

# THIS COPY IS NOT FOR RELEASE.

CIA files on these persons were requested and reviewed by HSCA

staff members.

their contact with the defector to the Agency. <sup>Grand</sup> <sup>Dallas</sup> <sup>Rutledge</sup> <sup>2 blocks</sup> One file reg. a former military person <sup>contains a debriefing</sup> who defected to the report of a debriefing conducted by mil. intell. (Kovig) <sup>One</sup> of the remaining 4 indivs. was

14-6  
[Stamp: May 1962, no block file]

Rice: int. in info. in several areas

Website:

of the remaining 4 indivs. <sup>was directly</sup> who <sup>had a</sup> direct fig. contact upon their ret. to the U.S., the

The circumstances of the Agency's contact with the 4 remaining indivs. were diff. in each case. <sup>Another returning</sup> <sup>H.C.</sup> One of the defectors was [unwittingly] interviewed [by a CIA officer in the Am. Emb. in <sup>14-6</sup> Copenhagen] upon his departure from the S.U. en route to the U.S. While C's file indicates that the Agency considered <sup>it desirable that</sup> a full & controlled debriefing by the CIA & FBI be conducted & Angleton wrote to the FBI suggesting a joint debriefing, there is no evidence in C's 201 file nor any DCD doc. which suggest further contact on the part of the CIA.

One of the individuals <sup>who had, allegedly, been living</sup> <sup>was interviewed by the CIA</sup> whose file reflects that he had actually been living in the USSR since 1933 <sup>returned to the</sup> <sup>U.S. in 1962,</sup> <sup>1/2</sup> He was <sup>debriefed</sup> <sup>by a CIA officer</sup> <sup>after applying for employment in</sup> response to a news paper advertisement (Rev. of Amos file)

Direct debriefings were conducted of the other two defcs. <sup>a plastics</sup> <sup>expert with</sup> <sup>publishing</sup> <sup>was a defector</sup> <sup>operator</sup> <sup>the Road Rev. Corp. in 1959</sup> <sup>was highly publicized,</sup> <sup>was in</sup> More extensive debriefings were conducted of the other 2 defcs. <sup>a plastics</sup> <sup>expert with</sup> <sup>the Road Rev. Corp.</sup> whose defection to the S.U. in 1959 was highly publicized, <sup>shortly thereafter, components</sup> <sup>ret. to the U.S. in 6/62. he was debriefed by</sup> <sup>in the Agency conducted a</sup> <sup>debriefing</sup> of Nebater in his home territory. Nebater had been employed in the S.U. at the Leningrad Scientific Inst. of Polymeric Plastics

4  
BR

( This fact was corroborated by <sup>Donald Deaslye</sup> another CIA employee <sup>worked</sup> who worked in the FRO in the Sov. Branch of the Directorate of Intelligence in 1962 told the HSCA that he specifically recalled collecting intelligence info regarding the Minsk Radio Plant. In fact, Deaslye <sup>claims</sup> believes that, during the summer of 1962, he reviewed a contact report <sup>from</sup> of an interview <sup>from</sup> by <sup>of</sup> reps. from the CIA's N.Y. field office, who interviewed a former Minsk who had ~~been~~ worked at the Minsk Radio Plant following his defection to the USSR. This person who Deaslye believes may have been Oswald had been living w/ his family in Minsk. Deaslye advised the Committee that the contact report was filed in a volume concerning the Minsk Radio Plant which should be retrievable from the Industrial Registry Branch which, in 1962, was a component of the OLC.

The HSCA <sup>made a request</sup> requested <sup>to</sup> the CIA. The HSCA requested that the CIA provide the Committee <sup>with the</sup> above-described contact report & the vol. of materials concerning the Minsk Radio Plant. <sup>(ad-hoc letter)</sup> The CIA <sup>did</sup> provide a review of the docs. in the volume in the M.R.P. <sup>which</sup> revealed that no such contact report existed in that file (OLC). The file review did indicate that in 1975, David Schous had made a similar request <sup>for</sup> <sup>he had used</sup> <sup>from</sup> <sup>Deaslye</sup> <sup>was</sup> <sup>informed</sup> by the CIA that <sup>such</sup> <sup>report</sup> no <sup>report</sup> existed.

Of the 29 persons requested, <sup>the CIA was not able to locate</sup> <sup>one</sup> <sup>person</sup> <sup>did</sup> <sup>not</sup> <sup>have</sup> a file for the indiv. <sup>in</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>case</sup> of 6 other indiv., the file review did not indicate that they ever met to the U.S.

∴ The HSCA reviewed <sup>the</sup> <sup>CIA</sup> files on the 29 indiv. who fit the above-described criteria & <sup>the</sup> <sup>CIA</sup> provided files on <sup>the</sup> <sup>28</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>29</sup> 28 indiv. on whom they maintained records. <sup>Both</sup> <sup>201</sup> files were reviewed as <sup>well</sup> <sup>as</sup> <sup>any</sup> <sup>existing</sup> OLC files <sup>for</sup> regarding these indiv. In the case of 6 indiv., there is no indication in their files that they ever returned to the U.S. Even so, there were occasional reports from sources who observed or spoke w/ these persons while in the S.U., but there is no indication of direct contact w/ them by the CIA.

As regard to the other 23 defectors, <sup>the</sup> <sup>file</sup> <sup>review</sup> <sup>indicates</sup> <sup>that</sup> there is no record of direct CIA contact w/ 18 of them. Again, some of these files contain a report from a source who reported

It becomes clear from the review of files on these defts. that debriefing of defectors was in fact somewhat of a random occurrence. However, <sup>the def. appeared</sup> instances <sup>where</sup> <sup>the def. appeared</sup> <sup>to be interested</sup> in <sup>various</sup> <sup>topics</sup> of general interest <sup>reg. life in certain</sup> areas of the S.U. <sup>to the def. appeared</sup> <sup>to be interested</sup> in <sup>various</sup> <sup>topics</sup> of general interest <sup>reg. life in certain</sup> areas of the S.U. <sup>to the def. appeared</sup> <sup>to be interested</sup> in <sup>various</sup> <sup>topics</sup> of general interest <sup>reg. life in certain</sup> areas of the S.U.

of the S.U. <sup>to the def. appeared</sup> <sup>to be interested</sup> in <sup>various</sup> <sup>topics</sup> of general interest <sup>reg. life in certain</sup> areas of the S.U. <sup>to the def. appeared</sup> <sup>to be interested</sup> in <sup>various</sup> <sup>topics</sup> of general interest <sup>reg. life in certain</sup> areas of the S.U. <sup>to the def. appeared</sup> <sup>to be interested</sup> in <sup>various</sup> <sup>topics</sup> of general interest <sup>reg. life in certain</sup> areas of the S.U.

the persons who were debriefed were similar to D in that they def. & ret. W in the same general time periods and spent their time in the S.U. in areas of interest to the CIA.

More ext. deb. were conducted of the other 2 defts. R. E. W., a physicist expert w/ R.A.C. whose def. to the S.U. in 1959 was highly publicized, ret. to the U.S. in 6/63. He had been employed in the S.U. at the Res. Scient. Inst. of Polytch. Elastic. Shortly after his return, He was debriefed in his home territory <sup>from</sup> <sup>DO/E, SR/6 & the R.F.</sup> It was decided that a more ext. debriefing was in order & W was subseq. brought to the Washington when he was deb. for a period of 2 wks. The deb. reports incl. a chron. of W's life & the CIA's access. of him as well as a log. body of info. regarding life in the S.U., W's work there & being info. on persons he had met during his residence <sup>there</sup>.

Ref. 7/69  
Ret. 6/28/63

Like-wise, <sup>contacted</sup> <sup>for purposes of debriefing</sup> shortly after his ret. to the U.S. in late June of 1963. His initial debriefing included such subjs. as his motivation to defect to USSR as well as activities engaged in during Moscow stay, relocation from Moscow to Kiev & general aspects of life such as residence conditions & costs, <sup>on such topics</sup> <sup>reg. life</sup> <sup>in the S.U. as cost of living, med. care, consumer goods, highways, transport, & restrictions w/ Kiev.</sup>

While the CIA felt it was unfeasible to debrief R. more thoroughly due to his current status of trying to regain U.S. cit., <sup>the CIA felt</sup>

162-1125-B: Index cards

#1623-1125-C Dated 30 Oct. 1975

Discusses letters intercepted

States that copies of intercepted letters were passed to FBI in course of normal exchange of intelligence. substance doesn't relate to JFK <sup>address.</sup>

"The records examined to date do not disclose whether or not this information was made avail. to the N.C. by the FBI or CIA."

Vol. 5 608-256-C

Copies of letters <sup>written by LHO to mother</sup> forwarded to CIA by letter dated 17 March 1964

from J. Lee Rankin, H.C. of N.C. to R. Helms, DAP

Intro - questions

Gen. Backgd.

ATH file on LHO - items

Index cards

HSCA question

Agency response

#1

I CIA statements to N.C. <sup>testimony</sup> (~~#988-927-BD~~, ~~#1038-405-B~~, <sup>affidavit</sup> ~~#1063-964-B~~, <sup>Insert for CIA 21st History</sup> ~~#1073-964-L~~)  
CIA info. provided W.C.

II CIA statements to ~~Genet~~ (~~#961, 962, 969, 970~~)  
CIA statements to #SCA; RLB;

III T.B.C. memo & interviews (~~#435-173-A~~)  
[#3369]

IV Defectors

M.K. (#1004-400)  
S.O.P. - ACO

V G.R.B. request

~~S.O.P. - ACO~~ <sup>#609</sup> <sup>#610</sup> See #1188-1000 p.3

#618-673

609-786

610-263

1188-1000

1004-400

1187-

Hock - Rock Comm.