THET THEP

14 July 1961

MEHORARDUM FOR: Chief, WI/A

SUNJECT : Comments on NEW Plan for Auti-Castro Operations

1. The Poriniento Revolucionario del Pueblo (MCT) has submitted a plan for the conduct of anti-Castro operations. The original was received from the MSP Chief, Panuel Antonio Ray y Rivero, in Mammi on 17 June 1961 and a copy of it handcarried to Scalquarters on 19 June 1961. It is our understanding that certain (to us unspecified) elements in the United States Covernment view the Ray plan with favor, feeling that the "tabe" in the way of information and the maintenance of a resistance organization in Cuba would be worth the price.

2. We have been asked to comment on the NET plan. Attached are the comments of Mr. (Michael Taylor, forwarly of this Section, and several members of the Will Pittair. Paragraph 6 was substited by Mr. Dave Phillips, Chief, Mi/4/Propagands. I might add that no one is in agreement with the entire paper. We all agree, however, with the conclusion that the plan, as smallted, is not one pariting support from this or any other U. S. Dovernment agency. We see little chance for a researchis return for ever unless real control by the provider of the funds is built into the plan.

Robert 3. Noore Chief, WH/A/PK

Distribution: Original & I - Addresses

SECRET

CONTRICT ON THE MED GETTAL PROCESA

- 1. The MTP plan presented by Manolo Ray proposes, in brief, that the MTP be provided with funds in the amount of \$2,343,000 for a six-month period, with which to mount anti-Castro operations. It is assumed that additional financing would be provided, at a rate exceeding \$2,000,000 per year, until the objectives were achieved.
- 2. No where in the plan is there any statement as to what return, if any, the providers of the funds would receive for their support, other than the knowledge that the money had been provided for furtherance of the desirable goal of bringing about the desirable goal of the castro regime.
- 3. It is noteworthy that the plan insists that the IRP retain complete and sole control of all the operations contemplated. Thus, it states, on page 6, that:

"Contributions will be received without compromising the responsibility for decisions and organization of the plans. This does not rule out our search for sommelling and coordination with other factors present, but without ever surrendering the responsibility and absolute control of the operations. (Underlining added)

It also appears to be the MTP view that its position should be enalogous to that of a government-in-exile which has been recognised as the rightful regime of its country. Thus the plan states that:

- ".....vith the governments willing to support us we should establish high-level contact which vill more it possible to discuss questions of basic policy of events and plans opportunely, on a mutual footing." (Underlining added)
- 4. The operations contemplated by the HPP are not too dissimilar from those proposed by the Wi/4/PH Section for FY 1962. They include the operation of maritime assets capable of reaching all Cuban coasts, the operation of aerial supply craft (from two bases), the training and infiltration of W/T operators and intelligence agents, support of internal guerrillas, and the training of eight or ten 190-man groups for introduction into Cuba at the right time. (There is also considerable stress given to the mounting of extensive psychological variane). The HPP plan would pose many of the problems that any PP program does -- the when, where, how, and how such questions involved in procuring and operating air and maritime bases and the policy questions relating to actions which include sabotage and active resistance.

- 5. It is our view that the PP plan should not be supported in its present form for these reasons:
- a. The MT socks exclusive control of the anti-Castro resistance. The plan itself states that the best hope for the Cuban people is offered by the set of ideas and aims of the denocratic left. Aside from the truth or falsity of that statement, the MT is in no position to claim effective leadership of the anti-Castro resistance. There have been, and are sany groups in that field. The MT has no record of action of which we have reliable evidence which would argue for giving them preferential status. In specific instances their claim to certain capabilities have been tested and found vanting. It is our view that reliance upon groups as such, with no control, is detrimental to operational achievement.
- b. The MTP seeks "blank-check" financial support while retaining complete control of operations. To fir us we are source there is no provision in either Agency or U.S. Government policies and regulations which would permit the handing over of the substantial sume envisaged by the MTP plan without retention by the down of the same measure of control over the use of the funds. The MTP plan, e.g., almost certainly would involve operations mounted from beaus within U.S. territorial jurisdiction, yet those operations would remain under MTP "absolute control". We doubt that the F.B.I., Customs and Ismigration Service, Coust Guard and other concerned agencies would ever agree to any such arrangement where U.S. territory is concerned, and we would certainly be in no position to wouch for the IMP operations. Anti-Castro operations mounted from anywhere cataliae of Cuba are going to be attributed to the United States. Since that is the case, the U.S. Government must control those operations as effectively as it can.
- of finds. While governments, including in some instances governments-in-exile, can be treated as equals by the U.S. Covernment, there is no precedent for extending such treatment to a private organization. To do so in this case would result in the arousing of the bitter emaity of the anti-Ray resistance groups, of which there are many, without any corresponding benefit.
- d. The this from MP operations would not justify the cost surjected. The MP this, to date, whether in intelligence or in registance activity, has been negligible. There are many news stories which refer to the MP as the largest and best organized of the registance groups. The MP plan claims organization down to the township level and states that:

The ERP has an extensive examination net and is competent in obtaining indispensible elements of intelligence.

This may be so, but we are not more of mny extensive valid information from the 197 of any important resistance activity conducted by them, the entire history of Agency operations involving groups suggest the inedvisedility of handing over substantial sugs in the hope of a future "take". Aside from certain tested liaison arrangements, our "take" is taken by agents serving under our direction, regardless of the groups to which they do or do not belong.

- It should be pointed out that from a political standpoint the MRP organization and plan have elements worthy of consideration. The most important is that the MTP program is one that is attractive to the Cuban masses because, of all the exile programs, that of the ICP is the mearest to the originally stated but becraved platform of the Castro revolution. For the same reason the 1937 is accorptable to many liberal Latin American governments which do not consider supporting other exile groups. The KRP offers the people of Cuba a positive program of social and economic reform. (Whether such a program would be compatible with U.S. interests is, of ecurse, a matter of controversy.) However, in the political sphere the HPP program appears to break away strongly from the 1910 constitution. In other words it may be "Fidelismo sin Fidel". There are other Cuban exile groups who propose social and economic reforms similar to the MAP in which they attempt to base these reform on some legal continuity of government; that is, a return to the 1949 constitution as a basis for a democratic government in Cuba.
- 7. In short, we believe that the HTP plan is unrealistic and unworthy of further consideration in its present form. Developments within internal resistance in Cuba indicate that the FFP is becoming part of a unified resistance and the MT personnel inside Cuba have denied Panolo Ray as an external leader. Our review of the PPP position inside Outs does not indicate that the ITT has the resources for as ententive a plan as presented now can the internal ISP hope to direct such a plan in confunction with other resistance elements. Even if such a plan were reasible, we do not see any possibility of securing a reasonable return for the tremendous amount of money required for this plan unless some real control is agreed to by all and made a part of the plan. Taking into account the consolidation of resistance elements presently taking place in Cuba, it is the Agency's conception that we can best accomplish action operations against the Castro regime by dealing directly with this unified group or its representatives in other groups. Exterie; and financial support would be furnished these groups as they reveal they have the assets to accomplish specific operations and Agency-trained agents and communication equipment vould be introduced to the various groups in an effort to have some control and direction of all efforce undertaken. It is presently planned that the 72F, as one of these groups, would receive assistance and direction in the future as specified above. However, it is full that the present situation among the realstance closents within Cuba rate any support of this grandices plan summitted by the MP impractical at this time.