2	
SL:	44-775
HPD · wma.	

NAME	DATE	AGENT
LAFAYETTE PARK, 1710 Carroll Captain JACK VAUGHN - Director	5-27-68	ALEN E. MCELWAIN
7701 Rawnels (Maplewood, Missouri) Captain DONALD LOVEJOY - Director	**	***
NORTHSIDE, 1323 Sullivan Captain DANIEL UPTEGROVE - Director	**	11 11
SOUTHSIDE, 801 Allen THOMAS ONKLE - Office Employee	5 -24- 68	JOHN O. TRETHWAY
WELLSTON, 6203 Cote Brilliante Captain J. A. MILLER	**	**
BEREA MISSION, INC., 3536 Russell CHARLES RUSSELL - Business Manager	**	11
LUTHERAN MISSION ASSOCIATION, 1323 Herbert DOROTHY HARTON - Secretary	11	11 11
OPEN DOOR MISSIONARY FELLOWSHIP, 4045 Ashland H. H. PETERS, Secretary	11	**
DISMAS HOUSE, 903 Cole VIRGINIA BRADSHAW - Secretary	5 -27- 68	LAWRENCE B.CURTIN
FATHER DEMPSEY CHARITIES, 3427 Washington ELMER WILLIAM - Manager	11	11 11
ST. LOUIS RESCUE MISSION, 4023 Olive JAMES CARTER - Sexton	**	11 11
SUNSHINE MISSION, 614 Franklin WILLIAM L. BUSCHART - Superintendent	11	**
SHINING LIGHT TABERNACLE, 1428 Monroe Reverend JESSE GREEN - Pastor.	11	11

 $\frac{3}{5}$ L:

44-775

HRD:wma

NAME

DATE AGENT

HUMAN SERVICE CENTER, 905 North 5th, Poplar Bluff, Missouri WILLIAM BRIGDEN - Manager 5-28-68 WILLIAM R.BURTON

No other charitable type missions which furnish lodging to indigents were found within the territory covered by the St. Louis Division.

SL: 44-775

IV. FELLOW PRISONERS

Date	6-12-68

DONALD GARFIELD COX, residing at the northeast corner of Case and Thelma, Berkeley, Missouri, was interviewed at his place of employment, Derby Service Station, 8970 Natural Bridge, Berkeley, Missouri, and furnished the following information:

He was confined to the Missouri State Penitentiary from September, 1960, to September, 1963, after his conviction for armed robbery in the city of St. Louis, Missouri.

He viewed a photograph of JAMES EARL RAY as depicted on FBI Wanted Flyer #442-A dated April 19, 1968. He advised that he recognized the center photograph on this wanted flyer as a JAMES RAY with whom he was acquainted in the penitentiary. He advised that he knew RAY only slightly and described RAY as a loner who seldom associated with the other inmates. He stated that RAY was not well liked in the penitentiary and that he came to the penitentiary with the attitude that he was a "big shot" and was going to run things. He advised that RAY was not a gambler to his knowledge nor did RAY collect gambling debts for anyone else.

While in the penitentiary he, COX, associated with BOB BURNS, BOB DOUGLAS, CLYDE CASEY, and GLEN CHERNICK. He advised that he is acquainted with a JAMES BROWN from the city of St. Louis. He advised he is unaware of BROWN's present residence but that BROWN would be approximately 33 years of age at the present. He is unaware of whether or not BROWN was close to JAMES RAY. He advised that BROWN had "so many stories" that he tried to stay away from BROWN. He advised that BROWN was a "liar" and always had some stories to tell.

He is not acquainted with a (first name unknown) ALLEN who was an inmate at the Missouri State Penitentiary. He advised that he may recognize a photograph of ALLEN but that he knew many of the inmates only by their faces rather than their names.

He advised that he is acquainted with a "SLICK" STEDHAM doing life at the Missouri State Penitentiary from Kansas City. COX also described STEDHAM as a liar.

On 6-5-68 of Berkeley, Missouri File # SL 44-775

SA WILLIAM H. WARFIELD

SA MARVIN R. DORAN WHW: amb

Date distated

2 St. 44-775

He was aware of no organization at the Missouri State Penitentiary that acted as enforcers or who in anyway "ran" the penitentiary. He advised that if there was any single organization or man who runs the Missouri State Penitentiary that man would be BOB BURNS whom he described as a small man presently doing 75 years from St. Louis for armed robbery. BURNS' brother is supposedly a detective lieutenant in the St. Louis Police Department.

With respect to known gamblers at the Missouri State Penitentiary he advised that JOHNNIE JOHNSON from Kansas City and JACK KALENKA (phonetic) also from Kansas City were known gamblers who have now both been released from the penitentiary. He advised that CLYDE CASEY, GLEN CHERNICK, and "SLICK" STEDHAM were also gamblers. He advised he is unacquainted with a JOHNNIE VELANTI.

He advised that JAMES EARL RAY resided in B Hall when he was residing in C Hall at the penitentiary.

COX pointed out that he could furnish no information concerning RAY or the associates of RAY, however, he advised that should any information concerning RAY become known to him he will immediately contact the FBI. COX pointed out that he has "gone straight" since leaving the penitentiary, has a good job, and wants to stay on the right side of the law.

SL 44-775 WCJ/leh

RE: PRISON ASSOCIATE CARL BENTON DRAKE

On June 5, 1968, CARL BENTON DRAKE was re-interviewed by SA WALTER C.JOHNSON and said he had heard nothing regarding JAMES EARL RAY. He again expressed a willingness to cooperate in the event he learned anything of value. DRAKE said he had been extremely busy doing tuckpointing work this spring and did not come in contact with any of his former prison associates.

1 SL: 44-775 WRB:mjb

RE: JAMES COOPER GREEN. JR.

- FELLOW PRISONERS

On May 16, 1968, JAMES COOPER GREEN, JR., 942 Grand, Caruthersville, Missouri, was contacted at the Town and Country Supply Company, Highway 84 west, by SA WILLIAM R. BURTON.

GREEN advised that he was sentenced to the Missouri State Penitentiary at Jefferson City, Missouri, and arrived there on November 29, 1965. He stayed approximately four weeks and was transferred to Algoa until May 3, 1966, at which time he went back to Jefferson City for a period of two weeks. He was then transferred to the Moberly, Missouri, Farm. During his stay at Moberly he went back to Jefferson City to the hospital twice, once for a one month period in August of 1966 and then for a week in July of 1967. He was released from Moberly on August 29, 1967, and returned to Caruthersville. As he recalled there were two individuals named RAY at Moberly at the time he was there, one who was tall and thin who escaped but was recaptured the same night, the other he heard had escaped and had not been recaptured. He did not know either of these RAYS on a personal basis and is not positive that either of them is identical with the Identification Order photograph of JAMES EARL RAY N He further advised that sometime in 1966 while he was at Moberly he and several other prisoners were caught gambling, and as a result of this he attempted to make a deal with prison authorities that he would turn over to them a shipment of amphetamine powder that was supposed to be coming into the prisoners. Instead of giving them the real amphetamine powder, he gave them a greenish colored food seasoning. They discovered the switch and as a result he was taken into prison court, given ten days in the hole and a 20 days loss of merit time. To the best of his recollection and knowledge, he never came into contact with JAMES EARL RAY in regard to this amphetamine.

<u>l</u>
SL 44-775
WRB:paw

FELLOW PRISONER: DAVID DON MC FARLIN

Mrs. JUDITH MC FARLIN of St. Louis indicated that DAVID DON MC FARLIN was last known to be residing with his mother, Mrs. O. L. DAVIS, General Delivery, Advance, Missouri.

On May 23, 1968, Mrs. O. L. DAVIS, Advance, advised that her son, DAVID DON MC FARLIN, had recently moved to Malden, Missouri, and was going to school at the Office of Economic Opportunity, Manpower Development Training School at Sikeston, Missouri.

Efforts on that same date to contact MC FARLIN at Malden, Missouri, were negative, and he was thereafter interviewed at Sikeston, Missouri.

MC FARLIN, who gave his residence address as 703 South Beckwith, Malden, advised that he was sentenced to the Missouri State Penitentiary in May, 1966, spent three weeks in Jefferson City, and was then sent to the Number Two Farm for approximately seven months, at which time he was transferred to the Church Farm and was subsequently paroled in April, 1967. He viewed a photograph of JAMES EARL RAY, stated the photograph did not look familiar to him, cannot recall ever having met the individual while he was in the penitentiary, and could furnish no other information of value.

The foregoing was conducted by SA WILLIAM R. BURTON.

1 SL: 44-775 PWB:m.jb

RAY - FELLOW INMATES

Mrs. HAZEL MENARD, 2636 St. Vincent, was contacted at her residence. After Special Agents ROBERT A. HESS and PATRICK W. BRADLEY identified themselves, she stated "What do you want now? I'm in a hurry because I'm preparing to go to work." It was pointed out to Mrs. MENARD that we desired to get in contact with two sons who were former inmates at Missouri State Penitentiary. She stated that she has three sons and one of them is currently in the Federal penitentiary. She pointed out that the other two sons reside somewhere in St. Louis, exact whereabouts unknown and employment unknown, but she is of the opinion that she will see these sons on Saturday, May 18, 1968. She was furnished the names of the contacting agents and the telephone number of the Federal Bureau of Investigation Office in St. Louis with the request that she have her sons contact these agents. She hesitatingly agreed to do this.

The foregoing contact was made on May 16, 1968.

A check of the St. Louis - St. Louis County Directories, telephone book, and files of the St. Louis Police Department, on the same date failed to disclose current resident addresses for LOUIS GENE MENARD, FBI # 517 988 A, or RAYMOND OWEN MENARD, FBI # 471 822 7. Police Department records indicated RICHARD LAWRENCE MENARD, FBI # 4 496 848, is currently at Leavenworth Penitentiary.

MRS. MENARD advised May 21, 1968, she had advised RAYMOND and LOUIS MENARD of the FBI interest, but neither had indicated any intention of contacting this office. She continued to profess ignorance as to their places of residence and employment, and claimed inability to contact them.

1 SL 44-775 CSD: amb

RE: NATHAN J. MURPHY - FORMER INMATE

The following investigation was conducted by SA CHARLES S. DUKE at St. Louis, Missouri:

Teletype from Kansas City to the Bureau, May 22, 1968, indicated that former inmate was paroled July 28, 1964, from the Missouri State Prison and was discharged from parole on January 4, 1967, and could be reached through JOHN A. ZITZENZANICH at 3177a Oregon Street, St. Louis.

On May 24, 1968, JOHN A. ZITZ, 3177a Oregon Street, St. Louis, Missouri, was contacted at his residence whereupon he advised that his name was ZITZ and not ZITZENZANICH and that he did not know a man by the name of NATHAN J. MURPHY. He said that he had a couple of former prisoners staying at his place for a short period of time somewhere around three to four years ago but he could not remember their names. He advised that since his wife left him and ran off with an ex-convict he wanted to forget the past. ZITZ could offer no additional information.

Date May 24, 1968

WALTER E. NOLAN, 5206 Washington Avenue, apartment 2, was interviewed at the office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, 1520 Market Street, St. Louis, at which time he supplied the following information:

NOLAN emphatically denied since last being interviewed by Bureau agents that he has had any contact with or knowledge of the whereabouts of JAMES EARL RAY. He reiterated what he had previously mentioned that he also has had no contact with RAY since RAY escaped from the Missouri State Penitentiary (MSP). He pointed out that at the present time RAY would have no way of knowing that NOLAN was released from the MSP and, therefore, would not even by aware that NOLAN is in St. Louis, Missouri.

Regarding NOLAN's association with RAY in the MSP, NOLAN commented that it was possible that RAY may have used amphetamines on occasion, however NOLAN never knew of RAY to sell or to deal in that or any other narcotic.

NOLAN did say that he knew JAMES EARL RAY to have a four for three loan business at MSP as on several occasions RAY had asked NOLAN if he ever needed any money, RAY had sufficient to loan him. RAY once told NOLAN that he had paid off a debt of an unnamed inmate and asked NOLAN how he could receive the re-payment from the inmate since the inmate had arranged for someone outside the prison to pay off the debt to RAY. RAY desired to know how the money could get into the prison past the warden as the warden had questioned RAY on several occasions regarding money being held for him by prison authorities. NOLAN indicated that it was the warden's belief that this money was in payment for narcotics.

NOLAN was unable to recall whether he had suggested it to RAY or it was a mutual agreement between he and RAY that this outside person send the payment to RAY in the name of one of RAY's brothers. NOLAN was of the opinion this was how the re-payment was handled, however had no concrete knowledge of which brother's name was used or the amount of the payment. NOLAN estimated that RAY possibly had \$300 to \$400 at the most when he escaped from MSP but seriously doubts that RAY had any more than that.

101

On	5/20/68 at St. Louis,	Missouri	File # SL 44-	775
	SA PATRICK W. BRADLEY			
by	SA ROBERT A. HESS	RAH/leh	Date distated	5/21/68

SL 44-775

NOLAN stated that while incarcerated in MSP, RAY worked in the bakery as a bread cutter. In addition to RAY in the bakery, there were approximately 150 additional inmates. NOLAN commented that he doubted that over six of these fellow inmates had ever held conversations with RAY totaling more than 30 minutes. He stated that RAY was a good worker, a clever and thoughtful person, a conservative thinker, very closed mouthed and an individual who does not generally do things on the spur of the moment. NOLAN added that at no time did RAY exhibit any foreign language ability nor was there any talk of travel outside of the continental United States by RAY. However, on one occasion RAY did mention to NOLAN that he had been to the West Coast. Although NOLAN stated that RAY did not have any close family ties, the place most often spoken of by RAY was Illinois where NOLAN understands RAY's family are residents.

NOLAN commented that approximately 95 percent of the content of conversations between he and JAMES EARL RAY while incarcerated in MSP was about how to get out of the penitentiary either legally or illegally. NOLAN emphatically denied that he had been engaged in any illegal activity inside the MSP and further stated that he was not the individual who was caught by prison authorities with numerous bullets in his pocket. He also stated that there was no truth to the statement that JAMES EARL RAY ever had an inmate beaten while in the penitentiary for non-payment of debt. NOLAN recalled that on either a Friday or Saturday evening, RAY had sent word to him by messenger that he wanted to meet with him for dinner. did join RAY for supper and RAY commented that he had been granted permission to accompany his attorney to a Missouri Supreme Court hearing on the following Wednesday. RAY was desirous of learning the exact procedures in regard to security of him as a prisoner and if there was any relaxation of the security, whereby he may be afforded a chance to escape. reportedly assured RAY that there was no opportunity for escape under those circumstances. According to NOLAN, RAY replied, "That's okay, I've got something else."

The following Sunday while walking in the yard of MSP, NOLAN stated that he overheard an inmate, name unknown, complain that someone had stolen a set of hidden civilian clothing, however at the time didnot pay too much attention to the remarks

SL 44-775

made. Several hours later, it was rumored that someone had on that afternoon walked out the front gate of the MSP. Eventually word came to NOLAN after a head count had been taken that evening, that the escapee was JAMES EARL RAY. NOLAN conjectures that it was RAY who stole the civilian clothes and who probably changed in the confines of the bakery and then mingled with the weekend visitors and if a guard on the double gate was new, which NOLAN says was true, RAY probably just walked out in this manner.

NOLAN feels positively that RAY would never have come to St. Louis, Missouri, after his escape unless he was merely passing through. He indicated that it is common knowledge that the St. Louis Police Department and the Federal Bureau of Investigation in St. Louis are notorious for developing a network of informants among ex-cons released from MSP. For this reason, RAY, who NOLAN reiterated never trusted an inmate while in prison, would certainly not come to St. Louis and contact anyone here after his escape. NOLAN again commented that JAMES EARL RAY has a natural ability to make himself inconspicuous and an individual who usually stays at arm's length from everyone.

NOLAN is of the belief that RAY is not guilty of the killingof MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., as the crime is completely foreign to RAY's personality. He does not believe that RAY would kill except to avoid returning to prison.

Date	May	22,	1968	
Date	212 00 3	,		

FRANCIS POWELL was interviewed at his residence and advised that he is the father of ROBERT (BOB) POWELL. He stated that POWELL is not living at his residence at this time since Mr. POWELL did not want him to be closely associated with his young daughters. He stated that POWELL visits his residence on infrequent occasions and comes to borrow money.

Mr. POWELL stated that he had heard a rumor that his son, BOB, had helped JAMES EARL RAY escape from prison in Jefferson City, Missouri. He stated that his son had never mentioned RAY to him and that he was certain that he would be uncooperative in any interview.

Mr. POWELL stated that his wife, who is BOB POWELL's stepmother, told him that BOB had made the remark that the man who turns in RAY is a dead man. Mr. POWELL stated that he did not believe his son had any information concerning RAY's whereabouts.

Mr. POWELL stated that while BOB was in prison some of his girlfriends would write him and use the Doe Run address on the envelopes so that they would pass through the prison censors. He stated he had seen envelopes with the name FRANKIE BARBARA. He stated that to the best of his knowledge this is a fictitious person. He stated that he did not know who this person was. Mr. POWELL stated that if his son contacted him he would notify the FBI. Mr. POWELL stated that he had no idea as to how BOB could be contacted but had heard that he worked part time in Farmington, Missouri.

		*	•
On	5/18/68_ at	Doe Run, Missouri	File # SL 44-775
hv	SA HOWARD G.	SLACK/leh	Date dictated 5/20/68
-,		104	

SL 44=775 DSD:paw

RE: FELLOW PRISONER RICHARD RENO

On May 8, 1968, RICHARD RENO, 411 East Swan Avenue, Webster Groves, Missouri, advised SA DONALD S. DE FONCE that while confined to the Missouri State Penitentiary he had occasion on several different times to have a short conversation with JAMES EARL RAY. He stated, however, that although he knew him by sight, he did not consider himself to be an acquaintance or even to be on a friendly basis with RAY.

RENO advised that although he was not aware of it at the time, he apparently drove the bakery truck in which RAY escaped from the penitentiary.

RENO advised that he has had no contact with RAY and does not think that RAY would ever contact him; however, promised to advise in the event that he does.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

	•		

Date	June	13,	1968	
Duie		,		

WILLIAM E. RUSSELL, 9703 Holiday Gardens, residence phone 423-0460, was interviewed at that location at which time he provided the following information:

RUSSELL after being apprised of the identity of the interviewing agent stated that he was released from the Missouri State Penitentiary (MSP) on April 5, 1968, after serving a total of 39 months for armed robbery. RUSSELL stated that he had received three six-year sentences and one five-year sentence, however, would not elaborate regarding his criminal activities leading to his conviction. RUSSELL commented that since he has been released from prison he has acquired a good job, has married and is making every effort to "go straight." He added that he has discontinued any association with his previous friends and did not desire in any way to furnish any information to any law enforcement agency for fear of reprisals and possibly getting him involved in illegal activity. RUSSELL after being advised of the explicit purpose of the interview stated that he had no objection talking about JAMES EARL RAY because he felt he had very little knowledge of RAY; however, it should not be expected that he would provide the names of any individuals who were connected with RAY or himself at the MSP.

RUSSELL indicated that he first met JAMES EARL RAY when he, RUSSELL, was working as an inmate barber and thus on several occasions had the occasion to cut RAY's hair. He stated he probably knew RAY approximately two to three years and described him as a very closed mouth individual who kept primarily to himself. RUSSELL added that by keeping to himself was part of the reason RAY tried so many escapes from the MSP and nearly pulled them off. He stated that no one really knew his plans, therefore, was ahead percentage wise on chances of being successful.

RUSSELL commented that he was not aware of anyone who was close to JAMES FARL RAY in the MSP; however, he added "anyone who says they knew JIM RAY real well are liars."

RUSSELL related that at the time of RAY's escape in April, 1967, RUSSELL was working on the farm and he has no knowledge of any of the details regarding RAY's escape. In regard to RAY's activities after the escape, RUSSELL stated that he does not know if RAY would have come to St. Louis, Missouri, and he stated he was not aware of where RAY's home is and attributed this fact to RAY's being extremely quiet in mature and it was not a subject that was ever discussed. RUSSELL

106

On		5/7/68	a	· Overland,	Missouri	File # _	SL:	44-775	
	CT R	TO ANY STATISTICS	A	10000 / 44					
by	A.C.	RUBLER	H.	HESS/mjb		Date die	ctated _	6/11/68	

SL: 44-775

described RAY as being a man whom you would not know how smart or dumb he is simply by his being so quiet.

RUSSELL denied having any extended conversations with RAY and those occasions when they did talk most of the discussion concerned prison life, conditions therein, etc. RUSSELL acknowledged that RAY did use amphetamines; however, had no knowledge of RAY dealing in same. There was no discussion regarding travel inside or outside the United States and there was no indication by RAY of any knowledge of a foreign language.

RUSSELL stated that RAY is not a "muscle man"; however, he does not know if he is capable of killing anyone. He stated he has had no contact with RAY since RAY's escape, since he has no idea of RUSSELL's current whereabouts. RUSSELL is of the opinion that he would not help RAY if RAY should contact him; however, he does not feel that he would advise the FBI if he had been contacted by RAY.

RUSSELL was apprised of the provisions of the Harboring Statute and stated he was completely familiar with them; however, again reiterated that he would not help JAMES EARL RAY or anyone else.

All the known photos of JAMES EARL RAY were exhibited to RUSSELL and he stated the one taken in 1966 at the MSP is the one most familiar to him and resembles RAY as RUSSELL knew him.

Date	5-24-68

CHESTER SPRATT, 3809 Russell, advised that he had entered Missouri State Prison at Jefferson City, Missouri, during February, 1962, after being convicted of rape in Eminence, Missouri. SPRATT indicated that he received ten years for this sentence and was subsequently released from the Missouri State Prison on June 6, 1967.

SPRATT related that while incarcerated at the Missouri State Penitentiary he worked in the license tag section as a welder during his entire time there.

SPRATT was exhibited photographs of JAMES EARL RAY and stated that he had recently seen these photographs in the newspaper and on television in St. Louis, however, he was not familiar at all with RAY. He stated that he read the accounts in the St. Louis newspapers regarding RAY's escape from the Missouri State Penitentiary and readily acknowledged that he was an inmate when RAY escaped in the bakery truck, however, SPRATT once again emphatically denied ever having any acquaintance with RAY.

SPRATT indicated that he was unaware of knowing anyone who may be familiar with RAY, however, mentioned the possibility that CHARLES WALTERS, also known as "FIFTY", was possibly an individual who may remember him since WALTERS had a wide acquaintanceship inside the penitentiary.

SPRATT was advised of the provisions of the Harboring Statute at which time he acknowledged that he was familiar with the law in that regard and he promised complete cooperation with the FBI, however, felt he would be of little help since he was not acquainted with RAY.

108

On _	5-15-68	. at	St. Louis	, Missouri	. File #	SL	44-775
	SA ROBERT A	<i>,</i>	HESS				
	SA PATRICK	-		RAH: amb	_Date dict	الممعد	5-20-68

1. SL 44-775 KAW/pjh

FELLOW PRISONERS

AT ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI:

On May 20, 1968, IVAN DALE UNDERWOOD, Apartment D, 5105 Minnesota Avenue. St. Louis, advised he served two sentences at the Missouri State Penitentiary, Jefferson City, Missouri. The first sentence was from 1962 to 1964. second sentence was from August 1966, to September 1967. Both sentences were for fraud or confidence schemes. He advised he served all of the second sentence on the penitentiary's honor farm. He stated that he did not know JAMES EARL RAY while he was incarcerated. He advised that on the basis of the subject's name and after viewing his photograph, he believed RAY is possibly identical to an individual who worked with RALPH RENFRO for a short period in the late 1950's at Joplin, Missouri. The association between RAY and RENFRO consisted of RENFRO having a furnace or heating business and RENFRO would finger residences and business establishments in the Joplin area and on the pretext of conducting a free inspection of the furnace and heating equipment would gain entrance to "case" the establishment for possible burglary or robbery; or if the victims were gullible, an attempt would be made to sell a new heating outfit.

UNDERWOOD did not know where RAY resided in the Joplin area and knew nothing else concerning his background, and stated he only knew RAY through RALPH RENFRO. UNDERWOOD states that as of eighteen months ago RENFRO was working at an independent service station on 20th Street in Joplin and was hanging around at ELMER's BAR on Main Street.

He described RALPH RENFRO as a white male, 42 years of age, short with medium build, and graying hair.

UNDERWOOD was interviewed by SA KENNETH A. WILLIAMS.

1 SL: 44-775 WRD:klr

PRISON INMATES AT THE MISSOURI STATE PENITENTIARY WITH RAY

On May 14, 1968, Special Agent WILLIAM R. DUNCAN interviewed MILTON ZAVOLCOFSKI, Missouri Training School for Men, Number 01658, at Moberly, Missouri. He advised that he was born at Brockton, Massachusetts, on He was sentenced to the Missouri State Penitentiary at Jefferson City, Missouri, on November 9, 1960, from Lebanon, Missouri, on charges of armed robbery. He was transferred to the Missouri Training School for Men at Moberly, Missouri, on April 8, 1968. He knew JAMES EARL RAY as an inmate at Jefferson City, had no personal relationship with him. He recalled that RAY hid out in the prison sometime prior to escaping in 1967. Someone in the training center at Moberly saw RAY's picture on television and brought it to his attention. He could furnish no additional information.

MELVIN OLIVER GRIDLEY, Missouri Training School for Men, Number 02503, on May 14, 1968, advised that he was sentenced on April 23, 1960, for burglary and stealing, from Jasper County, Missouri. He served all of this time until July of 1967, at the Missouri State Penitentiary, Jefferson City, Missouri, until he transferred to the Missouri Training School for Men at Moberly, Missouri, in July of 1967. He worked in the recreation department at Jefferson City and was Hall Tender in J Hall when JAMES EARL RAY hid out in the penitentiary about a week in 1964 or 1965. He knew RAY pretty well, as RAY worked for him. He said that RAY was close-mouthed, had no friends. He said that he lost contact with RAY after RAY went into solitary confinement after he hid out in the penitentiary over a year prior to the time he escaped in 1967. Since he hid out, he (GRIDLEY) had not talked with RAY. He never discussed his business with GRIDLEY, as a matter of fact, he did not know that RAY had any close friends in the penitentiary. GRIDLEY could furnish no additional information concerning RAY.

SL: 44-775

V. JAMES DAVID DAHLEY

1 SL: 44-775 HRD:wma

RE: J. D. DAILEY

On June 4, 1968, Detective EDWARD SCHAFF and Officer RONALD BOCKENKAMP, St. Louis, Missouri, Police Department advised SA ROBERT L. BENDER that they were unable to suggest the identities of any persons whom they believed might be aware of any acquaintance or association between subject and J. D. DAILEY.

On June 6, 1968, They advised SA BENDER contacts with their informants and sources had failed to disclose any additional information in this regard.

Date June 13, 1968

JAMES DAVID DAILEY was interviewed at Portageville, Missouri, from 12:25 p.m. to 1:17 p.m., June 7, 1968. Interviewing Special Agents identified themselves to him by exhibition of their credentials at the outset. He was then warned of his rights by Special Agent DOBSON. DAILEY stated he was well aware of his rights and, that while he was quite willing to furnish any information in his possession concerning this case, he did not desire to execute a waiver of his rights or any other document.

He stated photographs of subject had been exhibited to him during previous interviews, and that he had viewed several photographs of subject on television and in various newspapers during recent weeks. He was positive in his own mind that he had never knowingly seen subject. He expressed the opinion that anyone who said he knew the subject would undoubtedly be motivated by personal animosity or by a desire to divert official attention from their own activities.

DAILEY likewise was unable to recall ever having seen any of the subject's relatives. He stated if he could see the relatives face-to-face, he might be able to remember some of them as persons he may have seen while he was living in St. Louis, Missouri, as he understood from newspapers some of them resided in areas where his restaurant and home were located, but in any event, he was certain he had no association with any of them, as their names were in no way familiar to him.

To the best of his knowledge, he worked at his restaurant in St. Louis, Missouri, each day during the period from April 23, to July 18, 1967. He had no records which would substantiate this belief but noted that a small restaurant of the type he operated in St. Louis usually requires the daily presence and effort of the owner to "break even."

He specifically denied making any trips to, or in the vicinities of, the Missouri State Prison at Jefferson City, Missouri, or the Renz Prison Farm, nearby, during this period. All such trips made by him were subsequent to the escape, apprehension and return of his boyhood friend, THOMAS B. CREWS, from the Missouri State Penitentiary during, he believed, September of 1967.

113

On 6/7/68 of Portageville, Missouri File # SL: 44-775

by SA HAROLD R. DOBSON and HRD/klr Date dictated 6/11/68

2 SL: 44-775

He recalled that during the fall of 1967, CREWS had appeared at his restaurant one evening and had confided to him that he had escaped. CREWS made no mention of the manner in which he had escaped but it appeared to DAILEY that he had probably stolen some civilian attire from a clothesline, as he was not in prison garb. CREWS indicated he had walked a considerable distance following his escape and had finally managed to obtain transportation on a bus bound for St. Louis.

DAILEY and CREWS "sat up all night talking." During their conversation, DAILEY attempted, to the best of his ability, to convince CREWS to give himself up, but was unsuccessful. CREWS was "scared to death" and appeared to be an extremely desperate individual. It was evident to DAILEY that, unless CREWS was successful in legitimately obtaining sufficient funds to continue his flight, he would undoubtedly "pull some job in which somebody might get hurt." Therefore, DAILEY gave him \$100. Two or three days thereafter, CREWS was apprehended and returned to the prison.

DAILEY stated he was well aware that his action in this regard constituted a violation of state harboring statutes, but he preferred to consider it as "insurance" against some innocent person getting hurt. He freely admitted what he had done to members of the St. Louis Police Department.

Following CREWS' return to the prison, it became apparent he was suffering from terminal cancer. Due to this fact, DAILEY has since visited him on several occasions and has attempted to secure his release through Jefferson City attorney DAVID BRANDON.

DAILEY stated specifically he had never visited or corresponded with CREWS at the prison prior to CREWS' escape and return.

DAILEY stated positively he had never known or aided the subject in any way, and had never been in a position to have assisted him in any manner. Neither he nor any member of his family have owned, controlled, or enjoyed the use of any rural or farm property anywhere, which might be used as a hiding place for an escapee, at any time subsequent to subject's escape. $\frac{3}{5}$ L: 44-775

He expressed the opinion that the subject is undoubtedly dead or out of the country as he would otherwise have been found by this time. He mentioned that the reward for subject's apprehension, which he understood had been offered by Memphis businessmen, was of sufficient size to cause anyone the subject might have contacted to turn him over to the authorities.

DAILEY further expressed the belief that it would be impossible for any prisoner to "simply walk out" with a crowd of visitors at the Missouri State Prison without the gross negligence or the convivance of prison guards.

DAILEY stated he would immediately contact the FBI in the event any information concerning subject or his whereabouts comes to his attention.

SL: 44-776

VI. RELATIVES

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date .	May	13,	1968	

1

Mr. JERRY RAYNES, Rural Free Delivery, Center, Missouri, on May 9, 1968, advised that he had heard nothing whatever from subject.

Mr. RAYNES volunteered that his sons JOHN and JERRY had visited him he believed the night of Monday, May 6, 1968, and had departed early on the following morning. He described them as "a couple of idiots" and stated that most of their visit was spent in arguing. Both were drinking heavily. He said JOHN appeared angry with JERRY and indicated his resentment as well as that of their sister, CAROL PEPPER, of JERRY's action in having sold Life Magazine a story about the family which they both agreed had been half lies.

It was Mr. RAYNES' understanding that JERRY had received money for giving the Life interview and that such money was being used by him during his visit to St. Louis. He indicated his own personal resentment against JERRY for having given the magazine the story.

Mr. RAYNES stated it was his understanding that JERRY planned to return to the Chicago area soon and that he would not spend any further time with him at the farm during this trip. He stated that "JERRY is nuts, and there is no telling what he will actually do".

Mr. RAYNES indicated personal resentment of the article which had appeared in Life Magazine saying that the house in which the family had lived in Ewing, Missouri, had not had a dirt floor when the family lived in it as alleged by the magazine. He stated the house had not been in good shape but that he had worked hard to improve it.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he had lived some time with the children's mother, LUCILLE MAHER, in a common-law relationship before their marriage. He was unable to recall the date of their marriage but did remember that they were married by a Justice of the Peace in the State of Florida. He recalled that they had lived for awhile in Tallahassee, Florida, and indicated that the marriage might have occurred there.

		117		
On _	5/9/68 of RFD Center,	Missouri	File #SL:_	44-775
by _	SA WYLLIAM R. DUNCAN SA JAMES A. DUFFEY	qbq:	Date dictated	5/10/68

SL: 44-775

Mr. RAYNES stated he had little formal education and experiences considerable difficulty in recalling dates, but he was sure that either in the late 1920's or early 1930's he was married to the children's mother in Florida under the name of JERRY RAYNES or JERRY RAYNS. He stated that lack of education was responsible for the two spellings he had used of his surname.

Mr. RAYNES further advised that approximately four days prior to the interview some reporter and his companion had attempted to force their way into his house but that he had refused to talk with them and had ordered them from the premises.

Mr. RAYNES reiterated previous statements that he had no contact with and no knowledge or information concerning the subject or his whereabouts following the subject's escape from the Missouri State Penitentiary in 1967. He was unable to recall any statements or indications from any of his children that they had any further information concerning the subject in this regard.

Mr. RAYNES was again advised of the provisions of the Federal Harboring Statute. He promised he would contact the Federal Bureau of Investigation immediately in the event he receives any information concerning the subject or his whereabouts.

	May	20,	1968	
Date				

Mr. JERRY RAYNES advised on May 16, 1968, that except for his daughter, CAROL PEPPER, who visits him nearly every weekend, he has not been in contact with any member of his family since the recent visit from his two sons, JOHN and JERRY RAY. He recalled that his son, JERRY, had indicated his plans to return to the Chicago area and he presumes he has done so. He has not seen nor heard from his son, JOHN, since the visit. He added that his daughter, CAROL, visits him almost every weekend when possible and brings him such groceries and other necessities as are needed by him for the week. For this reason, he seldom if ever leaves his residence.

Mr. RAYNES stated that during the late summer or early fall of 1967 he had decided to leave St. Louis and had for some time been looking for a small acreage in a rural area. He had seen a real estate listing for a farm in Ralls County priced at \$8000. As he did not have that much money he had contacted the banker at the Center State Bank, Center, Missouri, concerning the possibility of obtaining a loan to buy the property. During this discussion the banker had indicated the bank planned to sell 46 acres south of Center. Missouri, at a foreclosure sale at the Court House in New London. Missouri, and that the bank hoped to realize \$3000 for the property. Mr. RAYNES attended the sale and since only one other person bid on the property he was able to buy it for \$2600. He used money obtained from the sale of his property in St. Louis to buy this small farm on which he now resides, which consists of 46 acres. In paying for the place he used a \$1900 check from CAROL PEPPER and \$700 of his own money, the surplus being used to pay back taxes.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he has had no contact with the subject directly or indirectly since subject went to prison in 1960. Subject never wrote him and since his escape has not contacted him.

Mr. RAYNES stated that as a matter of pure conjecture, since he had no actual knowledge of his own concerning the matter, it seemed to him that someone else had used subject in the KING case and that if this was so such individual would probably have killed subject following the murder. He was of the opinion that subject as an experienced criminal would know better than

11.9

On	5/1	6/68	at	RFD	Center	, M	issouri	_ File	#	SL:	44-775	
				DUFFEY	•	pdp)	 -			5/16/68	-
by .		·						Date	dicta	ed		

SL: 44-775

to leave his fingerprints anywhere. He was of the opinion that someone had "gotten to" subject following his escape.

Mr. RAYNES then stated that he now recalls that during the recent visit of his sons JOHN and JERRY, some statement by his son, JERRY, to the effect that subject was in Chicago, Illinois, during the summer of 1967 following his escape. Mr. RAYNES was unable to recall anything more specific about the statement and admitted that he might have misunderstood. He noted that both his sons had been drinking heavily at the time.

Mr. RAYNES stated that subject had lived with his mother while he was in St. Louis and that he knew very little about subject. He was of the opinion that subject would not kill anyone unless he was under the influence of narcotics. He does not consider the subject smart enough to plan the KING murder alone.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he was very fearful of publicity and was afraid that Negroes might attack him if his relationship to subject becomes publicly known. He stated further that during a recent visit from his daughter, CAROL PEPPER, she had indicated she had been interviewed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and that they had indicated to her the possibility that subject might have been in St. Louis following his escape. She has not exhibited to him any knowledge or information as to any knowledge on her part as to subject's whereabouts.

Mr. RAYNES again stated he would immediately contact the FBI on receipt of any knowledge or information concerning subject or his whereabouts.

The provisions of the Federal Harboring Statute were again brought to his attention.

Date	May	20,	1968	A

1.

Mr. JERRY RAYNES, RFD Center, Missouri, was contacted and asked concerning the location of his property in St. Louis, Missouri, which was sold during the summer of 1967. He stated this property was located at 1819 Park, and he sold it to a man named ROBINSON whose first name he believes is ARCHIE who resides on Hickory Street in St. Louis. He claimed it was an involved transaction, that he first had traded his property to ROBINSON for a farm and \$2,500, but they had changed the arrangement and he accepted \$3,200 for this property. He stated he turned the money over to his daughter CAROL for safe keeping. He stated he did not loan his son JACK \$1,000, but recalls that he did loan him \$700 through his daughter CAROL.

Mr. RAYNES was vague about dates and about specifics in general. He was questioned twice concerning this and still stated he did not lend JACK \$1,000, nor any money directly, but all transactions were handled through CAROL.

***************************************		121		
On _	5/20/68at	Center, Missouri	File #SL	44-775
by	SA JAMES A.	DUFFEY:bam	Date dictated _	5/20/68

PEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date	June	3,	1968	

Mr. JERRY RAYNES, Rural Free Delivery, Center, Missouri, stated he has heard nothing from the subject, either directly or indirectly, and that he is completely unaware of subject's whereabouts. He stated he has no reason to believe that any other members of the family have any knowledge or information as to subject's whereabouts.

He expressed the opinion that subject is probably dead because in his estimation subject would have been unable to evadethe intensive manhunt which has been underway for him.

Mr. RAYNES stated that because news men have called him at all hours of the day and night and due to the further fact he has received one crank call, he had caused his telephone number to be changed to the unlisted number - 267-3681.

The provisions of the Federal Harboring Statute were explained to Mr. RAYNES and he stated he would immediately contact the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the event he received any information as to subject's whereabouts, from any source.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he is extremely fearful that Negroes might take retaliatory measures against him should his location and his relationship to subject become publicly known.

		122			
On	5/26/68 Center,	Missouri	File #	SL:	44-775
	SA JAMES A. DUFFEY	:jfb			5/27/68
by .			Date dicte	ated	

Date	June	4,	1968	
~ 414				

Mr. JERRY RAYNES, Rural Free Delivery, Center, Missouri, advised on May 29, 1968, that he had no word from or about the subject and that he had no idea as to subject's whereabouts.

He stated that after his family moved from Ewing, Missouri, they went to Quincy, Illinois, for a short time but that the subject was there only a short while as he went to live with his grandmother MAHER in Alton, Illinois. Subject obtained employment with a shoe company tannery in or near Alton and worked there until he entered the Army. Subject was very frugal, saved his money, and reportedly had saved up \$1400 at the time of his entry into military service. He recalled that subject was very shy, had no close friends or associates, and depended on his grandmother to take care of his money as he apparently had no use for banks.

Mr. RAYNES stated that subject had not lived with him except for a period of approximately two weeks in Quincy, Illinois, he believed in about 1943. He thereafter had little contact with the subject except for two or three meetings with him in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1958 or 1959 when subject lived with his mother and grandmother on Hickory Street in St. Louis. Missouri.

Mr. RAYNES stated that as he knew subject he did not believe he would hurt anyone. He expressed the belief that subject lacked the intelligence to plan and carry out the murder of KING and conjectured that someone had probably coached him, payed him, and then after the murder killed him. He reiterated that in his estimation subject was a shy "countryfied" individual.

Mr. RAYNES was asked if the name LAFAYETTE DILTS meant anything to him as being an individual who might have lived as a neighbor to the family during their residence in Quincy, Illinois, during the 1940's. He stated that the name seemed vaguely familiar but that he was unable to recall ever having known DILTS. He added that in the event he had known DILTS it had been a long time ago and that he did not remember him.

			123		
On	5/29/68	atCenter,	Missouri	File #SL:	44-775
by	SA JAMES	A. DUFFEY	: pdp	Date dictated	5/29/68

 $\frac{2}{SL}$: 44-775

Mr. RAYNES expressed fear that his daughter, CAROL PEPPER, might possibly be ill as she had complained of a toothache during a brief visit with him on the previous Saturday and he has not heard from her since. During the Saturday visit she told him she was nervous because of the publicity attending this case.

Mr. RAYNES stated that CAROL PEPPER had never at any time given him any indication that she had ever been in contact with the subject since his escape from the Missouri State Penitentiary or that she has any knowledge or information as to his whereabouts.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he had read some publicity to the effect that subject had bad teeth which he did not believe was true. He recalled that subject had good teeth when the family lived in Ewing, Missouri, and Quincy, Illinois, but admitted, however, that due to his infrequent contacts with subject since that time the subject's teeth could have gone bad without his knowledge.

The provisions of the Federal Harboring Statute were explained to Mr. RAYNES and he indicated that he would never entertain even the slightest notion of harboring the subject because in his estimation if subject is alive he is probably extremely ill mentally and is urgently in need of medical help. He stated positively that in the event any information comes to his attention as to the subject's whereabouts be will immediately notify the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

4		٠		
	1	ı		
	ı			
	•		•	

Date	June	6,	1968	

Mr. JERRY RAYNES, Rural Free Delivery, Center, Missouri, advised he had just returned from shopping. He stated he had driven to New London, Missouri, for this purpose as he also wanted to pay his electric bill. He explained that he has heard nothing about the subject. He stated his daughter, CAROL PEPPER, had not visited him since she was ill two weeks ago, and therefore, he had been forced to do some shopping for himself.

Mr. RAYNES was told that a recheck of Florida Marriage records under the names RAY, RAYNES, and RAYNS had failed to reflect his marriage there to LUCILLE MAHER. He stated he could not understand that and again indicated they were married near Jacksonville by a Justice of the Peace.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he has not been contacted by any member of his family except CAROL, since JACK and JERRY visited him a month ago.

He has not received any word directly from or indirectly about the subject. He again expressed the feeling that subject was "used" in the plot to kill Dr. KING and after the shooting subject had been killed by whoever masterminded the KING killing. He was of the opinion that subject is probably dead or he would have been apprehended by this time. He stated he did not consider subject to possess sufficient intelligence to so successfully evade capture for this length of time, were he alive.

		125		
On	6/3/68 at	Center, Missouri	File # SL 44-775	
by	SA JAMES A.	DUFFEY/leh	Date dictated 6/4/68	r.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date	6-13-68	

Mr. JERRY RAYNES, R. F. D., Center, Missouri, on June 6, 1968, was recontacted.

He stated he had been in contact with his daughter, CAROL PEPPER, St. Louis, by telephone on June 5, 1968, and she stated she had been unable to obtain any information as to the whereabouts of the subject. She stated she planned to visit him this weekend, and would bring any groceries he might need.

Mr. RAYNES stated that he has no idea where subject might be. He expressed the opinion that subject would not contact him in any event. He mentioned the assassination of Senator ROBERT KENNEDY, stating it "was a terrible thing" and SHIRAHAN SHIRAHAN should be executed for this act. He also stated that if subject is guilty, he should be executed also, as the KING murder was the same kind of crime. He also mentioned the heartache SHIRAHAN had brought on not only the KENNEDY family, but on the killer's own relatives. He stated that all recent killings appear to him to be part of a plan by some evil persons.

Mr. RAYNES stated he would immediately notify the FBI in the event any information concerning subject or his whereabouts comes to his attention.

126							
On	6-6-68	at	Center, Missouri	File # SL 44-775			
by .	SA JAMES	A. DUFF	EY /ral	Date dictated 6-7-68			

<u>1</u>	Date	6-20-68
----------	------	---------

MR. JERRY RAYNES advised he was aware subject had been arrested in England as he had gotten this news on television.

MR. RAYNES stated he had nothing to add to that which he had already told. He stated he has had no direct or indirect contact with subject for years. He added he and subject have never been close and subject has never discussed his problems or plans with him.

MR. RAYNES stated as far as he knows, JOHN is still in St. Louis. He further stated he has heard no talk, nor has he been contacted for money to send JOHN to England to assist Subject. He added such a gesture would be futile and a waste of time and money.

He stated CAROL PEPPER had called him on several occasions since subject's arrest, but never mentioned JOHN, or any collection. He said she was bitter because of the harassment she and her husband are getting from members of various news media who have photographed their home and talked with all their neighbors.

He stated someone attempted to contact him on Monday. This person took pictures of his house and wanted to interview him, but he refused and ordered the men off his land.

MR. RAYNES was asked if he knew subject had visited in Canada in 1967. He said he did not. He was asked if subject had any friends or associates in Canada, and he stated it was possible but he does not know of any connection subject would have there.

	6-14-68	Center, Missouri	SL: 44-775	
On		at	File #	
O 11 -		DUFFEY :wm2	6-17-68	
by_			Date dictated	
-, -		127		

1. SL 44-775 PWB:pjh

RAY FATHER - JERRY RAYNES

The following investigation was conducted by SA's ROBERT A. HESS and PATRICK W. BRADLEY:

Mrs. DELIA ROBINSON, 1826 Hickory Street, St. Louis, Missouri, advised that she is the wife of ARCHIE L. ROBINSON, who purchased the property at 1819 Park Avenue approximately one year ago.

She made available a General Warranty Deed which reflects that JERRY RAYNS, a single man of the City of St. Louis, sold to ARCHIE L. ROBINSON and his wife, DELIA ROBINSON, property in City Block #484, known as 1819 Park Avenue, for \$100 and other valuable goods and consideration. This General Warranty Deed was dated March 28, 1967, and recorded on that date in Book #8747, page 479, Recorder of Deeds Office, City of St. Louis.

Mrs. ROBINSON stated that she is not sure of the exact transaction that took place inasmuch as her husband handled the business matters. She noted that she was acquainted with JERRY RAYNES prior to the purchase of that property, however, was not acquainted with any of his family although she understood he had some children. She observed photographs of JAMES EARL RAY and indicated he was completely unfamiliar to her.

On May 21, 1968, ARCHIE L. ROBINSON was interviewed in the vicinity of 1819 Park Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri. He stated that with regard to the purchase of the property at 1819 Park Avenue from JERRY RAYNES, that immediately prior to transfer of the title he gave JERRY RAYNES \$2,000 in cash and they were to transfer a piece of property he owns at Naylor, Missouri, to JERRY RAYNES. However, prior to the consummation of the transfer of the property at Naylor, RAYNES wanted to know how much cash ROBINSON would give to make it a straight cash deal instead of transferring the property. Mr. ROBINSON offered him \$500 and they then considered the deal closed with no transfer of the Naylor property being made. He noted this made a total cash payment of \$2,500, and this was completed on

two separate days immediately prior to March 28, 1967. Mr. ROBINSON stated he knew JERRY RAYNES approximately one year prior to the above-mentioned transaction while JERRY RAYNES resided at 1819 Park Avenue upstairs. Mr. ROBINSON noted that JERRY RAYNES had a daughter and son-in-law who had two children, who visited regularly and sort of looked after the old man. He spoke of a son that was killed and by implication, indicated that this son had sort of been outside of the law and the bad one of the family. He mentioned another son, believed to be named JACK, who occasionally came to visit the old man and on occasion stayed for short periods of time, however, he cannot recall any time that he stayed any great length of time.

Mr. ROBINSON observed the photograph of JAMES EARL RAY and stated that it is completely unfamiliar to him and he does not recall JERRY RAYNES ever mentioning a son named JAMES or JIM.

On May 21, 1968, CHARLES E. JOINES, 1817 Park Avenue, advised that he recalls about three years ago JERRY RAYNES purchased property at 1819 Park Avenue and lived there by himself upstairs. He remained there about two years and sold this property about one year ago.

Mr. JOINES stated that a daughter and son-in-law frequently visited and sort of took care of the old man and on occasion a son named JACK visited and stayed for short periods of time.

Mr. JOINES observed a photograph of JAMES EARL RAY and stated that it was completely unfamiliar to him.

SHUN WONG and MUI WONG, 1825 Park Avenue, advised that they have operated a laundry at this address since 1952. They indicated that they did not know any residents at 1819 Park Avenue, nor do they know any of the other current neighbors in this area.

The WONGs viewed the photograph of JAMES EARL RAY and stated it was completely unfamiliar to them.

HOWARD KEYS, 1821 Park Avenue, stated that he has operated a barber shop at this location for less than one

3. St. 44-775

year and is not acquainted with JERRY RAYNES or any former tenants at 1819 Park Avenue.

On May 21, 1968, DAVID and LEO BORNSTEIN, operators of South Side Auto Parts, 1820 Park Avenue, recalled an elderly man residing at 1819 Park Avenue by the first name of JERRY for a couple of years who moved from that address about a year ago. They recalled that he spoke of a son named JACK, however, they never observed any visitors at this residence.

They viewed the photograph of JAMES EARL RAY and stated that it was completely unfamiliar to them.

It is noted that the 1800 block of Park Avenue and adjacent streets are in the throes of a deteriorating process with a number of the buildings being vacant and a number of them being in various stages of destruction.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

May 14, 1968

Date

Mrs. ALBERT B. (CAROL ANN) PEPPER, 2025 Bellevue, stated her new unpublished telephone number is 645-9152. She was interviewed on May 10, 1968, by SAs HOWARD C. KENNEDY and ALBERT J. RUSHING at which time she furnished the following information:

Mrs. PEPPER is the sister of subject, JAMES EARL RAY, but is 13 years younger than subject and in all of her life has had very little contact or association with the subject having seen him only approximately three or four times in her life. These three or four times when she has seen him all occurred in the City of St. Louis after Mrs. PEPPER came to St. Louis when she was 16 years old to reside with her father in St. Louis and all of the occasions when she saw the subject were brief encounters such as when she saw him on the street. She believes the last time she saw the subject was probably in 1959 or earlier when she encountered him on the street in South St. Louis at which time she was in the company of their brother, FRANK (BUZZY) RAY, who is now deceased. Her first recollection of seeing him was following his discharge from military service.

Mrs. PEPPER was born at Ewing. Missouri. She went to school at Quincy, Illinois, where she resided with her mother, LUCILLE RYAN. Mrs. PEPPER's birth certificate showed her name as RAYNES which she understands is an incorrect spelling of the name of her father, whose name is RAYNS and who now resides near Center. Missouri, to which location he recently moved from St. Louis. Mrs. PEPPER prior to marriage, used the name CAROL RYAN, the same surname used by her mother, LUCILLE RYAN. Mrs. PEPPER is unable to account for the variations in the surnames and she is aware that various ones of her brothers and sister have used the surname RAY. So far as she knows they all had the same father although she expressed uncertainty in that regard and she assumes that the variations of the name are simply because her brothers and sisters decided to use the name RAY, a variation of the name RAYNS.

When Mrs. PEPPER was 16 years of age, she left her mother's residence in Quincy and came to St. Louis where she lived with her father at 1727 South 11th Street. She did

On :	5/10/68	at	St	. Louis,	Missouri	File # SL 44-775	
	SA H	OWARD	C . 1	KENNEDY	12.22		
bv _				RUSHING	AJR/leh	Date dictated5/10/0	68
-, -					A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

not attend school after she moved to St. Louis but had various jobs including a period of employment at Kresge's, a dime store, located on Delmar Boulevard, University City, Missouri, no longer in existence. She is not now employed. Her last employment having been by the Catholic Archdiocese in St. Louis as a teacher in the Head Start Program which was conducted at St. Joseph's School on South 12th Street in St. Louis. The later employment was for a period of about one year during the latter part of the residence of Mr. and Mrs. PEPPER at 712a Shenandoah, St. Louis, from which address they moved to their present address in the Maplewood in about October, 1967.

Sometime after Mrs. PEPPER came to St. Louis at the age of 16 years to reside with her father, hermother also came to St. Louis and resided with Mrs. PEPPER's maternal grand-mother, MARY MAHER, at 1913 Hickory, St. Louis. Mrs. PEPPER however, did not at any time actually reside in St. Louis with her mother, although she occasionally spent brief periods of time with her mother at the mother's address. After the death of Mrs. PEPPER's mother in early 1961, Mrs. PEPPER did reside for a period of a few months with her grandmother, Mrs. MAHER, in St. Louis, until Mrs. PEPPER was married in St. Louis to ALBERT B. PEPPER in December, 1961.

Mrs. PEPPER and her husband have two children, ALBERT, age 6, and JIMMY, age 4.

After subject, JAMES EARL RAY, was arrested and convicted on the charge for which he was sent to the Missouri State Penitentiary, Mrs. PEPPER has not ever seen him again on any occasion. For a time after he went to the penitentiary she did write letters to him on behalf of her grandmother, Mrs. MAHER and used the latters name in the letters and she continued this practice after the death of the grandmother in 1963, continuing to use the name of Mrs. MARY MAHER, who was an approved correspondent of subject's in the penitentiary. Mrs. PEPPER estimates that after the death of her grandmother she probably wrote no more than three or four letters to the subject at the penitentiary. She is unable to recall the last such letter she wrote to him but the last time may have been as late as the early part of 1967. She occasionally received a letter from subject while he was in the penitentiary and believes she received no more than two or three letters from him. Mrs. PEPPER did not ever visit subject at

the penitentiary or attempt to do so and insofar as she knows her husband did not ever visit him at the penitentiary. She advised she has understood from her husband that the latter has never seen the subject in his life.

Mrs. PEPPER has not at any time had any contact either direct or indirect with the subject since his escape in 1967 from the Missouri State Penitentiary. She has no knowledge of any person who has had information regarding the subject's whereabouts and stated she could furnish no such information regarding his whereabouts. She, herself, first learned that he had escaped from the penitentiary when she heard it on the radio and she knew nothing about any plans for the escape. She stated she has had no indication that anyone in her family or otherwise has been in any way in contact with the subject or had information regarding his whereabouts since his escape.

Inquiry was made of Mrs. PEPPER regarding whether she recalled anything about the receipt of a money order in approximately January, 1967, which was reportedly in the amount of \$15.00 and was sent by an inmate at the Missouri State Penitentiary to the ALBERT PEPPER Stationery Company, 712a Shenandoah, St. Louis.

In response to direct questions concerning this matter, she advised that she may have received a money order on one occasion but to the best of her recollection, it was for \$2.00 or \$3.00 and it may have been in payment for magazines which her brother had requested she mail to him. It was her recollection that if she received a money order it was received earlier than January, 1967. She said she never at any time mailed magazines to him and doesnot recall what disposition she may have made of any money order after it was received. Later in the interview she said the money order may have been for as much as \$15.00 but she does not recall. She also acknowledged that she might have cashed such a money order but she cannot specifically remember having done so. In this connection, she said her memory is very vague.

In response to soliciting by interviewing agents, Mrs. PEPPER stated that she would be entirely willing to notify the St. Louis Office of the FBI if she should at any time in

the future receive any information which might have bearing on locating the subject. She also stated that she would be entirely willing to be interviewed further in the event there should be any additional matters on which an interview with her would be desired.

Mrs. PEPPER said that her first knowledge of the subject's involvement in this matter was when she heard the news announcement on the radio and she said she has never had any information regarding the possible involvement other than that which has appeared in the news media. She said she had never known of anything in her brother's attitude or associations which would suggest any motive for his involvement in the killing of a Negro leader such as MARTIN LUTHER KING.

Date	5-16-68

Mrs. ALBERT B. (CAROL) PEPPER was recontacted at her residence, 2025 Bellevue, Maplewood, Missouri. She again specifically denied that she has had any contact with her brother, JAMES RAY, since his escape from the Missouri State Penitentiary in April, 1967, or that she has had any knowledge whatever of his whereabouts since that time other than what she has derived from the news media. Although Wrs. PEPPER expressed her willingness and intention to notify the FBI if she should at any time be contacted by him or if she should receive any information regarding him she stated that she would certainly not expect that he would contact her and she noted in that regard that RAY would not be aware of her current address or her new un-published telephone number. She further pointed out that other than their brother, JERRY RAY, who is in the Chicago area, she believes all of the family have moved since RAY's escape from the penitentiary and that JERRY RAY would, therefore, be the only one whose address would be known to JAMES RAY.

With regard to the Grapevine Tavern, Mrs. PEPPER commented that the tavern has karely been making expenses since it was opened about the first of the current year and, therefore, it may not continue in operation very long.

On .	5-14-68	_ at	Maplewood.	Missouri	File #_	SL 44-775
hv	SA ALBERT SA HOWARD			AJP: anb	Data di	ctated

FD-302 (Rev. 1-25-60)

1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

	Date5-21-68
--	-------------

Mrs. ALBERT (CAROL) PEPPER, 2025 Bellevue, Maplewood, Missouri, was interviewed at her residence, at which time she furnished the following information:

Mrs. PEPPER has received no information regarding her brother, JAMES EARL RAY (whome she refers to as JIM), since the last previous interview with her by the FBI.

Within the past several days, police officers from the St. Louis Police Department came to see Mrs. PEPPER indicating to her that they had received some inquiry from a newspaper, possibly "The Los Angeles Times" according to Mrs. PEPPER's recollection of what they said; this inquiry having to do with the finances of the RAY family. The police officers apparently came to see Mrs. PRPPER just to find out some general information about her, and they suggested to her that if people wanted to talk to her about her finances, she might want to obtain a lawyer. A representative of "The St. Louis Post Dispatch" newspaper also came to see Mrs. PEPPER within the preceding few days, but she refused to talk to him. Mrs. PEPPER has heard from an acquaintance that there was an article a few days ago in "The St. Louis Post Dispatch" which seemed to be a rehash of the erroneous information which appeared in a "Life Magazine" article concerning the RAY family, but Mrs. PEPPER herself did not read this newspaper article.

Mrs. PEPPER and her family went to see her father at Center, Missouri, over the preceding weekend, May 18-19, 1968. She has been customarily going to see him there during weekends and usually takes him groceries. She is the one in the family who maintains contact with the father and looks after his needs. The farm which the father bought about six months ago is believed to consist of about forty acres of which a little is probably tillable, and she thinks her father will grow corn on this part of the farm, but the remainder is suitable only for livestock and grazing. She thinks that he paid some amount in the neighborhood of \$2,600 for this farm. Mrs. PEPPER is uncertain in her recollection, but believes it may be correct that she gave him a check drawn on her account in the amount of \$1,900 at the time he was purchasing this farm and which was applied to the purchase. If so, this money

On	5-20-68 at Maplewood,	Missouri	File # _ SL 44-775
by .	SA HARRY C. JUNG SA ALBERT J. RUSHING	AJR:ral	_Date dictated <u>5-20-68</u>
		1 ~ 1	

would have been his money. Mrs. PEPPER sometimes keeps his money in her checking account or savings account, and she thinks this may have occurred in this instance. On the other hand, she may have given him such a check as a loan, but she is uncertain in her recollection. Sometime before her father purchased the farm, he sold the property in St. Louis which he formerly owned at 1819 Park, this property consisting of a two-story residential building. Mrs. PEPPER believes he received something like \$2,800 from the sale of this property. She believes that the \$1,900 which she may have returned to him in the form of her check represented part of the proceeds of the sale of that property which he gave her to keep for him in her account. In any event Mrs. PEPPER is certain that she did not herself pay for any part of the purchase of the farm by her father, and if she gave him the check for \$1,900, this was a return of his money or a loan.

While Mrs. PEPPER's father resided in St. Louis before the purchase of the farm at Center, Missouri, he had various jobs, such as, service station attendant, and he also at one time operated a second-hand store where he sold junk. This business was also located on Park Avenue, but was somewhere east of Twelfth Street, a considerable distance from 1819 Park. Her father did not own the property where he conducted this second-hand business.

Until Mr. and Mrs. PEPPER moved to their present residence in the fall of 1967, Mrs. PEPPER was always employed ever since their marriage in December of 1961. During that period of time, they have practiced thrift and economy and have always endeavored to save something from their income. They opened a savings account several years ago at the Bohemian Savings and Loan Association on South Eighteenth Street in St. Louis in the same area where they resided. A few years ago when the Bohemian Savings and Loan Association was moved to a remote section of the city, they transferred their savings account to the Manufacturers Bank and Trust Company, where they have continued to have a joint savings account. ALBERT PEPPER has been employed for more than three years by the Ace Construction and Engineering Company, which is affilicated with the New Market Hardware Store. His earnings in this employment is \$3 per hour, and in some weeks he works overtime on Saturdays. Mrs. PEPPER's last employment was with

the "Head Start" School Program, and she was employed there by the Catholic Archdiocese being paid at the rate of \$60 per week. She had this employment for about a year until Mr. and Mrs. PEPPER moved in the fall of 1967 to their present address. Her last employment before that was in cleaning work at an office building at 1015 Locust in St. Louis. Because she and her husband have always endeavored to be economical and saving in the handling of their family finances, they were able to accumulate funds in their savings account. When they contracted in the fall of 1967 to purchase the house where they now live for a purchase price of \$10,500, they withdrew the necessary money for the downpayment from their savings account.

Mrs. PEPPER has no idea and no knowledge as to whether her father may ever have given Mrs. PEPPER's brother, JOHN LARRY RAY, any funds to hold for her father.

Mrs. PEPPER has no information regarding a trip to California made by her brother, JOHN LARRY RAY, in the summer of 1967 and never knew or heard that he made such a trip.

One of the places in St. Louis where Mrs. PEPPER lived with her father prior to her marriage was 1727 South Eleventh Street. She believes her brother BUZZY lived with them there part of the time, but she is certain that her brother JIM never lived with them there. Mrs. PEPPER never had any knowledge of her brother JIM having used the name O'CONNOR, and she never knew of any bank accounts he may have had at any bank at any time. In fact, Mrs. PEPPER had so little contact or knowledge of her brother JIM that she can now recall having seen him only on possibly a couple of occasions when she was small and shortly after he was discharged from military service, and she recalls seeing him on one occasion on the street in South St. Louis at some later time after she moved to St. Louis with her father. Although Mrs. PEPPER was known as CAROL RYAN as of 1959, she has no knowledge or recollection of having received any checks from her brother JIM or from any person under the name JAMES CARL O'CONNOR, either in 1959 or any other time. She can think of no reason why she might ever have received any such checks. Mrs. PEPPER is certain that she has never had any financial dealings of any type with her brother JIM in her life.

SL 44-775

With regard to the matter of a money order directed from an inmate at the Missouri State Penitentiary to the Albert Pepper Stationery Company, 712 Shenandcah, St. Louis, Mrs. PEPPER has still been unable to remember anything further regarding such a matter since she was previously interviewed regarding this matter. She still does vaguely remember that someone told her one time while he was in the penitentiary that he wanted magazines sent to him, but she cannot remember having sent magazines to him and cannot recall that she was ever reimbursed for doing so. She is certain, however, that there was never any Albert Pepper Stationery Company at 712 Shenandoah.

Mrs. PEPPER has no recollection that she has ever known of a person named Y. P. WEBBE or V. P. WEBBE, and this name is not in any way familiar or significant to her.

Mrs. ALBERT (CAROL) PEPPER, 2025 Bellevue, Maplewood, Missouri, was recontacted. She said she had understood that within the preceding two or three days, an interview with her brother, JOHN LARRY RAY, had been reported in the St. Louis Globe Democrat but she, herself, had not seen the article in the newspaper. She said she understood from her brother, JOHN LARRY RAY, that a reporter from the Globe Democrat had showed JOHN an article which had been written for publication in the St. Louis Post Bispatch newspaper and which was filled with untruths about the family. It was for this reason and in order that the truth might be furnished the press, that JOHN consented to the interview by a representative of the St. Louis Globe Democrat.

Mrs. PEPPER said that she had received no additional information whatever since she was last interviewed bearing on the possible whereabouts of JAMES EARL RAY. She volunteered a statement to the effect that she feels certain that no member of the family has been contacted by JAMES EARL RAY or has been aware of his whereabouts since his escape except for what has appeared in the press. She said she felt sure that if any of the family had been contacted by RAY or had received some information regarding him she would certainly have known of it because the family would have told her.

On _	5/24/68 at Maplewood,	Missouri	File # SL 44-775	
Oii _	SAA HOWARD C. KENNEDY			
by _	SA ALBERT J. RUSHING	AJR/leh	Date dictated <u>5/28/68</u>	
-, -		140		