180-10131-10328 2022 RELEASE	UNDER THE PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION RECORDS ACT OF 1992 JFK Assassination System Date: 10/19/20 Identification Form
	Agency Information
AGENCY : RECORD NUMBER :	HSCA 180-10131-10328
RECORD SERIES :	SECURITY CLASSIFIED TESTIMONY
AGENCY FILE NUMBER :	014726
]	Document Information
ORIGINATOR : FROM : TO :	HSCA PHILLIPS, DAVID ATLEE
TITLE :	
DATE : PAGES :	11/27/1976 135
SUBJECTS :	CIA, METHODOLOGY PHILLIPS, DAVID A., TESTIMONY BEFORE THE COMMITTEE OSWALD, LEE, POST RUSSIAN PERIOD, TRAVEL, TRIP TO MEXICO
DOCUMENT TYPE : CLASSIFICATION : RESTRICTIONS : CURRENT STATUS : DATE OF LAST REVIEW : OPENING CRITERIA :	TRANSCRIPT Unclassified 1A; 1B Redact 01/01/2003
COMMENTS :	Box 5

v9.1

.

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	U.S. House of Representatives	Washington, D. C.
3	Select Committee on Assassinations,	November 27, 1976
4	Subcommittee on the Assassination John F. Kennedy	
5 .	TESTIMONY OF:	PAGE
6	Mr. David A. Phillips	4
7	s.	
. 8		
9		
10	EXHIBITS:	
. 11	Phillips Exhibit No. l	71
12	Phillips Exhibit No. 2	87
13	Phillips Exhibit No. 3	87
14		
- 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
.24		001305
25		
official V descenary of the		
id: 3	2277213 Page 2	

A

. . . .

ļ

V		
WARD/s	smnl	1
	1	EXECUTIVE SESSION
	2	معتو معتود المعالية
, v	3	SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 27, 1976
	4	— — —
	5	House of Representatives,
÷	6	Select Committee on Assassinations
	7	Subcommittee on the Assassi-
	8	nation of John F. Kennedy
	9	Washington, D. C.
	10	The Subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:14
	11	o'clock a.m., in Room 3342, House Annex No. 2, 2nd and D
	12	Streets, N.W., Washington, D. C., the Honorable Richardson
	13	Preyer (Chairman of the Subcommittee) presiding.
	14	Present: Representatives Preyer (presiding) and Thone.
	15	Also present: Richard A. Sprague, Chief Counsel and
•	16	Director; Kenneth Brooten, Counsel; Donovan L. Gay, Chief
	17	Researcher; Richard Feeney, Jonathan Blackner, Jeremy Akers,
	18	Linda Conners, Jackie Hess and Robert Ozer, Committee Staff.
	19	
·	20	
	21	<pre>// // // // // // // // // // // // //</pre>
	22	
	23	
No. Cardon		001306
	24	
C .	25	

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 3

<u>P R O C E E D I N G S</u>

Mr. Preyer. The Subcommittee on the Kennedy Assassination of the House Select Committee on Assassinations will come to order.

Congressman Thone and myself, Congressman Preyer, we have a quorum that satisfies the rules to take testimony.

This session will be an executive session; it will be a closed session. The Chair notes that Mr. Thone disagrees with executive sessions in principle and the court respects his opinions on those. Chairman Downing, however, has requested that this be a closed session, and therefore the Chair declares this session closed.

The purpose of this session is to receive the testimony of Mr. David Phillips, and Mr. Sprague, if there are no other preliminary matters, I will swear the Witness at this time.

Mr. Sprague. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Preyer. Mr. Phillips, would you put your left hand on the Bible and raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give before the House Select Committee on Assassinations will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Phillips. I do.

Mr. Preyer. Thank you, sir.

If you will have your seat, and Mr. Sprague, I will turn

001307

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1		the	ques	tionin	g over	to	you.
---	--	-----	------	--------	--------	----	------

. 11

- 15

. 17

Mr. Sprague. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Will you state your full name.

	4
1	TESTIMONY OF DAVID APPLEY PHILLIPS
2	Mr. Phillips. David Appley Phillips.
3	Mr. Sprague. And your present address.
: 4	Mr. Phillips. 8224 Stonetraile Drive, Bethesda,
5	Maryland, 20034.
6	Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips, you understand that the laws
7	of perjury will encompass all testimony given by you under
8	oath at this hearing.
. 9	Mr. Phillips. I do, sir.
01	Mr. Sprague. Have you ever been a member of the
. 11	Central Intelligence Agency?
12	Mr. Phillips. I have, sir.
13	Mr. Sprague. And when did you commence as an agent of
ī4	that Agency?
15	Mr. Phillips. In 1950.
16	Mr. Sprague. And how long did you remain an agent of
17	the CIA?
18	Mr. Phillips. Twenty-five years.
19	Mr. Sprague. And your departure, was that a retirement?
20	Mr. Phillips. I retired in May of 1975, sir.
21	Mr. Sprague. Now, during the period of time that you
22	were an agent for the CIA, were you at any time assigned to
23	work on their behalf in Mexico? 001309
24	Mr. Phillips. I was, sir.
25	Mr. Sprague. And what year did you commence working in

4

ļ

Ċ

Mexico?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

Mr. Phillips. The assignment was in September of 1961. I arrived in September or early August, as I recall. Mr. Sprague. And how long did you remain on assignment with the CIA in Mexico?

Mr. Phillips. Until early 1965.

Mr. Sprague. Now, commencing with your assignment in 1961 by the CIA to Mexico, what were your initial duties? Mr. Phillips. During the first part of that four years, roughly, my job was that which involves propaganda and that sort of business.

Mr. Spraque. Could you explain what you mean by that? 12 Mr. Phillips. Mexico City has the Cuban embassy there 13 which was active during the 1960s in attempting to assist Fidel Castro in exporting his ideas of revolution in Latin 15 America, and it was the U.S. Government's policy and CIA's 16 practice to counter that when they could. That was largely 17 the extent of that. 18

Mr. Spraque. When you say counter that, what were the 19 nature of the things that you did to counter it? 20

Mr. Phillips. By arranging, assisting journalists, for 21 instance, to write the right kind of things about the United 22 States, supporting groups who were in effect groups which 23 might -- which were anti-Castro. 24

Mr. Sprague. Did any of that support involve those

001310

5

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 7

	6
9	groups committing acts of violence against the Castro regime?
2	Mr. Phillips. They did not, sir.
3	Mr. Sprague. Now, how long did you remain with this kind
4	of duty that you have just described?
5	Mr. Phillips. Roughly two years, until about mid-1973.
6	Mr. Sprague. '63.
7	Mr. Phillips. I'm sorry, sir, '63.
8	Mr. Sprague. When you say approximately mid-1963, to the
. 9	best of your recollection, what months are you talking about?
10	Mr. Phillips. I believe it was July or August. I'm
. 11	not absolutely positive about that.
12	Mr. Sprague. Now, at that time you were given a different
13	assignment?
14	Mr. Phillips. That is correct, sir.
- 15	Mr. Sprague. And what was the nature of the new assign-
16	ment?
. 17	Mr. Phillips. I was asked to take over what were known
18	as the Cuban operations of Mexico City Station.
19	Mr. Sprague. Now, how long did you remain in charge
20	of the Cuban operation in the Mexican Station of the CIa?
21	Mr. Phillips. Until my departure in early 1965.
22	Mr. Sprague. Now, when you say you were asked in mid-1963
23	to take over the Cuban operation, who was it that made that
24	assignment of you?
25	Mr. Phillips. A CIA officer who had recently been appointed
	001311

1	as the Chief of CIA Operations based with his headquarters in
2	Washington, visited Mexico City, spoke to the Station Chief in
3	Mexico, recommended that I be changed to the new job.
4	Mr. Sprague. And who was that?
5	Mr. Phillips. His name was Desmond FitzGerald, the
6	Chief of Station was Win Scott.
7	Mr. Sprague. And would you explain what the nature of the
8	new assignment was which, as I take it, then had you then
9	had for the remainder of your tour in Mexico.
10	Mr. Phillips. It was to know what the Cubans were doing
_ 11	in Mexico City, specifically in their embassy, to try to
12	obtain as much information as possible about their intentions
13	in Mexico and Latin America, specifically, to know what was
14	going on in and around the Cuban Embassy.
15	Mr. Sprague. Now, where was the Cuban embassy located?
16	Mr. Phillips. I believe I recall the name of the street
17	as Calle Insurgantes, which was several blocks off of the
18	main street in Mexico City.
19	Mr. Sprague. And what was its proximity to the Russian
20	embassy?
21	Mr. Phillips. Rather close.
22	Mr. Sprague. When you say rather close, what do you mean?
23	Mr. Phillips. A few blocks, as I recall, though not
.24	many.
25	Mr. Sprague. Now, when you were put in charge of the
	001312

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 9

٢

Ŷ

7

I

Cuban operation, who was your immediate supervisor?

Mr. Phillips. In the normal chain of command it would have 2 been the Deputy Chief of Station and then the Chief of Station. 3 In practice, it was more the Chief of Station himself. 4

Mr. Sprague. Who was the Chief of Station?

Mr. Phillips. Winston Scott.

Mr. Sprague. And who was the Deputy Chief who ought to have been the head man over you?

Mr. Phillips. When I was there, there was a man named 9 Warren Dean, and I believe he was still there at the time of 10 Oswald's visit. 11

He was replaced by a man named Allen White, and it is 12 possible White was there, but I am almost sure it was Warren Dean. 14

Mr. Sprague. All right, but during your period of time 15 on the Cuban operation, was it Dean and White who were 16 nominally your supervisors? 17

Mr. Phillips. Yes, yes, nominally.

Mr. Sprague. And who in fact was the supervisor?

Mr. Phillips. The Chief of Station.

Mr. Spraque. Which was who again?

Mr. Phillips. Winston Scott.

Mr. Sprague. And was he the actual supervisor over you during the entire period you were in the Cuban operation? Mr. Phillips. Yes. He dealt pretty much directly with

001313

DocId: 32277213 Page 10 NW 50955

1

5

6

7

8

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 me. Mr. Spraque. Now, when you were assigned to this 2 3 Cuban operation, was there anybody else who was assigned with you at that same time? 4 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 5 Mr. Sprague. Who? 6 Mr. Phillips. Mr. Robert Shaw, a Mr. Joseph Picolo, a 7 Mr. Raymond Swider. 8 Mr. Sprague. Now, were they all assigned to that operation 9 at the same time you were? 10 Mr. Phillips. No. 11 No, there were three of us as a rule, and I believe that 12 it was Mr. Swider who replaced Mr. Picolo. 13 Mr. Spraque. Well, let's take this in order. 14 When you were first assigned to the Cuban operation, 15 did you succeed somebody who had been in charge of that? 16 Mr. Phillips. Yes, I did. 17 Mr. Sprague. Who did you succeed? 18 Mr. Phillips. A man named Tom Haslett, who went to 19 another post overseas. 20 Mr. Sprague. And when you commenced this assignment, 21 the Cuban operation, were there any aides or subordinates 22 already on that station? 23 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, and as I recall, at the time 24 that I took over, it was Mr. Shaw and Mr. Picolo. Then to 25 001314

the best of my recollection, Mr. Swider replaced Mr. Picolo
 when he went out of town. Mr. Shaw remained and was there
 when I left.

Mr. Sprague. And how long was it, as best you can recall, that Mr. Picolo remained in that assignment until he was replaced by Mr. Shaw?

7 Mr. Phillips. I am sure that Mr. Shaw was there all the 8 time and was there when I left. And I believe Mr. Picolo 9 was there and left sometime when I was the Chief and was 10 replaced by Mr. Swider, but I cannot remember when, but they 11 were not there simultaneously.

Mr. Sprague. Was there anybody else on the assignment in the Cuban station under you other than the people that you have mentioned during the time that that was your assignment?

Mr. Phillips. There was a secretary I believe we shared with some other offices.

Mr. Sprague. What was her name?

Mr. Phillips. I don't recall.

Mr. Sprague. Do you have any recollection as to nickname, first name, last name?

Mr. Phillips. Not at the moment, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Now, would you first just explain to us the way in which your operation worked?

Mr. Phillips. The Cuban embassy had in it diplomats,

001315

25

18

19

20

21

intelligence officers, consular officers. We had priorities; 1 the intelligence officers, for instance, were at the top 2 of the list, and the senior diplomats, and we tried to obtain 3 information in every way that we could. That was by using 4 the traditional techniques of espionage, which include, when you 5 can, having an agent in place inside, which included knowledge 6 of what was said on telephones, which includes knowledge of 7 correspondence, and having people who worked for you who have 8 reason to go in at those embassies and make observations, that 9 sort of thing. 10 Mr. Sprague. Now, when you say intercept telephone 11 conversations, were there wiretaps on the Cuban consul and 12 embassy offices? 13 Mr. Phillips. There were, sir. 14 Mr. Spraque. And who was it that maintained those taps? 15 Was it your operation? 16 Mr. Phillips. It was not my operation. It was an outside 17 operation. 18 Now, when you say an outside operation, you Mr. Spraque. 19 mean another assignment area of the CIA? 20 Mr. Phillips. Another physical area outside of the U.S. 21 embassy. 22 Mr. Sprague. And who was in charge of that particular 23 area? 24 I believe the senior man in charge of Mr. Phillips. 25 001316

that was named George Monroe. In discussing this with your staff last night, I remembered George, but now I remember the full name was George Monroe.

Mr. Sprague. And would you just explain to us the way in which that operation worked?

Mr. Phillips. All right, sir. I never visited or 6 saw it, but as I understood, telephone conversations were 7 listened to. There was some selection there because there 8 were so many phones in the embassy, and the attempt to get 9 them on the important lines, the ones where most information 10 would be available. They were listened to and put on tape. 11 Someone would have the responsibility of listening to that 12 and deciding whether it was worth putting into a transcript, 13 that is, if there was a telephone call about someone asking 14 their wife about shopping, that might be something that would 15 not be on there, but if there was anything that might be 16 interesting --17

Mr. Sprague. You say wouldn't be on there. You mean it would be a decision not to transcribe that portion of the tape.

Mr. Phillips. That is correct, sir.

Mr. Sprague. All right, go ahead.

Mr. Phillips. Otherwise, a transcription was made which was an effort to reproduce word for word what had been on that tape. The transcription then came into the station. In the

001317

12

21

22

4

case of the Cuban embassy, in Spanish.

Mr. Sprague. And who was it that would make the decision 2 in that particular area whether to transcribe a conversation of 3 not? 4

Mr. Phillips. The person in that outside unit or the 5 persons there in the outside unit. I do not know because 6 I didn't visit it and didn't know people who were working 7 there. 8

Mr. Sprague. Approximately how many people were on that 9 assignment? 10

Mr. Phillips. I don't have any idea. I would guess a 11 dozen, but I really don't know. 12

Mr. Sprague. Do you know the names of any of the people 13 who were involved in that assignment? 14

Mr. Phillips. I do not, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Did you not tell us last night the names 16 of some other people? 17

Mr. Phillips. I mentioned the name of Ms. Anne Goodpasture, an officer in the station, and the name of Mr. Monroe.

Mr. Sprague. And were they connected with that assignment?

Mr. Phillips. That is true, sir.

Mr. Spraque. Well, why did you just now say you didn't know anybody else? 24

Mr. Phillips. I'm sorry, sir, I thought you meant in the

001318

1

- 15

18

19

20

21

22

23

itself. 1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, what is their connection with that Mr. Spraque. 2 3 particular unit?

Mr. Phillips. Ms. Goodpasture, for instance, I remember actually went out and brought back tapes, and remembering our 5 conversation last night, I remembered during the night last night that she came sometimes with suitcases that were heavy and really had tapes in them. So I am not positive where the Russian translations were made, but she brought them in, and I don't think they were probably in the station, but not out in that outside unit in any event.

Mr. Spraque. Are you saying it was up to her to go and pick up the tapes themselves?

> Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

And where would the tapes be transported to? Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips. To the CIA office.

Mr. Spraque. And that was located where?

Mr. Phillips. In the U.S. embassy.

Mr. Sprague. Now, at the time that this lady picked up the tapes, had they already been transcribed, do you know?

I believe the situation was she was picking Mr. Phillips. up only the ones in the Soviet language, and that she would be picking up transcripts of the ones in Spanish language.

Mr. Spraque. Now, when you say she was picking up only those in the Soviet language, do you mean she was picking up

001319

DocId:32277213 Page 16 NW 50955

1 those on another intercept on the Russian embassy? 2 Mr. Phillips. As I understand it, it was an intercept from 3 the outside unit on the Russian embassy simultaneous with the 4 one on the Cuban embassy, but the outside unit had Mexican 5 nationals in it and not people who had, as far as I understood They did the work out there in Spanish, but not, as I it. 6 recall the work, in the Russian language. 7 Mr. Sprague. After these tapes were transcribed, what 8 9 would happen with the transcriptions? 10 Mr. Phillips. They would go to the desk of Mr. Win Scott, the Chief of Station. -11 Mr. Sprague. And what then would happen? 12 Mr. Phillips. He would decide how they should be routed, 13 to what officers, generally what action should be taken, and 14 then they would be distributed and filed. 15 Mr. Sprague. Can you give us just the normal process of 16 what would occur when something in fact was transcribed? 17 Mr. Phillips. Yes. If there was a transcript and it 18 had only and solely to do with Cuban matters, it would generally 19 come to me or to someone in my shop there for the action, if 20 some was to be taken, or for an information before it went to 21 the files. If it was something that involved more than one 22 thing such as a combination of Cuban and Soviet matters, it 23 would be routed through both persons involved, and sometimes 24 three persons, depending upon what it was. 25

001320

	16
1	And so they would go to the officers who were concerned
2	with these particular areas, and then they would also go to
3	another officer that I didn't mention last night, the
4	CIA station generally has a person called a Reports Officer.
5	That is a person who is not assigned to Cuban things or anything
6	but put together reports which are disseminated, and there was
7	such a thing in Mexico, and some of the time, even a
8	Reports Officer and assistant, because it was a large station,
9	relatively speaking. So they would get nearly everything as
10	well.
. 11	Mr. Sprague. When you say nearly everything, does that
12	mean they did not get everything?
13	Mr. Phillips. Yes, because the Chief of Station at his
14	discretion, if there was something he felt was very, very
15	sensitive, and that some people did not need to know, in that
16	case there were occasions when he would cut out one transcript
17	or send it only to one person.
18	Mr. Sprague. You mean if it was perhaps extremely
19	sensitive, it would not go just to the reports section?
20	Mr. Phillips. That is right.
21	Mr. Thone. Why did you report directly to Win Scott
22	and not the Deputy, which was normal procedure?

Mr. Phillips. Sir, it was because of the personality 23 of Mr. Scott. He was a very strong man, and he did not .24 delegate, so I did have the obligation according to the system, 25

001321

and the second man was my superior, but in fact, Mr. Scott would call me and say, Phillips, do this and do that.

3 Mr. Sprague. When these memos or the transcriptions were processed around, what would then occur with them, and what 5 action would be taken?

Mr. Phillips. In some cases it was for information only 6 and it went to the files. In other cases, there was action 7 often indicated by Mr. Scott by writing on a piece of paper, 8 please advise so and so, or to the reports officer, I believe 9 this should be disseminated, a formal dissemination would be 10 sent to the intelligence community, or any one of a number 11 of instructions as a result of that. There might be a query 12 of what information do we have on this subject or this person, 13 and then they would go around and someone would usually have 14 a specific responsibility to do that action. 15

Mr. Sprague. What was the procedure with regard to 16 notification of other agencies of government? 17

Mr. Phillips. A report that is formally disseminated is 18 one which is written in a certain manner to give the reader 19 some idea of the validity of the source. It is then sent out 20 to other agencies, locally as would be appropriate. That is 21 that almost everything would go to the Ambassador's office, 22 something that had to do with narcotics or smuggling or 23 something like that, Immigration and Naturalization, the 24 military attache's office sometimes would get them, the FBI 25

001322

1

2

would get them. They always in the case of an American 1 Those reports also would come to Washington and on citizen. 2 occasion would be sent to other countries if there was another 3 country -- something about an Equadorian who came to Mexico 4 City and was doing so and so, and sent to other agencies in S Washington.

Mr. Sprague. Who would make the determination as to what agencies were to be notified, or whether agencies were to be notified?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

6

7

8

Mr. Phillips. The Chief of Station.

Mr. Sprague. Which was who again?

Mr. Phillips. Mr. Scott. He would generally depend on the recommendation of the reports officer preparing -- I am speaking now, sir, of formal intelligence reports as opposed to just sending some messages back and forth, and he would depend generally on the reports officer's suggestions. He might change it.

But it was up to Mr. Scott to make the final Mr. Sprague. decision whether other agencies of the Federal Government should be notified about information that had been obtained.

Mr. Phillips. Absolutely, sir.

You mentioned notification to Washington. Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh.

Mr. Spraque. By Washington you mean CIA headquarters in Washington?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, I do.

2 Mr. Sprague. What would motivate, as far as you know, the 3 decision to notify CIA headquarters in Washington?

Mr. Phillips. Because this was information of the kind that might be valuable, say, to policymakers or something like that. In nearly every one of these things, for instance, the formal disseminations would be sent to the Department of State here in Washington for their information.

Mr. Sprague. No, but I am talking about the decision to notify CIA headquarters in Washington, would it be a routine of each of these intercepts?

Mr. Phillips. No, not each of the intercepts. This is only a formal intelligence report, not in the case of the intercepts.

Mr. Sprague. What would occasion a decision to notify CIA headquarters in Washington with regard to an intercept?

Mr. Phillips. In the first case, something of enough 17 importance that it would be turned into a formal report and 18 would reach Washington not recognizeable as coming from a 19 transcript. Secondly, if it had information which was very 20 useful to Washington or to some other country, in which case 21 it would be sent not as a formal dissemination but simply 22 for your information, here is what we have learned. And 23 finally, if there were names that it looked like they should 24 go in the record, or things that didn't seem terribly important, 25

001324

NW 50955 DocId: 32277213 Page 21

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

· 15

1 they might come up in an informal manner in the diplomatic 2 pouch in the form of papers themselves being sent up. 3 Mr. Sprague. Would you say of the total number of intercepts, that it would be a very small number where notifica-4 5 tion would be made to CIA headquarters in Washington? Mr. Phillips. Relatively speaking, small, sir, quite 6 small. 7 Mr. Sprague. Would it be accurate to describe those 8 small numbers as being something more involved than the normal 9 10 intercept, something of a little more importance? Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, that is true. 11 Mr. Sprague. Now, with regard to these tapes that were 12 recordings of conversations, what was the procedure with 13 regards to the tapes themselves? 14 You have said that there was the transcription, and I 15 have heard you say that they were then carried from one place 16 to another. What would end up, what would happen with these 17 tapes? 18 Phillips. All right, sir, remembering last night, Mr. 19 and the fact that these tapes were coming in, it is my 20 best recollection now that Soviet tapes would come in to be 21 translated actually in the station somewhere, and that there was 22 a translator in the station for the Soviet business. I recall 23 thinking last night, I remember the name of the translator, 24 and we used to be in a poker club together, and I remember 25

001325

۲

1

2

3

4

5

<u> A</u>

he worked in the embassy office.

Mr. Sprague. And what was his name?

Mr. Phillips. His name was George Misco.

Mr. Sprague. Misco?

Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh.

6 Mr. Sprague. Do you remember whether Misco was married or7 not?

8 Mr. Phillips. I know that he was married. I understand 9 that he was not in Mexico that Oswald was there, but I believe 10 he was married, yes. I'm not positive.

Mr. Sprague. What gave you to understand that he was not
 in Mexico at the time that Oswald was there?

Mr. Phillips. Because I received a telephone call on 13 14 the appearance of the Post story from the CIA asking me what I knew about the source of the information and so forth, and - 15 15 the translator and the secretary, and I said the only translator I can remember was George Misco. In a subsequent 17 conversation, the fellow I talked to said by the way, we 18 understand George was not in Mexico at the time. That's why 19 I remember. 20 Mr. Sprague. Who was it that called you? 21 Mr. Phillips. Mr. John Waller. 22

Mr. Sprague. Would you spell that last name?

Mr. Phillips. W-a-l-l-e-r.

Mr. Sprague. And who is John Waller?

001326

23

24

25

	22
1	Mr. Phillips. He is an officer at the CIA, and I talked
2	to him the same day about telling him that I was planning to come
3	down and testify and that consequently I considered myself release
4	from my security oath. He is now the Inspector General of CIA.
5	Mr. Sprague. John Waller is?
6	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
7	Mr. Sprague. And did Waller ask you questions with
8	regard to the information in the Post story?
. 9	Mr. Phillips. He wanted to know if I knew where the
10	information came from.
. 11	Mr. Sprague. Well, first answer my question. Did he
12	ask you for information concerning what was in the Post story?
13	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, the first
14	Mr. Sprague. What did he ask you?
15	Mr. Phillips. The first question was, is that story the
16	testimony which you gave to the Senate Subcommittee of
17	Senators Schwieker and Hart, and I said no, sir, and he said,
18	do you know who the translator might be, and I said, the only
19	name I remember of a translator is George Misco.
20	Mr. Sprague. What else did he ask you or say to you?
21	Mr. Phillips. That was it, sir.
22	Mr. Sprague. Were you advised by anyone from the CIA
23	that the secrecy agreement was still binding on you?
24	Mr. Phillips. I was told that technically
25	Mr. Sprague. Well, answer my question. Were you advised
	001327

.

· .. .

-

by anyone from the CIA that the secrecy agreement was still 1 binding you? 2 Mr. Phillips. No, sir. 3 Mr. Sprague. What were you advised? 4 Mr. Phillips. I was told that technically it was, but 5 they left it up to me. And I said, well, I know what I 6 considered, but I was not told that it was --. 7 Mr. Sprague. Now, when you say they, who else from the 8 CIA was in touch with you other than Mr. Waller? 9 Mr. Phillips. Mr. Lyle Miller. He is an attorney. 10 Mr. Spraque. And what is Mr. Miller's role with the 11 CIA? 12 Mr. Phillips. He is in the Office of the Legal Counsel, 13 I believe, or Legislative Counsel, one of the two, but he is 14 a lawyer. 15 Mr. Sprague. What happened thereafter with regard to 16 contacts by the CIA? 17 Mr. Thone. Mr. Spraque, I am very interested. 18 What do they mean when they say technically? 19 Mr. Phillips. The explanation was that technically, 20 because staff had not formally received security clearances, 21 that was the technicality. 22 Mr. Thone. I still don't understand. 23 Is there an implied threat when they say technically? .24 Mr. Phillips. Oh, no, sir. 25 001328

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 25

Mr. Thone. None whatsoever.

1

10

11

19

20

2	Mr. Phillips. I had informed them of my intention to	
3	come down, and the answer was well, technically you are not to	be
4	released from your secrecy oath, but you do what you want to.	
5	I am not going to tell you what to do.	

Mr. Thone. Why would they bring up the word "technicallyif they did not have some concern?

8 Mr. Phillips. I don't know, sir, but I didn't feel that 9 it was a threat or asking me not to speak or anything.

Mr. Thone. Why would it be mentioned at all, in your opinion? You are a veteran here. Were they cautioning you?

Mr. Phillips. I did not see it as caution. I just think, sir, that it was because I had said that I was going down and testify freely, and so they were responding as bureaucrats do, but I really don't know, sir.

Mr. Sprague. After these conversations with these two
CIA agents, what occasioned the next conversation with a
CIA agent?

Mr. Phillips. Are we speaking of yesterday, sir? Mr. Sprague. Yes .

21 Mr. Phillips. There were two conversations, I believe. 22 Mr. Sprague. Didn't you say that the first person subse-23 quently called you back to tell you that that person that you 24 named was not there at the time?

Mr. Phillips. Yes. I made the first call to Mr. WAller.

001329

A call came back from Mr. Waller, and there was the third and 1 subsequent call from Mr. Miller who had been advised by 2 Mr. Waller that I had called and said that I was coming down, so 3 Mr.Miller, the lawyer, made the third call to me. 4 5 Mr. Sprague. You have got me mixed up. Now, let's take these in order. When was the first contact by anyone from the 6 CIA with you with regard to the story in the Post and your 7 testifying before the Subcommittee? 8 I called the Subcommittee. Mr. Phillips. 9 Mr. Spraque. When? 10 Mr. Phillips. Shortly before lunch, and asked for Mr. 11 When? Mr. Sprague. 12 Mr. Phillips. On the day the story appeared. 13 Mr. Spraque. Yesterday. 14 Mr. Phillips. Yesterday. - 15 Mr. Sprague. And who did you ask for? 16 Mr. Phillips. Mr. Waller. 17 Mr. Sprague. And why were you calling him? 18 Mr. Phillips. Because he is an old personal friend. 19 Mr. Spraque. And did you want to discuss with him the 20 story and your possible appearance? 21 Mr. Phillips. No, I wanted to go onto the record that 22 I, as I understood my duties, before a duly constituted 23 Committee, that I was to come down, and that my secrecy 24 oath did not apply in this case. And so I advised that to Mr. 25

001330

Waller. He later contacted Mr. Miller, and that presumably 1 triggered Mr. Miller's call to me to talk about the secrecy 2 agreement. 3 Mr. Sprague. And who was it that said to you that this 4 technically applies? 5 Mr. Phillips. Mr. Miller. 6 Mr. Sprague. And what else was covered in that conversa-7 tion, if anything? 8 Mr. Phillips. That was it, sir, it was very brief. 9 Mr. Sprague. Well, that is what my question is. Was 10 the whole content of that conversation Mr. Miller's telling 11 you that technically that agreement still was binding on you? 12 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, and also to tell me something 13 like they weren't going to tell me what to do or anything like 14 that. 15 Mr. Thone. Excuse me, Mr. Sprague, but when they tell 16 you technically, again, you are a thirty-year veteran of 17 the CIA, aren't they cautioning you to be very careful in your 18 choice of -- my language. Why would he bring up the language 19 well, no, it doesn't apply, but technically it does apply? 20 Mr. Phillips. Sir, I don't know, but it was in the 21 context of letting me know that they were not saying to me 22 don't go down and testify, and so that is why I did not see it 23 as a threat. 24 Mr. Thone. I don't want to be argumentative, sir, 25

but if they were going to be freely telling you to come down 1 and testify, why would they bring up that word, which frankly 2 concerns me? 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sir, I don't know. Mr. Phillips.

Mr. Thone. To me it is a veiled caution that the secrecy technically still does apply to the testimony you are giving us this morning. Wouldn't that be a reasonable interpretation?

Mr. Phillips. Sir, I didn't take it that way, and I intend to testify fully, so I didn't read it that way.

Mr. Thone. Well, that of course, is all-important. Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Thone. And in no way, form, or shape is that admonition influencing anything you are telling us here today. Mr. Phillips. Absolutely not, sir.

Mr. Spraque. How long after the call that you had with the first person was it before the call came from Mr. Miller?

Mr. Phillips. The first time I called for Mr. Waller, I asked for him and he was at lunch, and I asked for him to 18 call me. 19

Mr. Spraque. How long after you spoke to Mr. Waller was it before you then spoke to Mr.Miller?

Mr. Phillips. I recall it being about 30 minutes.

Mr. Spraque. And when you spoke to Mr. Miller, did he at that time advise you that Mr. Waller had said that the person you thought was the translator or interpretor was

not the person, or was that yet another call? 1 2 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, that was Mr. Waller in the 3 conversation advising that. 4 Mr. Sprague. And that was in a third call. Mr. Phillips. No, sir, that was the second call with 5 Mr. Waller. 6 Mr. Sprague. All right, now, when was the second call? 7 8 As I understand what you said, you called Mr. Waller. He was not in. You left word and Mr. Waller then called you back. 9 Mr. Phillips. After lunch, I would say about a quarter of 10 3:00, 2:30, quarter of 3:00. 11 Mr. Sprague. And at that time you discussed this story 12 with Mr. Waller. 13 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 14 Mr. Sprague. And he asked you at that time who did you 15 think was the interpretor? 16 Mr. Phillips. THat is right, sir. 17 Mr. Sprague. And you gave him the name. 18 Mr. Phillips. That's right, sir. 19 Mr. Sprague. Now, was it in that same conversation that 20 he told you that they had checked and that person was not 21 down in Mexico then? 22 Mr. Phillips. No, sir. It was about 20 or 30 minutes 23 later. 24 Mr. Sprague. All right, that was my --25 001333

Mr. Phillips. He called, he called back to me. 1 Mr. Spraque. Well, that is what I want to find out. 2 After that conversation with Mr. Waller --3 Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh --4 Mr. Sprague. And prior to your conversation with Mr. 5 Miller, had Mr. Waller called you back again? 6 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, he called twice. 7 Mr. Sprague. So after the first conversation with Mr. 8 Waller, then in approximately 20 minutes Mr. Waller calls 9 you again. 10 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 11 Mr. Sprague. And what did Mr. Waller tell you at that 12 time, and what was that conversation about? 13 Mr. Phillips. He called me to tell me -- during that 14 conversation he mentioned a man's name that I had gathered 15 was not stationed in Mexico, and he told me that he had 16 passed on, I believe he said to Mr.Miller, the fact that 17 I had called and said that I intended to testify because Mr. 18 Waller was not necessarily the man that I would have called 19 if I had known just the person to call, but I had known him. 20 That person whom he notified, Mr. Miller, who is either the 21 Legislative or Legal Counsel's office, called me, which was 22 the third call in the series, and this all occurred in about 23 an hour. 24 Mr. Sprague. All right, let's take now the second call 25

001334

to Mr. Waller. Other than Mr. Waller stating to you that the person you were naming he has checked on and that person was not working in Mexico at that time --

A

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

3

1

2

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Sprague. And other than stating that he has referred this matter or turned it over to Mr. Miller, was anything else said by Mr. Waller in that conversation?

Mr. Phillips. I don't recall anything else, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Well, was he saying anything about not mentioning another party, since he has just checked on one name that you mentioned and found that that person you said wasn't working there? Was there anything along that line?

Mr. Phillips. He did say one other thing, to continue on that, which was we don't think he was working there. It was another fellow but I won't say who that is because I don't want to confuse you, or something like that. But I won't tell you who that is, and he didn't.

Mr. Sprague. And was anything said about their attempting to contact any of these other people?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir.

Mr. Sprague. When he said to you in effect that your information was incorrect, that he was not going to tell you who the other person is, or another name, because it might confuse you or would confuse you --

Mr. Phillips. I am not sure, sir, he used the word

001335

"confuse". I do recall he said, but I won't tell you the 1 other person's name. 2 Mr. Sprague. Well, didn't you justr say that he said he 3 didn't want to confuse you? 4 Mr. Phillips. I am not sure that is an accurate quote 5 for that word. 6 Mr. Sprague. Well, let me ask you this. Did his call 7 to you in the context of indicating that they had checked the 8 name and that person appears not to have worked there, make you 9 a little more questioning about your own recollection? 10 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, because my original thinking of 11 the man's name was simply a translator who I knew in 12 Mexico, not in the context of the translator who -- not in the 13 context of the Oswald translator. 14 Mr. Spraque. But did his call have any effect in making 15 you a little more questioning about your entire recollection 16 of this matter? 17 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I think no. 18 Mr. Sprague. All right. 19 What else was then said in that call other than what 20 you have just said? 21 Mr. Phillips. I don't recall anything else, sir. 22 Mr. Sprague. With regard to the Miller call, have you 23 related it in its entirety? 24 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, it was brief. 25 001338

Mr. Sprague. Well, I know what you have said. 1 Is there anything you omitted from that call? 2 Mr. Phillips. Yes, there is another thing. He said 3 something about contacting your staff. 4 Mr. Sprague. He said who was contacting the staff? 5 Mr. Phillips. He said something about he would be calling 6 your staff or something like that. 7 Mr. Sprague. Did he say for what purpose? 8 Mr. Phillips. No, sir. He said he would be calling --9 I don't think he mentioned a name, someone on your staff, and 10 I presumed he was going to say, I spoke to Mr. Phillips and 11 we know he is coming down. He said if I have anything else 12 to tell you, I will call you before 4:00 o'clock, and he did 13 not call. 14 Mr. Thone. You hesitated just a minute on that name of 15 the staff member here. Can you give that a little bit more 16 thought? Did he mention a name? 17 Mr. Phillips. I think he did, sir. 18 Mr. Thone. Can you give that a little thought? 19 I had just shortly been contacted by Mr. Phillips. 20 Mr. Feeney. Mr. Feeney's name was the only one I knew. Sir, 21 I will try to. I can't think of it. I am not positive that 22 he did mention a name, but he said I will be contacting the 23 staff. 24 Mr. Sprague. Other than news media, did anyone else 25

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 34

32

attempt to contact you and in fact contact you once this story broke in the Post, regarding the story and your appearance before this Subcommittee other than these people from the CIA?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, other than the news media. Mr. Sprague. Now, going back, when these intercepts occurred, who was it that was responsible for making the transcripts from the tapes?

Was it the same unit that was responsible for the 9 intercepts? 10

Mr. Phillips. Certainly in the case of the Spanish ones, they were made in the unit where they were received, 12 and those transcripts then came into the station, and in the 13 case of conversations in the Russian language, as best as I can recall it, they came into the station, and I was wondering 15 last night whether it was a little unit outside, but I don't 16 recall that there was. There may have been a translator outside, 17 and then they were once again taken out of the station to the 18 Soviet translator, but as I understood it, there were no 19 Soviet language experts in that unit outside, but in the 20 station, yes. 21

Mr. Spraque. Just so I understand this, when you distinguish between Spanish and Russian --

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Sprague. You are not distinguishing in terms of the

001338

33

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

34
place that is intercepted, but the conversation that is on
that interception, is that correct?
Mr. Phillips. That is correct, sir.
Mr. Sprague. And this intercept operation, if I can
use that word, was that not only intercepting the conversations
that were at the Cuban embassy and consul, was that operation
also intercepting conversations at the Russian embassy and
consul?
Mr. Phillips. THat is correct, sir.
Mr. Sprague. And so I understand it, in either situation,
Spanish conversation would be translated and typed right
there where that intercept operation was, wherever that may have
been.
Mr. Phillips. That is what I understood it, but I
never visited it.
Mr. Sprague. And the Russian conversation that was
picked up, whether at the Cuban or the Russian embassies, was
taken to somewhere in the embassy itself where it was then
translated and typed up, is that correct?
Mr. Phillips. That is correct. It may have been that
some of those Russian things then went out to a Russian
translator who actually worked outside. I don't know that that
was the case.
Mr. Sprague. All right, let's deal right at the moment
with the Russian part of that.

001339

۲

 (\mathbf{C})

Do you know who was the translator in the embassy to 1 translate those intercepts that involved the Russian language? 2 Mr. Phillips. I do not recall, sir. 3 Mr. Sprague. Is that the name that you were stating 4 yesterday, to whom Mr. -- the CIA agent --5 Mr. Phillips. The name of Mr. George Misco I was 6 stating was the only name of a Russian translator I remembered 7 Mr. Sprague. Was that the name you were thinking, though? 8 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 9 Mr. Sprague. When you were talking about the translator 10 for the Russian conversation? 11 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, yes, sir. 12 Mr. Sprague. And what is that person's name? 13 Mr. Phillips. George Misco. That is the phonetic. I 14 am not sure how it is pronounced. 15 Mr. Sprague. Do you know who was the typist for whoever 16 was the translator of the Russian conversations? 17 First, do you know? 18 Mr. Phillips. The translator? 19 Mr. Sprague. Do you know who was the typist for that 20 translator of those intercepts? 21 Mr. Phillips. I don't recall the names. I recall two 22 women, American women who were local employees. That is, they 23 were not sent from Washington but were hired locally. Ι 24 didn't know them personally, but perhaps their husband was in 25

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 37

35

business or something like that, and since foreign nationals 1 were not allowed into this office, they did come in and work 2 on a contract basis, but not a regular U.S. Government 3 employee, and I can't remember their names, but I recall two 4 of them. 5 Mr. Sprague. Do you have any recollection of first names 6 nicknames, last names of any of these typists of the intercepts? 7 Mr. Phillips. Not at kthis time. I could recognize 8 photographs, but I can't remember their names. I will try 9 to. 10 Mr. Sprague. All right, now, going back to the Spanish 11 intercepts, do you know who was the translator of the Spanish 12 intercepts? 13 Mr. Phillips. No, sir. 14 Mr. Sprague. Do you know who any of the typists were 15 there? 16 Mr. Phillips. No, sir. 17 Mr. Spraque. Now, let me ask you this. When a 18 conversation is intercepted, was there any procedure for 19 dealing more immediately with a conversation that the listener 20 that was listening at the same time thought was a little 21 more important than just the normal intercept? 22 Just answer first yes or no. 23 Mr. Phillips. Yes. 24 Mr. Sprague. And what was that procedure? 25 001341

Mr. Phillips. As I recall it, a telephone call to someone 1 in the CIA station from that place saying hey, we have something 2 3 terribly interesting here. Mr. Sprague. Now, would that be made by the monitor 4 5 himself, or would he report that to somebody else who would then make the decision as to do something for more immediacy? 6 Mr. Phillips. Sir, I don't know. 7 Mr. Sprague. When the telephone call that you have 8 alluded to was made, as far as you know, to whom would that 9 be made? 10 Mr. Phillips. Customarily it would be made to Ms. 11 Anne Goodpasture. 12 Mr. Sprague. And she again is whom? 13 Mr. Phillips. She was the woman inside the station who 14 had the primary responsibility for this outside unit. Mr. 15 Monroe didn't come every morning to the CIA station but stayed 16 outside. 17 Mr. Sprague. Now, you have talked about your Cuban 18 assignment. 19 Was there similarly a Russian assignment? 20 Mr. Phillips. There was. 21 Mr. Spraque. And in 1963, while you were, let us say, 22 on this Cuban assignment from mid-1963, who was the individual 23 that was in charge of the counterpart to you with the Russian 24 embassy assignment? 25 001342

		38
	1	Mr. Phillips. Mr. Herbert Manel.
	2	
		Mr. Sprague. And do you know who were the people at that
·	3	time who were working under Mr. Manel?
	4	First, do you?
	5	Mr. Phillips. I know immediately one, sir.
	6	Mr. Sprague. And what is that person's name?
	7	Mr. Phillips. Barbara Manel.
	.8	Mr. Sprague. Barbara Manel is Mr. Manel's wife?
	. 9	Mr. Phillips. That is true, sir.
	10	Mr. Sprague. And was she also an employee working on
	. 11	that assignment with Mr. Manel?
	12	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. She was a former staff employee
	13	of the CIA who had specialized in Soviet matters, and I
	14	believe was hired in Mexico I believe on a part time basis, but
	- 15	as the other locals were. In other words, she did not enjoy
	16	her staff she had resigned from CIA but was then hired
	17	again to work inside and to assist her own husband in Soviet
	18	matters, because she knew the Soviet language, and had the
	19	background and so forth.
	20	Mr. Sprague. Now, do you know anyone else who was working
	2]	in the Soviet assignment other than Mr. and Mrs. Manel, and
	22	I take it Mr. Manel was the supervisor in charge of that.
	23	Mr. Phillips. He was, sir.
,	.24	There was I recall a man named Mr. Benjamin Pepper
	25	who worked for Mr. Manel and had responsibility for not

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 40

necessarily for -- not necessarily where Mr. Manel was concerned with Soviet matters. Mr. Pepper was concerned with Czechoslovakian matters, Polish matters and so forth, and then would assist Mr. Manel in the Soviet thing and that part of the shop.

Mr. Sprague. The United Press has a specific quotation of a statement which they say you made to a United Press International reporter named Daniel F. Gillmore, quoting in part as follows: "I have the recollection hazy after fourteen years that Oswald intimated that he had information that might be useful to the Soviets and Cuba, and that he hoped to be provided with free transportation to Russia via Cuba."

Did you make that statement to Mr. Daniel F. Gillmore of United Press International?

Mr. Phillips. I did, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Is that statement accurate? 16 Mr. Phillips. I think it is, sir, yes, it is. 17 Mr. Sprague. There is, in the Washington Post of 18 yesterday's date, a story by Ronald Kessler in which he 19 quotes you in part stating that you recall from a transcript 20 Oswald telling the Soviet embassy, "I have information you 21 would be interested in, and I know you can pay my way" into 22 Russia, but that is not part of the quote. 23

> Is that what you said in part to Mr. Kessler? Mr. Phillips. I feel that I cannot answer that yes or

> > 001344

NW 50955 Doc1d:32277213 Page

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 15

no without explaining that I met with Mr. Kessler on two occasions, once for a long lunch, once in a coffee shop, and 2 he called me two or three times on the phone.

In these discussions with Mr. Kessler, I did -- he raised the subject of whether or not Oswald was offering information, was being paid, wanted to be paid to go to the Soviet Union, and wanted to know whether or not I could confirm I did confirm in the sense -that.

Mr. Sprague. My question is, I have read a specific 9 quotation, Mr. Phillips. You are under oath at this time. 10

Mr. Phillips. I understand.

Mr. Sprague. And I will reread the quotation, because 12 I do want to know, did you make this statement in part. 13 I understand that there were other parts to the conversation, 14 but did you make this statement to Mr. Kessler -- I'm not-15 talking about you, I am talking about what Oswald allegedly 16 said: "I have information you would be interested in, and 17 I know you can pay my way." 18

Mr. Phillips. I think I may have said that or something 19 near to it, but what I intended to convey was that Mr. Kessler 20 was saying, well, is that the idea, and I said yes, that was 21 the idea that we gathered. 22

Mr. Sprague. Okay.

Mr. Phillips. That was what I was trying to --Mr. Sprague. I'm sorry. I did not mean to cut you off.

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 42

23

.24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

1 Is there something else you wanted to say on that? 2 Mr. Phillips. No, sir. 3 Mr. Sprague. All right, now, dealing specifically with these calls, were there intercepts made of telephone calls by 4 5 Lee Harvey Oswald while in Mexico to the Russian embassy? Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 6 Mr. Sprague. And do you know where the tapes of those 7 conversations are? 8 9 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not. Mr. Sprague. Now, let me back up if I may, a second. 10 When we were talking earlier about the taping and these 11 intercepts, and then transcriptions being made, what was the 12 procedure with regard to the tapes themselves? 13 Mr. Phillips. The procedure was that a transcript was 14 to be made from them, and then the tapes would be erased. 15 Mr. Sprague. Now, let me ask you here, was that always 16 the case. For example, let me throw out one. Let's suppose 17 a conversation was picked up indicating that someone perhaps 18 might be engaged in spying activity --19 Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh. 20 Mr. Sprague. Would there not be anyone who would then 21 want to keep that tape as possible evidence? 22 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 23 Mr. Sprague Who would that go to for the decision-24 making with regard to not destroying the tape? 25 001346

Mr. Phillips. If a tape -- the first decision would be 1 made by Mr. Scott when he saw the transcript. I don't 2 recall a particular case, but he would write "Hold this tape" 3 meaning don't destroy this tape, something like. 4

Mr. Sprague. Do I take it from that that the orders were S to destroy or to reuse the tapes, if I can use that instead of the word "destroy tapes", to use them over and over I take 7 it is what you mean. 8

Is that right?

Mr. Phillips. As I understood, sir, unless the people 10 in the unit were so advised, they understood that they were to 11 erase them and use them again. 12

In other words, the basic order was that Mr. Sprague. 13 the tapes are not maintained after they are transcribed unless 14 there was a specific directive order to the contrary. - 15

Mr. Phillips. That is right, sir, and that might be by someone other than Mr. Scott. If it were a Cuban matter, I might say to someone , please have them hold that tape for a while, and I might speak directly to Ms. Goodpasture.

Mr. Spraque. Now, how long a time would normally occur 20 before tapes were, let's say, destroyed, to allow for the 21 decisionmaking process that somebody might decide that they 22 want to keep the tape? 23

Mr. Phillips. To my personal knowledge, I don't know, sir. I heard other people's accounts of it, but to my

001347

24

25

6

9

16

17

18

personal knowledge, I don't know how long.

Mr. Sprague. Well, let me press that a little further. Obviously if there is an area that is going to involve somebody having the opportunity to make a decision not to destroy tapes, there has to be some timespan after a transcript is made, the minimal, whatever that length of time is, before any tape is destroyed. Otherwise you might have a tape destroyed and somebody, Mr. Scott or you, seeing the transcript maybe a couple of days thereafter and wanting the tape, and it would be destroyed.

That did not exist, did it?

Mr. Phillips. I understood it to exist in the form of, if I wanted a tape, that I had better ask for it before a week, say, had passed. A week is the time period that I recall. If you were to call up 13 days later that -- call Ms. Goodpasture and say hey, give me that tape, she would say, oh, it is gone, and that if you wanted to keep it, you should do it sooner, and roughly a week is the time.

Mr. Sprague. Well, let me ask you this. I mean, you are dealing with a spy, intelligence agency. Was there some set directive order of some type stating that tapes are not to be destroyed until a certain length of time, so that was definite policy, and that was not?

Mr. Phillips. Not to my knowledge, sir.

Mr. Sprague. And who was it that would destroy the tape?

001348

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	44
q	Mr. Phillips. The tape, as I this would be in this
2	outside unite as I understood, would not be destroyed,
3	but they would simply be piled up, the ones that had conversa-
4	tions on it, and then as the cycle of conversations are to
5	be taken care of, when they needed new tapes, they would
6	simply reach over and get one of the old ones and start
7	again, which automatically erased the recording of before,
8	what was on there before, but I don't have personal knowledge
9	of it.
10	Mr. Sprague. All right now, your decisionmaking, in
_ 11	terms of a decision to keep a take, what would be the
12	guidelines that would exist for you in making that decision?
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
.24	001349
25	

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 46

V	

Mr. Phillips. The only thing that would be absolutely definate as a guideline would be if the Chief of Station 2 wrote a note to me, "Keep this tape." It would certainly --3 Mr. Sprague. Well, I understood when Mr. Scott or anybody 4 above you said that, but I am asking you what were your own 5 criteria for a decision on your part to keep the tape. 6 For example, if there were an intercept there and someone 7 was heard making a threat against a Congressman, would there 8 be a decision to keep that as possible evidence for a subsequent 9 prosecution? 10

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, I think that is a good example. 11 Another one is if there is a conversation between someone that 12 you recognized as really being a Soviet agent and pretending 13 to be someone else or a man who you thought was a Cuban 14 intelligence agent, something of a high priority where you 15. thought you might have to have it. 16

Mr. Spraque. Well, if you intercepted something that 17 would of itself indicate an illegal act for which there could 18 be prosecution under American laws, would there be a decision 19 to maintain that tape for possible evidence in a subsequent 20 prosecution? 21

Mr. Phillips. Sir, I think not, because what would 22 happen in such a circumstance I think was that an American, 23 information on an American would be passed to the Chief of the 24 The Chief of the FBI knew full well that they were FBI. 25

0-0-1-3-50

1 telephone transcriptions, that the information was coming to 2 him from teltaps, so he could then say to Win Scott, I want 3 you to save that. A CIA station, I think, would depend on 4 whether he wanted to do it, if it's the case of an American 5 in criminal prosecution.

6 Mr. Sprague. Well, maybe I'm jumping ahead here, but for 7 example, if there is an intercept indicating that Lee Harvey 8 Oswald is getting to Cuba -- attempting to get to Cuba, and 9 you have his voice on that conversation, at that time it was 10 against the law for Americans to go to Cuba, was it not? Am 11 I correct on that?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, that's right. That's right, sir. Mr. Sprague. Would not then the CIA, someone there at least put a hold on that tape for possible use as evidence? Mr. Phillips. In that case that would not be the kind of thing that would merit calling someone and say, "Hold that tape," as long as you had the information.

Mr. Sprague. What would be the difference between that
and intercepting a threat concerning your Congressman?
Mr. Phillips. Well, the dimension of the difference.
With every CIA station, contemplating using one of these tapes
produced by Mexican nationals was one that I don't think Mr.
Scott thought of a great deal, in other words, admitting it as
evidence. So there was not a tendency to think of it in those

terms, and I think the idea was you would depend on the FBI

001351

46

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 48

to say that, because in 1962, at least, the thought of passing
 a tape to be used in a Court proceeding was -- would have
 dismayed a lot of intelligence people.

Mr. Sprague. Well, let me ask you this then, this time span before a tape would be reused or destroyed, did it also allow not only for decision making within the CIA about maintaining that tape, but did it allow for notification to the FBI for their evaluation and a response back not to destroy the tape?

Mr. Phillips. My dealings with the FBI were so infrequent that I cannot answer your question exactly. It was my presumption that it certainly was.

Mr. Sprague. Well, this gets back to, wasn't there anything of any formality within the CIA about not destruction within a time to allow for these various things to occur?

Mr. Phillips. Certainly there was none I have ever 16 seen in the sense of a guidance from headquarters to stations, 17 if you have tapes, keep them so long. It was a local matter. 18 There was in the station a set of rules called Station 19 Regulations. You cannot carry a gun. You cannot drink whiskey 20 in your office, things like that. That would include some sort 21 operational directive, and that it the place there would of 22 have been a thing that says, we do not destroy tapes for, say, 23 ten days. I never saw such a regulation nor heard of it in .24 Mexico City Station. 25

Mr. Sprague. While you were on this Cuban assignment, did you ever have occasion to give an order not to destroy a tape?

Mr. Phillips. I don't recall the instance in doing it,
but I must have done it a couple of times during the two years.
Mr. Sprague. Do you have any recollection as to what
engendered that directive on your part?

Mr. Phillips. Yes. It's very hazy, but I believe I 8 remember something like saying to Miss Goodpasture, will you 9 please hold that 'til we find out if this guy might be so-and-so 10 and then we found out that the fellow making the telephone 11 call was not so-and-so, and then I said, okay, let it go, 12 thinking he was an intelligence agent from another country. 13 Mr. Sprague. And do you remember, as best you can tell, 14 what was the substance of that conversation that at least 15 engendered your wanting to keep that tape? 16

Mr. Phillips. I only have the vague memory that it was something to do with kind of a significant espionage thing. It was an important man that was contacting the Cubans that we had heard some place might be a Soviet agent, and we wanted to know what that nexus was, but I don't recall, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Do you know once you give an order to hold a tape how long it is maintained in that hold status?

Mr. Phillips. My understanding was that it would not be reused until whoever you told, say Miss Goodpasture would

001353

24

		49
ahw5	1	come back and say, "Do you still want that tape?"
	2	Mr. Sprague. In other words, it would be held until there
•	3	was then a specific order not to maintain it any longer?
	4	Mr. Phillips. That is right, sir.
. •	5	Mr. Sprague. During your assignment there, other than
	6	this one hazy hold order by you, do you recall any other hold
.*	7	orders by you?
	8	Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not.
	. 9	Mr. Sprague. Are you aware of hold orders by Mr. Scott?
	10	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. I don't recall what they were,
	11	but I remember seeing written on a thing, "Hold this," on a
	12	transcript. "Hold the tape." I cannot recall the cases.
	. 13	Mr. Phillips. Do you have any idea as to the frequency
	14	of hold orders on tapes by Mr. Scott?
	15	Mr. Phillips. Infrequent. Not very often. Once every
	16	three weeks or something. I'm just hazarding a guess.
	17	Mr. Sprague. And do you recall any hold orders by Mr.
	18	Manel?
	19	Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not.
	20	Mr. Phillips. Now, getting to this transcript of Oswald,
	21	at the time we are talking about were there also bugging
1	22	devices in each of these embassies, Cuban and Soviet?
	23	Mr. Phillips. To the best of my knowledge there was not
	. 24	one in the Soviet Embassy. I was never aware of one being
	25	there during the time I was there. Over a period of years
		001354

.

there were several bugging devices in the Cuban Embassy. I
 am trying to recall whether one was operating at the time of
 Oswald's visit. And I am not absolutely positive, but what
 I am sure about is that we did not receive any information
 about Oswald because of an electronic bug.

6 Mr. Sprague. My next question is, to the best of your 7 recollection, all conversations by Lee Harvey Oswald, were 8 they as a result of these telephonic intercepts that you had 9 any contact with?

10 Mr. Phillips. And how many, to the best of your recollection, 11 of these intercepts, did you have contact with?

Mr. Phillips. I am positive about one, and I am wondering about the possibility of one more or perhaps two little -little things that might have come up later, but I cannot recall with clarity other than the one.

What I do seem to recall, if there was some little snippets later, they were not of importance. That is the one principal one that I recall and that is the only one that I can say for certain that I remember.

20 Mr. Sprague. All right, now, Mr. Phillips, I want you 21 to relate to this Subcommittee your best recollection, and I 22 understand that it is your recollection, of that first 23 intercepted conversation that you saw in its entirety as you 24 recall what it was saying.

Mr. Phillips. All right, sir. Now, Counsellor, am I

001355

50

1 correct in remembering if you have suggested that my answers
2 pertain to my personal knowledge and not -- would you repeat
3 that?

Mr. Sprague. I do not want you to give an answer based
upon what anyone else says. I do not want you to give an
answer trying to square your answer with what you believe is
on somebody else's transcript or anything else. I want this to
be your own answer as best you can recall, of what was the
purport of that first intercept.

10

Mr. Phillips. Okay. All right.

Obviously after so long I can't remember it word for word, 11 but I remember that the thrust of the conversation was Oswald 12 saying to the Soviet he talked to in the Soviet Embassy, "What 13 have you heard about my visa, what news do you have?" "What 14 have you heard about my visa, what news do you have," something - 15 like that. I also recall that Oswald was kind of saying, 16 "What's wrong, why don't you do this?" And I recall something 17 in that conversation that I can only call an intimation that 18 he said, "Well, you really should talk to me," or something 19 like that. Now, it seems that I recall that, and that is 20 all that I recall with absolute clarity. 21

In reviewing that statement, while I cannot recall exactly I feel sure that while the intimation of Oswald saying he wanted a visa, he said to go to the Soviet Union or Cuba or go to the Soviet Union via Cuba was the intimation that he

1 hoped to obtain his expenses, something, but no statement, I
2 definately do not remember that he said, "If my ticket is
3 paid."

Mr. Sprague. Well, this statement that you made to Mr.
Gillmore, "I have the recollection hazy after fourteen years
that Oswald intimated that he had information that might be
useful to the Soviets and Cuba and that he hoped to be provided
with free transportation to Russia via Cuba."

9

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Does that accurately state what it is that you recall of that transcript?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir. That states more accurately my recollection of the transcript and then after the fact of the assassination and the increased interest in talking with people about this, including people for whom I was responsible in the Cuban field, the total perception I had was that that was the reason he was there. First of all, the thing --

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips, are you telling this 18 Committee that when you made the statement yesterday, "I have 19 the recollection hazy after fourteen years that Oswald 20 intimated that he had information that might be useful to 21 the Soviets and Cuba and that he hoped to be provided with 22 free transportation to Russia via Cuba," that that statement 23 by you encompassed more than your recollection of the 24 transcript and included what you say is subsequently acquired 25

001357

1 ||information after the assassination?

2 Mr. Phillips. I think that it has been colored by the 3 things I heard after the assassination.

Mr. Sprague. Well, what part here was colored? When you
responded to Mr. Gillmore were you trying to tell him what your
recollection of that transcript was?

7 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I was not trying to explain to 8 him that the transcript said that.

9 Mr. Sprageu. Was there a part of that transcript that 10 indicated that Oswald would be of value to the Soviets?

Mr. Phillips. I have the recollection somewhere, possibly in that transcript, was a statement that went something like this, Counsellor: "You should really talk to me," or something like that. Yes, there was something like that and I can't recall what words were said.

Mr. Sprague. Were the words there such as for you to 16 state that they were words which Oswald was stating to the 17 Soviet Embassy, whoever he was talking to there, that it would 18 be to the benefit of the Soviets to be talking to him? 19 Mr. Phillips. In the sense that Oswald, in his conversa-20 tion, was sort of making a pitch, trying to get what he wanted. 21 I am not talking about what his motivation Mr. Spraque. 22 may have been. I am just trying to find out were the words 23 such as to indicate that Oswald, whether he was tooting his 24 own horn or otherwise is not important, but was he indicating 25

001358

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 55

		54
ahw10	1	to them that it would be to their benefit or advantage to
<u></u>	2	be talking to him?
	3	Mr. Phillips. I only recalled it in the sense that "You
	4	fellows should talk to me," or something like that.
	5	Mr. Sprague. And was he indicating in that conversation
	6	that he was looking or urging them to aid him in getting out
	7	of the country?
• •	8	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
	9	Mr. Sprague. All right.
	10	Mr. Phillips. But not with money, not saying, "Will you
		pay for my ticket."
	11	Mr. Sprague. Well, what, as best you can recall, was it
	12	that he was saying for them to aid him in getting out of the
	13	country?
	!4	Mr. Phillips. I only recall that he was reaching them
	- 15	to give him the visa so that he could indeed leave the
	16	country.
	17	Mr. Sprague. With regard to his indication that they
	18	ought to be in touch with him or talking to him, was anything
	19	said about where or anything like that?
	20	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
		Mr. Sprague. What else was said in this conversation,
	22	as best you can recall? How did he start the conversation
		as best you recall?
	24	Mr. Phillips. "I am calling about my visa. Have you
\bigcirc	25	001359

		55
ahwll	1	heard word about my visa. I want to go to the Soviet "
	2	Mr. Sprague. Well, did he not identify himself?
V	3	Mr. Phillips. Well, yes, he did.
	4	Mr. Sprague. What did he say?
\bullet	5	Mr. Phillips. He did identify himself.
	6	Mr. Sprague. As best you can recall, what was said?
	7	Mr. Phillips. He introduced himself by saying something
	8	like, "My name is Lee Harvey Oswald." That's not the way I
	9	first saw it, but that was subsequent. He introduced himself
	- 10	and in the transcription, as I recall, it read "Lee Henry
	. 11	Oswald."
	12	Mr. Sprague. Is that your recollection, that in that
	13	first transcript he said, as you saw it, he used the middle
	14	name now, Mr. Phillips, are you trying to conform your
	15	recollection to what you believe is some other evidence?
	16	Mr. Phillips. Sir, I am trying to be as honest as I
	17	can.
	18	Mr. Sprague. Well, I am asking you again.
×	19	Mr. Phillips. I remember distinctly that the cable that
	20	went out said "Lee Henry Oswald."
* • •	21	Mr. Sprague. I'm not asking about that. I am asking
-	22	whether or not you have a recollection as to how he identified
	23	himself in that transcript of that intercepted conversation?
	.24	Mr. Phillips. I do not remember that he gave his name.
9	25	Mr. Sprague. Do you know whether or not he in any way
		90136 0
	1	

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 57

٩

		56
ahw12	1	identified himself as an American citizen in that conversation?
	2	Mr. Phillips. I do not recall it, sir.
V	3	Mr. Sprague. All right.
	4	Now, tell the Subcommittee by the way, when was this
V	5	as best you can recall?
	6	Mr. Phillips. In very early October.
	7	Mr. Sprague. Was it October or September of '63?
	8	Mr. Phillips. Sir, I believe it was October.
	. 9	Mr. Sprague. If I were to state to you that records
	10	indicate that intercept was picked up in September of '63,
• •	. 11	would you quarrel with that?
	12	Mr. Phillips. No, sir. My recollection was that this
	. 13	was toward the end of the week and because it was Saturday and
	14	Sunday, it wouldn't have been worked on until Monday, but no,
	15	I wouldn't quarrel with that. I can't be sure.
	16	Mr. Sprague. Well, when you say this was the end of
	17	the week, are you stating that it's your recollection that
	. 18	this intercept was made on a Thursday or a Friday of a
	19	particular week?
	20	Mr. Phillips. It was my recollection that it was made
	21	on one day and that on a I don't remember how many days
	22	it was, and I don't remember the exact date that I saw it
	23	the first time.
	.24	Mr. Sprague. All right.
T	25	Now, tell us the circumstances of your seeing the intercept
		001361

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.24

25

transcript. How did it come to your attention?

Mr. Phillips. This was a matter which was largely of 2 interest to Mr. Manel because it pertained to a Soviet inter-3 cept, but it was also of interest to people working on Cuban 4 matters because of the fact that Oswald wanted to go to the 5 Soviet Union via Cuba. As I recall it, that was treated in the 6 normal way, then, of the information, the transcript being 7 routed to Mr. Manel and then later to me, or perhaps a copy 8 being routed to me, but in one way or another, that transcript 9 was brought to my attention because of the Cuban mention in it. 10 Mr. Spraque. Upon your seeing this transcript, what did 11

you do about it? 12

Mr. Phillips. When I first received it, I didn't do anything about it at all because it was Mr. -- it was the 14 responsibility of Mr. Manel or someone else under his urging to 15 take care of the matter. 16

Mr. Sprague. Do you recall whether or not there was any notation by Mr. Scott on the transcript?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not. I suspect there would have been, but I don't recall that there was.

Mr. Sprague. Do you recall whether there was any indication about holding the tape here?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not.

Mr. Spraque. Did you make any determination to hold the tape?

001362

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 59

Mr. Phillips. I did not, sir.

2 Mr. Sprague. Did you know when you saw that transcript 3 that this person who was identified as Oswald was possibly 4 an American citizen?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, I think so, because there was something about a transcriber's note of he's speaking in very bad Russian, or something like that, or sounds like an American accent in Russian, or yes, I definitely had the understanding he was an American citizen.

10

1

5

6

7

8

9

. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Sprague. Where did you get that from?

Mr. Phillips. I don't recall. I don't recall whether there was something in the body of it or whether it said a man outside who sounds like an American is speaking. I don't recall because it was -- I don't recall.

Mr. Sprague. Would there be notations attached to these transcripts by the monitoring group?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, not attached to it, but in the body of the manuscript itself, of the transcript itself, there was sometimes indications.

Mr. Sprague. You mean little scribbles?

Mr. Phillips. In parentheses. For example, in a case where they were listening to a voice but didn't know who it was on the voice, there would be a couple of letters like MI, man inside, an unidentified voice, to let you know. In the cases where they did know, they would put down the name.

1 Mr. Thone. Mr. Phillips, you said you had a discussion 2 with someone who said that Mr. Oswald talked in bad Russian or 3 so. 4 With whom was that conversation? Mr. Phillips. No, sir. I recall that in this transcript, 5 in just the situation we are talking about now, there was a 6 statement by the transcriber, speaking in very bad Russian. 7 Sφ I saw it on the piece of paper itself. 8 Mr. Spraque. So just so we are clear here, then, the 9 transcript that you saw had notations on that transcript by 10 someone in the CIA commenting, it was just, as was suggested 11 here, that it was bad Russian. There was somewhere an 12 indication that they spoke in English in some part, is that 13 correct? 14 Mr. Phillips. Certainly I remember the part about 15 speaking in bad Russian. 16 Mr. Sprague. You do have distinct recollection that on 17 this transcript there were notations, however, by some people 18 from the CIA. 19 Mr. Phillips. My recollection is certainly not distinct, 20 but I think what I recollect is typed along with all the rest 21 of the typed message, in parentheses, was the notation that 22 he was speaking in poor Russian. 23 Mr. Sprague. There were comments added to the transcript, 24 that is what I am trying to find out. 25

001364

1 Mr. Phillips. Yes, Jir in the body of the transcript. 2 Mr. Sprague. All right. 3 Now, by the way, in that transcript that you saw, was there any indication by Oswald that the things that the Soviet ought 4 5 to perhaps talk to him about was information that he had from Washington, D. C.? 6 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I don't recall that. I don't 7 recall that -- any citing of an example, you should talk to 8 me about such and such. I don't recall that. 9 Just that it would be to their advantage Mr. Spraque. 10 to talk to him, or they ought to talk to him. 11 Mr. Phillips. Something like that but not because I 12 have information about a certain subject. 13 Mr. Sprague. Not anything as to his source of 14 information. 15 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, no, sir. 16 Mr. Spraque. Now, my question is then to you, dealing 17 with the transcript, it is your testimony that from that 18 transcript or the notations added to it, you ascertained 19 that this person Oswald was possibly an American. 20 That is my best recollection. Mr. Phillips. I am sure 21 that what happened, I don't recall the circumstances, in a 22 case like this, Mr. Manel would have walked into my office or 23 I would have walked into his, and he would say, what do you 24 think about this, and we together might have said, this 25

is an American or come to the conclusion he was an American 1 2 for some reason or another, or it might have been Mr. Scott calling the two of us and saying, what do you all think? 3 Ι am sure in this situation, there must have been a conversation. 4 These occurred frequently. I don't recall it. 5 Mr. Sprague. Well, when you say these occurred frequently, 6 were you picking up much in the way of taps from possible 7 Americans giving some indication that perhaps the Soviets 8 ought to be in contact with them to try to get to Cuba and 9 then to Russia? 10 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, not to that degree. There were 11 a number of cases that were more ---12 Mr. Spraque. Well, I am talking about that degree which 2 13 is what you have indicated was on that intercept. Is that 14 correct? 15 Mr. Phillips. Yes, but it was not rare or unique by 16 any means. 17 Mr. Spraque. Well, is it enough of a situation to make 18 you feel that something ought to be done about this particular 19 intercept? 20 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, definitely, sir. 21 Mr. Sprague. And what was to be done about that particu-22 lar intercept? 23 To find out if we knew anything about this Mr. Phillips. 24 did I don't know what steps Mr. Manel then took. I man. 25 001366

not take the action, nor did anyone working for me, Mr. Manel. 1 Mr. Sprague. Did you remain interested in this, however? 2 Mr. Phillips. I remained interested I recall. 3 Mr. Sprague. Why -- well excuse me. Go ahead. 4 Mr. Phillips. I recall on at least one or two occasions 5 saying to Mr. Manel, where is the cable. 6 Mr. Sprague. And when you say where is the cable, what 7 do you mean? 8 Mr. Phillips. The cable that in such an instance I would 9 expect to go to headquarters telling them about this. 10 Mr. Spraque. This was at least important enough of an . 11 intercept that you felt it was in the area of a cablegram 12 going to CIA headquarters in Washington, D. C., is that 13 correct? 14 Mr. Phillips. Yes. 15 Mr. Spraque. I take it that being because on the surface 16 here you have an American contacting foreign Soviet embassy? 17 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 18 Mr. Spraque. Indicating he was trying to get out of the 19 country, with the other things that you have said, and at 20 that time it was against the law for Americans to go to 21 Cuba, is that correct? 22 Mr. Phillips. That is correct, sir. 23 Mr. Sprague. Why did you not make your decision at that 24 time to hold the tape with that narration of events that I 25 001367

	63
1	have just gone through?
2	Mr. Phillips. It didn't occur to me that we would need
3	a tape, having the information that we had.
. 4	Mr. Sprague. Do you know whether or not this tape of
5	this conversation has been destroyed?
6	Mr. Phillips. I do not, sir.
7	Mr. Sprague. Do you have any understanding that it has
8	been destroyed?
9'	Mr. Phillips. My understanding is that it went with the
10	other tapes back to the outside unit and was reused.
11	Mr. Sprague. Now, do you know, since this was at least
12	in a situation of some importance, that it necessitated a
13	cablegram to CIA headquarters in Washington, D. C
14	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
15	Mr. Sprague. Whether somebody at least gave an order to
16	hold the tape pending subsequent determination? Do you know
17	whether that occurred?
18	Mr. Phillips. I know of no order from anyone saying
19	hold it at any time.
20	Mr. Sprague. All right, now, who had the responsibility
21	for sending this cablegram to CIA headquarters in Washington,
22	D.C.?
23	Mr. Phillips. Because it was identifiable, an identifiable
.24	Soviet operation which produced the information, so it was
25	his responsibility.
	001368

ł

63

•

1 Mr. Sprague. Now, do you know whether or not Mr. Manel 2 sent such a cablegram? 3 Mr. Phillips. He did send one. 4 Mr. Sprague. Was this enough on your mind, of enough 5 importance, that you made some inquiries whether a cablegram had been sent? 6 Mr. Phillips. I did, sir. 7 8 Mr. Sprague. Would you tell the Subcommittee what was occurring there and what you were doing since you thought of 9 it as of enough import to check that a cablegram was being 10 sent? 11 Mr. Phillips. Mr. Manel was a busy man, sometimes 12 procrastinating. His wife was working for him, and on one 13 or two occasions I spoke to Mr. Manel kiddingly saying, hey, . 14 where is the cable about this fellow, or something like that, - 15 or maybe to his wife. I am not sure. 16 In any event, what happened was a few days passed and 17 Mrs. Manel prepared a message -- she was working for her 18 husband, and as I recall it, she typed it herself, bt I am 19 not positive on that point, but in any event, she prepared 20 the cable and took it in to Mr. Manel, at which time he 21 signed off on it. 22 During that process, it did come to me, also to sign 23 off on, because it spoke about Cuban matters, and then went 24

to the Chief of Station and was released.

001369

64

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 66

Mr. Sprague. Now, as best ask you can recall since you
 saw this cablegram, would you tell the members of the Subcom mittee what was the content of that cablegram?

Mr. Phillips. We need your information, want your -maybe they used the word traces, but your information asking
Washington about a man named Lee Henry Oswald. It then
gave a physical description --

Mr. Sprague. Are you sure that in the cablegram you sent, referring to, you had the middle name Henry?

Mr. Phillips. That is certainly my best recollection. Mr. Sprague. Okay, go ahead.

Mr. Phillips. Lee Henry Oswald, he's heavy set, gave a physical description which did not fit Oswald, and said this man is in touch with the Soviets. He wants a visa. He wants to go to the Soviet Union via Cuba and so forth, in essence what had been learned from the first transcript, but gave a physical description.

The physical description was of a photograph taken at 18 the Soviet embassy on or about the same time Mrs. Manel -- I 19 suppose I have to speculate, but I believe Mrs. Manel had 20 two pieces of information which she put together, which turned 21 out to be put together inaccurately. The first, the transcript 22 of a man calling and asking for a visa, the second, of a 23 man who appeared to be an American at about the same time. So 24 she put this one and one together and came up with an incorrect 25

001370

8

9

10

1 two. So she was not giving the physical description of 2 Lee Harvey Oswald, but a man who did indeed go to the Soviet 3 Union during this period, and he is the man that some people now call the mysterious stranger. We never found out who 4 5 He, in his physical appearance, he could have been he was. an American, he could have been a Soviet or Nordic from a 6 ship, but she put these two things together. 7 Mr. Sprague. Now, this was a picture of a man entering 8 where? 9 Mr. Phillips. The Soviet embassy. 10 Mr. Sprague. Did you not have surveillance at that time 11 on the Cuban embassy? 12 Mr. Phillips. Which type of surveillance, sir, the 13 telephones? 14 Mr. Sprague. Personal observation. - 15 Mr. Phillips. We had an observation post which took 16 photographs of the Cuban embassy, of people going in and out. 17 Mr. Sprague. Was not this intercept to which you have 18 been making reference a call by Oswald from the Cuban to the 19 Russian embassy? 20 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, it was from the Cuban to the 21 Russian embassy, but as I recall, the tap was picked up on the 22 Russian end. 23 Mr. Spraque. I understand that, but with regard to that 24 first intercept, what was it that led you to believe that -- I 25

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 68

66

shouldn't say you because you had no part of it -- that the picture of the person entering the Russian embassy was the 2 person who was involved in that intercept since at that moment Oswald had entered the Cuban embassy?

Mr. Phillips. Well, I can only presume Mrs. Manel's action. I believe what she was saying was she had put together-here was a telephone call, and here was a picture of an inidentified man, and she assumed that there had been a conversation about a visa, and sure enough, off you go into the Soviet embassy.

Mr. Sprague. Were there any photographs of Oswald 11 entering the Cuban embassy? 12

Mr. Phillips. There were not.

Mr. Sprague. Was there any observation and recording of people who entered the Cuban embassy, and a list which we could examine and see the description of people that entered the Cuban embassy at or about the time of this intercept?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, there was a photographic coverage 18 of the Cuban embassy, and this did not work on weekends, and 19 sometimes the camera had to be pulled out. The camera was 20 pulled out either because of malfunction or something. It 21 was not there on the day that that intercept indicated 22 Oswald was in the Cuban embassy, and consequently, there was 23 no picture of Lee Harvey Oswald that we ever saw in Mexico. 24 Mr. Sprague. Well, let me ask you this.

001372

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

When this intercept was picked up, this first one which 2 caused the action that you have said, was it also determined 3 to check on the sightings of people who entered the Cuban 4 embassy in order to get a description, perhaps, of this person?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, it was not.

Mr. Sprague. Why was that not done, if you know? It was simply not a part of the priorities Mr. Phillips. in a case like this, to do it on that basis. The only time we really looked for the pictures of Oswald was after the assassination.

Mr. Spraque. But if in the cablegram that was being sent to the CIA headquarters in Washington, D. C., you were to get information back and you are given a description, and you know the call that was intercepted at the Russian embassy end originated in the Cuban embassy, would it not 15 stand to reason for someone to check within your own CIA 16 agency who were observing what is going on at the Cuban 17 embassy, whether they have a description of this person? 18

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, and the reason being that the 19 number of people who would be going into the Cuban consul 20 on any day might be anywhere from 150 to 500. 21

Mr. Spraque. Well, what is the purpose of getting a 22 description of someone whom I assume a great number of people 23 went into the Russian embassy, when this call did not originate 24 in the Russian embassy? 25

001373

DocId:32277213 Page 70 NW 50955

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Mr. Phillips. I am sorry, I didn't understand the last one.

Mr. Sprague. What is the purpose of getting a description 3 of an individual who entered the Russian embassy on that 4 date when the knowledge was that this call originated at the 5 Cuban embassy? 6 Mr. Phillips. I presume it was because Mrs. Manel simply 7 had before her a photograph of an unidentified man who looked 8 like an American, but I can only presume that. 9 Mr. Sprague. Does that make sense to you? 10 Mr. Phillips. It makes sense from the standpoint that it 11 was a logical conclusion that they might be the same person, 12 and this is a quick way to find out. 13 Mr. Spraque. But didn't you on this intercept know that 14 the call that you have intercepted came from a person who 15 entered the Cuban embassy, not the Russian embassy, is that 16 correct? 17 Mr. Phillips. Yes, and so her judgment would have been 18 based on the fact that presumably he then went -- at one time 19 must have gone to the Soviet embassy, and that is why she 20 thought it might be the same man. 21 Mr. Spraque. But not to even make an attempt to find out 22

from your own surveillance observation team who was entering the Cuban embassy on that very day.

Mr. Phillips. That would have been a good thing to do. 001374

25

1

I don't recall that it was. It may have been, and sir, I 1 am sure you understand, I have to speculate about Mrs. Manel 2 and why I think she put it together. 3

Mr. Sprague. This cablegram that was then sent on to Washington, did that contain within it information that -- well, 5 did it have with it the intercept? Did it have a transcript of the intercepted conversation? 7

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, it was a cable which -- it was 8 not a repeat, as I recall it, word for word, of the 9 transcript, but a new message which said we need your 10 information on Lee Henry Oswald. 11

Mr. Sprague. Was there anything in that cablegram 12 indicating CIA -- to CIA headquarters in Washington that 13 this person Oswald was attempting to get a visa to go 14 to Cuba and then Russia? 15

Mr. Phillips. As I recall, that was in there, sir. 16 Mr. Sprague. Was there anything in this cablegram 17 indicating that he, Oswald, had at least in some manner 18 indicated that the Soviets might find it to their advantage 19 to talk to him? 20

Mr. Phillips. I don't recollect that at all, that it was 21 in the cable. I don't think it was. 22

Mr. Spraque. Do you have an explanation of why that 23 would not have been in there? 24

Mr. Phillips. No, sir.

72

001375

25

4

Mr. Sprague. Was there in this cablegram anything indi-1 cating that Oswald was indicating to the Soviets that he 2 would like their aid or help or assistance in getting out 3 of the country? 4 Mr. Phillips. I don't think -- I don't recollect there 5 was anything of that kind in the cable, sir. 6 Mr. Sprague. I am going to show you a document which 7 I will ask to be marked subsequently, but may I first ask 8 you if you can identify this document, which really has parts 9 of it excised, but can you identify that copy I have just 10 shown you? 11 Mr. Phillips. Sir, I believe this to be the copy of the 12 message I have been trying to describe. 13 Mr. Sprague. May that, Mr. Chairman, be marked as Exhibit 14 No. 1 for the purpose of this hearing? 15 Mr. Preyer. Without objection, this will be marked 16 Exhibit 1 and entered into evidence in the testimony. 17 (The document referred to was 18 marked Phillips Exhibit No. 1.) 19 Mr. Sprague. Now, reading from this copy which has 20 been excised in many parts, and which you have said appears 21 to be the cablegram to which you have made reference, it 22 reads: blank "1 October '63, American Mail", and then 23 blank, "name: Lee Oswald." Then blank, "at" -- and I will 24 ask you to tell me what this is. 25 001376

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 73

	72
1	Mr. Phillips. Soviet Embassy, SovEmb.
2	Mr. Sprague. S-o-v-E-m-b has the meaning of Soviet
3	Embassy, and then you have on
4	Mr. Phillips. 28.
5	Mr. Sprague. 28, and then we don't see what the next
6	word is, probably September would be the guess.
7	Mr. Phillips. S-e-p-t, I would guess.
8	Mr. Sprague. It then says, "then spoke with consul
9	whom he believed to be," and the name V-a-l-e-r-l-y, that is
10	the first name, V-l-a-d-i-m-i-p-o-v-i-t-c-h, and then some
. 11	other, either part of a name or some other word.
12	Is that correct?
13	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. It is a continuation of the
14	Russian name.
15	Mr. Sprague. And then it says "Subject asked Soviet"
16	which I assume is Soviet guard, "Ivan," and then
17	O-b-y-e-d-k-o-v-r-y blank, "if there anything new re telegram
18	to Washington," and then blank. "Thing received yet but
19	request had been sent."
20	Is that correct? Have I read it right?
21	Mr. Phillips. Unless nothing is cut off of "thing
22	received yet," but I don't know, "but request had been
23	sent."
24	Mr. Sprague. And then under that we have paragraph 2,
25	"Have photos. Male, appears be American," then blank,
	001377
1	

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 74

	73
1	"apparent age 35, athletic build," and I'm not sure what the
2	next word is.
3	Mr. Phillips. I can't either, sir.
4	Mr. Sprague. Unclear what the next word is, maybe
5	five feet
6	Mr. Phillips. That's just a mistake.
7	Mr. Sprague. "receding hairline, balding top, wore
8	khakis sportshirt," and then I guess there is a place for
. 9	signatures.
10	Is that correct?
. 11	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
12	Mr. Sprague. Have I read it right?
13	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
14	Mr. Sprague. I am curious, at least in what appears here,
15	that is not there is not anything indicating that this
16	person was trying to get into Cuba or Russia, is there?
.17	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
18	Mr. Sprague. Do you have any explanation as to why
19	that would be omitted since obviously an American attempting
20	to get into Cuba, is just by that act alone violating
21	criminal laws, is he not, or was he not?
22	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
23	Mr. Sprague. Why would he be just even not putting that
.24	information in?
25	Mr. Phillips. I don't know, sir.
	001378

1 Mr. Sprague. Does that kind of surprise you when you 2 see that at this point? 3 Mr. Phillips. Yes, because I didn't recall it that way, 4 and it certainly surprises me that it didn't say Lee Henry 5 Oswald. Mr. Sprague. Well, that is my next question to you. 6 When you say you did not recall it that way, what I have just 7 shown you and we have gone through it, as I have just said, 8 9 are you prepared to say that this in fact is the cablegram that was sent to Washington, or is what you remember somewhat 10 different than what appears to be in what I have shown you 11 here? 12 And let me repeat, I do not want you giving testimony 13 just conforming to what you believe others say. We want 14 your own testimony. 15 Do you want me to repeat that question? 16 You have been telling us what the intercept was, you have 17 been telling us what clearly was part of a cablegram that 18 was sent to CIA headquarters in Washington. I have shown 19 you what you have first said appeared to be the cablegram 20 that you have been talking about, and I am asking you now does 21 this appear to be different than the cablegram that you 22 saw when it first passed your desk going from Manel back to 23 Scott? 24

Mr. Phillips. This is different from my recollection

001379

25

	75
1	of it, that is, as I have put together my remembrances of the
2	cable, yes. There is no question about that.
3	Mr. Sprague. I assume that assuming what you have said
4	is correct, there certainly would have been no reason to
5	in fact, there would have been every reason to mention that
6	
7	this supposed American was trying to get to Cuba and Russia, wouldn't there?
8	
9	Is that correct?
	Mr. Phillips. (Nods in the affirmative.)
10	Yes, sir, it seems that should be in there.
. 11	Mr. Sprague. All right, now, let me ask you this.
12	Regardless of what cablegram was sent to CIA headquarters
13	in Washington, do you know what date, do you know, not what you
14	have been told here, that the cablegram that you saw and
- 15	assented to was sent?
16	Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not. I have an approxi-
17	mation.
18	Mr. Sprague. Do you know approximately how long was it
19	after the first time you saw the intercept that you saw
20	the cablegram that was coming back across your desk for
21	transmission to Washington, approximately?
22	Mr. Phillips. Approximately three days, three or four
23	days, something like that.
24	Mr. Sprague. Now, I notice that in this cablegram, which
25	has been marked Exhibit 1, they refer to an intercept on
	001380

28 September. You had said earlier that you thought you were 1 2 talking about an intercept that was the early part of 3 October, and I asked you would you quarrel with or disagree 4 if it was the latter part of September.

Would you quarrel with the fact that it may have been on the 28th or even on the 27th of September?

7 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I think it was on that date, on an intercept of the 28th. I do not quarrel with that. 8 Ι think it was that time.

Mr. Sprague. Could it have been the 27th, as a matter 10 of fact? 11

Mr. Phillips. My recollection was that Oswald arrived 12 on a Wednesday or a Thursday and visited the Cuban embassy 13 the next day. I thought it was Friday, perhaps, or Thursday. 14 I thought it was the 28th, but it certainly could have been 15 the 27th. I don't remember. 16

Mr. Sprague. All right, let me ask you this.

Upon obtaining the intercept initially, and whatever 18 the date was, and being struck that this is a matter of 19 some importance that would involve notifying Washington, was 20 a decision made to advise the FBI, at least there in Mexico, since there was an indication here that you have an American 22 attempting to get some sort of contact with the Russians? Mr. Phillips. My recollection is that there was. Mr. Sprague. And when?

001381

5

6

9

17

21

23

24

Mr. Phillips. I do not remember, sir, whether it was
 before the answer came to this cable -- came from this cable,
 in other words, whether they waited to get details to then
 advise the FBI, or whether possibly 'there were two memos. I
 didn't see them.

6 Mr. Sprague. Who would be the decisionmaker about 7 notification to the FBI?

Mr. Phillips. In the case of an American, it would automatically be Mr. Manel's. That was standard procedure, that the FBI should know information about Americans. That would be his responsibility.

Mr. Sprague. All right, then, stop right there.

If that was Mr. Manel's responsibility, automatically when it involves, I guess, any American, what would be a reason for Mr. Manel's holding up notification to the FBI and even a more important case, when there is a decision being made that this is of enough importance to notify CIA headquarters in Washington.

Mr. Phillips. All right, sir.

For clarity I will try to divide the responsibility into two parts. It was very clearly Mr. Manel's responsibility to get off this message to Washington and see that it was done right in his shop. The second responsibility, to see that the FBI should know, it still would have been his responsibility, but a number of people might have actually prepared that little

001382

8

9

10

11

12

19

| report.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

I remember when I was talking with your staff last night I was trying to recall the people who might do this, and I had forgotten the reports officer, and if I recall correctly, an assistant. They sometimes had the instruction, "advise the FBI," and so forth.

Mr. Sprague. Do you know whether or not a copy of the transcript in this case went to the reports officer, or was this considered enough of an important matter, as you related, sometimes the report officer was bypassed by Mr. Scott in this and that category?

Mr. Phillips. No, it definitely was not.

Mr. Sprague. Now, do you know that this went to the reports officer as well?

Mr. Phillips. I don't know. I know that this kind of subject would routinely go to the reports officer, so it is possible that that person participated in whatever memorandum advised, and also the --

Mr. Sprague. Go ahead.

Mr. Phillips. Also the two American contract women that I talked about that worked there, this was the sort of thing that Mr. Manel might say to one of them, would you please do a little thing for the Bureau, or something like that. So any one of a number of people could actually have prepared that, but Mr. Manel was the one that had to see that that

message went out of his office.

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Mr. Sprague. In any event, would there be more than a 3 three or so delay before notification or five day delay before 4 notification to the FBI?

Mr. Phillips. I think if you wanted to establish what a station chief would consider as responsible, he would say two days would be all right on a routine case. He would like to see it go more rapidly, depending on what other work went along. This was delayed longer than that.

10 Mr. Sprague. This was a little more than just a 11 routine case, was it not?

Mr. Phillips. Yes.

Mr. Sprague. Do you know what the reason was for a delay longer than what would have been in the routine case? Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not.

Mr. Sprague. Did you take as part of, let's say, your duties, to see that the FBI was promptly notified?

Mr. Phillips. In the sense that if I thought it hadn't been done, I would certainly have said, even though this is your case, what happened, and in a similar case of mine I would do that, and it was routine in the case of an American.

Mr. Sprague. Well, when you were pushing about the cablegram to Washington, D. C. and finally pushed -- when Mrs. Manel did it, were you also pushing to see that the FBI was notified?

	80
Ţ	Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I don't recall that I was.
. 2	Mr. Sprague. Why not?
3	Mr. Phillips. I don't know why I wasn't. Perhaps I
4	did and I just don't remember.
5	Mr. Sprague. Did a response come to whatever cablegram
6	was sent to CIA headquarters in Washington?
7	Mr. Phillips. To Mexico. Yes, sir, a response came.
8	Mr. Sprague. And how long after the cablegram that you
9	had described was sent?
10	Mr. Phillips. I cannot recall.
. 11	Mr. Sprague. Do you have an approximation?
12	Mr. Phillips. Four or five days. That's a guess.
13	Mr. Sprague. Did you see that response?
14	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
. 15	Mr. Sprague. And would you tell the members of the
16	Subcommittee what was that response from Washington, as best
17	you can recall?
18	Mr. Phillips. The thrust of the message was something
19	like this. Your man that you asked about is Lee Harvey
20	Oswald. I believe it said that he had been in the Soviet
21	Union and was married, and married to a Soviet woman, something
22	about the Navy, the Marines, he had been in the Marines,
23	but it was biographical business that identified him as Lee
.24	Harvey Oswald. I just can't remember the details.
25	Mr. Sprague. What else do you remember about that?
	001385
DooTd.20	1977913 Dame 89

Did this response indicate that this person, that they believed was the same person named Oswald, had been in the United States Navy?

Mr. Phillips. I seem to recall that. No, I --

5 Mr. Sprague. I ,am asking you on the basis of what you
6 told me last night.

7 Mr. Phillips. U.S. Marines, I think the Navy advises,
8 something about the Navy.

Sir, may I make a parenthetical observation? Mr. Sprague. Sure.

Mr. Phillips. You asked me last night in the subpoena . 11 to bring any documents that I have and so forth. I have no 12 13 files or documents, but I do have the draft pages of a book 14 of mine which is going to be published shortly indicating 15 the deletions that the CIA asked for, which I did bring down 16 in response to the subpoena. It is about six or seven pages, and it is a wrap-up of all of the things -- not all, but of 17 18 the things we have been talking about today.

As I recall then, in writing this some months ago -- and
so I wanted you to know that I do have it here.

21 Mr. Sprague. Okay, we will get to that but what I am 22 asking you now is what is your recollection as to that cablegram 23 that CIA headquarters in Washington sent in response to whatever 24 cablegram was sent to them from Mexico?

25

Mr. Phillips. The name is Lee Harvey Oswald and he is

001386

4

9

	82
1	married to a Soviet, he has been in the Soviet Union, he was
2	in the U.S. Marines or in the Navy
3	Mr. Sprague. Did it not also, according to what you
4	have said previously, indicate that he had defected to the
5	Soviet Union?
6	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
7	Mr. Sprague. What?
8	Mr. Phillips. Of course I said that, and I think I
9	recall that.
10	Mr. Sprague. And that is my question, is that part of
. 11	what was in that response, responding cablegram from CIA
12	headquarters in Washington, D. C.?
13	Mr. Phillips. I think I remembered something like that,
14	yes, but I cannot be absolutely positive. I realize that I
15	am saying that I don't remember what I said last night, but
16	I think it was there.
17	Mr. Preyer. All right, we will take a break.
18	We will take a ten minute break. The Committee will
19	stand in recess for ten minutes.
20	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
21	Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips, continuing after the
22	recess, I had been asking you about that responding cablegram
23	from CIA headquarters in Washington, D. C., and my question
.24	was, did that response from headquarters indicate that this
25	Lee Oswald, or whatever the middle name was that was there,
	001387
14	

had defected to the Soviet Union and that he had married a Russian woman.

Mr. Phillips. I am not positive that that is the case. I cannot say that it is. That was my recollection, but it may have been that I learned that at a later date, but I recall it as sort of a message, definitively describing the man so there was no question about who he was. But I just cannot recall the details.

Mr. Sprague. Let me show you a document which I do not believe is the response, responding cablegram, but maybe upon looking at it, it will refresh your recollection as to what may have been in the responding cablegram from CIA headquarters.

(The Witness inspected the document.)

Mr. Phillips. No, sir. This is a cable, a communication sent by CIA headquarters in Washington to the Department of State, the FBI, and the Department of the Navy. It is not the response to Mexico City.

Mr. Sprague. I know that, and I said that was not the
responding cablegram. I just wanted you to look at that
and see if that helped refresh your recollection as to what
was in the responding cablegram that did come from Washington,
CIA headquarters.

Mr. Phillips. Yes. It makes me think that I am correct in remembering that the one that finally did come

001388

.24

25

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

mentioned for the first time I think to Mexico that he had defected to the Soviet Union, and his wife was there and so forth.

I recall that the one that went from CIA headquarters to Mexico straightened the record about the name, rather than the way it is here.

Mr. Sprague. Before we continue with that, let me show you another memorandum which I will request be marked Exhibit 2 and see if you can identify that. Forget any of the notations on the side of it.

(The Witness inspected the document.)

Mr. Phillips. I am finding it a little difficult to read.

Mr. Sprague. Can you identify that memorandum?

Mr. Phillips. It is an internal memorandum in the Mexico City embassy which I gather, because it says for the ambassador, so it was something prepared in CIA headquarters in Mexico and sent to the ambassador and perhaps others. I do not know.

Mr. Sprague. Now, if I may, just reading this, this is headed 16 October 1963, is that correct?

Mr. Phillips. I didn't notice that, sir? Yes, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Memorandum for, and then it is blank, the ambassador, from, and then it is blank, but you indicate this

001389

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	85
1	is probably from CIA to the American ambassador in Mexico,
2	and then there is some wording, subject looks like Lee Oswald,
3	contact with the Soviet embassy, and then under that, ambassador
4	notified, and one, printed. The following information was
5	received, and then blank space, on October 1, 1963, an
6	American male contacted the Soviet embassy and identified
7	himself as Lee Oswald. This office determined that Oswald
8	had been at the Soviet Embassy on 28 September 1963 and talked
. 9	with, and then a name, Valery, and then the rest of it,
10	Kostikov, a member of the Consular Section, in order to
. 1]	learn if the Soviet Embassy had received a reply from
12	Washington accompanying his request.
13	We have no clarifying information with regard to this
14	request.
15	And let me just stop there.
16	Can you explain why the CIA, on the 16th of October,
17	1963, is advising the United States ambassador in Mexico
18	that they have no clarifying information on this request when,
19	according to you, the intercepted conversation spelled out a
20	number of other things?
21	Do you have any explanation as to that?
22	Mr. Phillips. If my recollection of the intercept is
23	correct, no, I have no explanation.
.24	There is one thing I will note there, as a possibility
25	to explain something ambiguous. It was sometimes the
	001390

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 87

Ċ

custom, and Mr. Scott requested it, that when something like 1 this went on paper to another part of the embassy other than 2 the FBI office, specifically, the ambassador's office, that 3 the sources were fudged, in other words, they were rewritten, 4 so that the people in the ambassador's office, and I am 5 thinking particularly of an ambassador's aide, that Mr. Scott 6 didn't have complete confidence in, and these papers not only 7 go to the ambassadors but the secretaries, he would sometimes 8 have them rewritten to protect the source. So when they say 9 visited, it could mean really that he is referring to a 10 telephone thing and so forth. 11

But I do not know the answer to the first part of your question.

Mr. Sprague. And the second part of this memorandum says, headquarters has informed us that Oswald above is probably identical with Lee Henry Oswald, born on 18 October 17 1959, in New Orleans, Louisiana, a former radar operator in United States Marine Corps who defected to the Soviet Union in October 1959. This office will advise you if additional information on this is received.

Now, does that help refresh your recollection as to that responding cablegram from Washington to CIA headquarters there? Mr. Phillips. It helps, and I believe what may have

appened is that this message, sent to agencies in the United States, was sent, and an information copy was sent to Mexico

001391

24

25

۲

·

1	City, and without waiting for the answers from the agencies	
2	in the United States, someone in the CIA station went ahead	
3	and sent out this memorandum based on this information copy.	
4	Actually, this copy is asking information from U.S. agencies,	
5	and it looks like someone may have gone ahead, taken material	
6	out of there to prepare that.	
7	(The document referred to was	
8	marked Phillips Exhibit No. 2.)	
. 9	Mr. Sprague. All right, and just for the record, the	
10	other document that I have had you look at, which I will ask	
.]]	be marked for identification as Exhibit No. 3, Mr. Chairman.	
12	Mr. Preyer. Without objection, so ordered.	I F
13	(The document referred to was	
14	marked Phillips Exhibit No. 3.)	
15	Mr. Sprague. Has a heading and it appears to be from	
16	CIA to Department of State, Federal Bureau of Investigation,	
17	Department of Navy, headed Secret, Subject: Henry Oswald	
18	it says Lee Henry Oswald.	
19	One, on 1 October 1963 a reliable and sensible source in	
20	Mexico reported that an American male who identified himself	
21	as Lee Oswald contacted the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City	
22	inquiring whether the embassy had received any news concerning	
23	a telegram which had been sent to Washington. The American	
.24	was described as approximately 35 years old with an athletic	
25	build, about six feet tall, with a receding hairline.	
r		

001392

87

Ŷ

۲

1 Two, it is believed that Oswald may be identical to 2 Lee Henry Oswald, born on 18 October 19 -- looks to be 39 here 3 maybe this other one was '39 -- in New Orleans, Louisiana, a former U.S. Marine who defected to the Soviet Union in 4 5 October 1959 and later made arrangements through the United States embassy in Moscow to return to the United States with 6 7 his Russian-born wife, Marina, and it goes on with the name, and their child. 8

9 Three, the information in paragraph 1 is being disseminated 10 to your representatives in Mexico City. Any further informa-11 tion received on this subject will be furnished to you. This 12 information is being made available to the Immigration and 13 Naturalization Service.

Now, does that help refresh your recollection as to the responding cablegram that you got from CIA headquarters in Washington?

Mr. Phillips. It makes me believe, Counsellor, that I
recall a cablegram coming from Washington as a CIA cable
answering the cable when in fact I may recall this information
which came down in an information copy, or one way or the
other. I would still have thought that there would have been
a regular response following the answering of this.

23 Mr. Sprague. Well, if in fact there was no responding 24 cablegram, would you be surprised at that?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, I would.

001393

1 Mr. Sprague. Now, in any event, whether a responding cablegram or the information in the document I have just read 2 to you, it is correct; I gather, that at some point shortly 3 after the cablegram had been sent to Washington, that the 4 Mexico CIA operation now had advice that Oswald, the person 5 who perhaps was Oswald, had been in the service, had defected 6 to Russia, had come back to the United States, is that correct? 7 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 8 Mr. Sprague. Now, with that additional information, plus 9 the fact of your having seen an intercept, that this American 10 was saying what you said you saw in that transcript and had 11 been trying to get out of the country with whatever the 12 arrangements the Soviets would make to Cuba and to Russia, did 13 this now become a most unusual situation? 14 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, it escalated the importance of 15 it. 16 Mr. Spraque. All right, now, upon gathering that 17 information, what did the CIA -- what did you do? Let me 18 put it that way first. 19 Mr. Phillips. I did not do anything unusual such as 20 mounting a new technical operation or anything because of 21 this. I believe ---22 Mr. Spraque. Well, was anything done right then and there 23 to find out where is Oswald? 24 Mr. Phillips. On the side, as far as the Cuban embassy 25

001394

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 91

was concerned, as I recall it, we then tasked our agents what do you know about a man named so and so with this and that 2 and the other, and asked them if they knew about his visit or something like that.

Mr. Sprague. But you know something about him. My question now is, having first had your suspicions excited enough to send a cablegram to Washington in a situation that you say was somewhat unusual, you now reach the most unusual, do you not, of finding out that this person who was trying to get to Cuba and Russia and whatever places that you said, turns out to have been a defector to Russia who was -who had returned and come back to the United States.

Now, is that not unique, really?

Mr. Phillips. It is.

Mr. Sprague. And was there not a thought then by CIA, let's find out where is this man right now?

Mr. Phillips. Okay, sir.

I recall that any actions I took were simply routine 18 ones, and the reasons would be these. These, at this stage, 19 is an FBI operation. 20

Mr. Spraque. Do you know whether the FBI had been 21 notified at that point that this individual in the intercepted 22 phone call was alleged to have said the things that you have 23 told us appeared in that intercepted transcript? 24

Mr. Phillips. I do not, sir. I don't know that they

90

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

1	were.	
2	Mr. Sprague. If in fact the FBI had not been told	
3	that this individual was doing other than just going to	
4	contact the Russian embassy to inquire about a telegram from	
5	Washington and had not been told that he had been talking to	
6	them about trying to get to Cuba and to Russia, and talking	
7	about it being worth their while in some way if they contact	
8	him and that they ought to help him get out, how would the	
9	FBI then know of the uniqueness of the situation?	
10	Mr. Phillips. They would not know, sir.	
. 11	Mr. Sprague. Who would be responsible for seeing that	
12	that information is given to the FBI?	
13	Mr. Phillips. Mr.Manel's shop, but with the escalating	
14	importance, that sort of responsibility would go right to the	
- 15	Chief of Station as well.	
16	Mr. Sprague. Do you know what Mr. Scott or the people	
17	who were in line command, even, did upon getting this	
18	information and tying this with that information in the	
19.	transcript?	
20	Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I cannot recall.	
_ 21	My vague recollection, or perhaps I am remembering what	
22	I think must have been, was that at some stage here we were	
23	advised by the FBI or found out that Oswald had left the	
24	country, but I do not recall, I do not know.	
25	Mr. Sprague. Well, while he was still in Mexico, would	
	001396	

S

1	the CIA have wanted to find out his whereabouts and start
2	some sort of surveillance or check on him?
3	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
4	Mr. Sprague. Did the CIA would the CIA lose interest
5	in the potential threat by this person just because he was
6	then no longer in Mexico?
7	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, it would become someone else's
8	case if he had returned.
9	Mr. Sprague. Well, whose obligation was it to make
10	sure that that someone else had all of the information that
. 11	now made this a unique case for the Mexican operation of CIA?
12	Mr. Phillips. Mr. Manel, Mr. Scott, and myself as well.
13	Mr. Sprague. Did you?
14	Mr. Phillips. I did not. I don't want to say I did not.
- 15	I do not recall doing it.
16	Mr. Sprague. But Mr.Phillips, I am sure that you would
17	have wracked your brain may times, and it seems to me you
18	obviously did not, and my question really gets back to why
19	not, and that perhaps gets back to an earlier question, to
20	some degree you have slithered around what are quotes of you
21	by people in the news media.
22	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.
23	Mr. Sprague. And you end up making a statement here
.24	to this Subcommittee that, well, part of that statement was
25	what I learned then and what I learned subsequently, which
	001397
14	

92

Ć

Ô

S

Ő

in my view just does not make sense at all, and I am putting 1 to you **really** whether you are being totally candid with the 2 Subcommittee. 3

Mr. Phillips. I am trying to be totally candid. One 4 of my principal problems, Counsellor, is that since this 5 event took on such magnitude because of the assassination, these ó things that we are talking about today I have heard in so 7 many varied forms on talk shows and magazine articles, and I 8 find it terribly difficult --9

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips, you made statements to a man 10 from UPI, you made statements to a man from the Washington 11 Post. I can't speak for the Subcommittee, but I find it 12 inconceivable that in relating that statement to Mr. Gillmore 13 that you are relating that what you headd Oswald say is that 14 he had information that might be useful to the Soviets and 15 Cuba, and that he hoped to be provided with free transportation 16 to Russia via Cuba, that in summary, you were adding in there 17 information subsequent to the assassination? Do you think 18 that makes sense? 19

Mr. Phillips. Counsellor, I stand by what I said. I 20 still recall that my perception of that first knowledge I 21 Perhaps to some extent, based on the very fact that had of him. 22 he as seeking a visa was, here was a man who wanted to go to the Soviet Union and was projecting himself with some importance 24 to try and get it. 25

001398

1 Mr. Sprague. By projecting himself with some importance, 2 you mean he was then indicating to them, he was tooting his own horn, that he could be of some value to them. Is that 3 what you mean? 4 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 5 Mr. Sprague. Well, if that is what you mean, then say 6 so. 7 Mr. Phillips. All right, sir. 8 Mr. Sprague. I do not want to say it. It is you. Is 9 that what you mean? 10 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 11 Mr. Spraque. When you get this response from CIA 12 headquarters in Washington making this a unique situation 13 which would have called for surveillance of Oswald while he 14 was in Mexico, do you know what steps were taken to ascertain - 15 whether or not he in fact was still in Mexico? 16 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not recall. I do not 17 recall why all of a sudden there was no action. The logical 18 reason would have been -- and I don't recall it -- was that 19 we found out he had returned to the United States. In that 20 case the interest would have been dropped, and that would have 21 been that. 22 Mr. Spraque. The interest by whom? 23 Mr. Phillips. By the CIA. 24 Mr. Sprague. You mean the CIA would not have an interest 25 001399

in this American who was trying to offer things to the Soviets 1 2 and get out of the country through Cuba? 3 Mr. Phillips. Well, yes, certainly they would have an On the list of priorities that were tasked that would interest. 4 go down in Mexico, at least certainly the step would have 5 been taken that he would have been put on what was known as 6 the watch list so that if his name ever popped up again in 7 Mexico, somebody said this is the man --8 Mr. Sprague. Did you ever have there in Mexico another 9 American citizen who you were aware of giving an implica-10 tion, an offer of some information to the Soviets and wanted 11 to get to Cuba and Russia, who had been a defector to 12 Russia before? 13 Mr. Phillips. Not a package of this kind and a double 14 header of the Soviet Union. They were extremely unusual - 15 cases. 16 Mr. Sprague. Did you ever have a case that had all of 17 those in it? 18 Mr. Phillips. No, not all of that combination. 19 Mr. Spraque. Why do you say this would have been a lesser 20 priority situation? 21 Mr. Phillips. Well, I meant, Counsellor, if he had 22 left Mexico, and when we learned that he was no longer in 23 Mexico, it would have become low priority while he was -- unless 24 he came back to Mexico, not necessarily to CIA headquarters 25

001400

1 should he show up elsewhere.

2 Mr. Spraque. Well, of course, aren't you also assuming 3 in here that other agencies who had been notified had been advised of the information that you say was on that intercepted 4 5 telephone call, is that correct?

Mr. Phillips. I'm sorry, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Aren't you making an assumption that when 7 8 the CIA Mexico Division lets up because he has gone out of the country, that other agencies of the Federal Government are 9 taking up their proper duties, but contingent upon them 10 having all of the information that the CIA had? 11 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, I think that is an assumption 12 on my part. 13

Mr. Spraque. And you say that was Manel's obligation, to see that that information was given to the other 15 agencies? 15

Mr. Phillips. As you described, it was a case of 17 sufficient importance that it was Mr. Manel's and Mr. Scott's 18 and I would have to share some responsibility as well. At 19 the very best, it is not professional, at the best. 20

Mr. Sprague. How would you explain if in fact no 21 other agency of government was advised that in this intercept 22 Oswald had been attempting to -- had spoken of attempting to 23 go to Cuba, to Russia, and was indicating that he had something 24 to offer the Soviets, and he wanted them to help him get out 25 001401

6

of the country, how do you explain if in fact that information 1 was not communicated to any other agency of government, how 2 do you explain that? 3

Mr. Phillips. I can't explain it, and I would call it a 4 grievous omission, and the dimension of the gravity of it 5 depending upon the motive for it. 6

Mr. Sprague. Well, I guess my question ends up, would 7 that just be an omission or would that be more likely a 8 decision by someone not to have that information in the context 9 of what I just said given to the other agencies of government? 10

Mr. Phillips. It is possible that it could be an omission in the sense of someone waiting to wrap up another package, and they are going to produce a nice round report that they could be proud of. We covered this man all the time, and here are the stories. That is possible. 15

- However, I tend to agree with your implication that 16 serious enough, it certainly could be somebody decided not 17 to do it for one reason or another, but of course, that is 18 an assumption. 19

Now, where would decisionmaking like that Mr. Spraque. 20 come from, not to notify other agencies of the United States 21 qovernment? 22

Mr. Phillips. I can tell you that precisely, sir, 23 Win Scott. No one else would dare make that decision without .24 Win Scott's knowing about it and approving it. 25

97

001402

11

12

13

Mr. Sprague. And would he do that strictly on his own? Or would he discuss that with anyone in a superior position to him?

Mr. Phillips. If he were to do that without some logical explanation of why he was doing it, he wouldn't discuss it with anyone, because if he were to do that, and to let someone know that he wasn't getting this information out, all sorts of things could -- somebody just might go to the IG, the Inspector General or something like that.

Mr. Sprague. Well, let me ask you this, and maybe this gets back to the earlier part of the question.

These cablegrams that get routed from your Mexico station, let's say even the one that Mrs.Manel prepared for Mr. Manel, and then you saw, did they go immediately from Mr. Manel out for dissemination, for transmission? Did they go immediately from you? Did these always get routed from each of you back to Mr. Scott, and it is Mr. Scott who then sees that they are transmitted?

Mr. Phillips. The final signature, the release, is Mr. Scott's, and it goes directly from his office to the Communications Section, and he is the last one.

Mr. Sprague. And is the first time, in looking at that excised copy that we have of what appeared to be a cablegram to Washington, that you were aware that, assuming that that is the one that was sent, that there appears to have been

no notification of the information that you say was in that transcript?

Mr. Phillips. Well, I might qualify it by saying as of yesterday and today it is the first indication that I have had that this information might have been held back from other agencies and from the Warren Commission. The possibility is a surprise to me, yes.

Mr. Sprague. Between it being an omission, when we consider you, Mr. Manel, Mr. Scott, and it being a deliberate decision not to give this information, which is the more likely in your opinion, from having worked there?

Mr. Phillips. In my opinion, sir, it is more likely that it would have been an omission rather than an act of that kind. That is my opinion.

Mr. Sprague. And why do you say that, because you think the other is just too terrible to contemplate?

Mr. Phillips. That is certainly one thing, but the main thing is, I wasn't aware of a similar action taken by Mr. Scott or other people and I just --

Mr. Thone. Mr. Phillips, for the record, Mr. Scott is deceased.

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Thone. And when did he die?

Mr. Phillips. He resigned from CIA in Mexico where he had been a long time, and he died in -- I have it written in

001404

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Thone. Approximately.

Mr. Phillips. About 1970 or '71. '71 or '72, after the retirement, he stayed right in Mexico, had a heart attack and died.

Mr. Thone. A heart attack.

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips, you said that there may be one or two other intercepts after this intercept that you have been discussing.

In any event, is the intercept that you have been discussing the first intercept that you were aware of of Oswald?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, I believe that to be true.

Mr. Sprague. Did you have any more information concerning the first intercept, in the whole sequence of events that we have gone through and the response from Washington, other than what you have testified to now?

Mr. Phillips. I'm sorry, sir, I didn't --

Mr. Sprague. Do you have any other information concerning that first intercept other than what you have now testified to before this Subcommittee?

Mr. Phillips. I do not.

Mr. Sprague. And the last you had any knowledge about it was upon the response from Washington.

Is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

22

Mr. Phillips. It is the last that I recall, sir.
Mr. Sprague. Let me see if there is anything else.
Do you know, of your knowledge, whether with regard to
that first intercept, the Immigration Department was notified?

Mr. Phillips. About that first intercept, I don't know. My recollection was that in the embassy in Mexico City, the facts were Oswald being there. I presume that must be the first intercept was sent out to the State Department, FBI and Immigration and Naturalization, or at some time they were advised, but --

Mr. Sprague. Was the Secret Service advised at any time? Mr. Phillips. Not to my knowledge, sir. First, one, there is no Secret Service in Mexico.

Mr. Sprague. Now, with regard to each of the notifications of any agencies, you do not know, I take it, whether that notification contained the information you have told us about.

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not.

Mr. Sprague. All right, now, the second intercept, what is it that you saw and recall about that, and how long was that after the first, as best you can recall?

Mr. Phillips. Okay.

I believe that after the assassination, with the interest that we were able to go back over the transcripts, that I recall something about Sylvia Duran and some longer information

about her conversation. In other words, I recall the 1 intercept, not just the shortie that was the first one, but 2 one that had more substance, about this guy is over here and 3 what about it ---4 Mr. Sprague. This is a conversation by Oswald? 5 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, but it was about Oswald. 6 Mr. Sprague. No, I am talking now about conversations 7 by Oswald, after the first intercept, which is a conversation 8 by Oswald. When is the next intercept of Oswald that you have 9 any recollection about? 10 Mr. Phillips. I don't recall, sir. Like I said last 11 night, I am not absolutely positive. I have this feeling 12 there was another one or two, and I do not -- I cannot be 13 positive about that. 14 Mr. Sprague. What is your best resollection about this 15 other one or two as to what the content was, regardless whether 16 it is one or two? 17 Do you have any recollection? 18 Mr. Phillips. A second query about whether his visa 19 has come? I don't remember, sir. I don't remember. 20 Mr. Spraque. All right, now, do you recall any conversations 21 that were intercepted about Oswald? 22 First, yes or no? 23 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 24 Mr. Sprague. And how many do you recall? 001407 25

Mr. Phillips. If I can limit it to before the 1 assassination --2 Mr. Sprague. Well, I am only talking about before the 3 assassination. 4 Mr.Phillips. I believe I recall the one I am calling 5 the long one, Sylvia Duran. 6 Mr. Sprague. All right, that is one. 7 Do you recall any other intercepted conversations about 8 Oswald? 9 Mr. Phillips. No, I do not. 10 Mr. Spraque. All right. 11 In that conversation, can you tell us as best you can 12 recall when that was intercepted, and you saw the 13 transcript in relationship to that first Oswald transcript 14 that you have been telling us about. 15 Mr. Phillips. My best recollection is that that was 16 there on the record, but what it was -- it didn't identify 17 Oswald, but somehow after the assassination, when we went 18 scrambling back through records, ah, that must be about 19 Oswald. That is my best recollection. 20 Mr. Sprague. In other words, you are saying the first 21 you are aware of that you can recall of this intercepted 22 transcript about Oswald, you first saw after the assassination. 23 Mr. Phillips. That is what I recall, sir, yes, sir. 24 Mr. Sprague. You have no recollection of seeing it 25

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 105

103

prior to the assassination, or being marked for attention or 1 2 anything like that? Mr. Phillips. No, sir. My recollection is that it was 3 afterwards. 4 Mr. Sprague. Let me back up one second, getting back 5 again. 6 After you got that response from Washington, from CIA 7 headquarters, the additional information about Oswald is a 8 defector, all of that, without repeating it, and recognizing 9 that the situation now is unique, did anyone then give an 10 order to save that tape? 11 Mr. Phillips. Not that I recall. 12 Mr. Sprague. I assume the tape, though, from what you 13 said earlier, must have been at least held up since you 14 were -- and the destruction of the tape, since a tablegram 15 was going to Washington for information. 16 Mr. Phillps. That would not necessarily be true, and my 17 recollection is that it was not held up. Once the information 18 was taken off of it, it was once again sent back for reuse. 19 Mr. Spraque. Immediately? I thought you said earlier 20 that there was a period of time for the tape to be held for 21 the decisionmaking process to at least work on whether to 22 keep the tape. 23 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, but not in the office of the 24 decisionmakers in the embassy. The tape would return to the 25 001409

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 106

1 outside unit, as I understood it, and it was there that you would say -- that the message would go eventually, hold the 2 3 tape. Mr. Sprague. When you saw this response from Washington, 4 5 did you think anything of let's get that tape? Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I did not. 6 Mr. Sprague. Did you discuss it with anybody? 7 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I did not. 8 9 Mr. Sprague. All right. Getting back to this conversation about Oswald which you 10 do not recall seeing prior to the assassination, but, you got you 11 say, afterwards, do you recall when that intercept had occurred? 12 Mr. Phillips. To preface the remark, after this whole 13 thing was over, I noted certain weaknesses in my performance, 14

one of them being, damn, why didn't I know more about this
before the assassination?

So I think what may have happened is I did indeed see the transcript and didn't recognize that it pertained to the other transcript. So it went back into the files. After the assassination, let's look at everything, my goodness, that's talking about Lee Harvey Oswald.

So it is quite possible that I saw it and didn't recognize the value or the connection with Oswald because it was just a case -- well, that is possible.

My recollection is that it meant nothing to us until after

001410

the assassination.

1

Mr. Sprague. But my question still is, Mr. Phillips, 2 3 when you did see it after the assassination, do you have any recollection now as to when that intercept had been made? 4 5 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I do not. Mr. Spraque. In late September, early October '63? 6 Mr. Phillips. It would have had to have taken place 7 at the very end of September or early October, because Oswald 8 went back soon, but I don't remember which of those days it 9 was on. 10 Mr. Spraque. And can you summarize for us what was the 11 substance of that conversation about Oswald, and between what 12 parties was that conversation? 13 Mr. Phillips. Sylvia Duran, somebody in the Soviet 14 embassy. 15 Is that the first time I read about -- I think it was --16 obstreperous character over here, acted badly, had to call 17 the consul down, an explanation, he got mad when we told him 18 that we weren't going to get you a visa until the Soviets 19 did, something -- Sylvia Duran talking with someone. I am 20 not sure whether it was a Soviet, but that's the general kind 21 of thing, a description of Oswald and his actions toward 22 Sylvia Duran when he found out he wasn't going to get a 23 visa. 24

Mr. Sprague. Are you saying that if you had seen this

001411

NW 50955 DocId: 32277213 Page 108

transcript shortly after it had been made, that you would not have connected that with the transcript of Oswald that you have just told us about, if this Duran thing was again a call from the Cuban embassy to the Russian embassy, it is involving someone wanting visas to Cuba and Russia, which is the very thing --

Mr. Phillips. Well, I certainly would have, so I think that it must have been vague enough that I didn't note that or didn't see it until after the assassination.

10 Mr. Sprague. Is there any other conversation concerning 11 Oswald that you are aware of?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I don't recall any other.

Mr. Sprague. At any time, was there an effort made to
go over with the surveillance team that was on the Cuban
embassy, not the Russian, as to who were the people observed
going into the Cuban embassy between September 28th and
October 3, 1963, that you know of, and you were there at least
at that station for another two years.

Mr. Phillips. You are speaking after the assassination as well? Yes. The photographs, all the photographs that were available from the coverage of the Cuban installation were inspected by Mr. Shaw and by me. They were in long strips, and we went over every single one, seeing if we could find a picture of Oswald.

25

7

8

9

12

Mr. Sprague. But did you not also say that the camera

001412

.107

did not work on occasions during that period of time.

Mr. Phillips. We knew -- how did this work, now? We knew that we wouldn't find a picture of him on the day of the intercept. Nevertheless, we wanted to look back -- and I think we looked back for 30 or 45 days.

Mr. Sprague. My question was, did anybody go over the descriptions, what the surveillance team observed of people entering into the Cuban embassy, and who, between September 27th and October 3, 1963?

Mr. Phillips. No, sir. There would have been the -- there was no team in there. It was just a place where the camera was with an operator and so forth, and he would --

Mr. Sprague. But if the camera wasn't operating, isn't there somebody making notations? I thought you said that earlier?

Mr. Phillips. Everything before -- later the camera, as I recall, became and automatic model that worked, and then there would be people taking down license plates and that sort of thing, but there was no material available to indicate a man looking like this walked in on this day. There was nothing like that, and I believe the reason was that there was no operative in there.

Now, certainly we looked for the other days to see if
there was anything. I remember, for instance, that we wrote
down every single license plate that was from the United States,

001413

	109
1	thinking maybe this will be connected with Oswald, and that
2	sort of thing.
3	Mr. Sprague. Do you know of a Russian agent named
4	Kosta, K-o-s-t-a?
5	Mr. Phillips. I have heard of that name, but I don't
6	know what the case is.
7	Mr. Sprague. Did you ever in any of your CIA work
8	come across that name as a Russian agent?
. 9	Mr. Phillips. I don't recall it. It is familiar.
10	Mr. Sprague. What about the name Kostikov?
11	Mr. Phillips. No, I don't relate that to it.
12	Mr. Sprague. I have no further questions at this time,
13	Mr. Chairman.
. 14	I think Mr. Brooten may have some.
- 15	Mr. Preyer. Would you prefer to ask a few, Mr. Thone,
16	or shall Mr. Brooten?
17	Mr. Thone. I will be very brief. I think Mr. Sprague
18	has been very comprehensive.
19	Mr. Phillips, there is one link here that doesn't ring
20	true to this Nebraska farm boy. Maybe I am just way off
21	base.
22	Stop me if I don't recite this little part here it is
23	no big deal, but stop me if I am not correct factually.
.24	The visit of Lee Harvey Oswald in your area there was
25	about eight weeks before the assassination. I think your

best recollection was September 28th or something, but I 1 2 think there are some other indications that might have occurred 3 on the 27th, which I don't think is that important, and that 4 he was down there for four or five days. At the time, you had 5 the chief responsibility for the Cuban, what do you call it? Mr. Phillips. Well, Cuban targets is what you call it. 6 7 Mr. Thone. Cuban targets. And the testimony, I think, is pretty extensive that in the intercept there, that he, 8 Mr. Oswald, talked about wanting to go to either Russia or 9 Cuba. 10 Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh. 11 Mr. Thone. Not necessarily through Cuba to Russia. 12 Am I correct there, so far, that he might just want to 13 go to Cuba? 14 Mr. Phillips. Yes. There was the possibility that 15 he would go to Cuba and/or. In other words, I don't recall 16 anything that said I must go to Cuba in order to get to the 17 Soviet Union. I think maybe it was the Sylvia Duran conversa-18 tion or something. 19 Mr. Thone. My point here only is this is your area of 20 responsibility. 21 Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh. 22 Mr. Thone. The thought that this person might only be 23 going to Cuba, so of course, you are real interested in it. 24 Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh. 25 001415

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 112

1 Mr. Thone. You responded to Mr. Spraque that until 2 yesterday, I assume that was from some of these articles or 3 so, you weren't aware of the fact that the Warren Commission 4 did not know about this particular intercept. 5 Mr. Phillips. That's true, sir, or at least if the Warren Commission had not been advised that he wanted to 6 7 go to the Soviet Union and Cuba. 8 Am I correct, Counsellor? 9 Yes, I had always thought that they had been advised of that. I presumed it because I didn't see the papers which went 10 from CIA to the Warren Commission, but I would presume that --11 Mr. Thone. That is what stumbles this little mind of mine. 12 As close as you were to this particular incident, your area 13 of responsibility, a 25 year veteran in this whole area, and 14 as I understand, you had been in Cuba yourself some years 15 before this. 16 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 17 Mr. Thone. That you weren't aware that the Warren 18 Commission had not been advised of this intercept. 19 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I was not. 20 Mr. Thone. And that the Schweiker Committee was not 21 advised of it, that the Church Committee was not advised of 22 it, and that until now, this key intercept was not made 23 available by the CIA to any of these investigatory agencies, 24 or inquiries, I should say? 25

Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I was not aware that it was not passed to them. I had certainly presumed and thought that it was.

My personal involvement with the Warren Commission was limited to Mexico, where I believe there were four gentlemen who came down to Mexico from the Warren Commission and they talked to us about this, that and the other, and I find it absolutely incredible to believe that we talked to those gentlemen from the Warren Commission and didn't tell them about this information.

So the fact --

Mr. Thone. Well, it is my understanding from the newspaper accounts -- and you can read lots of things in the newspapers, of course -- that you are now the President of the CIA Retired Association. I assume you are still pretty loyal to that organization.

Mr. Phillips. This is an organization composed of
intelligence officers from all services, but I still believe
that intelligence is necessary.

20 Mr. Thone. So does this Congressman. I think a lot of 21 that agency.

But do you think your agency then has been candid with these official inquiries after the discussion that you and I have just had here?

001417

Mr. Phillips. No, sir.

112

25

1

2

3

	113	
٩.	Mr. Thone. And what would you attribute that to?	
2	Mr. Phillips. I would have no explanation or justification	n
3	for it.	
. 4	Mr. Thone. I would like to pursue that some more. I	
5	don't want to impose on the time of the other people here.	
6	Maybe later on we can.	
7	A couple of quick other things.	
8	On this cablegram that Mr. Sprague showed you, and I	
9	think is included as Exhibit 1, the original of that that you	
10	said that you signed off on, where would that be?	
. 11	Mr. Phillips. At CIA headquarters there should still be	
12	a copy always there.	
13	Mr. Thone. Always.	
14	Mr. Phillips. Yes.	
15	Mr. Thone. With your initials on it.	
16	Mr. Phillips. No, because the initials would of course	
17	be only on the original copy in Mexico City.	
. 18	Mr. Thone. That is the one I am getting to.	
19	Mr. Phillips. But it would be transmitted, sir,	
20	telegraphically, so Washington would have a telegraphic copy	
-21	which does not have initials put on in the field.	
22	Mr. Thone. But I am getting to that original work copy	
23	prepared by Mrs. Manel.	
.24	Mr. Phillips. Uh-huh.	
25	Mr. Thone. And you said you remember signing off on it.	
	001418	•

ļ/ ÷ -

S

Where would that be?

ľ

1

Mr. Phillips. That should still be in Mexico if it
were not brought to the United States because of its importance
in this case, but it certainly should still exist, must
exist.

Mr. Thone. During the period that you had the surveillance 6 over the Cuban embassy, I understand you took pictures and 7 everything else, I understand that is pretty routine, were 8 9 photographs being taken at the same time of the Soviet embassy? Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, yes, sir. 10 Mr. Thone. Maybe that is another inquiry or so. . 11 Do you know whether or not the camera was working? 12 Mr. Phillips. It was working, sir. 13 Mr. Thone. The camera was working during the entire 14 period. You know that of your personal knowledge. - 15 Mr. Phillips. No, I don't know of my personal knowledge. 16 Mr. Thone. But you know that it was working, that 17 particular day that it wasn't working over at the other place, 18 the Cuba. 19

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, and I base it on the fact that that picture of that mysterious stranger, as I understand it, was taken on the day of the intercept.

Mr. Thone. And it is also, as I understand it -- correct me if I am wrong here -- that Mr. Oswald also visited the Russian embassy during this period that he was down in Mexico City.

Mr. Phillips. I do not recall, but I have heard since
 many times that he made a physical visit to that embassy, but
 I did not remember that.

Mr. Thone. Lastly, this -- I have got a one track mind,
I guess -- you mentioned that Mr. Miller of the CIA called
you yesterday and frankly, I am a little upset that the CIA
would talk about this technically or not under your secrecy
charge or so, because I think it is a chilling aspect to your
testimony, if nothing further.

You are a quality person. I am sure it would have no effect on you. I am not sure that would be true of the averagek person. You almost remembered the name of the staffer he said he was going to call to talk to this about. If I reviewed some here, would it refresh your memory? Was it Mr. Akers, Mr. Brooten?

Mr. Phillips. I am sorry, sir, that who was going to call now2

Mr. Thone. Mr. Miller suggested that he was going to
 call somebody from this staff here and talk about this thing.
 Mr. Phillips. Yes.

Mr. Thone. Would it have been a Mr. Akers, Mr. Brooten, Mr. --

Mr. Sprague. Could we get responses, Mr. Thone, instead of just shaking his head?

Mr. Thone. Yes, if you would.

001420

	116
1	Mr. Phillips. I don't recognize any of those as the names.
2	Mr. Thone. Caroline Hansen?
3	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
4	Mr. Thone. Jacqueline Hess?
5	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
6	Mr. Thone. Joe Kiehl?
7	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
8	Mr. Thone. Billie Gay Larson?
9	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
10	Mr. Thone. Vivian McFerferson?
11	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
12	Mr. Thone. Patricia Orr?
13	Mr. Phillips. No, sir.
14	Sir, I don't believe he gave me a name. I think he
15	told me he was contacting, he was going to try to contact
16	someone on Mr. Sprague's staff. He didn't say
17	Mr. Thone. I thought you almost had the name on the
18	tip of your tongue.
19	Mr. Phillips. What I was thinking was, I have been talking
20	for the first time with someone on the staff, with Mr. Feeney.
21	Mr. Thone. I remember that, butgI think you also
22	indicated that you knew the other name that he had in mind.
23	Mr. Phillips. Sir, I don't, and I don't believe he
.24	mentioned the name, and I think he said I am trying to contact
25	someone on the staff. I don't believe he mentioned it.
	001421

.

1 Mr. Thone. You are sure about that. Mr. Phillips. If he did, it was a name that meant nothing 2 3 to me, and I just let it pass. Mr. Thone. Would it have been a man or a female? 4 S Mr. Phillips. I don't recall that it was either, sir. That is why I am beginning to be quite sure that he said I 6 am trying to contact Mr. Sprague's staff now, or something 7 like that. 8 9 Mr. Thone. Thank you. Mr. Preyer. You mentioned the four members from the 10 Warren Commission came down to talk with you in Mexico after 11 the assassination. 12 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 13 Mr. Preyer. Did they talk with anyone else of the 14 station down there. - 15 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, they came and of course were 16 received by Mr. Scott and those people, and they had most 17 of the talking, but then there was fanning out, and someone 18 would go to -- one of the persons would talk to someone and 19 someone to someone else, yes, sir, they did talk to sort of 20 a cross section of people asking their questions. 21 Mr. Preyer. And you don't recall whether you told them 22 about the intercept or not? 23 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, I don't. At that time I was 24 convinced, and up until today, it never occurred to me that this 25 001422

information was not passed on. I can't figure out why it shouldn't be. 2

3 Mr. Preyer. Did you say anything to them about only 4 learning of Oswald's activities in Mexico after the assassi-5 nation?

Mr. Phillips. In the sense of from my own operations, yes. Mr. Preyer. The newspaper article here implies the CIA withheld the knowledge from the Warren Commission that it, the CIA, had any knowledge about Oswald until after the fact of the assassination.

Did you say anything to the Warren Commission people 11 along that line, in short, denying the intercept? 12

Phillips. No, sir, because I know that we told Mr. 13 other people in the embassy before the assassination, asking 14 what I now see is the simple business, that we just know that 15 a man named Lee Oswald, but my recollection is after the 16 assassination, when I was talking with Clark Anderson, the 17 FBI Chief, and later, when we went to the Dominican Republic - 18 and served together for over a year, and saw each other and 19 some rather interesting times, never was there anything from 20 Clark Anderson to me of gee, now that the Warren Commission 21 is -- now that this is all over, you have never told us the 22 important thing. I never had any -- I saw him only a few 23 weeks ago, so this whole concept that this information was 24 withheld in anything other than omission is a little bit more 25

001423

1

6

7

8

9

than I can handle. I certainly can't explain it.

Mr. Preyer. Let me yield to Mr. Brooten now.

Mr. Sprague, you mentioned that you might want to ask him about these documents that he brought with him.

Mr. Sprague. I was going to wait until the end of the questioning.

Mr. Brooten. Mr. Phillips, if you don't understand any question I ask, just tell me you don't understand it and I will rephrase it, because we don't want any mistakes about it.

With respect to your, or prior to your taking over the Cuban desk of the CIA station in Mexico, what prior official involvement had you had with Cuba as an agent or a contractor for the Central Intelligence Agency?

Mr. Phillips. I served in Cuba in 1955 and '56 under deep cover. That is, I pretended to be in business there. I left Cuba and returned and served there from the middle of 18 1958 through '59 to '60, until I left in rather a hurry. After Mexico I went to the Dominican Republic, and when I went to the Dominican Republic, I became the Chief of Cuban Operations in Washington.

Mr. Brooten. Now, prior to Mexico you had been in Cuba. Where had you been, specifically, in Cuba? Mr. Phillips. In Havana in both locations.

Mr. Brooten. And what specifically were your duties?

001424

22

23

24

25

.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Mr. Phillips. I was on the outside, and I was involved 1 in what was, until I became -- until I went inside to the 2 official cover, as a propaganda specialist. 3 Mr. Brooten. Now, you testified, I believe, that you 4 had certain wire intercepts to the Cuban consulate and 5 Cuban embassy, is that correct? 6 Mr. Phillips. Wire intercepts. 7 Mr. Brooten. Wire, telephone intercepts. 8 Mr. Phillips. Yes. We are speaking in Mexico City. 9 Mr. Brooten. Yes. 10 Did they monitor both incoming and outgoing telephone . 11 calls? 12 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 13 Mr. Brooten. Did the Soviet wiretaps monitor both 14 incoming and outgoing telephone calls? 15 Mr. Phillips. Yes, they did. 16 Mr. Brooten. With respect to this specific transcript, 17 would there not have been two transcripts, then, one a call 18 going out of the Cuban embassy, and one, a call coming into 19 the Soviet embassy? 20 21 22 23 24 25 001425

		121
TAPE4 ahwl	1	Mr. Phillips. As I recall, there was one transcript, an
	2	incoming telephone call, and then on the same sheet of paper,
	3	outgoing telephone calls. I don't recall that there were
	4	two, one for outgoing and one for incoming.
	5	Mr. Brooten. Well, if you had a tap on all incoming
	6	calls and all outgoing calls for both places, why didn't
	7	you have two transcripts?
	8	Mr. Phillips. Because it was on a single line. You
	9	don't tap inside the Embassy; you tap outside the Embassy,
	10	and so you are literally on the line, and you've got what's
	. 11	coming both ways.
	12	Mr. Brooten. But if a call went out of the Cuban Embassy
	13	to Point A, you would have that call intercepted, would you
	14	not?
	15	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
	16	Mr. Brooten. And if the call came into the Cuban Embassy
	17	from anywhere else, you would have that call intercepted,
	18	would you not?
	19	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir, if it was one of those phones
	20	that was tapped.
	21	Mr. Brooten. All right.
	22	Now, here you have a situation in which you have a call
Ċ	23	being placed from one tapped phone to another tapped phone,
	24	and my question is why
	25	Mr. Phillips. In that case there would be two intercepts
		001426

		122
ahw2	1	if it went to another telephone.
	2	Mr. Brooten. And there should be transcripts of the
	3	two intercepts?
	4	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
1	5	Mr. Brooten. And if there were two intercepts, and your
	6	responsibility was for the Cuban intercept, why then did you
	7	not receive and have primary responsibility for that?
	8	Mr. Phillips. Because it was translated from the
	. 9.	Russian, thus putting it into the Soviet area.
	10	Mr. Brooten. All right, sir.
	. 11	You stated that one of your duties was to know or you
	12	had a priority, and you testified in response to Mr. Sprague's
	13	question that you wanted to know who the intelligence agents
	14	were, the diplomats and then went on down to the consulars,
	15	you set up a protocol for that, is that right?
	16	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
	17	Mr. Brooten. All right.
	18	As part of your duties, was it part of your responsibility
	19	to know who the Cuban intelligence agents were?
	20	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
*	21	Mr. Brooten. And with respect to Mr. Manel, was it his
	22	responsibility to know who the Soviet intelligence agents were?
	23	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
	24	Mr. Brooten. And did you know who the Cuban intelligence
J	25	agents were? 001427

3 1	Mr. Phillips. Yes. I think our score was probably	
2	90 percent.	
3	Mr. Brooten. All right, sir.	
4	In addition to the wiretaps going both in and out of	
5	the Cuban Embassy, in addition to the photographic surveillance	
6	that you related, how many cameras were there surveilling the	
7	Cuban Embassy and the Cuban Consulate offices?	
8	Mr. Phillips. I never visited this outside installation,	
9	but I recall that there were at least two going at the same	
10	time on some occasions, the Consulate and the Chancery, the	
11	main building, and I believe at one time there might have been	
12	as many as three, but I'm not positive about that.	
13	Mr. Brooten. All right.	
14	Mr. Phillips. But ideally at least the two, the two	
15	places to cover the two entrances.	
16	Mr. Brooten. On September the 27th and 28th, 1963, do	
17	you have any personal knowledge of how many cameras were	
18	surveilling the Cuban Embassy and Consulate in Mexico City?	
19	Mr. Phillips. I know that one was not surveilling the	
20	Cuban Consulate, and to the best of my recollection one was	
21	still working no, Saturday no, to the best of my	
22	recollection, one was working that day on the Chancery, but	
23	I'm not positive.	
. 24	Mr. Brooten. All right.	
25	Mr. Phillips. I'm not positive perhaps because the other	
	001428	

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 125

ahw3

۲

۲

ahw4

1

camera had been pulled out -- I don't know.

Mr. Brooten. Now, do you know, of your own personal knowledge, that the camera was not working, or did you arrive at the conclusion that the camera was not working because you did not find pictures for that day? Mr. Phillips. We arrived at that because when we said where are the pictures, there was a -- there was some -- there

8 was a technician who was responsible for things like that, and 9 said why wasn't it working; well, it was malfunctioning, I 10 had to pull it out or something like that. He said it was 11 routine and we accepted that.

Mr. Brooten. Now, you stated that George Monroe was in charge of the intercepts.

Mr. Phillips. As I recall, he was the "outside man" who was in charge, yes.

Mr. Brooten. How long had George Monroe worked for the Central Intelligence Agency, sir?

18 Mr. Phillips. Not too long, because he had retired from19 the FBI in Mexico, so three or four years.

20 Mr. Brooten. Do you know where George Monroe is now? 21 Mr. Phillips. The last I heard, he was in Mexico.

22 Mr. Brooten. Did you also testify that it was George 23 Monroe's decision to transcribe or not transcribe intercepts?

Mr. Phillips. If I am correct in assuming that George Monroe kind of sat in there during a good part of the day,

ahw5

6

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 certainly he is the one they would go to.

Not knowing the operation, it's possible he left it in
charge of one of the Mexican nationals and they decided. But
if there was one of any real importance, certainly it would
be George Monroe and they would await his decision.

Mr. Brooten. All right, sir.

Now, in addition to the telephone interceptions and in
addition to the photographing surveillance, did you have any
other types of surveillance inside, any operatives inside the
Cuban Embassy in September of 1963?

(Pause.)

Mr. Phillips. Yes, we had two.

Mr. Brooten. What areas did they work?

Mr. Phillips. One in the administrative area and one in the diplomatic.

Mr. Brooten. All right.

To whom did they report?

Mr. Phillips. To Bob Shaw. Either directly or indirectly.

Mr. Brooten. And Mr. Shaw worked for you?

Mr. Phillips. Yes.

Mr. Brooten. Can you identify those people?

Mr. Phillips. Counsellor, may I ask if -- it's the hardest thing in the world to ask an ex-intelligence officer is to identify people who really, in the Mexican climate, might have

25 a terribly difficult time if their identities were known. Do

you feel, gentlemen, that -ahw6 1 2 Mr. Sprague. Are they still on duty in this assignment? 3 Mr. Phillips. I don't know, sir. 4 Mr. Sprague. Well, I am willing, at the moment, to pass 5 that question up, and if we need it we can get back to that. Mr. Preyer. I think that would be the wise way to 6 7 handle it, since Mr. Phillips would remain under subpoena. Mr. Phillips. Thank you. 8 Mr. Brooten. Now, Mr. Phillips, you stated you had 9 conversations with Mr. Waller, the Inspector General of the 10 Central Intelligence Agency, yesterday, November the 26th, 11 1976? 12 Mr. Phillips. Yes. 13 Mr. Brooten. Did you record those telephone conversations? 14 Mr. Phillips. I did not, sir. 15 Do you know whether Mr. Waller or anyone Mr. Brooten. 16 at the Central Intelligence Agency recorded those telephone 17 conversations? 18 Mr. Phillips. I do not, sir. 19 Now, you also stated that he said that Mr. Brooten. 20 Mr. Miller, Lyle Miller, the Legislative Counsel, cautioned 21 you about technical violations of your security agreement, is 22 that correct? 23 Mr. Phillips. No, sir, that is not completely accurate. 24 He advised me that I was right, except from the technical 25 001431

126

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 128

		127	1
ahw7	. 1	standpoint, I believe that is the way he said it.	
	2	Mr. Brooten. Do you know what the sanctions are for	
.	3	violation of that security agreement?	
	4	Mr. Phillips. As I understand it, there are no enforcable	
W	5	laws.	
	6	Mr. Brooten. Now, with respect to Herbert Manel and	
	7	Barbara Manel, you stated that she had a previous background	
	. 8	in Soviet work.	
	. 9	Do you know what her prior posts were?	
. *	10	Mr. Phillips. No, I do not.	
	. 11	Mr. Brooten. Do you know what Mr. Manel's prior posts	
	12	were?	
	13	Mr. Phillips. I don't recall. The Manels worked for	
	14	me again worked for me when I became Chief of Station in	
	15	Caracas, Venezuela at a later date, but that was subsequently.	
	16	Mr. Brooten. Do they have a background in Soviet	
	17	intelligence?	
	18	Mr. Phillips. Yes, they did.	
	19	Mr. Brooten. Did Mrs. Manel ever tell you that she had	
	20	any contact, either directly or indirectly with Marina	
	21	Prusakova, the wife of Lee Harvey Oswald, while they were in	
	22	the Soviet Union?	
Y	23	Mr. Phillips. No, she did not.	
	_24	Mr. Brooten. Did her husband ever tell you that?	
	25	Mr. Phillips. No, he did not.	
		001432	

		128
ahw8	· 1	Mr. Brooten. Do you know whether either Mr. or Mrs.
	2	Manel had any contact, either directly or indirectly, with
	3	either Lee Harvey Oswald or his Russian wife prior to their
	4	entry to the United States?
	5	Mr. Phillips. No, I do not.
	6	Mr. Brooten. You stated that Robert Shaw worked for you -
	7	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
	8	Mr. Brooten at that time.
	. 9	That Joseph Picolo worked for you and he was replaced
	10	by Raymond Swider.
	. 11	Do you know the whereabouts today of Robert Shaw?
	12	Mr. Phillips. He was in the Washington area the last I
	. 13	heard.
	14	Mr. Brooten. Is he still with the Central Intelligence
	15	Agency?
	16	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
	17	Mr. Brooten. Do you know the whereabouts of Raymond
	18	Swider?
	19	Mr. Phillips. He is in Washington at the Washington
	20	headquarters.
	21	Mr. Brooten. Do you know the whereabouts of Joseph
	22	Picolo?
	23	Mr. Phillips. I do not.
	24	Mr. Brooten. Do you know the whereabouts of Warren Dea?
Ĩ	25	Mr. Phillips. He has retired from the CIA and lives in 0.01433

		129
ahw9	. 1	the Washington area.
	2	Mr. Brooten. Do you know the whereabouts of Allen White?
	3	Mr. Phillips. He is retired from the CIA and lives in the
	4	Washington area.
	5	Mr. Brooten. Do you know the whereabouts of Herbert and
	6	Barbara Manel?
	7	Mr. Phillips. No.
	8	Mr. Brooten. Do you know whether or not they are still
· · ·	. 9	in the Central Intelligence Agency?
	- 10	Mr. Phillips. I believe that they still are. I heard
	. 11	that he was talking about retirement, but I believe that they
	12	still are.
	13	Mr. Brooten. Do you know the whereabouts of Ann Goodpasture?
	14	Mr. Phillips. Yes. She is retired and living in Dallas,
	15	Texas.
	16	Mr. Brooten. Now, you stated that the information was
	17	circulated to the local FBI agent.
	18	Who was that person?
	19	Mr. Phillips. Mr. Clark Anderson.
	20	Mr. Brooten. Was Mr. Clark Anderson a Legal Attache at
	21	the American Embassy at that time?
	22	Mr. Phillips. He was.
	23	Mr. Brooten. You stated he worked with you in the Dominican
	.24	Republic in 1965, is that correct?
	25	Mr. Phillips. Yes.
		1434

•		130
ahw10	- 1	Mr. Brooten. And that he did not mention to you that you
	2	had withheld any information from him?
	3	Mr. Phillips. No. Of course, if it had been successful,
	4	he wouldn't have known that it would have been withheld.
	5	Mr. Brooten. That's my point.
	6	Mr. Phillips. Also, it's inconceivable to me that we
	7	didn't talk about Oswald, but it's possible.
,	8	Mr. Brooten. Do you know his whereabouts today?
	9	Mr. Phillips. Yes. He's retired in San Antonio, Texas.
	10	Mr. Brooten. And when did you last see him?
	. 11	Mr. Phillips. Oh, two and a half months ago, two months
	12	ago.
	13	Mr. Brooten. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions
	14	at this time.
	- 15	Mr. Preyer. All right, Mr. Brooten.
	16	Mr. Sprague.
	17	Mr. Sprague. Mr. Phillips, you mentioned that you had a
	18	document with you, and I think the question has to be asked
	19	since we only learned last night that apparently you were
	20	working on a book, a question obviously arises when people are
	21	working on books, whether they are attempting to do something
-	22	to get themselves in the public limelight to aid in the
9	23	sale of that book. So there are a couple of questions I would
	24	like to ask you if I may.
	25	Mr. Thone. I think it's most appropriate. 001435

•

Mr. Preyer. Sure.

2 Mr. Sprague. You have the transcript of that portion of 3 the book with you that refers to the area we have been talking 4 about.

5

6

7

8

9

1

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

Mr. Sprague. Would you be willing to turn that over to us so that we can examine it? We will not at this time delay this hearing. If we decide to put it in the record, we will put it in the record and we will get it back to you.

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

25

Is that all right with you?

Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir.

In addition, I have the deletions as requested by the CIA when the book was cleared.

Mr. Sprague. Now, that is the question I wanted to start asking.

Now, this book that you are talking about, can you just describe in general what it encompasses?

Mr. Phillips. Well, it is a chronological and geographical story of 25 years of my intelligence career.

Mr. Sprague. And when did you commence writing this book?

Mr. Phillips. In June of 1975.

23 Mr. Sprague. Have you ever written any books previous 24 to that?

Mr. Phillips. I haven't written any books, though I

	132	
1	have written a number of plays, stories.	
2	Mr. Sprague. Is this book now completed?	
3	Mr. Phillips. It has been completed for some time,	
4	sir.	
5	Mr. Sprague. And do you have a publisher for it?	
6	Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. It is being actually printed now	•
7	Mr. Sprague. When did you submit this to the CIA for	
8	apparently clearance?	
. 9	Mr. Phillips. I submitted some of the chapters very	-
10	early. I wanted to send two chapters and an outline to	
11	New York so that the publishers could decide whether they	
12	wanted the book. So there is a total of ten chapters, and	
13	I sent two, and then three, and then it was finally only	
14	cleared about three months ago.	
15	Mr. Sprague. When was it that you commenced your inter-	
16	views with Mr. Kessler of the Washington Post?	
17	Mr. Phillips. About a month ago.	
18	Mr. Sprague. What initiated those contacts that gave	
19	rise to the story?	
20	Mr. Phillips. He called me.	
21	Mr. Sprague. Do you know how that came about at all?	
- 22	Mr. Phillips. I don't know. I can surmise that perhaps	
23	he saw me on a television show or was aware I give	
24	lectures around the country frequently, and there is often	
25	newspaper publicity. Some of that may have reached him.	
	001437	

1 But I can only surmise. Mr. Sprague. With regard to the book, when you commenced 2 3 this book, was it part of your arrangements to get CIA clearance on the book? 4 5 Mr. Phillips. Well, I didn't say anything to them and it never occurred to me that I wouldn't. I planned to do 6 ·it. 7 8 Mr. Spraque. We will look at those chapters. 9 I take it that the CIA, going through your book, asked you to excise certain parts of it. 10 Mr. Phillips. Yes, sir. 11 Mr. Sprague. Which you then did, and you have for us 12 those things that they have requested you to excise. 13 Mr. Phillips. That is correct, sir. 14 Mr. Sprague. You know, the question does arise as to - 15 whether or not it is some advantage to you to be getting the 16 kind of publicity that emanates by this kind of story appearing 17 in the Post and media out there. 18 Now, could you give me some comments about the sort of 19 change in terms of the comments to the media and what you 20 say here. Has this thing somewhat for your part been thought 21 of for purposes of the advantage to you? 22 Mr. Phillips. I was formerly an actor before I was a 23 playwright, and there is no question that I have some sense 24 of publicity. Having sold this book, I obviously want it to 25

NW 50955 DocId:32277213 Page 135

001438

be successful, one reason being that I have five more kids to send through college. So there is no question that I am 2 looking for an opportunity to get publicity, which will help 3 with the book.

There is absolutely no question, at the same time, that 5 I wish that this publicity would not be a part of it. The inference that can be drawn by some readers that I might 7 have played a role in a coverup of the murder of one of my 8 Presidents disturbs me a great deal, and my children. So I 9 assure you that this publicity was spontaneous and unwelcome. 10

Mr. Sprague. I assume we are about to adjourn shortly. 11 You understand that testimony in executive session is not to 12 be discussed anywhere. 13

Mr. Phillips. I do understand, sir.

Mr. Sprague. There can be no direction to you not to 15 discuss whatever you want to, however, with others, the 16 news media, with friends and others, but I would like to make 17 the request -- it is not official from the Committee -- that 18 while we are investigating this matter, and hopefully at some 19 point promptly we can have an appropriate public hearing, I 20 request you not to discuss anything with anybody. 21

I also request, Mr. Chairman, that this witness remain 22 under subpoena until further call of the Subcommittee. 23

Mr. Preyer. Yes, Mr. Sprague, the Chairman agrees with your request of the Witness, and will repeat that as coming

24

25

1

Δ

6

from the Committee, and I take it that Mr. Thone agrees with me on this, that matters discussed in Executive Session should not be discussed.

And you will consider yourself as remaining under the subpoena until further notice.

Is there anything further that we need?

I want to thank you, Mr. Phillips, and I thank all of you members of the staff, working well beyond their lunch hour on a Saturday, which I hope the record would duly note.

The Committee stands adjourned.

NW 50955

DocId: 32277213

(Whereupon, at 1:52 o'clock p.m., the Subcommittee recessed subject to the call of the Chair.)