يدرين والمستحيد والمستعدم والمعتمل والمستحدد والمستحدد

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

11 January 1977

06-77-6276

MEMORANDUM FOR: Ch.

Chief, SE Division

ATTENTION

Division Security Officer

FROM

(Thomas L. Briggs)

SE/X/LA

SUBJECT

: Appearance As Witness In Behalf of the

United States Government

REFERENCE

USA V. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al. (76-371-CR-CA)

- 1. During the period of my employment as a Special Agent at the Drug Enforcement Administration (My 1871 Jan 1877), I conducted an interview of Gerald Patrick Hemming (DPOB 1 March 1937, at Los Angeles, California) on 23 May 1975 at DEA Headquarters in Washington, D.C. My report concerning that interview has become part of the case file in USA v. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al., Case Number 76-371-CR-CA, Southern District of Florida, Miami, Florida.
- 2. Upon my entrance on duty in SE Division on 5 January 1977, I orally informed the Division Security Officer, Billy Hix, about the information in paragraph 1 above. Mr. Hix asked me to submit the information in writing as soon as I was settled in the Division. On 7 January 1977, however, Special Agent Robert Fredericks telephonically contacted Hope Mason, DC/SE/X/LA, and told her that the United States Attornery, Southern District of Florida, had requested that I appear at the U.S. Courthouse, Miami, Florida on 10 January 1977 to confer with the Assistant United States Attorney, Karen L. Atkinson, regarding my appearance as a witness in behalf of the U.S. Government in the trial of Gerald P. Hemming.
- 3. During the afternoon of 7 January 1977 I conferred with Mr. Hix who advised me to contact the Office of the General Counsel. I talked to Mr. Edmund Cohen who advised me to get in touch with the Office of Security, External Activities Branch (OS/EAB). I completed an Outside Activity Approval Request (Form 879), obtained the concurrence of DC/SE, Mr. John Stein, and submitted the Form 879 to OS/EAB. OS/EAB sent me to Central Cover Staff (Mr. Blandford) and then to the Office of the General Counsel. After discussions with Mr. Cohen, I called

## "Diff Files" for NARA 2025 Documents Release JFK Assassination Records

Generated using diff-pdf and OpenCV. Thanks to the Mary Ferrell Foundation and The Black Vault for their archiving work.

> maryferrell.org theblackvault.com github.com/vslavik/diff-pdf opencv.org

This file highlights differences between the 2025 release, and previous releases.

Red: Newly revealed in 2025.

Blue: Present in earlier releases, but not in 2025.

Black Unchanged between versions.

For Original Documents visit: archives.gov/research/jfk/release-2025

Notes:

Black text on a blue background inidcates content that was previously redacted using black ink.

l did my best to be accurate in revealing what is new, but please confirm with the original sources where accuracy is essential.

Created by: x.com/hlywdufo

JFKdiffs.com

S/A Fredericks in Miami at Mr. Cohen's suggestion. S/A Fredericks was not available. Mr. Cohen then advised me to return to my office and he would contact me with further instructions. Mr. Cohen retained the Form 879. Subsequently, Mr. Cohen advised me that I should travel to Miami as requested and that CIA would reimburse me for expenses connected with my activities in behalf of the U.S. Government. He further advised me that being in an overt cover status I should state, if asked under oath, that I am currently a CIA employee.

4. On 10 January 1977 I traveled to Miami where I met and conferred with AUSA Karen Atkinson and DEA S/A Robert Fredericks. They informed me that Gerald P. Hemming's case had been severed and his trial would not begin on 11 January. AUSA Atkinson told me she wanted me as a rebuttal witness if Gerald P. Hemming presented the defense that he had been working at the direction of DEA. She said they would not call me until and unless that defense was presented. She also said that she felt that any attempt to question me concerning my current place of employment was irrelevent and she would object to any such line of questioning. She said she would only ask me where I was employed at the time I interviewed Hemming. I returned to Washington D.C. the same day.

Thomas L. Briggs

Distribution:

.1 - CCS

1 - 0S

1 - OGC

16 January 1978

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM:

Edmund Cohen

Assistant General Counsel

SUBJECT:

U.S. v. Hemming

- 1. On 22 November 1977 the undersigned accompanied by Messrs. Thomas Briggs Gary Mattocks and Robert Barteaux, travelled to Miami to confer with Judge Hoeveler, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Thomas M. Sherouse, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida, about subject case. Messrs. Briggs and Mattocks had dealt with Mr. Hemming while they were employed by the Drug Enforcement Administration and Mr. Sherouse wanted them available as rebuttal witnesses. Mr. Barteaux also was sought as a possible rebuttal witness to describe the Agency's relationship with Mr. Hemming in the event that Hemming raised a CIA defense.
- 2. On 23 November Mr. Briggs Mr. Barteaux and the undersigned met in chambers with Judge Hoeveler, Mr. Sherouse, Mr. Roger Howard, law clerk to Judge Hoeveler, and Mr. Ted Sakowitz, Public Defender for the Southern District of Florida. Mr. Sherouse decided that Mr. Mattocks would not be required to testify and he did not attend the meeting. The Judge initially expressed some reluctance to have an exparte conference because Mr. Hemming had assumed primary responsibility for his own defense with only limited assistance from the Public Defender. The Judge insisted that a transcript of the meeting be made but he promised that the transcript would be sealed and, in fact, would not be transcribed.
- 3. The undersigned indicated that the Agency had no interest in the outcome of the case and interposed no objection to the introduction of any relevant information. Our sole concern was to protect Mr. Briggs and his relationship with CIA both before and after his DEA employment. The Judge was informed that Mr. Briggs sole connection with Hemming was on 23 May 1975 and that he had no contact with Hemming during any period of Agency employment. The national security consequences of exposure of Mr. Briggs as well as the career consequences were outlined. In addition, the Judge was informed that Mr. Barteaux was prepared to testify as an overt Agency employee about any relationship between Hemming and CIA.

SECRET

E. 2. IMPDET CL BY 035114

- 4. Judge Hoeveler asked Mr. Sakowitz to cross-examine Mr. Briggs to determine whether he had any information which might be relevant to Hemming's defense. Mr. Sakowitz stated that although he was aware that Mr. Hemming wished to call Mr. Briggs as a witness, he was not fully informed of all aspects of the case and, therefore, reluctant to question Mr. Briggs Thereupon, Judge Hoeveler, swore in Mr. Briggs and proceeded to ask him a series of questions to determine whether Mr. Briggs interaction with Mr. Hemming had been in any way connected with his CIA employment. At the conclusion of this examination the Judge gave Mr. Sakowitz a second opportunity to question Mr. Briggs and when Mr. Sakowitz again declined, he stated that in light of the national security consequences which had been brought to his attention he was not inclined to permit questions relating to Mr. Briggs CIA employment.
- 5. At the conclusion of the conference in chambers, but before the jury was admitted into the courtroom, Mr. Sherouse informed Mr. Hemming that Mr. Briggs) was available as a witness. When the trial proceeded Mr. Hemming concluded his defense without calling Mr. Briggs). Mr. Sherouse, however, did call Mr. (Briggs) as a rebuttal witness. On cross-examination Mr. Hemming asked Mr. (Briggs) whether he was with CIA. Mr. Sherouse promptly objected to the question, the Judge sustained the objection and Mr. Hemming went on to the next question. The trial concluded soon afterwards without Mr. Barteaux being called as a witness. It was subsequently learned that Mr. Hemming was found guilty as charged.

Edmund Cohen

EC: iz

Distribution:

Original / LITIGATION-CRIMINAL, Hemming Gerald P.

í - EC Signer

1 - Chrono

CLASSIFY AS APPROPRIATE								
OUTSIDE ACTIVITY APPROVAL REQUEST								
COMPLETE AND FORWARD ORIGINAL AND 2 COPIES TO EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRANCH								
TO		DIRECTOR OF SECURITY; ATTN: EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRAN		BRANCH	7 Jan 77			
THRU:		(Staff, division or office head and security officer, where assigned)						
	SE Division Security Officer							
FRON	1:	NAME AND GRADE OF EMPLOYEE (Print or type)  03  (LICOSS, Thomas), 12/3	COMPONENT	ROOM NO. AND		PHONE 3		
		ESCRIPTION OF OUTSIDE ACTIVITY FOR WHICH NED. DATES. LOCALE, ETC. (Refer to HR 10						
In 7 January 1977 of apreex. Held for . The apreemant of the property of the the had been tolephonically contacted by a reference of the in Mind. Thereis (Ofe 306-191-1992, two 306-199-1919) one teld that Information me to tray a to Missi to attend a pre-trial conference of tracey 10 dameny in order to property for possible appearance as a situation became to Indiana to accord Fetrick Helling.  I consulted will the decimalist became to line a contract the One. At approx. If O has a in outs I talked as the contract the Office of Security, external activities wheels.								
IN ENGAGING IN THE REQUESTED ACTIVITY, I WILL MAKE NO REFERENCE TO OR DISCUSS MY CIA ASSIGNMENTS OR DUTIES. I (WILL, WILL NOT) BE IDENTIFIED AS EMPLOYED BY CIA FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:  I was a CIA employee from Jon 69 to be a light to transferring to IMA. I was under cover at that time. I have not make our under cover since by Dev. (3Jan??).								
3. C	OMMEN	ITS AND CONCURRENCE OF STAFF. DIVISION OR	OFFICE HEAD					
		CONCUR:	DATE S	IGNATURE OF O	PERATING OF	FICIAL		
<b></b>	FOR COMPLETION BY EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRANCH AND RETURN OF ORIGINAL TO EMPLOYEE							
DATE:  SECURITY APPROVAL HAS BEEN (GRANTED, DENIED) FOR THE ACTIVITY CONTEMPLATED BY THIS REQUEST.								

FORM OND HEE BOLLIANS

(FOR) CHIEF, SECURITY SUPPORT DIVISION

CLASSIFY AS APPROPRIAT

Mo Sovery - . discuss Therese all re Q Doc re DCD andredy on Drug - Let de Bob Bosteaux to be ready to today. hop Brocery sector. MFR on a Doc Syste uf Tom Sherouse 305 350 5449 Trul 250ct. 250ct - but call will call the on 210cd to see where y tand 10/25 Spoke W/ Shewer Hemma cur is #8 on Judger calade in a coult 1 earlied is late Next who as earlied Henry - will be own language Balan, By, Madex of he rebulled care Huber for late AM roof to call on 16th to check. 11/15 Meet of Mo 5. Brys Maddox, Barteaux reven Shows statent, ok or why left apy or why returned, who doing. Sales meet of Me & Bartenno- get 1000 (Mpl) view re import of public exposure or (Arygo) or Modelon or briefor reven if Bartenn all recent on Herring.

reserve for the EA 195 Not 18 and 9 to 3 annu 1120 11/17 - Called M - fr non

SIRI

Rackville Md 1 Rockville Md 20850

Rockville Md 20850

1. gampler cover

a Jan 69 - May 74 C/A - Array & Ar Umine - Array

over gar " clentular

D. May 74 - Dan 77 DEA 2. S. Jan 77 - No amen, State Even 2 only control of Herms -23 May 75 3. Deng to DC - free Comenic up so Somen told see Tom -Y NOW Sor tayet resemble to name tom 50 - regyred - law supreems 3/12/

US vs Hemming Case No 76-71-Cr-CA Judge Ho	eveler
P.O. Bildy + C+ Home 300 NE. First Ave Miami Florida  1st Ave 35t	
Thomas M. Sherouse Ast US Attroney (305). 350 54 Sec Mary Inner 300 Ainsley Bldg. hm 856	49 <i>ov 447)</i> 2285

<u>~;</u>

1879

Say Maddox - 4378958

Pany Maddox - 4378958

Pany Com General Command

PCIA - 69-73 - any come

"(Soft) - 26 Feb 77 (command) CIA 27. Feb 77 - gen 5-6 why then State over nor luhan pro- carter pople ducartems 2. The later Hom Dec 76 culod of Maddax no coulos before DEA

ver en personal control only front DEA contr 3. red of Henry net mode over se purhose I navethors

2 m Miane and, hour angul se Smen

3 without enchange std gust s) prend se Commencelyse I vm - - Caba gr -

2 who treat ogphe fi syl-red No problems av al for Rends de not it of he are engloy has filely claimed asse 3. In Och 60 3 amy m amy 11.61, 1 son 1967, 3 m 1970 - alle 23 Ay 70 Henry can: who sperly need in roc W

