Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act

of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW 65360 Date: 06-01-2023

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : SSCIA

RECORD NUMBER: 157-10002-10056

RECORDS SERIES:

MEMORANDUM

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA

FROM: SCHLESINGER, ARTHUR JR

TO: THE PRESIDENT

TITLE:

CIA REORGANIZATION

DATE: 06/10/61

PAGES: 15

SUBJECTS:

CIA

COVERT ACTION PARAMILITARY WARFARE

CUBA

POLICY CONTROL

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

CLASSIFICATION: S

RESTRICTIONS: REFERRED

CURRENT STATUS : P

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 09/30/93

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

Box 244 . Folder 7

Exhibit 5 to R. Goodwin testimony on 7/18/75

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

III. Operations and Policy

Clandostine activities should be accessed not only in the context of their relationship to an open society but also in the context of their relationship to United States foreign policy.

CIA operations have not been held effectively entersinate to U.S. foreign policy.

1. Claudestine intelligence collection is, by charter, free from State Department control. This fact apposes American foreign polic in a multifree of embarrassments when CIA is discreted recruiting sconts or developing sources in a friendly country. Singapore case, whom CIA, without notice to the Concul General, tried to subvert a member of the Special Dranch of the Singapore Pelice provided as instructive example. After complications of ludicrous complexity, including an attempt to subject the recruit to e lie-detector test, it turned out that the recruit had long since informed his superiors of the CIA approach and was leading CIA into a trop which, when spring, produced considerable embarransmost to relations between the US and the Bingapare government. There have been troubles of a comparable cort in Rabicial and i Jerra lethere a grow of Chineso Pationals verafernie decline Case

ovortain a CIA-iti Avere single

CIA has said that, in such cases, neither the Embassy nor the Department in Washington is normally informed of this type of specition. In short, no one knows how many potential problems for US foreign policy -- and how exact potential friction with friendly states -- are being created at this moment by CIA claudestina intelligence operation Surely there is an argument for permitting State to decide whether the advantage to be goined by the operation (e.g., the information derivations on agent within the Special Brench of the Singapore Police) outweighs the rick (e.g., examperating the local government and chaking its confidence both in our purposes and in our sense). Claudestine intelligence operations should plainly be cleared both with the Department of State and (cave for exceptional instances and on agreement of the Secretary of State) with the local U.S. Ambacsador.

It has meant too that the State Department, exten appriced of an operation only in its later stages, is under great pressure to endorse the operation has already mounted because of the alleged evil consequences of exercising a vote. I will remember Tom Mann's remark the day the decision was made to go should on Cuba: "I would never have favored initiating this operation; but, since it has gone as far as it has, I do not think we can rich calling it off." Moreover, at a time when there is increasing premium on activism. State, when it questions CIA operations or initiatives, cases itself in a pricey, sixey, negative rele. The advocate of claudestine activities seems 'tough' and realistic; the appearant has to invoke with intangibles as the reputation of the United States, would public spinion, "What do we say in the United Potions?", etc., and seems happinessly idealistic, legalistic and 'acti."

The result of CIA's initiative in covert political operations has been to create situations which have forced policy on the State Department. This was not the original idea behind CIA. As Allen Dulies wrete in his 1947 memorandum to the Senate Armed Services Committee, "The Central Intelligence Agency should have anthing to do with policy." Yet, in the years since, CIA has, in effect, 'made' policy in many parts of the world. A number of governments still in power know that they have even been targets of CIA attempts at everthrow -- not a state of wind calculated to etimulate friendly feelings toward the United States. Indeposis, of course, is a prime example.

This experience suggests that the present system by which CIA notifies State of a projected covert operation is inadequate to protect US interests. There must be some means by which Skate can be informed of such operations at an early enough stage to affect the conception and preliminary planning of the operation. Otherwise CIA will continue to confront State with propositions having patential impact on foreign policy but at too late a point to subject that impact to reasonable control.

The Controlled American Sentes (CAS) represents a particular eleptor of Charles energy functions.

JEK Act 5 (g) (2) (D)

DEPARTMENT OF THE Control of Change (classify to With concurrence of Change (classify to Declassify | In part and excise as shown FPC (HDR by FPC (H

	1 10 d3	
	XX XX	JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)
	(B) 4	
· #.		

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

With eencurrence of

Beelassity In part and exclar as shown

(3 (8) 3 (8) 3

JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)

4. Furamilitary warfare, I gather, is regarded in some quarters as a purely technical matter, easily detechnical from policy and therefore a proper function of the Department of Defense. Yet there is almost no CIA function more popularly dependent on the political context than paramilitary warfare.

For coathing, a paramilitary There are savorel reasons for this. operation is in its nature a large and stiributable energize and thoreby. no suggested above, clarkes with the presuppositions of our coor society. (These considerations need not apply, hemover, to the training of, say, the Earth Virtnemess in guarrille tection or to the export of alroady azisting quarrille activities.) For another, the moral and political price of direct paremilitary failure is acute for Communists, when they stimulate paramilitary activity, are doing what the world expects from them: when we do it, we encare to betray our own professed principles and therefore cancet afford to compound delinquency by defeat. bloreover, es the regent Albertan opisods chowed, once we convince the world that we are committed to a resumilitary endecycs, we will be blamed for all sorts of things. as the recent tractors-for-prizoners episode showed, when we do unad men to possible forth, we connect lightly write them off and cluse the The Communists, on the other hand, have no correlat about liquidating a leading chara-