

INDEX:  YES  NO  
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-2-22/4 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE  TOTAL COPIES 30  
 REF TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
 FILE RID  REF. TO BRANCH   
 DESTROY  316. 2/21/76  
**SECRET**  
 PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED \_\_\_\_\_  
 REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED  
 1 \_\_\_\_\_ 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
 2 \_\_\_\_\_ 6 \_\_\_\_\_  
 3 \_\_\_\_\_ 7 \_\_\_\_\_  
 4 \_\_\_\_\_ 8 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ACTION: WHY  RID COPY  ISSUED  SLOTTED  TUBED  
 ADVANCE COPY \_\_\_\_\_ UNIT \_\_\_\_\_ TIME \_\_\_\_\_ BY \_\_\_\_\_  
 INFO: FILE W, EUR 8, SR 8, clips, ELIM 2

**SECRET** 151600Z CITE OTTAWA 4135  
 MEXICO CITY INFO DIRECTOR  
 REDCOAT  
 REF MEXICO CITY 0121 (IN 88244)  
 MEXICO CITY 9919 (IN 77928)

APPROVED  
 DESK  
 ABSTRACT  
 WHY  
 15 FEB 67 01388

LOCAL LNERGO REP PASSED REF INFO RE LOWRY TO SMABONE 13 FEB 67.

**SECRET**  
 BT

**SECRET**

KIFERT  
 50-2-32/4  
 15 FEB 67

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

INDEX  YES  NO  
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-6-34  
 X-REF TO FILE NO.  
 FILE RID  RET. TO BRANCH   
 DESTROY  SIG. WHL

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 41  
**SECRET**

00000087

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1	3
2	4
3	7
4	8

ADDM  
DISSEM BY AD

ACTION WHS  RID COPY  ADVANCE COPY  ISSUED  SLOTTED  TUBED

INFO FILE, UR, C/1-2, EURS, SBR, WH/COG-8, C/OPS FI, F/INTd

**SECRET** 272155Z CITE MEXICO CITY 0121  
 DIRECTOR INFO OTTAWA  
 REDCOAT  
 PEF: MEXICO CITY 9919 (PLS RELAY TO OTTAWA)

MEXI

AM	PS	W4/1
ABSTRACT X	INDEX	
APR 14 1967	33248	
DOC. MICRO SER.	W4/1	
ABSTRACT X	INDEX	

1. PER LIFEAT DON LOWRY AGAIN CALLED LEONOV 19 JAN IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS FROM CANADA. LOWRY HAD BEEN UNABLE TO CONTACT [REDACTED] BUT LEONOV SAID HE COULD NOT HELP HIM AND THAT HE SHOULD KEEP TRYING.

2. LOCAL LNERGO HAS RECORD OF ONE DONALD ROBERT LOWRY, BORN 25 NOV 1914 OR 1915 IN WALKERVILLE OR WINDSOR, ONTARIO. LOCAL LNERGO IS NOTIFYING THEIR REPRESENTATIVES IN WASHINGTON AND OTTAWA TO SEE IF LOWRY STILL ON WANTED LIST

3. STATION HAS LIONION PHOTO OF MAN [REDACTED] ON 9 JAN AND SHOWS SOME RESEMBLANCE TO VERY OLD LNERGO PHOTO OF DONALD ROBERT LOWRY. CAN POUCH PRINTS IF OTTAWA STATION WISHES.

4. SUBSTANCE OF THE ABOVE AND INFO IN PEF MAY BE PASSED TO SMAROVES, DISGUIISING SOURCE. REQUEST OTTAWA STATION COORDINATE ANY ACTION WITH LNERGO.

**SECRET**  
 BT


**SECRET**

MICROFILMED  
 MAR 7 1967  
 DOC. MICRO. SER.

50-6-34/4-  
 27 JAN 67




<b>DISPATCH</b>	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	PROCESSING ACTION
	TO Chief, SR Division	MARKED FOR INDEXING
	INFO Chief of Station, Mexico City	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of station, Fort au Prince <i>llc</i>		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
		MICROFILM



<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	PROCESSING ACTION
TO Deputy Chief WH/C			MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO Chief, WH Division			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of Station, Mexico City			ONLY QUALIFIED DESA CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT TYPIC/LIFEAT/Transmittal of Traffic of Subject of 201-735575 and [redacted]			MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Attached as A are copies of traffic covering the Subject of 201-735575 for the period 1, 2, 4, and 7 June 1966. This traffic was provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.</p> <p>2. Attached as B are copies of traffic covering [redacted] for the period 30 May - 12 June 1966. This traffic was also provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.</p> <p>3. Attachments are being forwarded KAPOK and under separate cover.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Willard C. Curtis</i> for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachments: A &amp; B a/s KAPOK u/s/c</p> <p>Distribution: ✓ 3 - DGMH/C w/atts u/s/c 1 - C/WHD w/o atts 4 - FILES</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>LIFEAT CB DETERMINED</b> 50-6-12/4</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER HND4A-29211	DATE 16 June 1966	
	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	HC'S FILE NUMBER 50-6-12/4	


2F


<b>DISPATCH</b>	CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
	SECRET	<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN ASSESS INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED
TO	Chief of Station, Fort-Lu-Prince	
FROM	Chief of Station, Fort-Lu-Prince	









































# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
**SECRET**

PROCESSING ACTION

TO  
Chief of Station, Santo Domingo

INFO  
Chief, WH Division

FROM  
Chief of Station, Mexico City

XXXX

MARKED FOR INDEXING

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

MICROFILM

SUBJECT  
LIFEAT Coverage of [redacted] Mexico City

ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES

Attached for Addressees' information and retention are copies of the transcripts of the LIFEAT coverage of the [redacted] in Mexico City, reels 21 through 34 for the period 2 to 9 May 1966.

for  
Willard C. CURTIS

Attachments:  
As stated above, h/w

Distribution:  
2 - COS, Santo Domingo, w/atts  
1 - Chief, WHD, w/atts


*[Handwritten signature]*


**CS COPY**

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
	INMA-28943	10 May 1966
	CLASSIFICATION	HC'S FILE NUMBER
	SECRET	50-9-3


50-6 32/4

1 att









183-
















































































2014-01-01 10:00:00
















1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1


1


1


1

1































































14-00000









14-00000





1

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
SECRET/KAPOK

PROCESSING ACTION

TO: DCWHD/C  
INFO: Chief, WH Division  
FROM: Chief of Station, Mexico City  
SUBJECT: TYPIC/AMROD/LIFEAT

XX  
MARKED FOR INDEXING  
NO INDEXING REQUIRED  
ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN MAKE INDEXING  
MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES

Action Required: Pass to Thomas G. URQUHART

Forwarded herewith is verbatim conversation between Judith FERRETO and Adalina ZENDEJAS on 20 November 1965. (Source: LIFEAT)

*Willard C. Curtis*  
Willard C. CURTIS

Attachments:  
As stated - Herewith

Distribution:  
2 - DCWHD/C w/att (h/w)  
1 - C/WHD w/o/att  
3 - Files

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER  
CS COPY

DATE

HPMA 27885


50-6-32/4  
15 December 1965

CLASSIFICATION  
SECRET/KAPOK


HC'S FILE NUMBER


201 - 020481




























<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	PROCESSING ACTION
TO <b>Chief, MI Division</b>		<b>XXX</b>	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM <b>Chief of Station, Mexico City</b>			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT <b>Enrique SEMO Caley</b>			MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Attached for Headquarters' information and retention are copies of the LIFEAT coverage on Subject, reel #269 through reel #272 for the period 2 through 14 July 1965 and reel #1 through reel #16 for the period 31 July through 16 August 1965.</p> <p>2. This coverage was temporarily discontinued from 15 through 30 July because of technical difficulties with the instrument in the Semo home.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachment: As stated in paragraph 1</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - Chief, WID, w/att</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Tals Lima 260</i></p>			
DISPATCH REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER <b>INMA-26,933</b>	DATE <b>19 August 1965</b>	
	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	HQ'S FILE NUMBER <b>201-745927</b>	

<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief, EED		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, WHD		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	Chief of Station, Mexico City DMILET/LIFEAT/Operational Transmittal of LIFEAT Tapes and Transcripts		MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Forwarded herewith for translation of the Yugoslav portions are the following LIFEAT tapes: 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 &amp; 14. Also forwarded are the following Spanish transcripts: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 &amp; 14.</p> <p>2. Because of the DMSAUCE/1 flap, the Spanish transcripts of the LIFEAT tapes will continue thru the end of this week (10 April), after that there will be no transcribing done in the field.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p>			
<p><u>Attachment:</u> 1. As Stated (HEREWITH)</p> <p><u>Distribution:</u> 2 - EED w/att h/w X - WHD w/o/att 2 - Files</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File LIFEAT Prod.</i></p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	HMMA-25814	8 April 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	MCS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	50-8-2/9	

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY <u>State</u>						
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>February 1963</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/538,352</u>	<i>State</i>						
CHIEF COUNTRY <u>Yugoslavia/Mexico</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>MEMO A-244</u>	<i>State</i>						
SUBJECT <u>Yugoslav Commercial Activities in Mexico</u>		PLEASE RETURN EVALUATION						
BY FAX OF INR/CS - ROOM 6129								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u>    </u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. MAJOR</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. MAJOR	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO	We would appreciate your comments evaluating this report.	
1. MAJOR	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY.</td> </tr> </table>				1. SIGNIFICANTLY.	2. SUBSTANTIALLY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY.	4. NEGLIGIBLY.	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY.								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY.								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY.								
C ACCURACY:		As a status report, document is of moderate value. Generally negative progress of Yugo attempts to establish a commercial foothold in Mexico not in itself of great interest to this office, at this time, but would increase in interest in direct relation to information on decision of Belgrade to grant a loan to Mexican govt.						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Doubtful.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. False.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> </tr> </table>				1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE.	3. Doubtful.	4. False.	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE.								
3. Doubtful.								
4. False.								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Sufficiently known.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Conflicts with previous information.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Appears to be fabrication.</td> </tr> </table>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.	3. Sufficiently known.	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.	5. Conflicts with previous information.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.								
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.								
3. Sufficiently known.								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.								
5. Conflicts with previous information.								
6. Appears to be fabrication.								
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. More detail.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Less detail, more summary.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Less fragmentary approach.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Better organization.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> </table>				1. More detail.	2. Less detail, more summary.	3. Less fragmentary approach.	4. Better organization.	5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.
1. More detail.								
2. Less detail, more summary.								
3. Less fragmentary approach.								
4. Better organization.								
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.								
6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
F OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> </table>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.								
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY.</td> </tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY.			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.								
2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.								
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY.								
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:)								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> </tr> </table>		1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.					
1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR								
NAME (Please print) <u>P. Adler</u>		COMPONENT <u>INR/RSE/EA</u>	PHONE <u>x 3503</u>					
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED. <u>Mar 1</u>		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>Mar 6</u>						

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY State Department  
EVALUATING AGENCY

DATE OF DISTRIB. 27 January 1961 DISSEM. NUMBER CS-3/463,061  
Chief Country Mexico/Cuba FIELD NUMBER HMM-5400

*Refer to data 10 Apr 61*

Subject Mexican Technicians Going to Work in Cuba

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is primary standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. MAJOR		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. MODERATE		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. LITTLE		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NO		
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY		
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. SUBSTANTIALLY		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. MODERATELY		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY		
ACCURACY:			EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE		This report would have been of greater value if it had included some comment on the political orientation of the technicians (if any) and whether they were being sent by the Mexican Government or were hired on a purely personal basis.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. CREDIBLE		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Doubtful</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>False</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Sufficiently known		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS		
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>		
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. <i>More detail</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>Better organization</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.		
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY		
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.		

EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	<u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u>	<u>State, INR/RAR/MAB</u>	<u>182-2097</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
	<u>March 17, 1961</u>	<u>March 22, 1961.</u>	

*LIFFAT*  
*Production*

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB.	2 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3,454,933 <i>RNI</i>
Chief Country	Mexico/Nicaragua	FIELD NUMBER	IRM-5264
Subject		Nicaraguan Revolutionary Activities in Mexico	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <del>XXXX</del> /standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A 1. Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE 3. LITTLE 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
C ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE 3. <i>Doubtful</i> 4. <i>False</i> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION 3. <i>Sufficiently known</i> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i> 6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
1. <i>More detail</i> 2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i> 3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i> 4. <i>Better organization</i> 5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:)			
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
	RT Morrison	INR/RAR-P	3327
	Nov 15-1960	Nov 16/60	

CONFIDENTIAL

*See last production*

(COLLECTOR/E. UNIT: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State						
EVALUATING AGENCY								
DATE OF DISTRIB.	1 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/454,903					
Chief Country	Mexico/Cuba	FIELD NUMBER	HCM-5245					
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <del>XXXX</del> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
A	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:							
	1. SIGNIFICANTLY							
	2. SUBSTANTIALLY							
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY							
	4. NEGLIGIBLY							
C	ACCURACY:	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE							
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE							
	3. <i>Doubtful</i>							
	4. <i>False</i>							
	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.							
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:							
	1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION							
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION							
	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>							
	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS							
	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>							
	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>							
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:							
	1. <i>More detail</i>							
	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>							
	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>							
	4. <i>Better organization</i>							
	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>							
	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)							
	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.							
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
F	OTHER REPORTING MEETS:							
	1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.							
	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.							
	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.							
	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.							
G	(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:							
	1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.							
	2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>							
	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.							
	4. NEGLIGIBLY							
H	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)							
	1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.							
	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.							
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE					
	RT Morrison	INTR ARRP	3327					
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED						
	Nov 18 1960	Nov 18 1960						

CONFIDENTIAL

(CLASSIFICATION/STANDARD) (FOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO I (A ADDED BELOW)

1 FEAT  
Procl Solder

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>OSI</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY						
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>26 September 1960</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS 3/451,014</u>	<i>Leibel</i> <i>18 June 61</i>						
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>EMM 5159</u>							
Subject <u>Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes</u>								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Aim for completion within this time is <del>XXXXXX</del> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. MAJOR</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td><b>A3</b> 3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>		1. MAJOR	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2. MODERATE	<b>A3</b> 3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. MAJOR	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
2. MODERATE								
<b>A3</b> 3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td><b>B4</b> 4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>			1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. MODERATELY	<b>B4</b> 4. NEGLIGIBLY		
1. SIGNIFICANTLY								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY								
3. MODERATELY								
<b>B4</b> 4. NEGLIGIBLY								
ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr><td><b>C2</b> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		<b>C2</b> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		
<b>C2</b> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE								
2. CREDIBLE								
3. Doubtful								
4. False								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><b>D3</b> 3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	<b>D3</b> 3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information		6. Appears to be fabrication
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION								
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION								
<b>D3</b> 3. Sufficiently known								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS								
5. Conflicts with previous information								
6. Appears to be fabrication								
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail, more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</td></tr> <tr><td>6. STATED IN COMMENTS</td></tr> <tr><td><b>E7</b> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>		1. More detail	2. Less detail, more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.	6. STATED IN COMMENTS	<b>E7</b> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.
1. More detail								
2. Less detail, more summary								
3. Less fragmentary approach								
4. Better organization								
5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.								
6. STATED IN COMMENTS								
<b>E7</b> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td><b>F1</b> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>		<b>F1</b> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
<b>F1</b> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.								
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, I REPORT SATISFIES IT):								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partially. portion of requirement may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. Partially. portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.								
2. Partially. portion of requirement may be closed.								
3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT, I:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SUCH</td></tr> <tr><td><b>E2</b> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SUCH	<b>E2</b> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND REPORTING.					
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SUCH								
<b>E2</b> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR <i>Wallace W. Howard</i> NAME (PRINT) <u>WALLACE W. HOWARD</u>		COMPONENT <u>OSI/RSD</u>						
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		PHONE <u>3955</u>						
		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <i>JP</i>						

Coordinated with LSD/SI - G. W. DeLong

LIFE AT  
Discretion

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>United States Information Agency</u> EVALUATING AGENCY	
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>1 November 1960</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/451,933</u>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Cuba</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>182-5245</u>
Subject <u>Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico</u>	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <del>critical</del> /standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.	
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:	COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:	
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY	
C ACCURACY:	Information on Cuban propaganda activities in Latin America is of major and continuing importance to this office, which must evaluate the nature, scope, and extent of these activities in order to combat them.
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. more detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	OUR COLLECTION NEEDS OTHER REPORTING MEETS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.
F	
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.	NAME (Please print) <b>Flora M. Roth</b> COMPONENT <b>Office of Research and Analysis, USIA</b> PHONE <b>182-5475</b>
EVALUATOR	
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>November 8, 1960</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>November 14, 1960</u>



CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATE ADDED BELOW)

LIFEAT  
Pres. Selsoy

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State.	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF REPORT:	3 October 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/L51,671
Origin Country	Mexico/Poland	FIELD NUMBER	H24-5126
Subject: Projected Arrival in Mexico of Polish Travel Officials			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 10 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>absolute</u> , standing. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. • Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination?	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY		
C	ACCURACY: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. DOUBTFUL <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FALSE <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	<i>Information of this nature is of value to RAR, for it provides new data and for corroboration of information from other sources on Latin American - Bloc contacts. RAR has continuing interest in receipt of reports of this nature.</i>	
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION		
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER B.T.H. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MORE DETAIL <input type="checkbox"/> 2. LESS DETAIL, MORE SUMMARY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH <input type="checkbox"/> 4. BETTER ORGANIZATION <input type="checkbox"/> 5. BETTER OBSERVATION, OR BETTER EXPLANATION OF SOURCE, OR BOTH <input type="checkbox"/> 6. STATED IN COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F	OTHER REPORTING MEETS: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MIN. NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.		
G	(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIED?) <input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. PARTIALLY. PORTION OF REQUIREMENT MAY BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY		
H	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT?) <input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.		
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
		IMP/RAR-0	23-7
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
		3 OCT 1960	

67

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR EVA TOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO A ADDED BELOW)

LIFEAT  
Productions

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		ORR	26 Sept 60
DATE OF DISTRIB.		DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/51,011
Chief Country		FIELD NUMBER	IMX-5159
Subject		Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is <del>XXXXX</del> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		It is impossible to tell if the USSR prices to Mexico are in line with world prices or not. According to a 1957 Soviet price list, potassium is 3 rubles per millicurie, iodine is 8-to 13 rubles per millicurie and gold is 1 to 3 rubles per millicurie depending upon the form of the carrier. According to the report the average price is \$0.15 per millicurie. The quantities of each isotopes however, are not given.	
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		Radio isotope prices have changed in the US markedly over the past few years. For example, the price for gold 193 has changed from 20 cents per millicurie prior to May 1959 to 6 cents per millicurie after May 1959. Unless more detailed information is obtained, the information has only moderate value to us.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.			
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS:			
DOES REPORTING MEET:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, I REPORT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> NEGLIGIBLY			
<input type="checkbox"/> NEED IS IMPORTANT TO FULL OTHER REQS <input type="checkbox"/> DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.			
<input type="checkbox"/> WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> WE NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPLACING.			
NAME (Please print)		COMPONENT	PHONE
WILLARD S. SMITH		OSR/ATP/N	x3842
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED			

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/STAFF) RE: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DA (ADDED ON FRONT) 17 JUN 1964

*Rec'd. 10/1/64*

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY
ISSUE NUMBER <u>OS-3/139,054</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>1 June 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HO-41913</u>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		Date <u>July 1960</u> <u>19 July 60</u>
Subject <u>Rev. Policy of Soviet Commercial Office in Mexico</u>		
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.		
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS
A. <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO } IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO O-R NEEDS.		
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. NEGLIGIBLY		
C. ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication		
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail; more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both <input type="checkbox"/> 6. STATED IN COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTS USEFULNESS		
F. REQUIREMENTS		
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIES IT): <input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially met. If requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY MET CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY		
G. DEGREE TO WHICH (IF ANY) REPORT PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE		
H. IF IN D YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE A REQUIREMENT:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. WE DO NOT PRESENT A REQUIREMENT FOR 30 REPORTING.		
NAME (Please print)		COMPONENT
<u>Robert NAPER</u>		<u>ORR/S/TF/SP</u>
REQUEST RECEIVED		PHONE
		<u>5036</u>
		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

CONFIDENTIAL

Received June 22, 1960

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR)

RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA

READ ON FRONT) 29 JUN

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

OSR

EVALUATING AGENCY

SYSTEM NUMBER CS-3/439,528 DATE OF DIRECT 8 June 1960 FIELD NUMBER HSM-1963

Chief Country Mexico/Soviet Union Date 15 July 1960

Subject Disagreement between Mexican Businessman and Soviet Commercial Officer over Money Owed for Tractors Purchased by the Mexican Government

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. See for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "1." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, not one in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

- 1. Major
2. MODERATE
3. LITTLE
4. NO
IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

- 1. SIGNIFICANTLY
2. MODERATELY
3. NEGLIGIBLY

ACCURACY:

- 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE
2. CREDIBLE
3. Doubtful
4. False
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:

- 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION
3. Sufficiently known
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS
5. Conflicts with previous information
6. Appears to be fabrication

USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

- 1. More detail
2. Less detail, more summary
3. Less fragmentary approach
4. Better organization
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.
6. STATED IN COMMENT
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.

REQUIREMENTS

(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, RESPOND SATISFIED IT)

- 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.
2. Partially. Portion of requirements may be closed.
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.
4. NEGLIGIBLY.

DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDED ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:

- 1. FULLY
2. SUBSTANTIALLY
3. LITTLE
4. NONE

IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE, CHECK NO REQUIREMENT.)

- 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.

EVALUATOR NAME (Please print) ROBERT NAPIER COMPONENT ORR/S/TF/SP PHONE 8036


DATE REQUEST RECEIVED DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED 11 July 1960

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/43L, 30L</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>18 April 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HCC-4858</u>	<i>S. J. ...</i>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		Date <u>17 May 1960</u>	
Subject <u>Soviet Plan to Sell Manuscript to Mexican Government Agency</u>			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators' Comments." normally, put <i>ies</i> in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.	
A 1. Major 2. Moderate X 3. Little 4. None } IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. Significantly X 2. Moderately 3. Negligibly			
C ACCURACY: 1. Substantially True 2. Credible 3. Doubtful 4. False X 5. Cannot be Judged. See D.		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
D RELY ON TO OTHER INFORMATION: 1. Useful for Confirmation 2. Useful for New Information 3. Sufficiently Known X 4. At present we lack other information to judge accuracy or usefulness 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both 6. (STATED IN COMMENTS) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
F REQUIREMENTS (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: 1. Fully. Requirement can be closed 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. Partially, but need continues. 4. Negligibly			
G DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT: 1. Fully 2. Substantially 3. Little X 4. None			
H (IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) 1. We will present a requirement within 30 days. X 2. Our need does not warrant a requirement for CS reporting.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>Eugene Erro</u>	COMPONENT <u>ORR SIMS</u>	PHONE <u>4245</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>26 May 60</u>	

*HR*

SECRET  
(When Filled In)

W42A

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE			
1. SUBJECT OR DISPOSITION		2. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	
Operational/Source Code		3. FILE NO.	4. DATE
		5. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.	6. DATE
		7. SOURCE CRYPTONYM	8. DATE OF INFO.
9. ANALYST	10. DATE PROCESSED	11. DISSEMINATED IN	12. DATE
DOCUMENT DISPOSITION			
13. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE		14. TRANSFERRED TO FILE NO.	
PERTINENT INFORMATION			
<p>15. THIS REFERS TO A SECRET DOCUMENT FILED IN THE SECRET CONTROL SECTION BY TS-17500</p>			
18 May 1960			
16. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO			

CONFIDENTIAL

file LIFEAT Prod

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DS ADDED ON FRONT)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u> <u>Recd 4 March</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/428,266</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB.	FIELD NUMBER <u>HMM-4761</u>	<u>State</u>
Chief Country <u>Mexico / Soviet Union</u>	<u>State</u>		
Subject <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>	<u>Jan 25 March 1960</u>		
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within ___ days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "1." if item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments," normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A 1. Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE 3. LITTLE 4. NO } IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY 3. NEGLIGIBLY		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
C ACCURACY:			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE 3. Doubtful 4. False 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION 3. Sufficiently known 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
1. More detail 2. Less detail more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6. Entered in comments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
REQUIREMENTS			
F ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIED BY:			
1. FULLY SATISFIED - TO BE CLOSED 2. Partial - Portion of requirement may be met. 3. PARTIAL BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLE			
G DEGREE TO WHICH (TIMED INCLUDE) REPORTING PROVIDED THE CRITICAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:			
1. FULLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. LITTLE 4. NONE			
H IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:			
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS. 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) <u>COPE, FLETCHER, JR.</u>			
REQUEST RECEIVED <u>15 Mar. 1960</u>		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>29 Mar. 1960</u>	

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY						
FIELD NUMBER <u>S-3/229,266</u>	DATE OF DISTRICT <u>19 February 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>IPM-4761</u>	<u>Lifeat</u>					
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<u>IPM</u>						
Subject <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>								
EVALUATOR: please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "evaluator's comments." normally, put one "X" in each appropriate section. otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4. No</td> </tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major	} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. No	<p>Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination? Please comment.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major	} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate								
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little								
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. No								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Significantly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderately <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Slightly <input type="checkbox"/> 4. None		<p><i>Information of this character is available from our files is often difficult to obtain in a timely matter. Although the general subject of this information was of moderate interest, the most complete information has been obtained by the report and extent of possible Soviet involvement not clear.</i></p> <p><i>Information of this character type is available also would be valuable.</i></p> <p><i>Are other sets on existing, Soviet purchases of cotton spinning</i></p>						
CREDIBILITY: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Absolutely reliable <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Reliable <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Suspect <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False		<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Useful for dissemination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Useful for our information <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Potentially useful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Of possible use for other information to which availability of usefulness <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication <input type="checkbox"/> 7. No use should have been reported with this detail <input type="checkbox"/> 8. Lack detail and accuracy <input type="checkbox"/> 9. Lack fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 10. Poor organization <input type="checkbox"/> 11. Poorly coordinated or better explanation of source or data <input type="checkbox"/> 12. Unable to comment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 13. Of direct applicability usefulness						
REQUIREMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Fully <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially <input type="checkbox"/> 3. None		<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Fully <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Substantially <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little <input type="checkbox"/> 4. None						
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. We will present a requirement within 90 days <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Our need does not warrant a requirement for us reporting.		<input type="checkbox"/> 1. We will present a requirement within 90 days <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Our need does not warrant a requirement for us reporting.						
EVALUATOR: <u>Donald P Swisher</u> DATE REQUEST RECEIVED: <u>Feb 27 1960</u>		NAME (PRINTED): <u>KARIE</u> PHONE: <u>143 3121</u> DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED: <u>March 4, 1960</u>						



*Listed*

29 2500

*1/11/60*

15 December 1959

LIFEAT PRODUCTION

OS 3121,516

*15*  
*11/11/60*  
*48367*  
15 February 1960

SUBJECT: Soviet Commercial Activities in Mexico

CLASSIFICATION	ACQUIRE OR COPY	DATE OF ACQUISITION
SECRET	1. INFORMATION	1. DATE
	2. DOCUMENTS	2. SOURCE
	3. PERIODICALS	3. TYPE
	4. OTHER	4. VALUE
	5. OTHER	5. OTHER

What specific aspects of this report are of use? Of value? Please indicate and comment.

Information on the type of machinery offered or sold to Mexico or to Latin America is available from overt sources.

Information on prices is interesting and useful. Price quotations would be more helpful if specifications as well as serial numbers were available. Information indicating whether Soviet prices conform to or vary from world market prices.

Information on goods that the Soviets are interested in buying is of some importance, although this is generally available from overt sources.

This document contains information which is classified as SECRET and is to be controlled in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11652, dated August 3, 1959.

SECRET



See H90W 8486, 11 Feb 1960, LAUNICLE, filed C/WED's  
office,

This dispatch was written by FI/D in reply to H90A 14362, 20 Jan 1960 and  
should be reviewed before LIFEAT or LIPRIT are submitted for renewal.

IMPORTANT


LIFEAT/WHH prod  
LIPRIT/ops

SECRET









SECRET

From: Mexico, Mexico City Report No: HMW-1831 Local File No:

No. of Pages: 1 No. of Enclosures: 1 (for Station files only)

Report Made By: Thomas G. URQUHART Approved By: Willard C. CURTIS

Distribution: Orally to:  
By copy to: 3 - Hqs(1 for KUNRAP)  
2 - Files(1 w/att)

Source Cryptonym: A. LIKAYAK-2 References: HMW-1765, 12 Sep, 1956, p.9  
9. LIFEAT/LIMERICK

Source, Operational Data, and Comments:

SUBJECT:

Source obtained the information contained in the attached report from

is being included in this Station's series of LCHPROVE subjects.

Classification

SECRET

FORM NO. 31-50  
FEB 1946

1 COPY

50-6-32/4  
SECRET

