Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW 54578 Date: 12-14-2022	JFK Assassination System Identification Form	I	Date:	6/17/201
	Agency Information			
AGENCY : RECORD NUMBER :	FBI 124-90118-10198			
RECORD SERIES :	HQ			
AGENCY FILE NUMBER :	CR 92-3683-21			
	Document Information			
ORIGINATOR : FROM : TO :	FBI DL HQ			
TITLE :				
DATE : PAGES :	11/17/1959 13			
SUBJECTS :				
	HARRY RAYMOND POPE JARRELL LEE CARTER			
DOCUMENT TYPE : CLASSIFICATION : RESTRICTIONS : CURRENT STATUS : DATE OF LAST REVIEW :	PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT Unclassified 4 Redact 08/17/1998			
OPENING CRITERIA :	INDEFINITE			
COMMENTS :	RPT			

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office DALLAS	Office of Origin DALLAS	Date 11/17/59	Investigative Period 4/21/59 - 11/9/5	9
TITLE OF CASE	6-764 54 54 5 0 127	Report made by		Typed By
1		SA ROBERT M	BARRETT	HM
) JARRELL LEE	CARTER, Aka.	CHARACTER OF C.	ASE	
		ANTI-RAC	KETEERING	
1			,	

Reference:

Report Form FD-263 (5-12-55)

> Report of SA ROBERT M. BARRETT dated 4/29/59, at Dallas. Phoenix letter to Dallas, 5/18/59 (Interoffice) Dallas letter to Dallas, 6/2/59 (Interoffice) Phoenix letter to Dallas, 6/2/59 (Interoffice) Phoenix letter to Dallas, 6/29/59 (Interoffice) New Orleans letter to Dallas, 7/8/59 (Interoffice) Minneapolis letter to Dallas, 7/24/59 (Interoffice) Dallas letter to Director, 8/5/59. Dallas letter to Houston, 9/10/59 (Interoffice) Phoenix letter to Dallas, 10/1/59 (Interoffice) Phoenix letter to Dallas, 10/1/59 (Interoffice)

> > - P -

LEADS

HOUSTON

Approved (ecial Agent Do not write in spaces below
Copies made:	92-3683- L REG-44
3 - Phoenix (92-150) 4 - Houston (92-116) 2 - Dallas (92-150)	To a second s
	and a second and a s
1004710	of Si
T+ destr. 58 DEC 1155	No. Contraction of the second se
· · · / 4 1950	

DALLAS

AT DALLAS, TEXAS

Will interview relatives of subject's wife at 4526 Idaho Street, Dallas, to determine if they have any information regarding whereabouts of subject, his wife HELEN, or their children.

Will maintain contact with established sources and informants in an effort to determine any information regarding whereabouts of subject and of any information concerning alleged "'fix" supposedly being made in CARTER's behalf at Phoenix through the efforts of JIMMIE DOLAN.

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

The following informants and potential informants are presently being utilized in coverage of subject's activities and associates:

DL-83-C; DL-90-C; DL-95-C; PCI MARILYN JANE MILLER; PCI DORRIS KEY BOGARD

The long investigative period of this report has been noted by the Dallas Office. The matter was originally placed in a pending inactive status April 29, 1959. It was reactivated July 16, 1959, and again placed in a pending inactive status August 5, 1959. It was reactivated September 10, 1959, for investigation as to activities, whereabouts and prosecutive results in Arizona. The matter has been kept current through interoffice communications and the Bureau has been advised whenever the matter was placed in a pending inactive status.

COVER PAGE

been retained as counsel for the subject, had appeared in the Superior Court on that morning and, without forewarning, had been confronted by the subject in company with ZUSSMAN and FRANK COOPER, Phoenix bondsman, and had at this time been informed that his services on behalf of the subject were no longer desired. She stated that according to CONNORS, COOPER seemed to be directing the subject's actions and was responsible for the change in attorneys. She stated that CONNORS had considered his dismissal as highly irregular, and he felt that ZUSSMAN's action in the matter was somewhat unethical. She stated that under the circumstances however, there was little that CONNORS could do. She advised that CONNORS did not know just what COOPER's position was in the matter and what could be gained by the appointment of new counsel other than time.

Phoenix T-1, who has furnished reliable information in the past, advised that following the subject's arrest at Jackson, Mississippi, FRED LOZANO, SR., the Dallas bondsman who held the primary liability on the subject's Phoenix bond, had sent his son, FRED LOZANO, JR., and a (FNU) WHITE, who transports prisoners for bondsmen for a fee, to Jackson where they paid the subject's fine. Phoenix T-1 stated that the subject was then forced to accompany them to Dallas and upon arrival there, WHITE was given \$400.00 for delivery of the subject to Phoenix for surrender to the Maricopa County Superior Court. Phoenix T-1 stated that WHITE reportedly left Dallas with his wife and the subject on about June 17, 1959, and their whereabouts was not again known to either LOZANO or himself until the subject appeared in court on June 24, 1959. Phoenix T-1 stated that he did, however, on June 18, 1959, receive a call from COOPER, who until this time had no known interest in the matter, and was informed by COOPER that neither he nor LOZANO had need to worry about the subject's appearance in court and their bond, since he could assure his presence on June 24, 1959.

Phoenix T-1 stated that from his conversations with LOZANO, he feels certain that LOZANO did not know that the subject was being turned over to COOPER, since WHITE had been specifically ordered to surrender him to the court. He stated that he therefore has no knowledge of how the deal was made with COOPER to write a new bond and obtain the services of a new attorney. He stated that LOZANO had informed him when he had originally written the subject's bond, that COOPER had also approached the subject and HARRY RAYMOND POPE, who was arrested with the subject at Phoenix, and had informed CARTER that he could get the charge against him fixed for \$5000.00. He stated that he was later informed that the original figure had been reduced to \$2500.00, but he personally feels that COOPER has no chance to make such a "fix" in view of the seriousness of the charges pending against both the subject and POPE.

- 3 -

Phoenix T-1 advised however that in spite of his feelings in the matter, the subject has apparently "bought" COOPER's proposition. He stated that COOPER to his knowledge made the new bond for the subject without the benefit of any cosigners, and he would assume that the subject's collateral for the bond was therefore very solid, if not cash.

Phoenix T-1 stated that upon learning that ZUSSMAN had been appointed as counsel for the subject, he asked ZUSSMAN if he had gotten any fee from the subject, whereupon ZUSSMAN is reported to have told him that he had no worry about his fee from the subject since he was part of a criminal syndicate that would take care of the fee if it was not taken care of by the subject himself. Phoenix T-1 stated that ZUSSMAN did not enlarge upon this statement and he therefore had no knowledge as to what, if any, credence should be given to such an assertion. Phoenix T-1 stated that the subject to his knowledge did not remain in the Phoenix area following his release under his new bond, and it is his feeling that he undoubtedly returned to Dallas.

Captain FRANK POPELLO, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, Phoenix, on June 25, 1959, advised that he had overheard a conversation between LOUIS ZUSSMAN and an unidentified person in which they were discussing the bond which FRANK COOPER had made on behalf of the subject. POPELLO stated that ZUSSMAN informed the unidentified party that COOPER had no worries on the bond since even though the subject did not appear, he had connections that would take care of it.

On July 24, 1959, the Minneapolis Office furnished the following information:

Inspector EUGENE BERNATH, Hennepin County Attorney's Office, Minneapolis, on July 20,1959, advised SA KARL M. ZEIMES that there was a memorandum in the JARRELL LEE CARTER file dated June 16, 1959, reflecting CARTER was in custody at Jackson, Mississippi.

BERNATH said that the file reflected that Detective Captain WILLIAM MAHMKE of the Minneapolis Police Department consulted with Hennepin County Attorney ARNOLD MESHBESHER. At that time BERNATH stated that the memorandum reflects that there was not sufficient evidence in MESHBESHER's opinion to extradite CARTER, but MESHBESHER was of the opinion that if the case was worked up further it would probably be possible to extradite CARTER.

- 4 -

BERNATH explained that the original warrant issued for CARTER was a John Doe Warrant and the warrant was later ammended to CARTER's true name.

BERNATH stated that will find out if the case has been handled by the Detective Bureau of the Minneapolis Police Department. He said that as of July 20, 1959, the warrant outstanding charging CARTER with Grand Larceny, Second Degree, was still in effect and that the detainer filed on CARTER at Phoenix was still in force.

It should be noted that on August 12, 1959, Dallas T-2, who has furnished reliable information in the past, advised that one JIMMY DOLAN, FBI No. 733252A, of Dallas, had told T-2 that he had contacts in Minneapolis whom he was using in an effort to have Minneapolis authorities drop charges against CARTER or take no action on the charges presently outstanding against CARTER. **T-2** further stated that while bond arrangements were being made for CARTER and POPE at Phoenix in November and December, 1958, DOLAN had told the person making the arrangements that if there were any questions asked by the Phoenix attorney, HARRY STEWART, that the names PAUL CLINITE and BILL SWIFT should be mentioned to STEWART to guarantee STEWART's fee. CARTER had subsequently told T-2 that while he was in jail in Phoenix he was advised by JAMES KANATH HOLMAN, FBI No. 1537971, to get in touch with PAUL CLINITE of Phoenix if he desired to arrange a "fix" for CARTER's charges in Phoenix. T-2 stated that CARTER had subsequently contacted CLINITE and that the price of the "fix" was originally set at \$3500.00.

The information received from Dallas T-2 was furnished to Phoenix by Dallas on August 14, 1959, in a matter captioned HARRY RAYMOND POPE, Aka.-FUGITIVE, ET AL, UFAP-BURGLARY; ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON." POPE was formerly listed as one of the Bureau's ten most wanted fugitives.

On September 21, 1959, the Phoenix Office furnished the following information:

Lieutenant MARTIN PINTZ, Warrant Detail, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, Phoenix, on September 18, 1959, advised that he had received a warrant from Richmond, Texas, which was to be served in the event that subject appeared at Phoenix. He stated that in conversations with officers at Richmond, Texas, he has been informed that the subject is being charged with grand theft auto, rather than burglary. PINTZ stated that he has no recent information concerning the subject and is not aware of his present whereabouts.

INFORMANT PAGE

4 * 1 * 1 (44)

冷却 ビー

ξ.

. 1

Dallas T-2 is DL-95-C

Phoenix T-1 is CHESTER WOZNIAK, a PCI of the Phoenix Office.

 $\mathbb{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

NW 64578 DocId:32334839 Page 7