104-10107-10008

RETURN TO CIA Background Use Only Do Not Reproduce

06	72-	6246
/ ~	• 70 - D	2

11 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SE Division

ATTENTION : Division Security Officer FROM : (Thomas L. Briggs) SE/X/LA

SUBJECT : Appearance As Witness In Behalf of the United States Government

REFERENCE

: USA V. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al. (76-371-CR-CA)

1. During the period of my employment as a Special Agent at the Drug Enforcement Administration (My 1971 Jan 1977), I conducted an interview of Gerald Patrick Hemming (DPOB 1 March 1937, at Los Angeles, California) on 23 May 1975 at DEA Headquarters in Washington, D.C. My report concerning that interview has become part of the case file in USA v. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al., Case Number 76-371-CR-CA, Southern District of Florida, Miami, Florida.

2. Upon my entrance on duty in SE Division on 5 January 1977, I orally informed the Division Security Officer, Billy Hix, about the information in paragraph 1 above. Mr. Hix asked me to submit the information in writing as soon as I was settled in the Division. On 7 January 1977, however, Special Agent Robert Fredericks telephonically contacted (Hope Mason), DC/SE/X/LA, and told her that the United States Attornery, Southern District of Florida, had requested that I appear at the U.S. Courthouse, Miami, Florida on 10 January 1977 to confer with the Assistant United States Attorney, Karen L. Atkinson, regarding my appearance as a witness in behalf of the U.S. Government in the trial of Gerald P. Hemming.

3. During the afternoon of 7 January 1977 I conferred with Mr. Hix who advised me to contact the Office of the General Counsel. I talked to Mr. Edmund Cohen who advised me to get in touch with the Office of Security, External Activities Branch (OS/EAB). I completed an Outside Activity Approval Request (Form 879), obtained the concurrence of DC/SE, Mr. John Stein, and submitted the Form 879 to OS/EAB. OS/EAB sent me to Central Cover Staff (Mr. Blandford) and then to the Office of the General Counsel. After discussions with Mr. Cohen, I called This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

Thomas L. Briggs)

S/A Fredericks in Miami at Mr. Cohen's suggestion. S/A Fredericks was not available. Mr. Cohen then advised me to return to my office and he would contact me with further instructions. Mr. Cohen retained the Form 879. Subsequently, Mr. Cohen advised me that I should travel to Miami as requested and that CIA would reimburse me for expenses connected with my activities in behalf of the U.S. Government. He further advised me that being in an overt cover status I should state, if asked under oath, that I am currently a CIA employee.

4. On 10 January 1977 I traveled to Miami where I met and conferred with AUSA Karen Atkinson and DEA S/A Robert Fredericks. They informed me that Gerald P. Hemming's case had been severed and his trial would not begin on 11 January. AUSA Atkinson told me she wanted me as a rebuttal witness if Gerald P. Hemming presented the defense that he had been working at the direction of DEA. She said they would not call me until and unless that defense was presented. She also said that she felt that any attempt to question me concerning my current place of employment was irrelevent and she would object to any such line of questioning. She said she would only ask me where I was employed at the time I interviewed Hemming. I returned to Washington D.C. the same day.

Distribution:

- 1 CCS
- 1 0S
- 1 OGC

SECT

16 January 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: Edmund Cohen Assistant General Counsel

SUBJECT: U.S. v. Hemming

1. On 22 November 1977 the undersigned accompanied by Messrs. Thomas Briggs) Gary Mattocks and Robert Barteaux, travelled to Miami to confer with Judge Hoeveler, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Thomas M. Sherouse, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida, about subject case. Messrs. (Briggs) and Mattocks had dealt with Mr. Hemming while they were employed by the Drug Enforcement Administration and Mr. Sherouse wanted them available as rebuttal witnesses. Mr. Barteaux also was sought as a possible rebuttal witness to describe the Agency's relationship with Mr. Hemming in the event that Hemming raised a CIA defense.

2. On 23 November Mr. Briggs, Mr. Barteaux and the undersigned met in chambers with Judge Hoeveler, Mr. Sherouse, Mr. Roger Howard, law clerk to Judge Hoeveler, and Mr. Ted Sakowitz, Public Defender for the Southern District of Florida. Mr. Sherouse decided that Mr. Mattocks would not be required to testify and he did not attend the meeting. The Judge initially expressed some reluctance to have an <u>ex parte</u> conference because Mr. Hemming had assumed primary responsibility for his own defense with only limited assistance from the Public Defender. The Judge insisted that a transcript of the meeting be made but he promised that the transcript would be sealed and, in fact, would not be transcribed.

3. The undersigned indicated that the Agency had no interest in the outcome of the case and interposed no objection to the introduction of any relevant information. Our sole concern was to protect Mr. Briggs and his relationship with CIA both before and after his DEA employment. The Judge was informed that Mr? Briggs sole connection with Hemming was on 23 May 1975 and that he had no contact with Hemming during any period of Agency employment. The national security consequences of exposure of Mr? Briggs as well as the career consequences were outlined. In addition, the Judge was informed that Mr. Barteaux was prepared to testify as an overt Agency employee about any relationship between Hemming and CIA.

14-00000

4. Judge Hoeveler asked Mr. Sakowitz to cross-examine Mr. Briggs to determine whether he had any information which might be relevant to Hemming's defense. Mr. Sakowitz stated that although he was aware that Mr. Hemming wished to call Mr. Briggs as a witness, he was not fully informed of all aspects of the case and, therefore, reluctant to question Mr. Briggs)? Thereupon, Judge Hoeveler, swore in Mr. Briggs and proceeded to ask him a series of questions to determine whether Mr. Briggs interaction with Mr. Hemming had been in any way connected with his CIA employment. At the conclusion of this examination the Judge gave Mr. Sakowitz a second opportunity to question Mr. Briggs) and when Mr. Sakowitz again declined, he stated that in light of the national security consequences which had been brought to his attention he was not inclined to permit questions relating to Mr. Briggs) CIA employment.

93

5. At the conclusion of the conference in chambers, but before the jury was admitted into the courtroom, Mr. Sherouse informed Mr. Hemming that Mr. Briggs) was available as a witness. When the trial proceeded Mr. Hemming concluded his defense without calling Mr? Briggs). Mr. Sherouse, however, did call Mr. of Briggs) as a rebuttal witness. On cross-examination Mr. Hemming asked Mr. Briggs) whether he was with CIA. Mr. Sherouse promptly objected to the question, the Judge sustained the objection and Mr. Hemming went on to the next question. The trial concluded soon afterwards without Mr. Barteaux being called as a witness. It was subsequently learned that Mr. Hemming was found guilty as charged.

Edmund Cohen

EC: jz

14-00000

Distribution:

Original / LITIGATION-CRIMINAL, Hemming Gerald P.

1 - EC Signer

1 - Chrono

)		
·		2	

14-00000



•			• •				
)) (CI	LASSIFY AS APPROPRIATE					
OUTSIDE ACTIVITY APPROVAL REQUEST							
**************************************	COMPLETE AND FORWARD ORIGI	NAL AND 2 COPIES TO EXT					
TO :	DIRECTOR OF SECURITY; ATTN:	EXTERNAL ACTIVIT	IES BRANCH	DATE Tut	x. 77		
THRU:	(Staff, division or office head and se	curity officer, where	assigned)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	SE Division Security Offi	.C * 3			. ·		
ED011.	NAME AND GRADE OF EMPLOYEE (Print or type)	COMPONENT	ROOM NO. AND	BLDG.	PHONE		
FROM:	Childre, Thomas De 12/3		1 EC 5229		3.53		
	ESCRIPTION OF OUTSIDE ACTIVITY FOR WHICH NED, DATES. LOCALE, ETC. (Refer to HR 10		INCLUDING NAMES OF	ORGANIZAT	IONS OR INDIVIDUA		
ti: z. remark MY C: FOR 5	U. At approx. 12 00 hrs with a s Cffict of Scoutby, external IN ENGAGING IN THE REQUESTED AN IA ASSIGNMENTS OR DUTIES. I (W THE FOLLOWING REASONS: WAS & CIA employee from Jon 69	CTIVITY, I WILL M ILL, WILL NOT) BE	AKE NO REFERENC IDENTIFIED AS	CE TO OR EMPLOYE	DISCUSS D BY CIA		
un	Ser cover at they time. I have Jan 77).			street have	DEM LAN		
			SIGNATURE OF RE				
3. COMMEN	ITS AND CONCURRENCE OF STAFF. DIVISION OR CONCUR:						
<u></u>	FOR COMPLETION BY EXTERNAL ACT	DATE IVITIES BRANCH AND RETU	SIGNATURE OF OP		FICIAL		
		D, DENIED)					
R (FOR) CHIEF, SECURITY SUPPORT DIVIS	SION					
		LASSIFY AS APPROPRIATE			الكبير ويرجعها فنعابه فليست سويد فيتعاد		

 \mathbf{U} 9/7 Mo Sovery - discuss Sherver call re Q Doc re DCD contracts of on Drugs - Let de Bob Borteaus to be ready to testilyhope Broceny earther. MFR on Q Dore Syde up Tom Sherouse 305 350 5449 916 Tund 250ct. Uked up Sharanse stall is scheduled for 250ct - we calender call set for 200ct Thun Cal Call We call bk on 210cd 10/3 to see where y tand 10/25 Spoke W/ Shense Herry care is #8 ~ Judger Calada in a care # 1 earlied is late Next whe ad earlied Henny - will be outed langer 11/15 sphe of Sherme sch for 16Nov 2 reach us Barton, Bryg, Madder , O for vehalter care Houtes For late AM with call on 16th to check . 11/15 Meet of Mo 5. Bryg Maddox, Barteaux reven Shered statent, ck on why lift april is why returned, what doing. Sales meet of Mo & Bartenno- got DOD (April) view re imped of public exposure on (Arygs) & Maddox & briefo reven of Bartenne all records on Herming. reserve for True EA 195 Not 1 gave 9 to -3 anno 1120 11/17 - Called M - for non

3/12/

9318 Rockville Md 20850 Lon Briggs) , 1949 1. anglo cover g Jan 69 - May 74 C/A - Arry & Ar aming - Army ore per ops' clentites D. May 74 - Dan 77 DEA b. My 74 - Dan 77 DEA 2. S. Jan 17 - No amen State Even 2 only control of Hermin -23 May 75 3. Henry _ to DC - to see Coment - up to onen tott see Tom -Y NOW For - tayet recentments uty it 2 sor KGM mog in toos hame From 50 - resynal - len enforcemt & cit can't - we embal remember

3/21

5. D of f/a. US vs Hemming Cine No 76-71-Cr-CA Judge Hoeveler P.O. Bldg - CT House 300 NE. First Ave Miami Florida 1⁵⁷Ave 3 54 M. Sherouse Ast US Attriney (305) 350 5449 or 4471 Thomas Sec Mary Jones 300 Ainsley Bldg hm 856 22PS

1819 S21 Florida Ave ad 104 Herndon Va 22070 Bany Maddox - 4378958 19mil - 69-73 - any cme Virtun CIA - 69-73 - 26 Ed 77 (common) S-1 whether CID 27. Feb 77 - open 5-6mby Han State Cover nor Ululian pro- casta peple durasterno 2. The later then Dec 76 culor of Maddax none since the up cAA -no control before DEA never personal control only find DEA cut 3. ul ufferning met inder over je purchase of marchies 3 m Miane and, hours augut re binen 3 without exching SAd guest sprend re Covernienceljee A relevent-infle J V nn - = Caba gr -

- Chin Lis Alice a KDZ 2 whiteled cli Henn ogghe fi sugl -ned No protos an al for - Jan 62 subitter on 21 June 62 In mapy m med Rends de ut it et te avan englag 2) m any canady has filely cland ansor to shin Hem Nocn 3. In Oct 60 3 cm m eng 11.61, 1 2m 1967, 3 m 1970 - Alton 23 Ary 70 NE ton Volutee Very can Run that with who sperty need in VS ∞ oc W he a - come & Selly why was culd