NOSKOV could be mistaken; that the list was not important as to when the recruitment took place. For NORMAN, who was preparing the report for BOBKOV, it was merely who were recruited (agents) during the entire period. NOSKOV could have made some mistakes because he was asking officers in the absence of the Chief of Section. Some of these officers perhaps did not remember or just knew a little bit, such as a file being given to the First Chief Directory. It was concluded possible, therefore, that there could be a 1959 case in this list.



At this point, the case of Melvin KANTOR was referred to and NORMAN was asked if he was reading that as Melvin KANTOR. NORMAN was told there was a case on an individual by the name of Marvin KANTOR. NORMAN stated, "If he is the same, 30... was born in '37, and was a student in Harvard, was studying, it's possible he... because, you know there wasn't file and there isn't place where he can check." NORMAN affirmed that there wasn't a file to look at to get the information on KANTOR. He stated, "He (NOSKOV) has written himself 'given in First Chief Directory.' That's why he could make mistake."

NORMAN was asked if he thought the recruitment of "KARP" was made by Vladimir IVANOV. NORMAN replied that it was possibly IVANOV but NORMAN did not know. NORMAN denied, however, that it could possibly have been Yevgeniy NOSKOV who recruited "KARP" because NOSKOV could then have written something definite without mistakes. NOSKOV wrote too little on this case. This made NORMAN think that NOSKOV was not directly involved in the "KARP" recruitment.

During an interview of NORMAN, on 27 and 31 January 1969. concerning Melvin KANTOR, NORMAN was advised information had been received that KANTOR brought a bottle of wiskey and some other articles to a Soviet correspondent in Moscow named SHARIF at the request of an official of the Soviet Embassy, Copenhagen, Denmark, where KANTOR was a university student; and, at SHARIF's request, KANTOR wrote an article for which SHARIF paid him and KANTOR was required to give SHARIF a receipt for the money. NORMAN identified SHARIF as an agent of Vladimir IVANOV of the Seventh Department, SCD, KGB, and source expressed the opinion, "It was combination of IVANOV and Seventh Department that KANTOR had come to write a short article of his impressions of Minsk - (and SHARIF) had given him 5,000 rubles - it was KGB's combination" because SHARIF "cannot do this .- .any correspondent cannot do this thing - and SHARIF was an agent." NORMAN indicated that the money given by SHARIF to KANTOR undoubtedly was KGB money, and it was the KGB which insisted that SHARIF obtain a receipt from KANTOR.

Among handwritten comments NORMAN furnished while reviewing photographs and names of numerous Soviets who had been in the United States, are the following comments concerning Dzhavad Azizovich SHARIF:





October 2, 1969: "SHARIF, D.A. - an agent of 7th Department, SCD, KGB; in 1959 there were a number of signals (indications) that he was studied by American Intelligence for recruitment. SCD, KGB was planning to make an operative game on this basis."

November 7, 1969: "SHARIF, D.A. - a correspondent; an agent of the 7th Department, SCD, KGB; in 1959 SCD, KGB was hoping that he would be recruited by CIA."

Among handwritten comments NORMAN furnished concerning KGB agents about whom he had some knowledge, without the benefit of photographs or specific names to refresh his recollection, is the following:

"SHABAD - a correspondent, an agent of the 7th Department, 2nd Chief Directory; in 1959 were signals that the American Intelligence studied him - at the end of 1959 he was in the USA with KHRUSHCHEV's group - SHABAD was instructed if (there) would be approachment to transfer a conversation in Moscow - but there was not made an approachment to him in the USA in 1959."

NORMAN subsequently indicated he confused the name of Theodore SHABAD, an American correspondent, with Soviet correspondent, SHARIF.

On 27 January 1969, NORMAN furnished information to representatives of the FBI which has been reported as follows:

"(NORMAN) recalled that KANTOR was recruited by the KGB in the USSR in 1959. He was recruited by representatives of the 7th Department, Second Chief Directorate while traveling as a tourist in the USSR. (NORMAN) recalled that Vladimir Vladimirovich IVANOV, a KGB officer, was operating an agent who was a correspondent named Dzhavad Azizovich SHARIF. This correspondent was placed in contact with KANTOR and, on behalf of KGB, induced KANTOR to write his impressions of Minsk. (NORMAN) stated that SHARIF would not have done this on his





own initiative. KANTOR was given 500 rubles and signed a receipt which the KGB intended to use for blackmail purposes at a later date. (KGB would indicate that the money was given by the KGB rather than by a newspaper correspondent.)

"In addition, for blackmail purposes, KGB was planning to place Vladimir Arsentyevich GRUSHA in contact with KANTOR. GRUSHA was a KGB officer who had been expelled from the United States as persona non grata. KGB planned to photograph him with KANTOR and use the photograph to support a contention that KANTOR was in contact with the KGB. Source did not know if this plan was actually carried out.

"Source believed that IVANOV had contact with KANTOR and was involved in the recruitment of KANTOR, but, of course, was not alone in the approach.

"Source stated that the Seventh Department did not have any information from the First Chief Directorate (FCD) regarding KANTOR but began study of him on its own initiative.

"When advised of the Soviet refusal of a visa for KANTOR in 1961, Source stated this may have been done on the instructions of KGB to avoid attracting American Intelligence Service (AIS) attention to KANTOR. Another trip to the USSR might appear suspicious to AIS."

On 31 January 1969, NORMAN provided information to representatives of the FBI which has been reported as follows:

"On January 31, 1969, the Source, in response to questions, stated that he knew GRUSHA was to be used in one of the cases handled by IVANOV but he was not sure that this was the KANTOR case and he might have been mistaken when he reported this on January 27, 1969. He stated KGB "had a good thing"



against KANTOR in the form of a receipt for money paid to him and they could claim it was given by KGB.

"Source was advised that KANTOR reportedly delivered gifts to SHARIF at the request of a Soviet contact in Copenhagen, Boris KHRYACHKOV, who was assigned to the Soviet Embassy. Source commented that FCD, recognizing that KANTOR could possibly be recruited, arranged for SCD to select an agent (SHARIF) to whom KANTOR could be sent to facilitate his assessment and recruitment. In the event the recruitment was successful, the SCD would inform FCD which in turn would inform the Residentura in Copenhagen."

CIA Operational Debriefing of KANTOR, April - May 1961:

Under pretext of an inquiry connected with a survey of American scholars and graduate students engaged in Slavic studies in Scandinavian universities, KANTOR was interviewed by a CIA officer on 11 and 12 April 1961.

Although KANTOR was first interviewed under selected academic cover, as the discussion reached the point of his contacts with Soviet citizens and officials in USSR in 1958 and 1959, he was informed of American Intelligence interest. KANTOR was interviewed in depth from 1500 to 2030 and then at dinner in a restaurant until 2330. The next day KANTOR ascertained the interviewer's bona-fides without divulging intelligence connection and met again at 1500 as directed. The second debriefing lasted 4 hours.

KANTOR provided information that he had been in the USSR twice, in 1958 and 1959, for protracted visits with his uncle in Minsk; that he had been in contact with Soviet Embassy officials and RIS representatives, both in Copenhagen and Minsk, since fall 1957 until February 1961; that he had been subject to a RIS recruitment attempt in Minsk in summer 1959, which he allegedly refused; and that he still planned to visit his relatives in Minsk, in May or June, before returning to the United States in September.

KANTOR was instructed by American Intelligence to proceed with his application for a Soviet visa, make no effort to revive his semi-



dormant contact with his last RIS contact in Copenhagen, and to report the outcome of his visit to the Soviet consular officials.

KANTOR stated that he had been in the USSR twice, both times on a visitor's visa issued to him for stays with his uncle in Minsk. He was on the first trip from end of May till middle of July, 1958. His first visa was issued for one month and he renewed it for an additional period of two weeks at the Minsk OVIR. He returned via Moscow by plane directly to Copenhagen, but spent 2-3 days in Moscow. Otherwise he was in Minsk all the time.

His second trip to the USSR lasted from 2 June 1959 to 8 September 1959. Except for the days, 6 and 7 September, which KANTOR spent in Moscow obtaining valid Polish and East German transit visas, he stayed in Minsk all the time, about 3 months.

KANTOR visited his parents in New York from November 1958 to January 1959. In the summer of 1960, he traveled with his mother, by car, from LeHarve to Berlin when she went to the USSR to visit her brother.

KANTOR related that he was befriended by Boris Fedorovich KHRYACHKOV, a Soviet diplomatic official in Copenhagen in 1957 and 1958. In Minsk, KANTOR was contacted on several occasions by a.man from a "Ministry," Viktor Ivanovich SAZONOV, who, on their second or third meeting, offered KANTOR 500 rubles to buy a gift for KANTOR's aunt. KANTOR refused this money. SAZONOV urged KANTOR to take an active part in the "peace struggle" and to "cooperate" but made no specific proposals or suggestions. KANTOR claimed that he was listening to SAZONOV and nodding momor less his assent to the idea that peace is better than war, that atomic weapons should not be used, etc., etc. KANTOR admitted that SAZONOV could well have concluded, on the basis of their conversations, that KANTOR was more or less a sympathizer. KANTOR stated that he guessed what SAZONOV was after, but since KANTOR did not give out any information and agreed with SAZONOV's general idea only passively, KANTOR did not think that their meetings were of great significance. KANTOR also stated that one request by SAZONOV was outright foolish; namely, that KANTOR could help the "peaceful forces" by signaling to them a threat of war from the West (early warning!). The term intelligence was never mentioned during KANTOR's stay in Minsk and he left in July without having accepted any instructions or assignments from SAZONOV.



KANTOR further related that he met KHRYACHKOV again at the Embassy in September 1958 and stated he wanted to return to Minsk for the whole summer of 1959 because he had enjoyed his stay very much. KHRYACHKOV promised to help him get a visa. After KANTOR's return from a visit to his family in the United States, he again went to the Embassy and contacted KHRYACHKOV regarding his visa. In February 1959, KHRYACHKOV initiated the beginning of an obviously clandestine meeting system away from the Embassy and KHRYACHKOV's home. From February to May 1959 they met several times on street corners and cheap eating places. KANTOR was requested to tell whatever he knew about friends and student acquaintances in Copenhagen, the specific requirements for admission at the university, the organization "Clarte" and other information not bearing upon KAN-TOR's visa application. KANTOR reluctantly admitted that he submitted 🞄 four or five written "statements" in his own handwriting to KHRYACHKOV during this period. KANTOR also admitted supplying the following names of American students who were in Copenhagen at that time: Murry BROWN; David BRIAR; Peter LENNEHAN; Raymond KEA, a Negro student; and Don COMERFORD. KHRYACHKOV evidenced interest in Noel FOX and Daniel MIKELSON, editors of the "Scandinavian Times" and Rembert Craven ALLEY, an American architect.

When KANTOR visited Minsk for the second time, in June 1959, he was approached by Yevgeniy KAHN who said he had been in the United States, implied he had been in New York and Washington, D.C., and asked about KANTOR's background and the purpose of his visit. He also asked that KANTOR meet him on the next day at the Hotel Byelorussia, purportedly in connection with KANTOR's request for an extension of his visa and sojourn permit in Minsk. KAHN appeared to KANTOR to be more authoritative then SAZONOV.

The next day, KAHN told KANTOR that if he wanted to stay a longer time with his relatives in Minsk, the Soviet authorities had to be certain about his good intentions and good faith and that full information was needed on his personal background, his family, his military service, his friends, etc. KAHN asked KANTOR a lot of questions and again talked at great length about peace and the war 'threats from the West and about how every "decent" and "honest" person should actively participate in the peace fight. He offered KANTOR money and travel to other places in the USSR, which KANTOR allegedly refused. Then KAHN gave KANTOR an address to contact KAHN at in Moscow should he desire to contact KAHN.





After the contact by KAHN, SAZONOV again began to make more or less regular appearances and give "political indoctrination talks."

Towards the end of July 1959, after the extension of KANTOR's sojourn permit, SAZONOV invited KANTOR to the Byelorussia Hotel where KAHN was expecting them. During this meeting, KAHN identified himself as a representative of an intelligence service "razvedka" and made the first clear recruitment pitch. He wanted KANTOR to sign a loyalty pledge that KANTOR would collaborate with Soviet Intelligence. KANTOR claims he refused point blank. An argument ensued during which KANTOR said, "If you trust me, why should I sign anything." In the end, KAHN said that KANTOR's oral agreement would be acceptable. KANTOR, however, refused this too and SAZONOV expressed "deep disappointment." Although they took KANTOR to dinner and continued to belabor him, KANTOR allegedly remained adamant and refused to collaborate. KANTOR did not see KAHN again but SAZONOV appeared once or twice. KANTOR, though shaken up by the incident, did not reveal the recruitment attempt to his uncle. In the middle of August KANTOR obtained another extension of his visa and sojourn permit without difficulty.

On 1 or 2 September, KANTOR and his uncle were summoned to appear at the OVIR and were brusquely informed that KANTOR had been a "bad boy," had been "attending bad company," and that he was to leave Minsk in three days. KANTOR connected this expulsion order with his refusal to collaborate with the RIS. Since KANTOR had no valid Polish transit visa he was turned back by Polish border authorities and had to go to Moscow where he was admonished to register immediately with the militia. He spent one night at the National Hotel in Moscow and secured his East-German and Polish transit visas. He departed Moscow on 7 September, exited USSR on 8 September and reached Copenhagen on 10 September 1959.

Although KANTOR, disgusted with the recruitment attempt and his expulsion from the USSR, did not plan to go to the USSR again and therefore did not seek further contacts with KHRYACHKOV in Copenhagen, he continued to frequent the International Club and there met Vladislav Mikhailovich ABARCHALIN, in October or November 1959. It was through ABARCHALIN that KHRYACHKOV resumed contact with



KANTOR and explained that "they" had been too "rash" and that everything could be straightened out. KANTOR had several street corner meetings with KHRYACHKOV between November 1959 and April 1960. KHRYACHKOV continued to ask KANTOR about his friends in Copenhagen and advised him to lose or destroy his passport because of the many Soviet, East German and Polish visas in it.

In April 1960, KHRYACHKOV introduced KANTOR to another Soviet, "Grigoriy" or "Georgiy," and told KANTOR that he should maintain contact with "Georgiy." At the second meeting with Georgiy, in the middle of May 1960, KANTOR was requested to submit a written statement about his student friends in Copenhagen, which KANTOR allegedly refused to do. KANTOR had two more meetings with Georgiy during the summer of 1960, provided no information to him and moved in October 1960, breaking contact.

In January 1961, KANTOR received a letter from his uncle in Minsk asking if KANTOR could visit him again. KANTOR decided that since he was returning to the United States in September another opportunity to visit the USSR might not materialize for a long time and so he decided to make another trip for "sentimental reasons." KANTOR therefore visited the Soviet Embassy in January 1961 and there met Georgiy who expressed his pleasure at seeing KANTOR again. Georgiy met with KANTOR during the first week of February 1961, asked KAN-TOR the same questions about foreign students and again was refused information by KANTOR who said he was too busy with his studies. However, KANTOR admitted that he gave Georgiy his new address and did not explicitely refuse to meet him again. Georgiy appeared to be disgusted with KANTOR and made no arrangements for another meeting. KANTOR subsequently went to the Soviet Embassy several times that spring in connection with his visa application and was told to come back on 14 April 1961.

All of the above details were admitted by KANTOR during a debriefing by American Intelligence on 11 and 12 April 1961.

KANTOR identified Georgiy as Georgiy MOCHALOV (aka MOTCHALOV), Second Secretary of the Soviet Embassy in Copenhagen. He also identified photographs of Vladislav Mikhailovich ABARCHALIN and Boris Fedorovich KHRYACHOV. KANTOR, in addition, identified the following students who frequented the International Club and could

have had contact with Vladislav Mikhailovich ABARCHALIN: Rembert Craven ALLEY and Philip ROSENBLUM.

A memorandum, dated 5 May 1961, regarding contact meetings on 3 and 4 May, prepared by a CIA Staff Employee, contained this addenda on KANTOR's contacts with the Soviets. KANTOR stated that at the meetings on 11 and 12 April he had forgotten to mention an episode that had occurred on his first trip to the USSR in 1958. Before KANTOR departed Copenhagen, KHRYACHKOV gave KANTOR two English language books and two bottles of whiskey. KHRYACHKOV asked KANTOR to transmit the books and one bottle of whiskey to one (FNU) SHARIFF, a member of the staff on the Moscow News, whom KANTOR was to call up if he came to Moscow, telephone number B 8-06-10. The second bottle of whiskey was to be given to KANTOR's uncle as a present. On his return from Minsk to Copenhagen, KANTOR spent three days in Moscow and stayed at the Metropole. He remembered KHRYACHKOV's request, called up SHARIFF (Dzhavad Azizovich SHARIF) and was visited by SHARIFF at the Hotel. KANTOR transmitted the two books and one bottle of whiskey to SHARIFF who asked KANTOR to write a short article for the Moscow News about his impressions in Minsk. KANTOR agreed and wrote about two pages. SHARIFF accepted the article, gave KANTOR 500 rubles as a fee, and promised to send KANTOR a copy of the issue if the article were to be published. KANTOR signed a receipt for the money. KANTOR stated that he did not make any copy and does not know whether the article was ever used. KANTOR said that this was the only time that he had received any money from the Soviets and that he felt there was nothing wrong with this payment.

KANTOR explained his dealings with the Soviets - letting both KHRYACHKOV and SAZONOV believe he was not opposed to being friends with the Soviets - as a very clever and skilful devise to enable him to keep the door open for further visits to the USSR. After the recruitment pitch and after his semi-expulsion from the USSR, he maintained the contact with KHRYACHKOV and later MOCHALOV only reluctantly and only because he did not want to cause any trouble to his relatives in the USSR. However, the only threat KANTOR received was from MOCHALOV who told him once that if he did not collaborate, no visa would be forthcoming.

KANTOR admitted candidly that his desire to study the Russian language was so strong in 1958 and 1959 that if, at that time, the Soviets had offered him an opportunity to attend a Soviet university, he would



have accepted, even if he had been discouraged by U. S. authorities. However, after his experience in 1959, he allegedly changed his view radically and just wanted to be left alone. He could not, however, provide a logical explanation of why he did not report everything to the U. S. authorities. When questioned sharply on this point he became emotional and stated that if he was still doing what the Soviets had told him to do he certainly would have grabbed the opportunity to destroy his passport and simply deny that he had been in the USSR in 1959.

KANTOR stated he believed that while he had been very naive in the beginning of his contacts with the Soviets and the RIS, he did not really engage in any "subversive activity," did not supply any valuable information to them, and did not commit anything conflicting with his loyalty as a U. S. citizen.

Additional Information From CIA Re KANTOR:

A memorandum to the FBI, dated 9 August 1961, CSCI 3/766, 642, contained information from one of KANTOR's acquaintances referred to as "informant":

- "a) In mid-June 1961, KANTOR tried to interest the informant in taking a trip to the USSR of about three weeks duration . . . KANTOR expressed a willingness to absorb personally any of informant's expenses in excess of the estimated cost, within 'several hundred krones'. KANTOR stated that if this trip did not materialize, he would probably make a short trip to Yugoslavia, 'for a last look at Slavic Europe,' before returning to the States . . . where he would attend the special language program at Fordham University. . .
- "b) Subsequently, when the informant decided he could not make the trip, KANTOR decided to call it off, although he was still desirous of visiting the Soviet Union again before returning to the United States.
- "c) KANTOR felt that the USSR had honored all of her agreements at Yalta and Potsdam and that the United States had no legal rights in West Berlin, especially since the USSR, legally at least, had moved out of East Berlin.



However, he feels neither the USSR nor the United States is entirely in the right. He is favorable towards the United States . . . however, if the USSR. were to take the initiative (in a new approach to the problem), then he would have to side with them . . . KANTOR appeared to be a strict Marxist (on economic questions) . . . under Communism it is possible for a backward nation to advance to the status of a major power in a short time. The United States system, . . . is out moded and run by profiteers and gangsters who have no interest in the underpriviledged. Culturally, the Soviets have better tasts and are moving ahead while the United States is standing still . . . The main problem in the world is to prevent war and, KANTOR feels, there is no doubt that the intentions of the USSR are peaceful.

A memorandum to the FBI, dated 23 August 1961, CSCI 3/766, 845, reflects that the CIA had recently learned that KANTOR had been in daily contact with one William STEINSMITH, an American citizen born about 1933, currently living in Denmark without a residence permit. CIA had been advised, by a usually reliable source, that STEINSMITH had maintained a clandestine contact with a Cuban official in Copenhagen, Gabriel CALAFORR Salas, and had sold pro-CASTRO articles to the local Danish press. It was also learned that KANTOR had recently obtained a check for \$1000 ostensibly from his father to pay for his fare back to the United States.

Possible Connection to OSWALD Investigation:

In a memorandum to the FBI, CSCI 316/00925-65, dated 2 March 1965, this Agency pointed out the following coincidences in the backgrounds of KANTOR and Lee Harvey and Marina OSWALD:

a) KANTOR was in Minsk, USSR, for six weeks during the summer of 1958 and during the period 2 June to September 1959. Although OSWALD apparently did not arrive in Minsk until January 1960, Marina OSWALD, according to her own testimony, arrived in Minsk towards the end of August.

SECRET

- b) KANTOR claimed to have been something of an oddity in Minsk since he was the only American residing there at the time. As such, he claimed to have attracted to himself a group of young Soviets who displayed an unusual curiosity about America and Western Europe. Among these young Soviets, according to KANTOR, was a young man named Igor (LNU), "whose father was a Soviet Army general. OSWALD also reportedly considered himself to be an oddity as the only American in Minsk and attracted more or less the same type young Soviets as did KANTOR. OSWALD listed among his close friends in Minsk a young Soviet named Pavel GOLOVACHEV, whose father ostensibly was a Soviet Army general.
- c) Both KANTOR and OSWALD had served as enlisted men and technicians in the United States Marine Corps.
- d) As of 14 August 1964, KANTOR was employed by the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, as a lecturer in Slavic languages and literature. Marina OSWALD was enrolled in a special English language course at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, as of March 1965.

CIA Interest in KANTOR:

Agency interest in Marvin KANTOR was first aroused upon receipt of information from the U. S. Consul in Copenhagen which was sent to the FBI in a memorandum dated 16 April 1959. KANTOR had

25

On 29 November 1960, dispatch CDCA 5769 reported agent spotting of KANTOR at Copenhagen University as a prospect for recruitment. Headquarters replied by Dispatch dated 15 December 1960 warning of KANTOR's contact with Soviet Embassy Clerk, Vladislav ABARCHALIN 22 (CDCA) 8044, 22 December 1959). It was suggested that it would be interesting to get KANTOR's view of his contact with ABARCHALIN and any other local Soviets and of the Soviet effort to contact American

SEGRET,

students in Denmark. This dispatch also warned that KANTOR was of Sensitive Senset deleted

Prior to the above expressed interest in recruiting KANTOR, CIA had been in receipt of FBI Reports on KANTOR characterized as "Internal Security-R," based upon receipt of information on his contacts with ABARCHALIN and Rembert Craven ALLEY and his visits to the USSR in 1958 and 1959.

On 10 February 1961, Headquarters was advised that Marvin KANTOR was considered ripe for a direct approach to explore his REDSKIN, LCIMPROVE, LCHARVEST potential. Headquarter traces and POA were requested.

A POA was granted on I March 1961 for contract, assessment and polygraph only.

Consequently, KANTOR was approached and interviewed on 11 and 12 April 1961. KANTOR revealed himself as a long-time, but allegedly unwitting, Soviet Intelligence contact in Copenhagen. On 3 and 4 May 1961, a second debriefing was conducted. KANTOR reported that he had visited the Soviet Embassy in Copenhagen on 17 April 1961 and was told that his chances for a visa were practically non-existent. It was noted by Copenhagen Station) that since KANTOR's REDSKIN potential had evaporated and since the RIS had made no move to renew their contract, that no further action was warranted and KANTOR was instructed to advise CIA of any further contact by the Soviets in Europe and to advise the FBI if the RIS should seek to establish contact after KANTOR's return to the U.S. The last contact of Copenhagen Station (4-6) with KANTOR was on 29 August 1961, just prior to his return to the U.S. on 2 September 1961.

On 7 May 1964, this Agency, in response to a request from the U.S. Civil Service Commission, provided a summary of pertinent information on KANTOR.

On 25 August 1967, in response to an inquiry from the National Security Agency, a copy of CSCI-3/781 263, dated 7 May 1964, previously sent to the Civil Service Commission, was made available with the comment that it reflected all pertinent information available in CIA files.



CIA has had no further action regarding KANTOR to date.

FBI Information:

Pages 17-20 have been completely sanitized to preclude release of FBI information. The reports cited and quoted from are (Below) identified on the brown envelope/with an "X" preceding the FBI citation. Two additional FBI reports were utilized that are not identified on the envelope. They are:

FBI rpt dated 8/14/64 - Marvin Kantor

FBI rpt dated 5/4/64 - Marvin Kantor

4-00000

SECRETI

Ŀ.

SECRET

SECRET

14-00000



SECRET - 20 -



Soviets Involved in KANTOR Case:

NORMAN stated that Vladimir Vladimirovich IVANOV had the case of Melvin (Marvin) KANTOR who was recruited by the 7th Department, SCD, KGB. NORMAN did not know if IVANOV himself was in on the recruitment of KANTOR but did consider that this was possible.

NORMAN did know that it could not have been Evgeni NOSKOV who recruited KANTOR. NORMAN also stated that the case on KANTOR was turned over to the First Chief Directorate.

According to KANTOR's statement in 1961, he was approached in Minsk in June 1959 by Yevgeniy KAN or KAHN who identified himself as a representative of a Soviet Intelligence Service and made a recruitment pitch which KANTOR allegedly turned down. KAN was described by KANTOR as in his middle thirties (in 1959), balding, not of Jewish appearance, spoke English, said that he knew New York and Washington, D. C., showed a certain familiarity with American life and American slang. KAN also said he was a lawyer and that he normally resided in Moscow. He appeared to KANTOR to be more authorative than KANTOR's first Soviet official contact in Minsk, Victor Ivanovich SAZONOV. KANTOR was approached by SAZONOV in Minsk in June 1958. SAZONOV was described as 35-40 years of age, medium size, dark hair, low forehead, "sad dog" looks. SAZONOV spoke English and told KANTOR that he had been stationed in Calcutta, India and that he knew Hindi.

KANTOR identified Boris Fedorovich KHAYACHKOV, of the Soviet Embassy in Copenhagen; Vladislav Mikhailovich ABARCHALIN whom KANTOR met about November 1959 in the International Club in Copenhagen; and Georgiy MOCHALOV, Second Secretary of the Soviet Embassy in Copenhagen to whom KANTOR was introduced in April 1960 by KHRYACHKOV as his replacement contact for KANTOR.

There is no evidence that KANTOR was in direct contact with Vladimir Aleksandrovitch CHURANOV (TCHOURANOV). But an FBI report, dated 10 June 1960, reflected receipt of information from a

In 1961, KANTOR advised that KHRYACHKOV knew of Rembert Craven ALLEY, an American architect who was a frequent visitor at





the International Club. KANTOR also advised that Rembert Craven ALLEY seemed to be on closer terms with ABARCHALIN than other students. KANTOR said that once, in the first days of November 1959, he met ABARCHALIN with Rembert Craven ALLEY and several others outside at the "Drop Inn" restaurant which KANTOR used to patronize. KANTOR stated that it was ABARCHALIN who again put KANTOR into contact with KHRYACHKOV at the end of November 1959. KANTOR said that he last saw ABARCHALIN at a stag party at ALLEY's place at Christmas 1959.

Rembert Craven ALLEY, Jr. was interviewed by the FBI on 6 June 1961 regarding association with "LADISLAV" (phonetic), an employee of the Soviet Embassy at Copenhagen.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

As early as 14 April 1961, it was written by the CIA officer who interviewed KANTOR that with all the benefit of doubt that could be given to KANTOR regarding his involvement with the Soviets, KANTOR's motivation for his continued contacts, after his second trip to the USSR in 1959, had to be considerably more complex than KANTOR had admitted. The assessor stated:

"In simple professional terms, from August 1957 - July 1959, he has been under development by two RIS representatives (KHRYACHKOV and SAZONOV), was





the target of a recruitment attempt by KAHN, collaborated with KHRYACHKOV even after the alleged refusal of recruitment from November 1959 - April 1960 and remained a casual RIS contact and source for GRIGORIY from April 1960 until at least February 1961. He revealed his RIS involvement only to his mother, did not report anything to American authorities until confronted . . . Under slight psychological pressure he confessed with an obvious sense of relief . . . But though Subject now claims that he feels an American and that if it comes to spying he would rather do it for his country, this late discovered loyalty does not ring entirely convincing. . .

"The RIS has been in touch with Subject for more than three years, has exposed to him three representatives in Copenhagen and two in the USSR, and obviously has not written off Subject as a hopeless case."

Subsequent to the above evaluation, KANTOR tried to obtain a visa to visit the Soviet Union in the summer of 1961 and did indeed return to the Soviet Union in 1965, 1969 and 1970. KANTOR's repeated denials to the FBI that he has not been approached by Soviet Intelligence since his departure from Copenhagen in 1961 and his suggestion that Soviet bureaucracy resulted in him not being identified with his previous visits to the Soviet Union, deny the realities of KGB operations as we know them. (Will Professors Richard BURGI or Frederick BARGHOORN dare to return to the Soviet Union?)

As a result of NORMAN's statements that KANTOR was recruited by the Seventh Department, Second Chief Directorate, KGB, and turned over to the First Chief Directorate, KANTOR should be considered as possibly an active agent of the First Chief Directorate, KGB, today.

Fortunately the FBI, with jurisdiction over this matter, is fully apprised of all the facts herein.

Action:

Since KANTOR should be considered as a possible active agent of the KGB, this case should remain open.

Robert J. Leonard

FIOB/SRS

RJL:fmt

SFC - 23 -