

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

计会

5

affairs? 1

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

2

4

5

AMLASH Case Officer. Correct.

Mr. Baron. Were you an employee of the CIA during that 3 time on another assignment?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I was.

Mr. Baron. I would like to ask you some questions about 6 a series of events that occurred in 1961, simply to find out 7 whether you have any recollection of any of the names of 8 people involved or the activities involved that you may have 9 garnered either from personal contact with these people or 10 from subsequent review of the files involved. 11

First of all, in 1961, we have a record from the IG Report 12 of a meeting between Jack Stanart who was a CIA officer at that 13 time and a Cuban who we have agreed to refer to as AMLASH for 14 the purposes of this session today. 15

Our understanding is that a meeting was arranged by 16 Carlos Repedino, who was known as AMWHIP, who served as an 17 intermediary between AMLASH and Stinart, and that the meeting 18 took place in Mexico City to discuss the possibility that 19 AMLASH might cooperate either with CIA -- strike that -- to 20 discuss AMLASH's views on the Cuban situation generally. 21 Do you have any recollection from any source of initial 22 contacts between Jack Stand AMLASH? 23

AMLASH Case Officer. Only the general recollection that he was contacted about the time that you said he was, but no

IOP-SECRFI

24

25

Washington, D.C. 20003

110 First Street, S.E.,

	TOP SECRET
	6
1	details on that.
2	Mr. Baron. Do you have any knowledge from any source
3	about the source of the initiative for these first contacts
4	between AMLASH and the CIA?
5	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.
6	Mr. Baron. You are not aware of the CIA having taken the
. 7	initiative in this instance as opposed to AMLASH?
8	AMLASH Case Officer. Specifically, no. I don't know who
9	took the initiative.
10	Mr. Baron. Did you ever discuss these meetings with Jack
11	Stuast personally?
12	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.
13	Mr. Baron. Have you ever met Jack Staats?
14	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I have.
15	Mr. Baron. Did you meet Jack Studre during the period
16	between 1963 and 1965 when you were involved with Cuban affairs?
17	AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I can recollect.
18	Mr. Baron. Do you recall in what connection you dealt
19	with Jeak Stuests
20	AMLASH Case Officer. As a colleague in the Agency, but
21	not specifically on this operation.
22	Mr. Baron. Have you ever met Carlos Repedino, who was
23	known as agent AMWHIP?
24	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I have.
25	Mr. Baron. And did you work with Mr. Tepeding specifically
DocTd · 39	TOP SECRET

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 3

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

7

544-6	
202)	
(Area	
Phone	

000

on the AMLASH Project during the time of your involvement in
 the AMLASH Project?

AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically, because he was used before to serve as the contact and the interpreter, and I didn't need an interpreter to work with AMLASH, so although I knew him and I knew of him, he was not involved directly with me in my contacts with AMLASH.

Mr. Baron. Did you meet directly with Carlos Tepedino? AMLASH Case Officer. I know him, yes, or I knew him.

10 Mr. Baron. Although he wasn't serving as an interpreter 11 during the course of this operation, was he serving as an 12 intermediary between yourself and AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. He was in contact with AMLASH. He was a very close friend of AMLASH. He had served as an intermediary, but during the time I was in contact, he did not serve as the intermediary. In other words, I contacted him directly. I contacted AMLASH directly.

18 Mr. Baron. And what role did carlos Tepeding play during 19 the period of your involvement with AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. As a friend of AMLASH. He knew what 21 AMLASH was proposing.

22 Mr. Baron. And would sometimes discuss AMLASH's proposals 23 with you in AMLASH's absence?

AMLASH Case Officer. I cannot recall any specific term or any specific subject that we would have discussed, but I

TOP SECRET

irst Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

NW 50955

Doc1d:32203893

Page 4

8

	19
ı	Mr. Rhea. Did William Harvey ever speak to you of the
2	use of gambling syndicate contacts in Cuba for any operation
3	whatsoever?
4	AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't.
5	Mr. Baron. Did you ever hear, during the period of
6	'60 to '66 of any mention of the use of poison pills against
7	Fidel Castro?
8	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.
9	Mr. Baron. Did you ever hear about the development of
10	poison pills at the CIA?
11	AMLASH Case Officer. No.
12	Mr. Baron. Have you ever heard of the cryptonym MHAPRON?
13	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
14	Mr. Baron. What did that cryptonym signify?
15	AMLASH Case Officer. That was the recruitment of sources
16	as I recall it. It was a project for the recruitment of
17	intelligence sources inside Cuba, primary military sources, as
18	I recall the cryptonym.
19	Mr. Baron. Was that the cryptonym from the asset
20 ·	spotting project that you were working on with William Harvey?
21	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't remember if it was at the
22	time that William Harvey was there or afterwards, I don't
23	recall the time period, but it was the overall operation for
24	the recruitment of sources inside Cuba.
25	Mr. Baron. Did you continue working on this asset spotting

TOP SECRET

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003



and recruitment project even after you began working on 1 AMLASH? 2 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I did. 3 4 Mr. Baron. And at some point that project came to be known as MHAPRON? 5 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, but I don't remember exactly 6 when that cryptonym was given to the project. 7 Mr. Baron. Was removal of key military leaders around 8 Castro ever a part of MHAPRONAL 9 AMLASH Case Officer. To the best of my recollection, no. 10 Mr. Baron. Who was the man in charge of Project MMANPRONR 11 AMLASH Case Officer. I was, at a time. 12 Mr. Baron. You would have been called Project Director 13 or case officer? 14 AMLASH Case Officer. I was the case officer, yes. 15 Mr. Baron. Were any of the assets for the MHAPRON Project 16 also assets for Project AMLASH? 17 AMLASH Case Officer. They were not conceived that way, 18 but one or two of them crossed. 19 Mr. Baron. To your knowledge, were any of the assets in 20 MMMARRON who were not assets for Project AMLASH ever involved 21 in any kind of program of direct personal action against 22 Castro? 23 AMLASH Case Officer. No, they were not. 24 Mr. Baron. When you reported on Project MHAMPRON, to whom 25

TOP SECRET

<∕▲

	21
l	did you report?
2	AMLASH Case Officer. To FitzGerald.
3	Mr. Baron. After FitzGerald took over from William Harvey?
4	AMLASH Case Officer. Right.
5	Mr. Baron. Were you aware of FitzGerald's reporting to
6	anyone above him on MUGAPRONS
7	AMLASH Case Officer. I assume that he did, but I did not
8	accompany him to any of the meetings.
9	Mr. Baron. Would you assume that he reported to Richard
10	Bissell on I'm sorry. Would you assume that he reported to
11	Richard Helms on Project MHAPRON?
12	AMLASH Case Officer. That would be the chain of command,
13	the chain of reporting, but I am not aware of what he reported
14	to Helms or anybody.
15	Mr. Baron. And do you have any direct knowledge of any
16	reporting FitzGerald may have done on MMAPRON to the Special
17	Group?
18	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.
19	Mr. Baron. Okay.
20 ·	Do you have any recollection of a trip that Agent AMLASH
21	took to Helsinki in June of 1962?
22	AMLASH Case Officer. No details. I knew he had made
23	that trip.
24	Mr. Baron. But you were never involved during that period
25	of time with Project AMLASH?

TOP SECRET

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 7

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

		TOP SECRET
6000		22
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	l	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I was not.
(Area 2(2	Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection as to the results
Phone	3	of that trip?
Ň	4	AMLASH Case Officer. No specific, no.
	5	Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of contact
	6	between the CIA and the FBI with respect to Project AMLASH?
	7	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.
	8	Mr. Baron. Were you ever made aware of a report that
	9	the FBI forwarded to the CIA about a meeting held between
	10	Carlos Tepeding and the FBI?
J	11	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I wasn't.
& PAUL	12	Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection at all of
WARD	13	dissatisfaction expressed by Garlos Repeding about the lack of
	14	vigor with which the CIA was approaching the defection of
	15	AMLASH?
	16	AMLASH Case Officer. This was part of the record, of the
	17	AMLASH record, but I had nothing to do with that, and I was
~	18	not involved in the operation at that time.
C. 20003	19	Mr. Baron. When you took over Project AMLASH, was it a
ton, D.(20 .	concern of yours to demonstrate to Agent AMLASH that the CIA
Vashing	21	would be vigorous in supporting his actions?
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C.	22	AMLASH Case Officer. The primary objective, when I took
st Streel	23	over the contact with AMLASH was to keep him in place and
410 Fir:	24	convince him to return to Cuba and not to permit him to defect
	25	and stay away from the island.
5	DocId:32	203893 Page 8 TOP SECRET

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 8

	TOP SECRET
l	or the state of affairs in the barracks? Can you be specific
2	there?
3	AMLASH Case Officer. Well, we were looking for the
4	information at the highest levels.
5	Mr. Kelley. Your source of information from the highest
6	levels. You mean you wanted to know what the sentiment was in
7	the highest levels?
8	AMLASH Case Officer. That is right, and to develop
9	information on them, we had to develop sources in those
10	circles.
11	Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of a contact
12	report that William Wainweight wrote about a meeting on
13	August 1st of 1962 that he held with AMLASH in Helsinki?
14	AMLASH Case Officer. I know that such a contact report
15	was in the file, but I was not associated with the operation
16	in '62.
17	Mr. Baron. Let me show you this, it is a copy of an
18	excerpt from that report, as it appears in the IG Report of
19	1967, and ask you if that refreshes your recollection in any
20 .	way?
21	And for the record, let us mark this AMLASH Exhibit 1.
22	(The document referred to
23	was marked AMLASH Exhibit
24	No. 1 for identification.
25	
	TOD SECDET
1	TOP SECRET

002 Dago 0 ~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1	Mr. Baron. Have you had a chance to read it through?
2	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
3	Mr. Baron. Having looked at this document, do you have
4	any further recollection of ever seeing this document, or
5	discussing the meeting directly with Walmwright?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. I probably discussed the meeting
7	with Wainwaight. I don't recall discussing these specific
8	items. I do recall that there was a contact report in the
9	files. Whether this was the specific one that I recall seeing
10	in the files, I could not attest to, but certainly it was a
11	practice to write contact reports.
12	Mr. Baron. Who was Will I fam Wailmrzight?
13	AMLASH Case Officer. He was the case officer who, with
14	Repeding, met with AMLASH before I took over the contact with
15	AMLASH.
16	Mr. Baron. So he had the same position that you did in
17	connection with Project AMLASH and was your predecessor?
18	AMLASH Case Officer. He was my predecessor, as far as
19	the contact was concerned, yes.
20 .	Mr. Baron. Was there anyone else who was a predecessor
21	to you in any other sense?
2 2	AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I recall.
23	Mr. Rhea. Before you took over Project AMLASH, what
24	office did Wailnwright work out of? Was he under the DDP?
25	AMLASH Case Officer. No, he was under SAS.

TOP SECRET

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 10

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

	26
1	Mr. Rhea. He was under SAS.
2	Mr. Baron. Which was?
3	AMLASH Case Officer. Under FitzGerald.
4	Mr. Baron. Which was under FitzGerald who in turn was
5	under the DDP Helms, is that correct?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. That's correct.
7	Mr. Baron. After you took over from Wallnweilghin, did he
8	continue to be involved in Project AMLASH in any way?
9	AMLASH Case Officer. No, not after the first meeting.
10	Mr. Baron. He moved on to a different assignment then?
11	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
12	Mr. Baron. Directing your attention back to this contact,
13	or this excerpt from a contact report, I realize that you don't
14	have a direct recollection of seeing this contact report
15	except for glancing at it in the files, but do you recall ever
16	discussing with Waynweight the excerpt in the fourth paragraph
17	here where it says "He" referring to AMLASH "also wanted
18	to plan the execution of Carlos Rodriquez (a topranking Castro
19	subordinate) and the Soviet Ambassador, and also to eliminate
2 0 ·	Fidel, by execution if necessary."
21	And then it continues, in the next paragraph, "While we
22	were making no commitments or plans, we pointed out to AMLASH
23	that schemes like he envisioned certainly took place, but that
24	a lot of coordination, plans, information collection, et
25	cetera were necessary prerequisites to insure the value and

TOP SECRET

WARD & PAUL

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

NW 50955

DocId:32203893

Page 11

		TOP SECRET
6000		27
12) 544-1	1	success of such plans."
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	2	Did you ever discuss this kind of desire to eliminate
Phone	3	Fidel Castro and others on the part of AMLASH?
	4	AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically, no. Not in
	5	conjunction with this report, this contact report that is
	6	shown.
	7	Mr. Baron. Did you have general briefing sessions with
	8	Wainweight when you took over from him as case officer on the
	9.	project?
	10	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
J	11	Mr. Baron. Was it in the course of his briefing sessions
8 PAU	12	that you generally discussed the subject that is referred to
WARD	13	here, the subject of AMLASH's desire to eliminate Castro by
	14	execution if necessary?
	15	AMLASH Case Officer. If this was mentioned, it was a very
	16	small portion of the discussion about AMLASH. My primary
	17	interest at that time in my discussions with Washweight is to
E	18	find out who specifically
.C. 2000	19	(Whereupon, Senator Morgan entered the hearing room.)
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	20 ·	Mr. Baron. Let's go off the record a minute.
	21	(Discussion off the record.)
	22	Senator Morgan. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony
rst Stre	23	you have given today will be the truth, the whole truth, and
410 F	24	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
	25	AMLASH Case Officer. I do.
55	DocId:3	TOP SECRET

28
Mr. Baron. You understand is it true that, now that
you are under oath, that you are willing to state that the
testimony you have given here this afternoon was the truth as
far as you know it?
AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
Mr. Baron. And you understand that your rights are still
intact that we discussed before? You have the right to counsel,
if you wish, the right to stop at any point and ask for
counsel, and the right to remain silent as we have already
discussed, and these rights are still intact?
(Discussion off the record.)
Mr. Baron. Now we were just discussing general briefing
sessions that you may have had with William Wainweight when
you took over for him as case officer for the AMLASH operation
and you say that you do not have a specific recollection of
Wainwright telling you that AMLASH was intent on eliminating
Castro by execution if necessary, is that correct?
AMLASH Case Officer. That is correct.
Mr. Baron. Is it possible that you generally discussed
AMLASH's desire to get rid of Castro with Waimweight during
your briafing sessions?
AMLASH Case Officer. In general this was mentioned, because
this was seen by AMLASH at that time as one of the means of
reversing the government in Cuba.
Mr. Baron. Presumably, if Wadmwright was aware, as he

TOP SECRET

NW 50955 Doc1d:32203893 Page 13

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003



indicates in this report of the strength of AMLASH's desire
 to get rid of Castro by execution if necessary, he would have
 conveyed that to you in some way, isn't that correct?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, but you also must recognize
that AMLASH was a rather temperamental man whose temperament
was of a mercurical nature and whereas he may have said something
like this in one fit of pique, he would settle down and talk
about organizing a regular military coup in the next breath.
Mr. Baron. At the time that you took over Project AMLASH,
did Washewedghe tell you that a specific means of overthrowing

Castro had been chosen?

AMLASH Case Officer. No.

13 Mr. Baron. Then in this document when he says that "We were making no commitments or plans" and then it goes on to 14 say that he wanted to make sure that AMLASH understood that 1.5 "coordination, planning, information, collection, et cetera, 16 were necessary prerequisites to insure the value and success 17 of such plans" what plans would he have been referring to there? 18 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. It was true that 19 there was certainly no plans that I know of which would refer 20 to the elimination of Rodriguez, Fidel or the Soviet Ambassador, 21 general plans for the coup, for a military coup, maybe this was 22 what he was talking about. I wouldn't know, I don't know 23 what he was -- I wasn't there at the time and I don't know 24 specifically what he was talking about. 25

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 14

11

12

& PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Wasnington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

	30
1	Mr. Baron. Let's move on, then, to a few days later in
2	August of 1962; between the 10th and the 11th of August of
3	1962, Wainweight, Carlos Topedino and AMLASH apparently met
4	in Copenhagen for further meetings. Do you have any recollection
5	of being informed by Wainwright of those meetings?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. No, just on the general subject
7	that they had met, but not on any specifics.
8	Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of learning from
9	any source that during the meetings in Copenhagen, assassination
10	was discussed between Walnweight and AMLASH?
11	AMLASH Case Officer. As a plan?
12	Mr. Baron. Discussed in any sense.
13	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. I mean, it may have
14	been, but I don't know if it was specificially discussed by
15	him. But I do not recollect that Wainweight or anybody told
16	me that in Copenhagen assassination was discussed.
17	Mr. Kelley. Did Waimwrigherever say to you that you should
18	not use the word assassinate with AMLASH for any reason in
19	particular because AMLASH objected to the use of that word?
20 [.]	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. I recall Wainwright stating
21	that AMLASH did not like to talk specifically about assassina-
22	tion.
23	Mr. Baron. Did you recall any time in your association
24	with AMLASH when he became upset when that word might arise
25	in conversation?

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

31

1	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall that he specifically
2	used that word. He would use such words as "neutralization,"
3	but not specifically "assassination."
4	Mr. Baron. And when he would use a word like neutraliza-
5	tion, he would be referring to assassination, is that a fair
6	assumption?
7	AMLASH Case Officer. Well, yes and no. We were talking
8	about the removal from power of Castro, which could mean
9	assassination, but could also mean just physically remove him
10	without killing him.
11	Mr. Baron. Let me show you for a moment an excerpt from
12	a contact report written by Waynwright about his meetings on
13	August 10th and 11th, 1962. He refers in this excerpt for the
14	record to the fact that they were "discussing various aspects
15	of AMLASH's future role in Cuba." And he says, "we used the
16	term assassinate, which was most objectionable to AMLASH and
17	he became visibly upset."
18	And then he goes on to say, "It was not the act he
19	objected to but merely the choice of the word used to describe
2 0 ·	it. Eliminate was acceptable."
21	Does that fit with your understanding of the way AMLASH
22	related to the concept of assassination and the use of the
23	word assassination?
24	AMLASH Case Officer. Generally speaking yes, because we
25	never I certainly never raised the subject of assassination

TOP SECRET

Dane

16

WARD & PAUL

First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

.

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

	TOP SECRET
	33
1	Mr. Baron. Does this description by Wainwright of the
2	fact that AMLASH would not cobject to the act of assassination
3	but rather to the word, fit with your understanding of
4	AMLASH's character? That he would have no particular objection
5	to contemplating assassination?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. That is difficult for me to say
7	because as I say, we never discussed this subject in that
8	detail.
9	Mr. Baron. Did he ever use the term eliminate Castro
10	to you to the best of your recollection?
11	AMLASH Case Officer. I recall the word neutralize more
12	than eliminate.
13	Mr. Baron. Would you assume that they would have meant
14	the same thing?
15	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, vaguely, I guess. It would
16	probably mean the same thing.
17	Mr. Baron. And that they could be fairly interepreted
18	in this context as a euphemism that AMLASH might use, because
19	he was uncomfortable with the word assassination?
20 ·	AMLASH Case Officer. It could be. I mean, I could not
21	state specifically whether he was using it because he ws
22	uncomfortable with the word assassination, or because he was
23	uncomfortable with the act itself. This is difficult for
24	me to clarify for you.
25	Mr. Baron. All right.

TOP SECRET

Page 17 NW 50955 DocId:32203893

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

34

l	Did you ever learn of a meeting later in August between
2	AMLASH, Trepedino, Wainwright and Barl Williamson? Do you
3	have any recollection of being told about such a meeting?
4	AMLASH Case Officer. Only in general terms.
5	Mr. Baron. Did you know Farl Williamson?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. Not at that time.
7	Mr. Baron. Did you come to know Barl Williamson during
8	the course of your association with Project AMLASH?
9	AMLASH Case Officer. No.
10	Mr. Baron. Were you aware of the fact that AMLASH was
11	given any kind of special training in France during 1962?
12	AMLASH Case Officer. Only a vague recollection from the
13	file.
14	Mr. Baron. And what is your recollection?
15	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall if it was training
16	or if it was a demonstration in explosives, but no more than
17	that.
18	Mr. Baron. Why would he be given this kind of training?
19	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know.
20 [.]	Mr. Baron. Wouldn't this kind of training be for the
21	purpose of operations that he might perform inside Cuba?
22	AMLASH Case Officer. I do not specifically know if there
23	were any plans for such operations. If such
24	Mr. Baron. I'm not asking right now if there were plans
25	or not, but simply what the purpose of the training would have

2

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

	TOP SECRET
l	been?
2	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know.
3	Mr. Baron. To create the capability to perform such
4	operations. Is that a fair way to put it?
5	AMLASH Case Officer. It would appear that that's what it
6	would be, but I don't know that those were the plans.
7	Mr. Baron. And were you aware of any S/W training that
8	was given to AMLASH?
9	AMLASH Case Officer. Only from having heard that he was
10	given S/W training.
11	Mr. Baron. And is that secret writing training?
12	AMLASH Case Officer. Secret writing.
13	In order for him to be able to communicate off-island, if
14	he returned.
15	Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of a cable that
16	Earl Williemson sent to CIA Headquarters on August 17 which
17	indicated that AMLASH might try to carry out an assassination
18	attempt on his own initiative?
19	AMLASH Case Officer. I do not have any recollection at that
20.	time. Reading the file afterwards, I know a cable was sent.
21	Specifically what it said, I do not recall.
22	Mr. Baron. But you do remember that a cable was sent to
23	the effect that AMLASH might try a physical elimination mission
24	against Castro on his own?
25	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I do not specifically remember
DocId:3	TOP SECRET

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 19

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

		TOP SECRET
6000		
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	l	that.
	2	Mr. Baron. What do you recollect about the cable?
Phone (3	AMLASH Case Officer. The one of the fact that he had been
	4	given the explosives training, or the demonstration in explosives
	5	but specifically that it mentioned assassination, I do not
	6	recall.
	7	Mr. Baron. Let me draw your attention now to a document
	8	we will mark AMLASH 3, which is a one sentence excerpt from a
	9	cable from Barl Williamson, who was a case officer of the CIA,
	10	to CIA Headquarters, cabled on August 17, 1962.
	11	(The document referred
PAUL	12	to was marked AMLASH
WARD &	13	Exhibit No. 3 for
>	14	identification.)
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
. 20003	19	
ton, D.C	20 ·	
Vashingt	21	
, S.E., V	. 22	
d Street	23	
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	24	
-	25	
55	DocTd:32	TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET 37 1 Mr. Baron. After reading this cable; do you ever remember 2 seeing that before? 3 AMLASH Case Officer. No. 4 Mr. Baron. Were you ever briefed by Wainwright or anyone else on the fact that the CIA was aware that AMLASH could or 5 might try to carry out on his own initiative a physical 6 7 alimination mission against Castro? AMLASH Case Officer. It was mentioned to me, but only in 8 the most general terms, not in any specific plan that he had to 9 10 do it. 11 Mr. Baron. And what kind of general terms would it have been that he would do it? 12 AMLASH Case Officer. Primarily, as I recall, in the terms 13 of his -- the fact that he did not like to use the term assass-٦4 ination. 15 Again, getting back to what I mentioned before in the 16 terms of elimination or neutralization of the leadership, but 17 not specifically of an assassination, a specific assassination 18 attempt against Castro. It was in those general terms that I 19 recall it, not in specific terms. 20 Mr. Baron. In looking at this cable, however, would you 21 agree that the physical elimination mission that is referred 22 to there where it says "Have no intention give AMLASH physical 23 elimination mission" is probably an assassination attempt 24

TOP SECRET

against Castro? In other words, Williamson, is saying I have no

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

410

NW 50955

25

DocId:32203893 Page 21

41

1 yourself and AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. Wainwright went down there to introduce me to AMLASH.

Mr. Baron. Do you remember if Carlos Tepedino was also
present?

AMLASH Case Officer. Tepeduno also went on that trip, yes.
 Mr. Baron. And what was the purpose of that trip?
 AMLASH Case Officer. To introduce AMLASH to me as a
 Spanish-speaking case officer.

Mr. Baron. Do you recall any specific discussions you
had with AMLASH about the role he might play inside Cuba?

AMLASH Case Officer. During the first meeting, as I recall, we primarily discussed the situation in Cuba, the internal situation vis-a-vis Castro personalities who were unhappy for one reason or another with the Castro regime.

16 Mr. Baron. In general, what was AMLASH's assessment at 17 that time of the strength of the Castro regime?

AMLASH Case Officer. As I recall, it was strong. There were many people around Castro, and this was the high military officers who were unhappy with the Communist orientation of the regime, but many of these officers were still, as he reported at that time, were either still loyal to Castro or were afraid of the consequences if their disloyalty was found out.

Mr. Baron. And AMLASH himself was willing to participate

TOP SECRET

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

NW

50955

25

DocId:32203893

Page 22

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000



2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

tape 2a

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 everything that was being done, as far as I understood it, was to foment a military coup. That was the objective.

Mr. Kelley. And where did you understand this from? Who gave direction and shape to the program in which you were wokring?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, I worked for FitzGerald. Ι 7 worked for Desmond FitzGerald.

Who was at that time Chief of Task Force W? Mr. Baron. AMLASH Case Officer. He was the Chief of the Task Force. Mr. Baron. Or Chief of SAS?

AMLASH Case Officer. Or SAS.

Mr. Baron. Do you recall telling AMLASH to assist a man 12 who was known as AMTRUNK in his intelligence assignments within 13 Cuba? 14

AMLASH Case Officer. I didn't specifically ask him to 15 assist AMTRUNK, 10, because he was one of the ones of the 16 assets we had unilaterally recruited inside Cuba. In my 17 discussions with AMLASH, I found out for the first time that 18 he was also a friend and in contact with AMTRUNK 1.0. 19

Mr. Baron. And do you recall the mission that AMTRUNK 10 20 had at that time?

AMLASH Case Officer. It was very early in the operation. 22 It was a matter of establishing communications with him at that 23 time. Again, he was going to be used to develop the intelli-24 gence that we were trying to develop. 25

TOP SECRET

DocId:32203893 Page 23 NW 50955

21

	45
1	Mr. Baron. Was AMTRUNK a military officer, high
2	military officer in the Cuban Army?
3	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, he was:
4	Mr. Baron. And he was in some sort of regular contact
5	with you as case officer for this project?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. Only through a courier who had been
7	infiltrated to the island at that time.
8	Mr. Baron. In early September of 1963, do you recall
9	AMLASH expressing any kind of dissatisfaction with the willing-
10	ness of the CIA to help him out? Do you recall any thought
11	that he might not want to see you again shortly after that
12	meeting in Brazil?
13	AMLASH Case Officer. Only in a letter or message that I
14	recall that was received by Repeding, and he had expressed his
15	feeling at that time that the way it was interpreted that he
16	decided to defect. In other words, he wasn't interested in
17	carrying on any further conversations about the possibilty of
18	returning to Cuba.
19	Mr. Baron. Did you meet with him, or did he defect
2 0 ·	thereafter?
21	AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't.
[.] 22	Mr. Baron. And did you meet with him shortly thereafter
23	in the beginning of October in Paris?
24	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I did.
25	Mr. Baron. Had he changed his mind about defecting at that

TOP SECRET

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 || point?

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUI

10 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically, but he was willing 2 to, again, discuss the possibility of returning. 3 Mr. Baron. Had anything specific happened to antagonize 4 him in Brazil so that he would not want to see you again? 5 AMLASH Case Officer. 6 NO. Was he asking for any particular kind of 7 Mr. Baron. support at that point, other than help in defecting? 8 AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't specifically make 9 any requests of me, except if he did return to Cuba that he 10 would expect our support and assurances of our support. 11 Mr. Baron. And did he make this clear to you in Paris 12 in early October? 13 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, he did. 14 Mr. Baron. Did you known John Red Stant? 15 AMLASH Case Officer. He was in Paris at that time. 16 Mr. Baron. And he was working for the CIA in Paris at 17 the time? 18 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. 19 And do you recall that Richard Long was also Mr. Baron. 20 working for the CIA at that time in Paris? 21 AMLASH Case Officer. In Paris, yes. 22 Mr. Baron. Do you recall that they participated in the 23 meetings with AMLASH in early October in Paris? 24 AMLASH Case Officer. They did not participate in my 25 TOP SECRET

	TOP SECRET
	47
l	meetings with AMLASH. They met AMLASH to pass him a message
2	telling him that I was coming and that I would be there to
3	talk to him.
4	Mr. Baron. Do you recall learning in very early October
5	that the
6	AMLASH Case Officer. Vaguely. I vaguely recall being
7	told by the people in Paris that this was the case. I did not
8	detect at any time I was in contact with him
9 7	
10	Mr. Baron.
יי_י ר ב	
12	
13	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.
14	Mr. Baron.
l <i>∜</i>	
16	AMLASH Case Officer. Later on I was aware of it. At that
17	time, I wasn't.
18	Mr. Baron. Later on in October, around the 11th of
19	October in '63, do you recall any particular kind of request
20 ·	that AMLASH was asking you to make to people back in Headquarters
21	at the CIA?
22	AMLASH CAse Officer. Yes.
23	Again, he had not decided himself as to whether he would
24	defect or return to Cuba. If he decided to return to Cuba, he
25	wanted several things. First of all, he wanted the assurances
DocId:322	O3893 Page 26 TOP SECRET

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

•.

		TOP SECRET
6000		59
02) 544-	l	AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	2	Mr. Bayly. Did you think there was any subterfuge or
Phone	3	funny business going on?
	4	AMLASH Case Officer. No.
	5	Mr. Baron. Do you remember then a meeting that took place
	6	subsequently in Paris with AMLASH and FitzGerald and yourself
	7	present?
	8	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
	9	Mr. Baron. And to the best of your recollection, would
	10	October 29, 1963 be the date of that meeting?
ų	11	AMLASH Case Officer. It was late October, yes.
A 7 4 U	12	Mr. Baron. Did you serve as the interpreter at that
WARD	13	meeting?
	14	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
	15	Mr. Baron. And did FitzGerald use an alias?
	16	AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.
	17	Mr. Baron. Do you remember his alias?
E	18	AMLASH Case Officer. James Clark, I believe it was.
.C. 20003	19	Mr. Baron. And was Red Stemb present at that meeting?
gton, D	20 ·	AMLASH Case Officer. No.
Washin	21	Mr. Baron. What do you remember about the discussion at
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C.	22	that meeting?
rst Stre	23	AMLASH CAse Officer. It was a discussion in which
410 Fi	24	FitzGerald assured AMLASH, gave him the assurances that he was
	25	looking for, that the United States' government was prepared
	n	

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 27

Senator Morgan. As I recall, most of them were shot. AMLASH Case Officer. No, the whole group was reduced. In fact, two of them were let go. He and AMTRUNK 10 were given twenty-five years, I may be wrong.

Senator Morgan. Well, they were, but I'm talking about other than this group of people who were involved with AMLASH.

What I am really asking is, do you think there is any possibility that Castro knew what was going on all of the time?

AMLASH Case Officer. That is a very good question, but I have nothing that I could say definitively that he did. It was something that we were always checking, that we were very sensitive to, that we had a double agent, shall we say, on our hands, and we did not have any indication at the time I was involved with the operation that he had been run into us by Castro.

Senator Morgan. Does it strike you as somewhat unusual 16 that during all of this time while you were talking about a 17 military coup, you were providing guns and hidden hypodermic 18 needles and et cetera that nothing ever came of it? This is 19 the thing that disturbs me, or makes me wonder, is, you know, 20 I don't think there is any question but what if they had really 21 been concerned they could have brought about the execution of -+ 22 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, Senator, the thing is, your 23 reference to the hypodermic thing, it was shown to him, it 24

25 wasn't given to him, he never took that in with him.

NW 50955 DocId:32203893 Page 28

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

WARD & PAUI

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

 AMLASH Case Offic	er. He	did not	specifically	state	this,
but this could be one	type, ye	es.			

Mr. Baron. Let me ask you first whether you remember a contact report written by Wainwright after Wainwright met with Repeding in New York in mid-November, 1963, in which Waimmeight explains what AMLASH's reaction was to this whole meeting. Do you remember such a contact report?

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't remember the contact report. 8 9 I remember there was some kind of word back that AMLASH was generally, again, pleased with the meeting that he had had, 10 11 but I don't remember the details as to what else.

The policy assurance had been given to him and he was 12 satisfied with that. He now wanted to discuss the specific 13 support that he thought he needed, both to initiate a coup and 14 to protect himself. So these were the issues that were still 15 being discussed at that time. 16

Mr. Baron. All right.

Let's move then to whatever thinking you and FitzGerald 18 and anyone else at CIA did about the kind of support to be provided, what kind of discussions did you have following this meeting with FitzGerald or anyone else in the Cuban Task Force about the kind of support to be provided at this point? 22

AMLASH Case Officer. Well, he requested the high-powered 23 rifles with the scopes and these were the center of the 24 discussion, because -- well, as to whether these would be, a 25

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

D A A W

VARD

First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

410

1

2

3

4

5


6

7

17

19

20



	TOP SECRET
	94
l	Mr. Rhea. I wanted particularly to draw your attention
2	to the sentence halfway through the paragraph which 'says that
3	he, meaning AMLASH, could not understand why he was denied
4	certain small pieces of equipment which promised a final
5	solution to the problem, parenthetically, that refers to the
6	Cuban problem and Castro's control of the island, while, on
7	the other hand, the U.S. government gave much equipment and
8	money to exile groups for their ineffective excursions against
9	Cuban coastal targets.
10	The first question I have about that particular document
11	is dovrecall which small pieces of might be referred to in
12	this contact report, which is admittedly secondhand, this
13	report from Repeding to Waimwright Do you have any idea what
14	small pieces of equipment might be referred to?
15	AMLASH Case Officer. No, not specifically, except to
16	believe that this would be the small piece of esoteric type of
17	equipment that he would need to defend himself because this is
18	what had been denied him, so I am only saying this from what
19	I know at that time. He would be unhappy with the technical
20 ·	support, because on 14 November, he had not been told that he
21	would get a cache or that he would be given any kind or shown
22	any kind of small equipment, esoteric equipment, that he thought
23	he needed.
24	Mr. Rhea. All right.
25	This leads us into the main event I would like to talk with

P

TO

Page 31 DocId: 32203893 NW 50955

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

SECRET

95



4

5

you about, and that is the decision by people within the CIA
 to manufacture a pen that would be rigged with a hypodermic
 needle which could eject a poison into someone.

We have had various testimony on what this event means, and I would like to get your impression of it.

To work into it in an orderly fashion, first, when do you first recall any discussions about producing a poison pen or any discussions of that type, to give to AMLASH?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Specifically, I don't recall when 10 this matter was raised. It certainly just have taken place 11 after the 29 October meeting.

12 Mr. Rhea. Would you date it before the November 14th 13 meeting?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, because in fact he didn't know that -- nobody knew that this was even -- I didn't know that this was a possibility until two or three days before the 22 November meeting.

Mr. Baron. Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Page 32

Mr. Baron. For the record, there was no meeting on the 14th of November, that was simply the date on which was supported reportedly met with repedence in New York City to discuss AMLASH's reaction to the Paris meeting on October the 29th. Mr. Rhea. Were you involved in the decision to produce this poison pen?

P SECRET



NW 50955 DocId: 32203893

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

18

	TOP SECRET
	123
1	part referring to you?
2	AMLASH Case Officer. No, just the part referring to me.
3	Mr. Schwarz. With respect to the part that refers to
4	you, I take it you have got no knowledge about Mr. McCone's
5	awareness of any of that material?
6	AMLASH Case Officer. No.
7	Mr. Schwarz. All right.
8	AMLASH Case Officer. And to the best of my recollection,
9	there's nothing in my part of the IG Report.
10	Mr. Schwarz. No, there is not. You are correct.
11	Mr. Rhea. I would like to ask you about some cables and
12	references in the IG Report, even though you've testified you
13	were not personally aware of any of these weapons drops, I
14	would like for you to help us clear up some terms.
15	(Discussion off the record.)
16	Mr. Rhea. Are you familiar with the term AMTRUNK?
17	AMLASH Case Officer. It was I recall the term AMTRUNK
18	which was one of the cryptonyms used for the group of, a
19	certain group of military officers or agents inside Cuba. I
20 ·	can specifically recall the one of them that we talked about
21	before was AMTRUNK-10. What it meant, I don't know.
22	Mr. Rhea. Would the AMTRUNK operation be comparable to
23	the AMLASH Operation but simply involve different characters?
24	Would that be a fair way to characterize it.
25	AMLASH Case officer. Yes, that would be a fair way to
1	

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

	TOP SECRET
	125
l	AMLASH Case Officer. As I stated before, I don't speci-
2	fically remember that he requested FAL's. What I vaguely
3	remember is at some time he made mention that he owned an FAL,
4	that he had an FAL in his home in Cuba.
5	Mr. Rhea. Would you have any reason to doubt, aside from
6	faulty memory would you have any reason to doubt that he did
7	request FAL's be included in the drop?
8	AMLASH Case Officer. He could have.
9	Mr. Rhea. You have no recollection at all?
10	AMLASH Case Officer. No.
11	Mr. Rhea. Are you familiar with the name Earlos Jonges
12	Robreno?
13	AMLASH Case Officer. I vaguely recall that he was one of
14	the people that was involved with AMLASH.
15	Mr. Rhea. Do you recall his role?
16	AMLASH Case Officer. No, because when I was in the
17	operation he was not active in any way except that AMLASH had
18	mentioned him as one of the people he could use.
19	Mr. Rhea. Are you familiar with a request by AMLASH for
20 ·	silencers for FAL rifles?
21	AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall specifically that he
22	made this request of me.
23	Mr. Rhea. Do you recall discussing silencers with him
24	for any purpose?
25	AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I can recollect.
DocId:32	203893 Page 34 TOP SECRET

WARD & PAUL

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

NW 50955

		TOP SECRET				
6000		128				
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000	1	you have anything there?				
Area 20	2	Mr. Rhea. I do. I want to show you a few things in				
Phone (3	there.				
	4	Again, I want to get your opinion on some summaries in the				
	5	IG Report.				
	6	I will hand you Exhibit No. 9, which appears on page 100				
	7	of the Inspector General's Report which is an excerpt of a				
	8	memorandum allegedly prepared by you and left with Robert Owen,				
	9	Paris Station on December 10, 1964.				
	10	(The document referred				
-1	11	to was marked AMLASH				
WARD & PAUL	12	Exhibit No. 9 for				
WARD	13	identification.)				
	14					
	15					
	16					
	17					
ñ	18					
c. 2000	19					
gton, D.	20 .					
Washin	21					
irst Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	22					
irst Strei	23					
	24					
	25					
		TOP SECRET				

2 5150 2121

134

544-6000
202)
(Area
Phone

4

that in his opinion at that time was necessary to carry off a 1 2 coup.

Mr. Rhea. But Knowing what he planned on doing, 3 you felt it was quite proper to put him in touch with people 5 who could enable him to do that?

AMLASH Case Officer. Well, I don't think it was felt, it 6 wasn't a matter of it being proper or improper. The objective 7 for a military coup inside Cuba still existed. 8

There was a group on the outside -- it was bigger than 9 just that part of the operation, in other words, to put him in 10 touch with just the people who would provide him with the arms 11 to eliminate the leadership, because we never got down with 12 him to talking about that specific operation. 13

In other words, Artime had a group on the outside that 14 could give him general support, any kind of support, which we 15 would have to conclude included providing any weapons that he 16 had requested. 17

Mr. Rhea. Let me read you one further statement in the 18 Inspector Generals Report which I will then ask you about. It 19 is a parenthetical statement that is captioned as a comment, 20 and it refers to your testimony before the Inspector General, 21 that is at page 100 of the report -- it states that you "Ex-22 plained to us that what had happened was that SAS contrived to 23 put Actime) and AMLASH together in such a way that neither knew 24 the contact had been engineered by CIA. The thought was Artime 25

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

VARD & PAUL