

THIS COPY IS NOT FOR RELEASE.

CIA files on these persons were requested and reviewed by HSCA
staff members.

their contact with the defector to the Agency. (Grand
Dawson Butterworth) One file reg. a former
military person who ^{contains a debriefing} was defected to the U.S. report of a debriefing conducted by anal. intell. (Assy)
One of the remaining 4 indirs. was

14-6
[Mugshot - 4 individuals
in complete no file
file]

of the remaining 4 indirs. who ^{had a} directly
rec'd. info. of the remaining 4 indirs. who ^{had a} direct contact upon their ret. to the U.S. the
in several areas

Webster: The circumstances of the Agency's contact w/ the 4 remaining indirs. were diff. in each
case. [+] Another attorney, H.C., [unwittingly] interviewed [by a CIA officer in the U.S. exp.
in [Copenhagen]] upon his departure from the S.U. en route to the U.S. While L's file indicates
that the Agency considered a full & controlled debriefing by the CIA & FBI de
conducted in Angleton wrote to the FBI suggesting a joint debriefing, there is no
evidence in L's 201 file nor any DCD doc. which suggest further contact on the part
of the CIA.

One of the individuals ^{was already slightly familiar} was interviewed by the CIA at another file. He stated that he
had actually been living in the USSR since 1933 & returned to the U.S. in 1962, &
He was interviewed by [] CIA officer [using DDC cover] after applying for employment in
response to a newspaper advertisement. (Rev. of DDC file.)

Direct debriefings were conducted of the other two defcs. R.H. E. Webster, the highly
expert with ^{were defectors affected} probably the Read Rev. (exp. in 1959) was highly publicized, was in
More extensive debriefings were conducted of the other 2 defcs. R.H. E. Webster, a plastics
expert with the Read Rev. (exp. whose defection to the S.U. in 1959 was slightly publicized,
ret. to the U.S. in 6/62. Shortly thereafter, components ^{debriefing} w/in the Agency conducted a joint debriefing
of Webster in his home territory. Webster had been employed in the S.U. at the Kirovgrad Strategic
Inst. of Polyethylene Plastics

4
1
BR

180-10144-10068

Ronald Deasely

(This fact was corroborated by another CIA employee who worked in the Sov. Bureau of the Directorate of Intelligence in 1962 told the HSCA that he specifically recalled collecting intelligence info. regarding the Moscow Radio Plant. In fact, Deasely claims that, during the summer of 1962, he reviewed a contact report of an interview ^{info from} ~~by~~ rep. from the CIA's N.Y. field office, who interviewed a former Marine who had last worked at the Moscow Radio Plant following his defection to the USSR. This person who Deasely believes may have been Oswald had been living w/ his family in Moscow. Deasely advised the Committee that the contact report was filed in a volume concerning the Moscow Radio Plant which should be retrievable from the Subject Registry Branch which, in 1962, was a component of the DDCR.

The HSCA requested ^{made a request to} ~~the CIA~~ to the

To the CIA: The HSCA requested that the CIA provide the Committee ~~the above-~~ ^{with the} requested contact report & the vol. of materials concerning the Moscow Radio Plant. ^(admin) ~~the DDCR~~ ^{Letter} ~~Vol.~~ A review of the doc. in the volume in the M.R.P. revealed that no such file existed regarding the M.R.P., but no contact report existed in that file. (DCC). The file review did indicate that in 1975, David Schorr had made a similar request ^{for Deasely} ~~and info appears to exist~~ ^{such report} from the CIA, & was informed by the CIA that no document was received.

If the 29 persons requested, ~~as presented~~ did not have a file for the individual in the case of 6 other indices, the file review did not indicate that they ever left to the U.S.

The HSCA reviewed

The HSCA

After reviewing files on the 29 indices, who fit the above-described criteria, the CIA provided files on 28 of the 29 indices, on whom they maintained records. ^{With the} 20 files were reviewed as ^{val as any existing} ~~DDC files~~ regarding these indices. In the case of 6 indices, there is no indication in their files that they ever returned to the U.S. Even so, there were occasional reports from sources who observed or spoke w/ these persons while in the S.U., but there is no indication of direct contact w/ them by the CIA.

In regard to the other 22 defectors, ^{the file review indicates that} there is no record of direct CIA contact w/ 18 of them. Again, some of these files contain a report from a source who reported

It becomes clear from the review of files on these defs. that debriefing of defectors was in fact somewhat of a random occurrence. However, in the instances ~~where~~^{in which} the Ag. did choose to debrief returning Am. def., the Ag appears to be interested in ~~various~~^{the} topics of general interest ~~regarding~~^{to} life in certain areas.

of the Sov. U. In this regard, 90 — Where are you.

The persons who were debriefed were similar to ~~in that they left & ret. w/in the same~~ general time period, and spent their time in the S. U. in areas of interest to the CIA.

More ext. deb. were conducted of the other 2 defs. E.L. W., a plastics expert w/r. A.C. whose def. to the S. U. in 1959 was highly publicized, ret. to the U.S. in 6/63.

W had been employed in the S. U. at the Sov. Scientific Inst. of Polytech. Plastics.

Shortly after his return, W was debriefed in his home territory ~~by~~^{yes} from 00/6, 5/2/63 to the A.F. It was decided that a more ext. debriefing was in order.

W was subsequently brought to the Washington where he was deb. for a period of 2 wks. The deb. reports incl. a chron. of W's life & the CIA's access. of him as well as a log. study of info. regarding life in the S. U., W's work there & being info. on persons he had met during his residence there.

Likewise, Vito Cimarelli who had lived in the S. U. for nearly 4 yrs., was selected for purposes of debriefing shortly after his ret. to the U.S. in late June of 1963. His initial debriefing included such subjs. as his motivation to defect to USSR as well as activities engaged in during Moscow stay, relocation from Moscow to Kiev & general aspects of life such as residence costs & costs. While the Ag. felt that they would be interested in eliciting more info. ^{on such topics} re: life in the S. U. as cost of living, med. care, consumer goods, highway/highways, transport, restrictions w/r. Kiev.

While the CIA felt it was unfeasible to debrief R more thoroughly due to his current status of trying to regain U.S. cit.,

Ag. felt

1622-1125-B

: Index cards

#1623-1125-C Dated 30 Oct. 1975

Discusses letters intercepted

States that copies of intercepted letters were passed to FBI in course of normal exchange of intelligence. Substance doesn't relate to JFIL excess.

"The records examined to date do not disclose whether or not this information was made avail. to the N.C. by the FBI or CIA.

Vol. 5 608-256-C

Copies of letters, written by LHO to partie
written by LHO to partie
forwarded to CIA by letter dated 17 March 1964
from J. Lee Parker, H.C. of N.C. to R. Holmes, ADP

Info - questions

Gen. Backgnd.

Att. file on LHO items

Index cards

HSCA question

Agency response

#1

I. CIA statements to N.C. (#988-927-BD, #1038-405-B,
CIA info. provided W.C. Insert for CI 3158 History
#1063-964-B, #1073-964-L)

II. CIA statements to Fierstwald (#961, 962, 969, 970)

CIA statements to HSCA; DCA;

III. T.B.C. memo & interviews (#435-173-A)
[#3369]

IV. Defectors

M.K. (#1004-400)
S.O.P. - DCI

V. G.R.B. request

#609
#610

VI. Sop. Adm See #1188-1000 p.3

■

#618-673

609-786

610-263

1188-1000

1004-400

1187-

Hock-Rock. Conn.