

S-E-C-R-E-T

ATTACHMENT #2TRAINING REPORT

TEAM/GROUP: PERUMEN II  
( 211 hours, full-time)

11 July - 13 August 1966  
(Date)

Student: FRANK

*h/t/c*

COURSE OBJECTIVE AND CONTENT

This course is designed to train indigenous personnel in paramilitary resistance activities and intelligence collection operations in denied areas. Approximately 70% of the student's time is devoted to practical work and field exercises and 30% to the theoretical aspects essential for a logical understanding and application of training covered during the course.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

- |                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| * U - Unsatisfactory | Performance is so low in relation to requirements of the work as to be unequivocally below minimum standards.  |
| W - Weak             | Achieved minimum standards, but less than adequate (in terms of indigenous personnel participating in the program).  |
| A - Adequate         | Has achieved the basic level required. Satisfactory, characterized neither by deficiency nor excellence.   |
| P - Proficient       | More than satisfactory. Has acquired a solid beginner's proficiency. This rating may be interpreted as representing "average" on our rating scale.                                 |
| S - Strong           | Exceptional proficiency, characterized by thoroughness, initiative, originality, and an exceptional student understanding and application of paramilitary resistance activities.   |
| O - Outstanding      | Performance is so exceptional in relation to requirements of the work and in comparison to the performance of other students doing similar work as to warrant special recognition. |

\* Modification of the Office of Training standard rating scale at the specific request of JMWAVE.

S-E-C-R-E-T

# "Diff Files" for NARA 2025 Documents Release JFK Assassination Records

Generated using diff-pdf and OpenCV.  
Thanks to the Mary Ferrell Foundation and  
The Black Vault for their archiving work.

[maryferrell.org](https://maryferrell.org)  
[theblackvault.com](https://theblackvault.com)  
[github.com/vslavik/diff-pdf](https://github.com/vslavik/diff-pdf)  
[opencv.org](https://opencv.org)

This file highlights **differences**  
**between the 2025 release, and previous releases.**

**Red:** Newly revealed in 2025.  
**Blue:** Present in earlier releases, but not in 2025.  
**Black:** Unchanged between versions.

For Original Documents visit:  
[archives.gov/research/jfk/release-2025](https://archives.gov/research/jfk/release-2025)

Notes:

Black text on a blue background indicates content  
that was previously redacted using black ink.

I did my best to be accurate in revealing what is new,  
but please confirm with the original sources  
where accuracy is essential.

Created by: [x.com/hlywdufo](https://x.com/hlywdufo)

[JFKdiffs.com](https://JFKdiffs.com)

S-E-C-R-E-T

FRANK

	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATING</u>
1. MAP READING (ABILITY TO REPORT LOCATIONS USING GRID COORDINATES)	<u>12</u>	<u>P</u>
2. NAUTICAL CHARTS	<u>8</u>	<u>P</u>
3. WEAPONRY (U.S. & FOREIGN)	<u>31</u>	<u>P</u>
4. CLANDESTINE MOVEMENT	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
5. RESISTANCE ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS	<u>20</u>	<u>P</u>
6. AMBUSH TACTICS AND OPERATIONS	<u>24</u>	<u>S</u>
7. RAID TACTICS AND OPERATIONS	<u>24</u>	<u>P</u>
8. CACHING	<u>20</u>	<u>P</u>
9. CLANDESTINE MOVEMENT LINES (E&E)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
10. OBSERVATION AND REPORTING TECHNIQUES	<u>40</u>	<u>S</u>
11. LEADERSHIP TRAITS AND CAPABILITY	<u>See Comments</u>	
12. OPERATIONAL PLANNING ABILITY	<u>See Comments</u>	
13. SKETCHING	<u>12</u>	<u>A</u>
14. SABOTAGE (SEE ATTACHED STB REPORT)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
15. TARGET PHOTOGRAPHY	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
16. AIR RECEPTION ACTIVITIES	<u>20</u>	<u>P</u>
17. WEAPONS QUALIFICATION (TEST RESULTS ATTACHED)	Pistol	<u>Non-Applicable</u>
	Rifle	<u>Sharpshooter</u>
	SMG	<u>Sharpshooter</u>

OVERALL PERFORMANCERATING LETTER

P

Rating takes into account trainee's effectiveness, such as performance in operational skills, tactics, techniques, leadership, planning, teamwork, motivation and limitations.

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

FRANK

The ratings above, and narrative comments hereunder, are derived from a synthesis of all evaluations submitted on each respective trainee by the instructor staff.

Overall performance ratings of all students in this class were as follows:

WEAK 0 ADEQUATE 0 PROFICIENT 6 STRONG 0 OUTSTANDING 0

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Over-all, FRANK was the most conscientious and hardest working student in his class. His serious attitude and fine performance warranted a highly proficient rating.

In preparation of plans, completion of written exercises, and execution of field problems, he demonstrated a high level of achievement.

During the Ambush Exercise, FRANK had a leadership role during which he adhered to a detailed plan, exerted good control over the team, and produced a very successful ambush operation.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF TRAINING:

PREPARED BY:

*Jerome W. Greiner*  
JEROME W. GREINER  
Project Officer

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:

*Joseph M. Hudacek*  
JOSEPH M. HUDACEK  
Chief Instructor

8/30/66  
Date

S-E-C-R-E-T

FRANK - Frank was the hardest working student of the group. He consistently applied himself to all phases of the training with equal and great diligence. However, his practical work, which took him a great deal of time to perform, was always a little sloppy. When Frank crimped detonators or threw improvised grenades, he was very shaky. However, he did not seem at all nervous about working with other hazardous material. He asked many pertinent questions which contributed to the group's training and always answered test questions correctly and in detail. He was cooperative and reacted well to correction. Some supervision was required since the staff instructors were concerned about his nervousness with detonators. His overall performance was rated as proficient.

SECRET