

THERMAL UT WHEY

76 5608/1

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

## 2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

### 3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM
RELEASE IN FULL
1999

HITERMAL USE ONLY

on how to approach its review.)

- b. <u>Material</u> Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.
- c. Briefings Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those in the Agency working in this area have full access to all relevant information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. It is requested that the Working Group established under the chairmanship of Scott Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

- 1 DCI
- 1 DDCI
- 1 ER
- 1 Scott Breckinridge
- 1 OGC
- 1 IG
- 1 DDA
- 1 DDO
- 1 OLC Subject
- 1 OLC Chrono

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

TRANSMI	TTAL SLIP	DATE
то:	ER	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS	<del></del>	
	9460 Sic f	ezs returned Loi Rewrite.
FROM:		<del></del>
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION
	1	

	ERNAL ONLY COUTING	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET		
SUBJECT: (Optional)						
FROM: Lyle L. Miller Deputy Legislative Counsel			EXTENSION	EXTENSION NO.		
			4151	DATE 28 October 1976		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D/ RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number sech comment to show from v to whom. Draw a line across column after each comm		
1. Legislative Counsel	28	CT 1976	860			
2. General Counsel - 7D07	a 28-76	1	042			
Inspector General -2E24  4. DDO 2 N  DDA BX-4	DV 1976	27975	1978	From 3: While we should try to forward leaning, it is realistic expect that we will probably be largely reactive. For instance,		
DDCI - Approval  5.  DCI - Approval	10	NUV 1976		briefing that may anticipate intended ests incorrectly could be injuried and not helpful; our offer should be to provide a briefing that meaning their perceived initial requirements.		
<b>6.</b>				for an introduction. We will do it to their specifications.		
<ul><li>Scott Breckinridge - OIG 2E24</li></ul>				The OLC should also press for management arrangements essentia		
8.	/			making things go smoothly. Space and people should be arranged or priority basis; registry, reading		
9.				room, administrative space. Spa should be arranged for the House investigators to read the files		
10.				occurred with the Senate.  Re an inventory one is all		
11.				underway.  As DDO and DDA have an interest.		
12.			<u> </u>	should coordinate on this too.		
13.				John H. Waller Inspector General		
14.	·		<del></del>	Insu with the popular		
15.			· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Municipality		

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

DD/026-8/66

INSPECTOR

SENERAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Scott D. Breckinridge

Deputy Inspector General

FROM:

Lyle L. Miller

Deputy Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee

on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

#### 2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

# 3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

ER

- b. Material Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.
- c. Briefings Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those who work on it have full access to all information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. Subject to the approval of the Director and the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, it is requested that you seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis (through the Working Group established under your chairmanship for this purpose) the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Lyle L. Miller

CONCURRENCE:

SIGNED

/s/ Anthony A. Lapham

John H. Wanes Nov

Legislative Counsel

General Counsel 2 9 OCT 1976 Inspector General

APPROVAL:

Director of Central Intelligence

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Distribution:

Orig - Add'e 1 - OLC Subject

1 - DCI 1 - OLC Chrono

1 - DDCI

-1 - ER

1 - OGC

1 - IG

OLC: LLM: nd1 (27 October 1976)

92. HY ST II OI NON

		sii Var		الما
TLANSM	LTTAL SLIP	DATE	6.	
<b>то:</b> Е	R			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	,	, ,	•
REMARKS:				-
ER# 76	5608/1			
		٠.		
				. •
FROM:				
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTEN	SION
FORM NO . 241	REPLACES FORM 36- WHICH MAY BE USE			(

Brown to An Jietry

76-6608/1

OLC 76-3094 3 27 October 1976

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

### 2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

# 3. Proposal

a. <u>Inventory</u> - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

Nov 23 2 13 PH 76

EK

THE BOOM PILE TORRESTA

MITERIAL USE ONLY

INTERNALLY

16-4608/1

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

### 2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

#### 3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

on how to approach its review.)

- b. <u>Material</u> Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.
- c. Briefings Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those in the Agency working in this area have full access to all relevant information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. It is requested that the Working Group established under the chairmanship of Scott Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

'/Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - Scott Breckinridge

1 - OGC

1 - IG

1 - DDA

1 - DDO

1 - OLC Subject

1 - OLC Chrono

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

100 21 1 62 74 26 92. W to 1 62 100

EU2

INTERNAL HISE ONLY

J 1 35 P