į.

2 August 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR : General Counsel

Director of Security

FROM

S.D. Breckinridge

SUBJECT

HSCA Request of 20 July 1978 -OLC-78-2728

- 1. Attached is correspondence from the DDO focal point on the HSCA investigation, responding to Question 12 of reference. It states that there are and have been no agreements (at least not as described in the HSCA request) between CIA and the FBI, or other government agencies, that pertain to the Agency's responsibilities to report on U.S. citizens abroad who contact Communist embassies or officials. It also states that current legislation forbids such reporting.
- 2. Attached are copies of papers collected by O/IG during the Rockefeller Commission's inquiry; I recall that there was a 1966 agreement, which is not in these papers. In any event they do not seem to contain a specific provision such as is envisioned in the report. It is possible that interpretations of NSCID and DCID provisions resulted in routine reporting of such contacts, although there were no agreements, per se, for such action. Since the promulgation of Executive Orders 11905 and 12036 there have been limitations on reporting on U.S. persons, which may affect past practice. However, this office is not in a position to provide an Agency position on this issue.
- 3. It is requested that you coordinate with the DDO in preparing an answer to an Agency response to the HSCA question.

S.D. Breckinridge

Distribution:

1 - General Counsel

1 - D/Security

1 - OLC/Subj.

1 - OLC/Chron

1 - Mr. Gregg w/ lat

1 - Mr. Shepanek

SECOLI

This document may be downgraded to AIUO when detached from attachment

"Diff Files" for NARA 2025 Documents Release JFK Assassination Records

Generated using diff-pdf and OpenCV. Thanks to the Mary Ferrell Foundation and The Black Vault for their archiving work.

> maryferrell.org theblackvault.com github.com/vslavik/diff-pdf opencv.org

This file highlights differences between the 2025 release, and previous releases.

Red: Newly revealed in 2025.

Blue: Present in earlier releases, but not in 2025.

Black Unchanged between versions.

For Original Documents visit: archives.gov/research/jfk/release-2025

Notes:

Black text on a blue background inidcates content that was previously redacted using black ink.

l did my best to be accurate in revealing what is new, but please confirm with the original sources where accuracy is essential.

Created by: x.com/hlywdufo

JFKdiffs.com

	\$50058 W# 1 50						
UNCLASSIFIED COSFIDENTIAL SECRET							
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRE						
	· OFFI	CIA	L ROUTIN	G S	LIP		
то	NAME AN	0 40	DRESS	7	DATE	INITIALS	
1	Mr. Brecki	rid	go				
2				.	•	:	
3			`				
٠				Τ	•	-	
s							
6							
1	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	1	PREPARE	REPLY	
	TALOUTE		DISPATCH	\top		MOITAGNE	
	COMMENT		FILE	1	RETURN		
	CONCRESENCE		INFORMATION	1	SIGNATU	e F	
Ren	Expansion o Book Dispat	ch 9	9335 of 18 F	Сер	1975	Knoche.	
•			`.	•			
			TO RETURN TO		DER		
	FROM: NAME	ADOA	ESS AND PHONE N	.		DATE	
İ	Walter F.	Litt	CONFIDEN	TIAL	.]	3/18/75 SECRET	

	SENDER WILL CH	ECK C	HOITI JIEEL	TOP	AND BO	TTOM
	UNCLASSIFIED		CUNFIDEN			SECAET
	OFFI	CIAI	L ROUTING	SI	IP.	
70	NAME AN	O AO	ORC35	P	ATE	INITIALS
1						
2				_		
3				<u> </u>		
٠						
5			<u> </u>	_	i	
١	,			_	ليبي	-
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	-	PREPARE	
	APPROYAL		DISPATCH	ļ		EKOLTION
	COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
<u></u>	CONCURRENCE	لــــا	INFORMATION		SICKATU	
<u></u>	<u> </u>					
Ret	parkar 2 Copies sent to 3/18/75	es M	ch of t	ke ke	etta. pe	ebed LIC
					252	
-			TO RETURN TO		DER	T ===
-	FROM: HAME	_ A00	KESS AND PHONE	MO.		DATE
-	T UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDE	STIA	. 1	SECRET

edition

(44

TELÉPOUCH TELEPOUCH **ZEEP HOTA92IG NOOB** IMPDET D rous CL BY: G2361870 TO: FR/ATLANTA, FR/CHICAGO, FR/CLEVELAND, FR/BOSTON, FR/SAU FRANCISCO FR/LOS ANGELES, FR/NEU YORK, FR/WASHINGTON. S E C R E T TELEPOUCH ZEEP dB : ON 92Id 22 12 52z FED 74 DATE: 18 FEBRUARY 1974 ISOLOG TO: CHIEF OF BASE, ATLANTA; CHIEF OF BASE, BOSTON; CHIEF OF BASE, LOS ANGELES; CHIEF OF BASE, CHICAGO; CHIEF OF BASE, CLEVELAND; CHIEF OF BASE, SAN FRANCISCO; CHIEF OF BASE, NEU YORK; CHIEF OF BASE, WASHINGTON FROM: CHIEF, FOREIGN RESOURCES DIVISION SUBJECT: PRELATIONS WITH THE FBI 1. ATTACHED HEREUITH IS THE SUBSTANCE OF A LETTER FROM FBI HQS TO ITS EIGHT FIELD OFFICES IN CITIES WHERE FR BMASES ARE LOCATED. DASS THIS LETTER IS AIMED AT CLARIFYING A NUMBER OF BASIC FACTS REGARDING ERR THE MISSIONE OF DDO IN GENERAL AND FR DIVISION ACTIVITIES IN THE USA IN PARTICULAR, AND IT SPELLS OUT IN SOME DETAIL OUR AGREED INTERPRE-TATION OF SOME OF THE HISTORICALLY MORE TROUBLESOME ASPECTS OF THE (1966 AGREEMENT.) DATE: 15 FEB 74 IP USE ONLY C/FR/91 UNIT: FR/COPS EXT: 5885 C/FR/B2

FRZCO

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

- 2. WE WERE ESPECIALLY GRATIFIED WITH THE OPENING PARAGRAPHS OF THE LETTER WHICH EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT FR IS CARRYING OUT A U.S. GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENT IN ITS RECRUITMENT OF FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES, AND THAT THIS ACTIVITY IS BEING CONDUCTED IN THE USA WITH FULL BUREAU CONCURRENCE. ANOTHER BASIC POINT WHICH THE LETTER MAKES IS THAT THE PURPOSE OF THE GUIEDELINES (1966 AGREEMENT) IS TO PROTECT THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE U.S.EGOVERNMENT, NOT ENABLE THE BUREAU TO MONITOR OUR OPERATIONS.
 - J. THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION INVOLVED IN DRAFTING THIS LETTER. BUT NO REAL STICKY DIFFERENCES AROSE. WE BELIEVE IT HIGHT BE USEFUL TO GIVE ADDRESSEES SOME OF THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THESE DISCUSSIONS AS BACKGROUND FOR ANY TALKS YOU MAY HAVE ON THESE SUBJECTS WITH YOUR FIELD OFFICE CONTACTS. THESE ARE SET OUT BELOW:
 - A. PAGE 2. LAST PARAGRAPH: ON THE QUESTION OF WHAT FOREIGN

 "OFFICIALS ARE OF "PRESUMED INTEREST" TO THE BUREAU. WE AGREED THAT

 THIS CATEGORY CHANGED WITH WORLD DEVELOPMENTS AND THAT "R WOULD

 SIMPLY USE A COMMON SENSE JUDGMENT TO MAKE ITS CASE BY CASE DETER
 MINATION. WE AGREED THAT TRYING TO BROADEN OR LIMIT THE CATEGORY BY

MINATION-	WE AGREED	TRAT IN	COOPDEATING DESICES	IP USE ONLY
DATE:				
URIT:				
EXT:				
		<u>. </u>		
	CLASSOCATON			}
SECR Commensus		0	and selected	
			1	•
1	-	•	, .	and the second s

SIGNA SIGNA	L CENTERUSE L _T	<u>.</u>	خـــانا	3	į
TEL II II	0 0	0 0	•• •	3	
TELEPOUCH		DATE - TIME CATOLO	BD-9335		
O more O mounts O nerum to		•		E 2 IMPDET CL BY:	

FURTHER DEFINITION WAS NOT PRACTICAL.

- B. PAGE 3. TOP PARAGRAPH: PLEASE NOTE THAT THE CRITERION OF OVERLAP AND/OR CONFLICT IS USED THERE THE SAME AS IN THE 'LL AGR MENT PARAGRAPH TWO CONCERNING BUREAU CONCURRENCE FOR RECRUITMENT APPROACHES.
- C. PAGE 3. BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: ALTHOUGH WE ARE REQUIRED ON TO KEEP FBI APPRISED OF INFORMATION RELATING TO ITS COUNTERINTELLIG AND INTERNAL SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES. WE CONCURRED IN THIS REQUES. FOR MORE DETAILED AND TIMELY REPORTING ON CERTAIN CASES SINCE WE CONSIDERED THE DETAIL ASKED FOR TO BE OF DEMONSTRABLE CI VALUE AND TIME LIMITS TO BE REASONABLE. THE BUREAU WAS COMPLETELY AMENABLE 1 LIMITING SUCH REQUIREMENTS TO CASES WHEREIN THEIR STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES WERE CLEARLY INVOLVED. AND WE WERE PARTICULARLY PLEASED THE ADDITIONAL AGREEMENT ALLOWING THE FIELD OFFICES AND THE FR BASING OF ALL OTHER CASES. INCLUDING EXHIBITION OF ALL OTHER CASES. INCLUDING EXHIBITION OF THE LETTER INVOLVED. TARGETS WHICH ARE HISTORICALLY AS WELL AS PRACTICALLY. IN THE BURE

Tarocts direct	COORDINATING OFFICERS	- 1P USE ONLY
DATE:		<u> </u>
ORIG:		1
UNIT:		_
EXT:		-
l .		_{
!	•	
PELEASING OPPICER		4
CAASSIPICATION	•	}
SECREI		-
Capita atricatace	Laft ammend .	!
•	•	

U PETURN TO

O wrace

E 2 IMPDET

"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ETERRITORY".) IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE BUREAU HAS ALREADY AGREED TO PROVIDE US WITH CERTAIN TYPES OF OPERATIONAL INFORMATION IN EXCESS OF ANY EXISTING AGREEMENT, AND WE HAVE RECEIVED INITIALLY FAVORABLE REACTION TO PROPOSALS FOR ADDITIONAL ITEMS IN THE FUTURE. WE DO NOT BELIEVE THIS REPORTING AGREEMENT WILL CAUSE YOU ANY SERIOUS PROBLEMS, BUT IF IT SHOULD BE BROADENED OR INTERPRETED IN A WAY THAT YOU CONSIDER UNREASONABLE OR BURDENSOME, PLEASE ADVISE AND WE WILL TRY TO HAVE THE CRITERIA DEFINED MORE KEGEGO CLEERUBEOSELY.

D. PAGE 4, BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: WE HAD CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION ON THIS SUBJECT. THE EBUREAU FELT THAT IN SOME INSTANCES WE WERE "STRETCHING" THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS INTO THE FIRST STAGES OF RECRUITMENT, AND IN SOME OTHERS WE WERE MOVING INTO RECRUITMENT WITHOUT FORMALLY SEEKING BUREAU CONCURRENCE AND COORDINATION. GOOD FAITH BEING PRESUMED, IT BECAME APPARENT THAT OUR PROBLEM WAS BOTH SEMANTIC AND ONE OF DEFINITION. THE BUREAU INSISTS, AND WE RECOGNIZE, THAT CONCURRENCE IN OUR PROCEEDING WITH ASSESSMENT CAMNOT BE INTERPRETEDD AS CONCURRENCE TO MOVE BEYOND ASSESSMENT. THEY EXPLAIN THAT THEY

DATE:			COCPOMATING	OFFICE	IP USE ONLY	
ORIG:		•	-		1.	
UNIT:					-1	_
EXT:		•	i			
			1			
	-			•	i .	
S-E-C-R	CLASS CATION			•		
copis percaine				\sim	•	

TEL B O O O O O O O O O O TELEPOUCH

TELEPOUCH

BD-9335

O more O momore

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

OFTEH CONCUR IN OUR ASSESSMENT OF TARGETS IN UHOM THEY HAVE A VE ACTIVE "RECRUITMENT INTEREST" WITH BUREAU SOURCES IN TOUCH, ETC. HENCE, BEFORE THE AGENCY MOVES INTO THE ACTUAL RECRUITMENT OPERA THE BUREAU NEEDS SPECIFIC NOTICE IN ORDER TO ASSESS ITS OWN COUN INTELLIGENCE OR INTERNAL SECURITY EQUITIES AND MAKE A DECISION.

THE DEFINITIONS OF "ASSESSMENT" AND "RECRUITMENT" IN THE LE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED TOGETHER; THE ONLY POSSIBLE AREA OF CONFUSI LOULD SEEN TO BE IN THE "PROCESS" OF RECRUITMENT. A SIMPLIBITED COMMON SENSE BREAKDOWN WOULD BE THAT ASSESSMENT IS THE OBTAINING AND ANALYZING OF INFORMATION CONCERNING A TARGET INDIVIDUAL TO E US TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS DESIRABLE AND/OR FEASIBLE T MOUNT A RECRUITMENT APPROACH; IF OUR ASSESSMENT IS AFFIRMATIVE, THEN MOVE INTO THE RECRUITMENT PHASE — PRIOR TO WHICH MOVE WE MUSEEK BUREAU CONCURRENCE AND COORDINATION.

■ UE INTERPRET MANIPULATION OF A TARGET VIA SOCIAL CONTACTS.

• MANY CASES BY OVERT, LEGAL, BUNDONCOMPROMISING BUSINESS ARRANGEME

• TO BE PART OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS; THAT IS, AN ATTEMPT TO OBT

• DINFORMATION REGRANDING HIS VULNERABILITY, STRENGTH, IDEOLOGY,

DATE:	COOKSMATING OFFICERS	IP USE ONLY
ORIG:	•	,
UNIT: .		
EXT:		
MILIANG OFFICER		
SECRET	· ·	
Cuoso adatadacta .	< municipal state of the state	•

U mota U no motă

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

ASPIRATIONS, ETC., ALL OF WHICH ARE PERTINENT TO OUR DECISION TO OR NOT GO" FOR A RECRUITMENT APPROACH. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE IN PRET A COMMERCIAL ARRANGEMENT WHICH IS IN ANY WAY COVERT OR QUAS:

LEGAL OR IS A PLANNED STEPEPING STONE TO THE PLANNED INTRODUCTION

U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST, TO BE PART OF THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS AT A REQUIRE PRIOR BUREAU CONCURRENCE.

AGREED TO CONSIDER AGENTS RECRUITED IN THE U.S. WHO EREMAIN HERESAME AS AGENTS RECRUITED ABROAD WHO COME HERE FOR TOWRS OR VISITS
ALTHOUGH ONLY THE LATTER ARE SPECIFIGED IN THE AGREEMENT. WE FELL
IMPLICITLY IT INCLUDED THE FORMER. WE FEEL THAT OWN EQUITIES ARE
ADEQUATELY PROTECTED BY THE VERY SPECIFIC TERMINOLOGY OF PARAGRAF
FIVE AND SIX OF THE E966 AGREEMENT. NOTE ALSO THAT ALTHOUGH THE
PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPHS FOUR. FIVE AND SIX OF THE BI966 AGREEMEN
ARE ALL-ENCOMPASSING. WE ARE IN PRACTICE CONCERNED ONLY WITH THOS
AGENTS. OPERATIONS AND SOURCES WHO HAVE REAL OR POTENTIAL CONTACT
OR CAPABILITY IN THE FIELDS OF COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND/OR INTERNA
SECURITY: THAT IS, WHO ARE OF KNOWN OR PRESUMED INTEREST TO THE

DATE:		COGMOMATING OFFICERS	IP USE ONLY
ORIG:	1		
utit:			
EXT:	i		i
		*	
·	i		1
PCLEASING OFFICE	-	•	
CLASSICATION			
SE CREI		•	1
osi MACKE	74.E MY 064		

TEL B B D D D D D T TOTAL CANDER

VISIGNAL CENTER USC ONLY

TEL B B D D D D D D D T TOTAL CANDER

VISIGNAL CENTER USC ONLY

VISIGNAL CENTER USC ONLY

DATE - TIME CANDUM DIPATER NUMBER

TELEPOUCH - BD-9335

[] moss [] no moss

n 61....

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

BUREAU. IT IS INCUMBENT UPON US, HOWEVER, TO BE METICULOUS IN (
DETERMINATION OF "PRESUMED" INTEREST AND TO LEAN TOWARDS THAT PF
SUMPTION WHEREVER THERE IS ANY DOUBT

4. FILE: 100-002-090.

ATTACHMENT - FBI LETTER, AS STATED ABOVE - H/U
E2 IMPDET-4

.TZIG.

1 - FR/REG, W/ATT

1 - FR/COPS W/ATT

1 - 100-002-090

DATE:
ORIG:
UNIT:
EXT:

PRIMATING OFFICER

CASSIFICATION

E E E T

Children which a difference

.