

THIS COPY IS NOT FOR RELEASE.

CIA files on these persons were requested and reviewed by HSCA staff members.

their contact with the defector to the Agency. (See ^{other} notes.) One file reg. a former military person who ^{contains a debriefing} report of a debriefing conducted by mil. intell. (See, one of the remaining 4 indirs. was

14-6
[]
file
in complete no file

Rec. mil. info.
in several areas

Webster:

The circumstances of the Agency's contact w/ the 4 remaining indirs. were diff. in each case. One of the defectors was [unwittingly] interviewed [by a CIA officer in the U.S.]. (See, 14-6) Upon his departure from the S.U. en route to the U.S., while L's file indicates that the Agency considered a full & controlled debriefing by the CIA, FBI de conducted in Angleton wrote to the FBI suggesting a joint debriefing, there is no evidence in L's 201 file nor any DCD doc. which suggest further contact on the part of the CIA.

One of the individuals ^{already relatively free during} who was not recorded by the CIA in their file admits that he had actually been living in the USSR since 1933 & was released to the U.S. in 1962. (See, 14-6) He was interviewed by [] CIA officer ^{using 000-24} after applying for employment in response to a news paper advertisement. (Rev. of L's file.)

Joint debriefings were conducted of the other two defcs. Pkt. E. Webster, the legally ^{a plastics} expert with ^{wrote defector info} the Read Rev. (See, 14-6) in 1959 was largely publicized, was in ^{Sixty thereafter, component} contact with the Agency conducted a debriefing of Webster in his home territory. Webster had been employed in the S.U. at the Kirovograd Scientific Inst. of Polyethylene Plastics.

4
BR

180-10144-10068

"Diff Files" for NARA 2025 Documents Release

JFK Assassination Records

Generated using diff-pdf and OpenCV.
Thanks to the Mary Ferrell Foundation and
The Black Vault for their archiving work.

maryferrell.org
theblackvault.com
github.com/vslavik/diff-pdf
opencv.org

This file highlights **differences**
between the 2025 release, and previous releases.

Red: Newly revealed in 2025.

Blue: Present in earlier releases, but not in 2025.

Black: Unchanged between versions.

Notes:

Black text on a blue background indicates content
that was previously redacted using black ink.

I did my best to be accurate in revealing what is new,
but please confirm with the original sources
where accuracy is essential.

Created by: x.com/hlywdifo

JFKdiffs.com

For Original Documents visit:
archives.gov/research/jfk/release-2025

Donald Deasely
^{worked}

(This fact was corroborated by another CIA employee, ^{Deasely} who worked in the FBI in the Government of the Director of Intelligence in 1962 told the HSCA that he specifically recalled collecting intelligence info. regarding the Minsk Radio Plant. In fact, Deasely claims that, during the summer of 1962, he reviewed a contact report from ^{interviewing} rep. of the CIA's N.Y. field office, who interviewed a former Polish who had ^{been} worked at the Minsk Radio Plant following his defection to the USSR. This person who Deasely believes may have been Oswald had been living w/ his family in Minsk. Deasely advised the Committee that the contact report was filed in a volume concerning the Minsk Radio Plant which should be retrievable from the Industrial Registry Bureau which, in 1962, was a component of the DDC.

^{made a request to the}
The HSCA requested a ^{both the} to the

to the CIA. The HSCA requested that the CIA provide the Committee ~~the~~ above-mentioned contact report & all m. of materials concerning the Minsk Radio Plant. ^(admin/18) ^{Letter to the HSCA} The CIA provided a review of the docs. in the volume in the M.R.P. revealed that no such file existed regarding the M.R.P., but an contact report existed in that file. (O.C.C.). The file review did indicate that in 1975, Daniel Selous had made a similar request ^{in regard to} ^{such report} ^{for Deasely.} & was informed by the CIA that no ^{such report} existed.

If the 29 persons requested, our place did not have a file for me index. ^{the Ag. was not able to locate} ^{in the case} of 6 other index., the file review did not indicate that they were sent to the U.S.

22

The HSCA reviewed

^{the CIA} After reviewing files on the 29 index. who fit the above-described criteria & were provided files on the 28 of the 29 index. on whom they maintained records. ^{Both 20} files were reviewed as ^{well as any existing} DDC files ^{for} regarding those index. In the case of 6 index., there is no indication in their files that they were returned to the U.S. Even so, there were occasional reports from sources who observed or spoke w/ these persons while in the S.U., but there is no indication of direct contact w/ them by the CIA.

19 4

In regard to the other 22 defectors, ^{the file review indicates that} there is no record of direct CIA contact w/ 18 of them. Again, some of these files contain a report from a source who reported

It becomes clear from the review of files on these defs. that debriefing of defectors was in fact somewhat of a random occurrence. However, in the instances ~~where~~ ^{in which} the Ag. did choose to debrief returning am. def., the Ag appears to be interested in ~~only~~ topics of general interest ^{to the} Ag. Life in certain areas

of the Sov. U. In this regard, OO — Where are you.

The persons who were debriefed were similar to ~~in that they left at or in the same general time period~~ and spent their time in the S.U. in areas of interest to the CIA.

More ext. deb. were conducted of the other 2 defs. E.L. W., a plastics expert w/c. A.C. whose deb. to the S.U. in 1959 was highly publicized, 1st. to the U.S. in 6/63.

W had been employed in the S.U. at the ~~the~~ Soviet Inst. of Polytech. Plastics.

Shortly after his return, W was debriefed in his home territory by ~~the~~ ^{Ag.} from 00/6, 5/2/63 to the A.F. It was decided that a more ext. debriefing was in order.

i. W was subsequently brought to the Washington where he was deb. for a period of 2 wks. The deb. reports incl. a chron. of W's life & the CIA's access of him as well as a log. of info. regarding life in the S.U., W's work there & being info. on persons he had met during his residence there.

Ref. 7/59
Rev. 6/25/63

Similarly, Vlado Bucurante who had lived in the S.U. for nearly 4 yrs., was selected for purposes of debriefing shortly after his ret. to the U.S. in late June of 1963. His initial debriefing included such subjs. as his motivation to defect to USSR as well as activities engaged in during Moscow stay, relocation from Moscow to Kiev & general aspects of life such as residence costs & costs. While the Ag. felt that they would be interested in eliciting more info. ^{on such topics} in the S.U. as cost of living, med. care, consumer goods, highway/highways, transport, restrictions w/in Kiev.

While the CIA felt it was unfeasible to debrief R. more thoroughly due to his current status of trying to regain U.S. cit.

Ag. fit

1622-1125-B : Index cards

#1623-1125-C Dated 30 Oct. 1975

Discusses letters intercepted

States that copies of intercepted letters were passed to FBI in course of normal exchange of intelligence. Substance doesn't relate to JFK ^{access.}

"The records examined to date do not disclose whether or not this information was made avail. to the N.C. by the FBI or CIA.

Vol. 5 608-256-C

Copies of letters ^{written by LHO & written} forwarded to CIA by letter dated 17 March 1964

from J. Lee Rankin, H.C. of N.C. to R. Helms, ADP

Ratio - questions

Gen. Backgd.

Attn. file on LHO items

Index cards

HSCA question

Agency response

#1

I CIA statements to H.C. (#988-927-BD, #1038-405-B,
affidavit
info. provided W.C. Insert for C.I. Staff History
#1063-964-B, #1073-964-L)

II CIA statements to ~~Entwistle~~ (#961, 962, 969, 970)

CIA statements to HSCA; D.C.B.

III T.B.C. memo & interview (#435-173-A)
[#3369]

IV Defectors

M.K. (#1004-400)
S.O.P. - DCB

V G.R.B. request

#609
#610

VI ~~Scope - AEM~~ See #1188-1000 p.3

=

#618-673

609-786

610-263

1188-1000

1004-400

1187-

Hock - Rock. Comm.