DATE: 10/08/98 PAGE: 1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY: NSA RECORD NUMBER: 144-10001-10287

RECORDS SERIES:

AGENCY FILE NUMBER :

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : NSA FROM : NSA TO : ARRB

NSA RESPONSE TO ARRRB QUESTIONS RE NSA CAPABILITIES/RECORDS

DATE : 04/01/98 PAGES : 5

SUBJECTS:
NSA RESPONSE TO ARRRB QUESTIONS RE CAPABILITIES/RECORDS

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION : T

CURRENT STATUS : X DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 07/24/98

OPENING CRITERIA: ORIGINATING AGENCY'S DETERMINATION REQUIRED

COMMENTS:

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE. MARYLAND 20755-6000 Serial: N5P/017/98

01 April 1998

Mr. T. Jeremy Gunn **Executive Director** Assassination Records Review Board 600 E Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20530

Dear Mr. Gunn:

(U) In response to your letter of November 28, 1997, we tasked	
appropriate elements of the NSA Operations and the NSA Archives elements to	
search for information responsive to your questions. In addition, a member of	
my staff reviewed our United States Intelligence Board (USIB) and USIB SIGINT	
Subcommittee record holdings. The following represents the composite	
response of these elements. 44 USC 2107(5)(g)(2)(D)	7
	1
-(TS GGO) Question #1: What were NSA targeting priorities and capabilities	
against Cuba during the period 1963-1964? Including	
records of the U.S. Communications Intelligence (COMINT) Board,	
what records does NSA possess on tasking and results from these	
capabilities? In particular, did NSA target communications between	
Havana and (During the meeting Messrs. Hatch and	
Fogarty suggested that the Army Security Agency had a facility	:
in 1963 targeting although its	
operations did not meet with great success.) Mr. Hatch stated that	
Mr. (Howard K.? Harold? Johnny?) Johnson, a former NSA employee,	
might have more specific knowledge of such an operation and that you	
could contact him.	
(TS-CCO) ANSWER: A record of the SIGINT Subcommittee of the USIB	
from August 1993 provides a recap of the USIB SIGINT Subcommittee's Priority	
National Intelligence Objectives. Included in this recap are objectives	
[requirements] to obtain information on 1) Soviet and Cuban military	
capabilities, 2) Soviet and Cuban capabilities, intentions, and efforts to initiate	
Cupture and the control of the contr	

DRV FM: NSA/CSS Manual 123-2

Dated: 24 February 1998

DECL ON: X1,X3,X5,X6,X7,X8

TOP SECRET UMBRA

TOP SECRET UMBRA

44 USC 2107(5)(g)(2)(D)(i)

(TSC) An NSA COMINT Technical Report (A5/A6 COMINT TECHNICAL
REPORT #1-66- A Survey of Soviet Communications in Cubal regarding SIGINT
results of the targeting of Cuba was reviewed by Dr. Hall. This appears to be the
most comprehensive record of our capabilities against Cuba for the 1963-1964
timeframe. Numerous Cuban during the early
timeframe. Numerous Cuban during the early 1960s, including some Cuban military communications through NSA had an excellent capability
through NSA had an excellent capability
to meet the SIGINT challenges of the 1960's. We had field sites in position to
copy the signals of interest in Cuba, and those communication exchanges
copy the signals of interest in Cuba, and those communication exertainges
(TSC) Product reports based on the targeting of
communications were reviewed by two members of my staff. Some of these
reports were the result of targeting of communications between Havana and
Lieuxeven no records responsive to the John E. Kennedy
However, no records responsive to the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Act of 1992 were located other than those already passed
to the ARRB. There were no reflections in any of these products of any activity
that might have been related to the assassination, only post-assassination
reflections of U.S. political and protocol developments. NSA has approximately
12 shin boxes [4 linear feet] ofrecords.
(S) In early December 1997 the ARRB staff was provided with the name
and phone number of the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command
(INSCOM) historian who has information regarding an Army Security Agency
(ASA) collection site induring the 1963-1964 timeframe. The
INSCOM historian is Jack Finnigan who can be reached on 703-706-2630.
Mr. Finnigan advised us of information contained in an ASA unit history report
that was written by J. Johnson. In addition, I understand that members of your
staff met in December 1997 with Mr. Frederick Berghoff, former Chairman of
the National SIGINT Committee (previously known as the USIB SIGINT
Subcommittee), who provided the ARRB staff with his recollections of NSA
activities regarding the Central and South American Regions in the early-1960s.

(U) Question #2. What, if any, additional NSA capabilities were added to target communications sources within Cuba between November 1962 and the end of 1964? What information does NSA have on the targeting of communications sources within Cuba by CIA? Was there any liaison between NSA and CIA on this issue? NSA stated that it may have between six and twelve boxes of Cubarelated material. Of what do these records consist? May the ARRB staff examine these records?

TOP SECRET UMBRA

44 USC 2107(5)(g)(2)(D)(i)

(TS-CCO) ANSWER: The records of the USIB SIGINT Subcommittee for
the period November 1962 through 1964 were recently reviewed. No SIGINT
Objectives were included in the SIGINT Subcommittee's objectives regarding
for the 1962-1964 timeframe. The August 1963 SIGINT Subcommittee
record recaps SIGINT Objectives and the 5 and 18 November 1963 and the 10
January 1964 records indicate that requirements to target Soviet forces in Cuba
and were already receiving priority SIGINT coverage.
The 2 December 1963 record appears to show a change in priorities because it
presents "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned": 1) increase in
intercept and more timely consolidated reporting on Cuban military problems,
2) intercept and analysis of communications related toactivityseeking
information on Cuban in particular., 3) A new attack on the problem
of :
• 4) Additional ship and airborne
intercept of Cuban communications is planned, and 5) Increased efforts to
develop information on the technical characteristics of the internal military and
paramilitary communicationscountries.
(TS-CCO) NSA collectors, based on their personal recollections, were
alerted to put greater emphasis on Soviet Block and Cuban military targets to
look for signs of increased readiness in their force posture. Analysts at NSA
were also looking for signs of reactions to, and foreign intelligence
reporting on, the assassination. Any voice and cipher traffic was thoroughly
processed from the surrounding timeframe. Analysts on targets that were
reviewed traffic patterns to
ensure that no deviations indicating unusual activity had been overlooked.

(S CCO) A review of the United States Intelligence Board (USIB) records held by NSA shows CIA and NSA top-level Agency cooperation/coordination on intelligence targeting via the USIB. However, according to recollections of individuals working at NSA in the mid 1960s, liaison between CIA and NSA for the 1962-1964 timeframe was limited and no record of CIA/NSA cooperation/ coordination at the working levels of the agencies was located. We searched for records of CIA targeting of Cuban communications, but no records were located. However, the USIB records make repeated reference to Committee on Overhead Reconnaissance (COMOR) Biweekly Report on High-Level Coverage of Cuba with the caveat that the information was distributed through restricted channels. Because we do not have copies of the COMOR reports, we are unable to determine if the tasking of these missions was limited to PHOTINT, or if SIGINT was also performed from the overhead vehicles. COMOR was the predecessor of the SIGINT Overhead Reconnaissance Subcommittee (SORS). The SORS committee is a subcommittee of the current U.S. SIGINT Committee. The Chairman of the SORS is Mr. John Berwin (phone number: 202-496-9828).

TOP SECRET UMBRA

44 USC 2107(5)(g)(2)(D)(i)

• • •	
(TSC) Regarding the "six to twelve boxes" discussed during	o our meeting
with Dr. Hall and members of the ARRB Staff in November 1997	, tnese poxes
referred to are records regarding	· As
mentioned in response to Question #1, a recent review of these	
result in locating any records not already identified as responsi	re to the JFK
Assassination Records Act. The ARRB staff is welcome to exam	
records as well as any other of the records mentioned in this lett	er. The records
pertaining to Cuba and for this timeframe held by NSA h	nave been
researched and those believed to be responsive to the John F. Ke	enneay Records
Act of 1992 have been provided to the ARRB.	•
•	
(S-CCO) Question #3. What capabilities and targeting priorities did NS	SA have against
Cuba outside of and Cuba itself during the time pe	
	1000 1004.
What records of this targeting and any results might still exist?	
•	
(TSC) ANSWER: For the timeframe 1960-1964 NSA targe	ted and was
	Ten ann was
successful in collecting Cuban communications '	
•	
•	-14
We maintain copies of SIGINT product reports, whi	ch are NSA
reports based on the intercept of communications, for this perio	
reports deemed responsive to the John F. Kennedy Assassination	Records Act of
1992 have been forwarded to the ARRB.	
(TS-CCO) Question #4. What policy recommendations from the time p	period 1960-64
might still exist which reflect NSA policy making or tasking or	Cuban
	- Caban
diplomatic or military communications outside of Cuba?	
•	1.5
(U) ANSWER: As stated previously, the USIB SIGINT Sub	committee
	-
records show the objectives (requirements for targeting) and are	-
records show the objectives (requirements for targeting) and are review by ARRB members or staffers	-
records show the objectives (requirements for targeting) and are review by ARRB members or staffers.	-
review by ARRB members or staffers.	available for
review by ARRB members or staffers.	available for
review by ARRB members or staffers. (S-000) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation	available for as or tasking on
review by ARRB members or staffers. (S-900) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19	available for ns or tasking on 963-1964? Does
review by ARRB members or staffers. (S-000) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation	available for ns or tasking on 963-1964? Does
review by ARRB members or staffers. (S-900) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19	available for ns or tasking on 963-1964? Does
(S-660) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets	available for ns or tasking on 963-1964? Does ?
(S-CO) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to	available for as or tasking on 263-1964? Does ?
(S-660) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets	available for as or tasking on 263-1964? Does ?
(S-000) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets (S-000) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to however, the USIB SIGINT subcommittee record of 2 December	available forms or tasking on 1963-1964? Does was located, 1963 presents
(S-GCO) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets (S-GCO) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to however, the USIB SIGINT subcommittee record of 2 December as one of the "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned."	available for as or tasking on 963-1964? Does ? was located, 1963 presents d"
(S-CC) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets (S-CC) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to however, the USIB SIGINT subcommittee record of 2 December as one of the "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned" Increased efforts to develop information on the technical characteristics.	available for as or tasking on 963-1964? Does ? was located, 1963 presents d"
(S-CC) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets (S-CC) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to however, the USIB SIGINT subcommittee record of 2 December as one of the "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned" Increased efforts to develop information on the technical characteristics.	available for as or tasking on 963-1964? Does ? was located, 1963 presents d"
(S-GCO) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendation the targeting of communications during the period 19 NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets (S-GCO) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to however, the USIB SIGINT subcommittee record of 2 December as one of the "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned."	available for as or tasking on 963-1964? Does ? was located, 1963 presents d"

(TS-CCO) ANSWER: No records of the COMINT Board, what records does that targeting priorities against Soviet argeting to NSA targeting of Soviet. (TS-CCO) ANSWER: No records referring to NSA targeting of Soviet.
(TS-GCO) ANSWER: No records referring to NSA targeting of Soviet were located. Our interest in and our capability
· —
against any targets in was very limited.
(S-CCO) Question #7. Did NSA produce a Technical SIGINT Report (TSR) on
capabilities in 1963?
capabilities in 1965?
(S-CEO) ANSWER: A search for any Technical SIGINT Reports or similar documents was performed in January 1998 but no records documenting NSA's capabilities against target for the period 1960-1964 were located. (S-CEO) The ARRB staff was provided with the name and phone number of an INSCOM historian, Mr. Jack Finnigan (Phone Nr. 703-706-2630), who has information regarding an Army Security Agency collection site in during the 1963-1964 timeframe: In addition ARRB staff members met with Frederick Berghoff, the former Chairman of the National SIGINT Committee, to obtain some "recollections" from Mr. Berghoff regarding NSA's interest and capabilities regarding in 1963.
(U) If you have any questions or need additional clarification regarding the information provided, please contact either Lee Schroyer or Claudia Collins of my staff on (301)688-6798.

Sincerely,

JOANN H. GRUBE Deputy Director of Policy