Deruty Chief, SSD

22 June 1954

Chief, Special Referral Branch

SUPJECT: HIDALGO, Balmes, Jr.

#65077

\mathbb{C}^{T} $\mathbb{S}\mathbf{T}$

The Subject is a covert employee of this Agency now in the United States for four weeks training and being considered for wie by Staff C/ICB in a highly sensitive assignment. Immigration authorities may submit questions through this Agency which will te answered by the Subject, but requests to have the Subject testify in open court hearings must be refused.

DETAILS

- 1. Reference is made to the letter received by the Alien Affairs Staff from the Immigration and Maturalization Service and reference to your request of 15 June 1954 to ascertain the details of the Subject's status with this Agency and prepare a reply to IMNS.
- 2. On 17 June 1956 Special Agent Chester R. HOATSCT of this cffice interviewed Mr. Robert DAHLGREN, Acting Chief, WH/FI, Room 1128 "J" Building, and MR (John BOWNET) Chief, WH/Panama, Room 220h Juarters "J", xh156, regarding the Immigration request. following information was obtained during this interview.
 - The Subject is still a covert employee of this Agency, is now in the United States (New York City) on home leave, and is scheduled to report to Washington, D.C., to commence four weeks of covert trainingon 6 July 1954.
 - b. The Subject is presently under consideration by Staff C/JCB for an assignment with a highly sensitive project under the supervision of Staff C/ICB. Under this proposed assignment any publicity or notoriety that the Subject would receive from testifying publicly on questions of Communist associations of which he may have knowledge would ultimately preclude his future use with the Staff C project and would probably jeopardize his future as a covert employee of this Agency.
 - c. If the Staff Cassignment, does not materialize, the Sybject will return to Falboef Fanana Canal Mone on approximetely 15 August 1954 as a case officer, and this position would also be jeopardized by undue publicity.

"Diff Files" for NARA 2025 Documents Release JFK Assassination Records

Generated using diff-pdf and OpenCV. Thanks to the Mary Ferrell Foundation and The Black Vault for their archiving work.

> maryferrell.org theblackvault.com github.com/vslavik/diff-pdf opencv.org

This file highlights differences between the 2025 release, and previous releases.

Red: Newly revealed in 2025.

Blue: Present in earlier releases, but not in 2025.

Black Unchanged between versions.

For Original Documents visit: archives.gov/research/jfk/release-2025

Notes:

Black text on a blue background inidcates content that was previously redacted using black ink.

l did my best to be accurate in revealing what is new, but please confirm with the original sources where accuracy is essential.

Created by: x.com/hlywdufo

JFKdiffs.com

- 3. In. DAMEGRET and In. Equipment indicated that from a WH standpoint only there would be no objection to a controlled interview with the Subject if the interview hould be afforded the Subject while he is still in New York City. This, however, would have to be coordinated with Staff CART for nossible objections that they might raise in view of their proposed use of the Subject. In Borner recoested that he le informed of any action taken in this matter and any public testimony or open court testimony would have to depend on the seriousness of the case being prepared by the Immigration authorities.
- h. Any contact with the Subject during his period of covert training from 6 July to approximately 15 August 195h would have to also be confinated with ir. (Feter HOEHL) Covert Training Officer, OTE, whose office is located in Building, T-31.
- FURNE) Staff T/ICB, 1613 "I" Building, x668, to discuss the Subject's future position and also the request received from ItMS. The following factors were obtained from Mr. (BURKE)
 - a. The Subject's use in the proposed Staff C project is still in the planning stage, but the assignment that the Subject is being considered for is a highly sensitive one and any further publicity which the Subject may receive would ultimately discualify him for consideration on this project.
 - b. Any approval for IMNS contact of the Subject would have to be determined after carefully considering the importance of the IMNS case for which the Subject's testimony may be required. If (FIRME) indicated that the Subject could still be considered as an employee of IMN Division regardless of whether or not the proposed Staff C/TCB assignment materializes and any action in the request received from IMNS should be closely coordinated with both Staff C and WH.
- 6. Mr. PENITIGION, Alien Affairs Staff, SO, contacted William A. HOGAN, Special Assistant to the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization on 18 June 1954 requesting further information regarding their memorandum of 9 June 1954 on the Subject. Mr. HOGAN explained that IMNS has a list of possible informants which contains the Subject's name. The people on this list are being contacted for use on a continuing basis wher specific cases arise which might fall in their particular area of knowledge. At the present time, IMNS has no specific case forwhich they want to contact the Subject, but they just want to know if he would be available in the future for use as an informant regarding various Communist activities of which the Subject has personal knowledge.

- 7. After his conversation with Mr. HOSM, Mr. FEMMUNGTON indicated that a refusal of the FEES request would not be considered out of order, but recommended cooperating to the entent that I MS could submit specific questions to this Agency which could be presented to the Subject and the answer forwarded to MMS. The refusal of their original request should be based on the sensitivity of the Subject's position which could be jeopardized to any undue publicity and also on the fact that the Subject is was frequently and it would be difficult to locate him and an amount contact was printered.
- One 1991 that Ar. HOGAN, Special Issistant to the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization, has indicated in a conversation with Ir. SPROVEL on S June 1994 that IRMS had been able to locate the Entject's location and affiliation with this Agency through the Fullicit's father who told the inspector that the Subject was entleved by this Agency in Ealboa, Panama Canal Zone, which appears to be a security flap on the part of the Subject's father.
- Chief, WH/Panama, was recontacted on 16 dure 1951 and informed of the natural of the 1962 recoest and also informed of the apparent security flap on the part of the Subject's father. On the basis of this further information, it was suggested to Mr. (BONNET) that the Fans authorities be requested to submit any questions through this agency which would, in turn, be presented to the Subject and his answers be returned to IAMS. Mr. (BONNET) agreed to the proposal of having the Tans people contact the Subject through this Agency and also indicated that the reported security breach on the part of the Subject's father would be taken into consideration and an attempt would be made to determine the extent of the Subject's relatives or accuaintances know of the Subject's employment by this Agency. Mr. (Paul FURKE) Staff C/ICB, also agreed to the proposed procedure and requested that any and all action taken be coordinated with WH and Staff C.

ATTICM

It is recommended that the attached memorandum to I&NS and to the Chief, \text{\text{\text{M}}} Division, with a carbon copy to Staff C/ICE, be forwarded and that no further action be taken pending a report from Mr. \(\frac{\text{\text{BINIST}}}{\text{\text{ctional}}} \) regarding the seriousness of the security flap from an operational standpoint.

Charles W. Kane

Attachments

1 - Letter to ISMS

1 - Letter to WH with cc to Staff C/JCB

HOATSON/mln 6/21/51