Executive Registry

whatist

76. 5502

'U.S. Integrity

Is at Stake

In JFK Probe!

Bemard Fenstervald Ir., a Washington lawyer who represented James McCord during Watergate and James Iarl Ray, convicted of killing Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., heads the Committee to investigate Assassinations, a group of vivate citizens that has investigated he slayings of President John F. Kennely and others. He was interviewed by Washington Star Staff Viriter Michael Jackhell

Q: The Committee to Investigate Assassinations has long been suspected by some people of being some how connected with the CIA and you yourself being labeled possibly a CIA agent or CIA plant. Are you in fact a CIA agent of any sort?

Fersterwald: No, I'm a full-time lawyer. Neither I nor my partner have ever been affiliated with the CIA or worked for the CIA either: with or without pay in any way. snape or form. I have no idea where: ine rumor started. I don't know any one that knows anything about my history that has even made such anaccusation. On the converse side; going back to the early '60s when I worked for the Senate as counsel for one of their committees, I did and investigation on the CIA and since then I have represented a number of lients in cases against the CIA to the extent that if there's any evidence at all, it all points in the other direction.

Q: Is it possible that the CIA or the FBI has evidence locked away somewhere, that could shed new light on the investigations into the JFK assassination or perhaps Martin Luther King's assassination?

CIA HAS IN DECLASSIFICATION AND/OH RELEASE OF THIS DOCUMENT

A. It's always possible, and there is considerable evidence which is under lock and key in the National Archives today which will remain classified until the year 2039 unless it is declassified by the various government agencies, primarily the FBI and the CIA. In addition to that, there are literally thousands of documents that the CIA did not give to the Warren Commission and did not go to the National Archives which have never been made public. A number of freedom of information suits have resulted in declassification of a part of this material, yet we know from the index: they give us that they have a mass of material which has never been made public

G. Apart from material that might prove distasteful or hurtful to the Kennedy family, the JFK autopsy photographs for example, is there other evidence or do you feel there's other material under lock and key that could shed significant light on the assessinglings?

the assassinations?

A. Well, certainly from reviewing the index of the withheld material which they have been forced to supply to various district courts, you get the impression that much very vital information is being withheld. Again, I say, without knowing what that information is, it's hard to say where it's going to point.

Q: Can you hazard a guess as to what they might have?

A: No, I can't. I can only tell you so far as the CIA is concerned a large part of the withheld testimony involves a trip Lee Harvey Oswald made to Mexico just immediately prior to the assassination. No one in the public knows what this trip was all about. But we do know that the CIA has been particularly sensitive with respect to that. We do know that there were CIA cameras taking picfures of people going in and out of the Cuban and Soviet embassies and they took a number of pictures of a man they labeled Lee Harvey Oswald. From looking at him, he is obviously not Lee Harvey Oswald, but the CIA will not identify him. Will the Melling that the second

American public to just simply release this information and get to the bottom of the matter once and for

A: The Committee to Investigate Assassinations has urged through the years that all of the information with respect to the John Kennedy assassination be made public. The official solution is that Lee Harvey Oswald was a lone nut killer and that there were no other people involved and. there were no international ramifications.: And therefore, taking that story as gospel makes it difficult for a lawyer to see why they should cover anything. However; there is this intense desire on the part of government to keep it secret, and I think in any lawsuit where you have this sort of mania for locking the evidence up you create the suspicion that there's more there. And I don't think anybody at this time outside the government knows what happened to John Kennedy. I think the congressional committees in time will find out.

Q: It has been 13 years since the Kennedy assassination. Why reopen his grave?

A: It's not really a question of reopening his grave. I know the subject is frequently talked about in terms similar to that. I think that the integrity of our whole political process is probably at stake because whether the Warren Commission was right or wrong, k think that the American people - and the polls bear this out have a great doubt as to whether Oswald was properly investigated. They have a doubt as to whether Oswald was a lone nut killer. And until their doubts can be satisfied, I think the integrity of the system and the credibility of the government is very much weakened.

Q: Why has it taken 13 years for Congress to become officially involved in this?

-A: Well, it's a number of reasons. One, I think the membership of the Warren Commission itself contained so many outstanding people that it is difficult to believe that for whatever reason they would not seriously try to give the public a full answer. There's a great reluctance to disbelieve people like Justice Warren and Sen. Russell. Secondly, I think until Watergate people tended to disbelieve that their government could be involved in anything of this nature. But even more recently the revelations, the accounts of the CIA to kill Fidel Castro have gotten rid of the last reluctance there is:

- Q= Is it conceivable that the Warren Commission—in the interests of

G

10

ers a lawyer I tend to believe .ey-scude the best conclusion could from the evidence at han know of so much key evidence that was either withheld or destroyed that you get a general feeling that they had only a relatively small part of the really important material. For example, Lee Harvey Oswald wrote a threatening note to an FBI agent shortly before the assassination. In a matter of an hour or two before Jack Ruby shot Oswald, the FBI agent flushed it down the toilet. Now this was never reported to the Warren Commission. There are certain people who can link Oswald and Ruby, and this material was never passed to the commission. So I think it's a very harsh judgment to say that this very distinguished bunch of Americans - including the chief justice of the United States -- purposely did not reach the proper conclusion.

· 0: How long will it take the House to investigate this affair and come to some sort of a conclusion. Will it bo years away, do you feel?

A: No, I don't think it will be that far away. The House of Representatives had two basic roads they could have followed. They could have takentheir staff members, people who were thoroughly familiar with the subject but equally opinionated, or they could start with people who were good lawyers and good investigators and start with a clean mind and simply go down the same road that others have been going down for 12 years. They chose the latter. That will take somewnat more time, but with the caliber of people that have been chosen I can't see that it's going to take a matter of years. I think it will take a number of months longer than it would going the other way.

Q: Eunice Shriver, who of course lost two brothers to assassins, has said that she would prefer the whole thing be dropped, that the investigations into JFK and Robert Kennedy's dezths shouldn't be opened. How do you respond when a family member expresses this kind of a sentiment?

A: Well, I think all of us feel a basic sympathy, not only for Mrs. Shriver but also for Mrs. Rose Kennedy and for the remaining brother, Sen. Kennedy. But it's my own feeling that the question of what happened to the Kennedy brothers, if something more than meets the eye

did occur, is really a matter of national concern and transcends their own very understandable interest it. I think that the investigation cercause minimum grief to the family. And I think there's a very good chance that this will happen because. I think the members of the House of, Representatives and the members of the Church committee in the Senate was it formed? are very well aware of the problem, and I can't see any reason why itcannot be done without terribly distasteful evidence being trotted out in public. I just don't think that's necessary.

Q: You were the attorney for James Earl Ray. Do you feel that there is evidence that could clear him or alternatively to prove that he was net the lone assassin?

A: It is my own personal belief that there was a conspiracy. I think that the question would be resolved if James Earl Ray were given a trial. I think most of us in the United States, most of the public certainly, and almost all of Washington that I know were simply amazed when a guilty: plea was extracted from him and he went off to serve a 99-year term without a trial. It came as a great shock to most people and I think most people were rather disappointed in a crime of this magnitude a man would not in fact stay for a jury trial. I spent six years trying to achieve this... I must confess I was unsuccessful, but it is very possible that this inves-,: tigation of the House of Representatives would achieve in a lot shorter period than six years what we were unable to do in the courts.

Q: The FBI is now known to have hounded Martin Luther King and there has been speculation that that FBI may have some way been involved in his death. Do you subscribe to this possibility?

A: I have seen no evidence to that effect and I have seen in both the John F. Kennedy case and the Martin Luther King case that one has to be careful to distinguish between the crime and the investigation. I think in the Martin Luther King case that the FBI because of its animosity for Dr. King may not have made what we would consider their best effort to investigate it. But we have made a Control of the Contro

long jump from that possibility to the possiblity that we had anything to do with killing. It seems to me that these are questions that gave the congrestainly into President Kennedy's sional communities the powers that death can be done in such a way as to they have. I don't really know that speculating gets anywhere.

Q: Your Committee to Investigate Assassinations, what do you think it has been able to accomplish? Why

A: It was formed for two basic reasons. One was to get the critics of the Warren Commission to coordinate their efforts. The basic aims of the group were to gather information to keep the subject of John Kennedy': assassination alive until we could convince the Congress to reopen their own investigation. Fortunately, 3 think we've been very successful The subject has been kept alive. As the climate in the country changed the climate in Congress changed, we were able, with the help of a lot o other people, to persuade them go is with a full-fledged investigation. We intend to turn over all of our investi gation to them and to give any othe assistance.

Q: There's been an eternal fascina tion with John F. Kermedy's rourde: Do you think when or if the Hous investigation reaches its conclusion that will lay to rest finally the speci lation?

- A: I believe that if this House com imittee continues to get the support i the next Congress that they have i the last months of this Congress, the they will investigate this killing thou oughly. I think they will cull out in leads, I think they will look at all th evidence, and if after that length c time and that length of investigating they conclude that the Warren Conmission was correct, I certainly thin that 99.9 percent of the people will b satisfied. I must say that in all hene ty, I know of a few people who ar never going to be satisfied, at leas you can never satisfy all of them be cause they each have a particula theory. And these theories are intenally inconsistent if nothing else. Bu I think that as a practical matter the if a congressional communities, and not this one, maybe the Senat communities or maybe both of their will attack the job they are suppose to do, I think that most of the doubt if not all of them, will be laid to rest.