RETURN TO CIA Background Use Only Do Not Reproduce

OGC 77-0428 19 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

C/SE/SS

FROM:

Edmund Cohen

Assistant General Counsel

SUBJECT:

Reimbursement of Travel Expenses of (Thomas L. Briggs)

Witness on Behalf of U.S. Government

REFERENCES:

A. Memo for Record, Same Subj. 03

B. Memo for C/SE Div. fm (TI Briggs) Subj: Appearance as Witness on Behalf of U.S.

Government

- 1. In accordance with instructions contained in reference A, Thomas L. Briggs traveled to Miami for a pre-trial conference in the case of <u>U.S.</u> v. <u>Hemming</u>, et al., No. 76-371-CR-CA (S.D.Fla.) Reference B seeks reimbursement for this trip.
- 2. The undersigned spoke with Mr. Jim Williams, Budget Section, Drug Enforcement Administration (128-4266), about obtaining reimbursement for Mr. Briggs travel expenses. Mr. Williams was informed that insofar as (Briggs) testimony related to his official duties as a DEA employee, DEA should absorb the costs. Mr. Williams agreed and indicated that Mr. Briggs should call him to arrange reimbursement.

Edmund Cohen

OGC: EC: bm (21 January 1977)

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - TRAVEL \>

l - EC Signer

1 - Chrono

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

OGC 77-0427 19 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM:

Edmund Cohen

Assistant General Counsel

SUBJECT:

Thomas L. Briggs -- Involvement as Witness in

Gerald Patrick Hemming Case

1. In the late afternoon on 7 January 1977, Mr. Thomas L. Briggs informed the undersigned that he was being asked to attend a pre-trial conference on 10 January in Miami, Florida, and expected to be a witness for the Government in the case against Gerald Patrick Hemming. Mr. Briggs stated that he had been under cover while employed by the Agency from 1969 to 1974. He had then transferred to DEA where he became involved with Mr. Hemming. Mr. Briggs was now back with the Agency and expected to be put under cover very shortly. Both for cover considerations and because he felt the Hemming case had a great deal of "flap" potential, rivaling the Werbell case, he desired OGC to intercede for him to keep him from having to testify.

2. Mr. Briggs noted that Hemming was a "walk-in", who was referred to his boss at DEA, Mr. Conein, by Mr. Werbell and that Conein, in turn, had sent Hemming to him to be interviewed. Subsequently, Mr. Hemming had "set up" Mr. Conein by first telling him that a brother wished to work with DEA and requesting permission to give Mr. Conein a resume, and later by publicly meeting Conein and handing him a manila envelope ostensibly containing the promised resume. In fact, the envelope contained a report with phony cryptonyms representing Hemming as an agent of Conein's. Hemming's report detailed a variety of alleged official activities and mentioned his prior contacts with Conein and with Briggs. Briggs indicated that Hemming would undoubtedly try to beat the narcotics charges pending against him in Miami by claiming to be a DEA or CIA agent. Briggs testimony that he was a DEA employee when he met him but was a CIA employee both before and after would help cloud the issue. In addition, such exposure could affect (Briggs) current usefulness to the Agency.

- 3. The undersigned checked with Mr. Robert Blandford, CCS, and learned that Briggs cover had been removed when he left the Agency, thus his previous service was a matter of public record. In addition, he was not now under cover. Mr. (senstead) C/CCS, opined that no cover problem existed which would impede Briggs) testimony.
- 4. The undersigned then spoke with Ms. Karen Atkinson, Assistant U.S. Attorney in Miami (305) 350-546l, to inform her of Mr. Briggs current status. Ms. Atkinson appreciated the potential problems, but concluded that Mr. Briggs would be a necessary witness for the trial which was scheduled to begin on Il January. The question of travel expenses was also broached. Ms. Atkinson stated that she did not have an expense fund to pay the travel expenses of Government witnesses and that the usual practice was for the employer Agency to pay the expenses of an employee testifying on behalf of the Government about his official actions. The instant case was somewhat unusual because CIA would be paying for Mr. Briggs to, in effect, testify about his DEA experiences, but she opined that this was a matter best resolved in Washington.
- Briggs branch chief, Hope Mason of the above and suggested that travel orders be cut for Mr. Briggs trip to Miami.
- 6. On ll January, following his return from Miami, Mr. (Briggs) informed the undersigned that Hemming's motion that his trial be severed from that of his various co-conspirators had been granted and, therefore, his testimony had not been required. Ms. Atkinson had informed him that should Hemming raise as a defense the allegation that he was a DEA agent, O3 (Briggs) would be called as a rebuttal witness. Ms. Atkinson also noted that Hemming was alleging that DEA was representing him at the behest

Edmund Cohon

cc: Mr. Robert Morris, EAB

Mr. Robert Blandford, CCS

of CIA because in the past he had "crossed" CIA.

OGC: EC: bm (21 January 1977)

Distribution:

Original - TRAVEL

1 - EC Signer

1 - Chrono

1:4-00000

((rái	CONTROL NO		
REQUEST FO	OR LEGAL ASSISTANCE		77-0172		
		DAT	E OF REQUEST	1d	
Thomas & Brigg			1/7/76		
DEFICE	TELEPHONE		M NG. AND BUILDING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DDO/ SE	15	56			
TATEMENT OF REQUEST		•	.1-		
Requested gu	idance on co	it appearance i	n Miami	•	
Regneted gn	duties while 1	AZD AN			
received to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
•					
			,		
•		•			
			•		
EQUESTER'S DEADLINE DATE	T				
	REQUEST INFORMATION IS	SECRET	ONFIDENTIAL UN	CLASSIFIE	
ASSIGN TO: GENERAL LAW	OPS. AND MGT.	FOIA/PRIVACY ACT	PROCUREMENT	& CONTRACTS	
OMMENTS/REMARKS		<u> </u>			
•					

REQUEST TAKEN BY

UP

14-00000

FORM 3861

LAWYER ASSIGNED