"JUDGE WALKER: Was there a written copy of the interview?

"MR. FITTS: Not necessarily.

"JUDGE WALKER: Anything not in evidence I don't see why we have to make it available.

"MR. FITTS: Well, as Alice just said, the statements are in evidence but the contents, however, were never placed in evidence.

"JUDGE WALKER: We just got the basis.

"MR. FITTS: We got the basis and the plastic material, and if it ever got close enought to a magnet, we don't even have the words.

"MR. HATCHER: Maybe that isn't the answer.

"THE COURT: Is there anything further? I don't want to take too much of Judge Loring's time.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: I have something and it is only to tighten our position in this connection. What data you have been talking about is not over five percent or less of the total investigation files, probably maybe two percent. The total investigation files are in great detail and there is a whole gamut of miscellaneous non-relevant material because this investigation started out and wound up to be as thorough an investigation as it was possible to make. Every lead that was a possible inference of conspiracy, no matter how remote or how inane it might have sounded initially, was followed. From some of this information, obviously, we interviewed a lot of people and you never knew who they were going to talk to.



•

I am sure some of it will leak out. The majority of it has not. Much of it has. Now, the posture of the Los Angeles Police Department is that we think that nothing in this case should be withheld from the public.

"JUDGE WALKER: That is right.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: We think to put secrecy around this phase of it is just going to open up speculation that is going on with the John Kennedy assassination since we did do in my opinion as professional a job of investigation as could have been done anywhere and I feel that this information should be made available.

Now, we have not decided whether we will make the files available yet. We will decide this.

"JUDGE LORING: This is all in written form?

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: It is all in written form,
about 50,000 pieces of paper, and the final report to the
Chief consists of nine volumes, and I believe that everything went down on it.

"JUDGE WALKER: You need a final, final report.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Well, it can be broken up very readably. This was done as I, and I realize everybody has been second-guessing, easily enough, but it was done for the simple reason of protecting the reputation of this department who felt what had happened in town was an extremely significant thing that would be reviewed for a number of years, but lots of those people we wanted to be sure that every loophole we could see or hear about was investigated and we have got some real

ì

weird things in the file, some way-out things, and we had to check them out, and we intend to answer any questions that anybody has. If anybody has some information that they think is pertinent to either the conspiracy of anything, why, we intend to answer it based upon our files.

"There is one other thing you mentioned in that respect and that is that Ramsey Clarke, when he was the Attorney General, I met with him out here and he expressed an interest in disposition of these investigative files. At that time he indicated that his thinking was running to have a duplicate set of these files placed in the archives in Wasington. I discussed this with the Police Commission and they have no objection to this so long as there is a mutual agreement on procedure for release of information; in other words, that they don't run different systems of control, whatever they may wind up with.

"However, since there has been a change in office and the new Attorney General, Bill Lynch, whom you know called me and they still have some interest in it, but I don't know to what degree or exactly how they are going to do it, so it is possible and I want you people to know it is possible that the files may be duplicated and placed in the National Archives for historical purposes. I don't know if that is of any concern to you but I think that since you are all in it, you should know it, and what happens as the posture of our Depart-

ment.

"JUDGE WALKER: It seems to me we shouldn't try to have any authority to exercise any control whatsoever of your files which have not gone into our case, and what do you think?

"JUDGE LORING: That would be my view of it, that that material which the Police Department has, is a matter over which we have no jurisdiction.

"MR. FITTS: In fact, I would like to have a little talk with you about this matter. We will arrange that. The District Attorney wants to make reference to the fact that you have got all of this material and further reference to the fact that you have this policy about open disclosure with respect to it and you are going to have some ground rules, obviously, with respect to how this stuff is going to be accessible.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: The mechanics of it.

"MR. FITTS: The mechanics of it and background rules, so far as we are concerned, can be determined by you but he would like to make some reference to the ground rules in the press release. Is that feasible?

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: I don't know yet. It
depends upon the timing, upon his release, because I am
waiting to get some kind of final decision from
Washington on the files because I don't know what their
standard procedures are back there and what controls
they place on their files, but I do have some ideas.
at this moment. Our problem is one of mechanics.

-

n

"MR. FITTS: Right.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Which I won't bore you with. I know what they are. The files are all marked now and all the evidence we have in our system, I would say easily is 4,000 items.

"JUDGE WALKER: Well, my understanding of what we have agreed to here is to issue an order covering the photographs and such other things we may determine should not be released except on order, and they can only be used by order of the Court or by showing proper cause.

All other exhibits are to be duplicated and made available by our County Clerk to those people who want to get ahold of them, is that right?

"MR. TALMACHOFF: Just as long as we understand that there are certain exhibits we can't duplicate. There are books and I think we had a small library of Sirhan's in the case, and there is no sense of trying to duplicate those. You can't duplicate tapes very conveniently.

"JUDGE WALKER: We don't want you to duplicate those tapes. The tapes are in evidence.

"MR. TALMACHOFF: We would just as soon not tamper with those.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: I think Sirhan had a transcript and you know there is a tape, but I don't know if it was introduced.

"THE CLERK: They were introduced.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: I think the tape between

John Howard and Officer Murphy was introduced.

"JUDGE WALKER: They are right in the transcript.

"MR. FITTS: We played all of those tapes
beginning with the first custodial interview through
the last one. The tapes were all marked in evidence
and the jury was provided with transcripts so they could
follow the tapes. They are all in the daily. They are
all there.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: But somebody may want to listen to the voice.

"MR. FITTS: I don't know whether the Clerk's Office has copies of those transcripts. They were simply made available to the jury and to the reporter.

"JUDGE LORING: Well, I think the answer to the people who want to hear the tape is to let them have access to the tapes. They cannot remove the tapes from the County Clerk's Office but they will have to supply their own electrical energy.

\*MR. FITTS: That is what I was thinking with respect not to the real, and by real evidence I am talking of the physical things other than papers that can be duplicated, that they are viewed upon Court Order for good cause shown, period.

"JUDGE WALKER: You are talking about the coat and the shells again?

"MR. FITTS: Right, every bit of it.

"JUDGE WALKER: What you are talking about are the actual articles viewed on Court Order.

"MR. FITTS: Well, I am talking about viewing the real evidence. That is not talking about looking at pictures.

"JUDGE WALKER: Well, there are going to be pictures of it anyway.

"MR. HATCHER: There are certain things that could not be copied photographically but there are other things that can be photographed and those photographs could be shown upon request. If they want to see the originals, then obtain a Court Order. I think that would cover us pretty well.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Could I make a comment here -- off the record.

"(Discussion off the record.)

"JUDGE WALKER: Well, I think we have got it
pretty well in mind now. It is up to me, along with the
cooperation of the rest of you, to work out a proper
order. I am going to rely on you fellows there to do
it, to be sure the details are right. Now, this doesn't
have to be done before Wednesday, does it?

"MR. FITTS: Well, let me tell you something.

Did you see what we got served with, this memorandum of points and authorities in support of the motion?

"JUDGE WALKER: I got a copy of it yesterday or the day before.

"MR. FITTS: Well, I was up to 11:00 last night working on that and it looks like I am going to have to work on the weekends now. They had three weeks to

prepare theirs. We have got four days including Saturday and Sunday, and I mean that is the kind of pressure we are working under, Judge.

"JUDGE LORING: Who has made the motion?

"JUDGE WALKER: Cooper.

"MR. FITTS: Cooper submitted the points and authorities and somebody else submitted something, but I am not worried about the ACLU little thing.

"JUDGE WALKER: That is of no concern.

"MR. FITTS: But you know all of that stuff they have put in there.

"JUDGE WALKER: A lot of that is right in the transcript and the stuff is there, a complete transcript, and this is a great deal of what he has raised.

"MR. FITTS: Yes, I know, but that is what I am working on right now if you are inerested. He picks from the transcript that which suits his purpose and omits from the points and authorities that which defeats his purpose, and somebody, if the thing is going to be prepared properly, has to sit down and include what he omitted. That is what I am doing. I am in the process.

"JUDGE WALKER: I don't want you under that kind of pressure because it is very important you have a proper answer in. Why don't you come in, say, Monday morning and ask for some more time?

"MR. FITTS: I don't want to. That is the last



thing in the world I want to do, to ask for time.

"JUDGE WALKER: Well, I don't want you to.

"MR. FITTS: We will do the best we can, Judge, but I am not going to ask for time. If this motion is going to be denied and this guy sentenced, I would like to have it happen on the first available date. We have not been asking for time before and I don't want to ask now.

"JUDGE WALKER: Well, in any event, as a practical matter, when it goes up on appeal, whoever handles it on appeal, will have ample time to hit the whole transcript and put all of that stuff in so you would have what is left out. I have read through his briefs.

"MR. FITTS: We are not going to turn in a too polished job because we can't under the time limitation, but it is going to look pretty thorough.

"JUDGE WALKER: I don't want you to short-circuit it and, while I don't care to put it over, I don't want to put you in the position, and if you come in Monday and ask for X-days, that is what you are going to get, regardless of what the press or anyone else thinks about it.

"MR. FITTS: Well, I would just as soon not work on some of the details of this thing until we get that disposed of.

"JUDGE WALKER: This can keep.

"MR. FITTS: The District Attorney is going to be

Я

on my back until I can give the District Attorney what we have thought out and what we have decided here today which should be sufficient. I don't say it would be, but it should. We have not got worked out the details and I don't think we can do it by Wednesday. As far as I am concerned, I would like to give this thing a little rest until after Wednesday.

"Chief, have you agreed in principle, that I know what you relayed to me, but you had some objection to the release of some of the stuff that was on file.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: The only thing I would object to, the only thing I would point out is the precautionary things. I think it is up to the Judge but the FBI files themselves are maintained in confidence by the FBI and the fact that they have been introduced and marked in evidence, then I think they become something else. That is my personal opinion.

"MR. FITTS: They understand that.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Then I think those conclusions drawn by my investigators, which I asked them to draw and I wanted impressions from them, and some of them are not put very tactfully as they call people liars and things like that, and I would be just a little concerned about that.

"Then, let's see, there was one other area.

I can't think of it.

"Oh, yes, it is criminal records. Everybody interviewed, we placed in their jacket if they had

criminal records from the CII kick-back sheet. As far as I am concerned, we are not going to release any of that. When we find someone has a criminal record, that is confidential information because I don't think we ought to disclose that. I don't know what you have.

"JUDGE WALKER: I don't think so.

"MR. FITTS: I am not too sure there might be a kick-back sheet on Jerry Owen.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: And Rabago and Delgado.

"MR. FITTS: But Robert Cordero, there was nothing on him but a straight interview in those files.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Then there is one other thing, and this is where we didn't think far enough ahead. We also ran, on everybody we interviewed, them through our subversive files and, of course, some of them came up. Walter Crow, I don't know if you have our subversive confidential information.

"MR. FITTS: We don't have it and we don't want it.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: We asked for discovery on Walter Crow and we threw that out and I don't know what harm that has done.

"JUDGE LORING: Let me ask a question and this may not have any place but maybe this question should be asked. Suppose the Supreme Court should, through inadvertence, order a reversal here. Is there anything going to be investigated under these discovery orders or this program that will violate the original order

I



that was issued by Judge Walker in this matter? 1 "DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: We don't think so. 2 don't know how the District Attorney feels. 3 "JUDGE WALKER: No. I don't think that order covers this stage of the proceeding. 6 "JUDGE LORING: But I had in mind the original order. "JUDGE WALKER: The idea behind the order was to preclude pretrial publicity and it had nothing to do 10 with appellate publicity or anything since then, so I 11 don't think it enters into it. "JUDGE LORING: But my point is if there is going 12 13 to be press releases now of material that would have 14 been prohibited under the original order and there is a 15 reversal, is it not then the same as if there had been 16 a relaxation of the initial order as to that second 17 trial that would prevail. 18 "JUDGE WALKER: It would be a question to this 19 If the Supreme Court does so, in all likelihood 20 it would not be within at least two years and possibly 21 three. 22 "JUDGE LORING: The damage, if any, would have 23 been done. 24 "JUDGE WALKER: It would have been negligible. 25

"JUDGE LORING: All right. You would just have to do the same thing with the jurors on the stuff that has been kept out and that didn't get into the trial, and

that is all.

26

27

.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Well, there is going to be, I know of at least four books and I know the tenor of all of them, but I know at least three of them are going to allege a major conspiracy in this matter and not what the truth is, so I think I can assure you that there is nothing in that file than is other than pure speculation, that is relevant to or material to the actual shooting of Robert Kennedy that was done by Sirhan.

Our investigators will continue to comply with the orders of the Bureau and the District Atotrney's Office at all points.

"JUDGE LORING: I don't know enough of the details but I just did want to raise the question and ask if you had considered this if there should be a reversal and what effect this is going to have.

we were at, at the start of the trial, with all of the publicity. We brought all of the jurors in chambers and went into all of these matters thoroughly so that we could protect the record. We would be in the same position then and you possibly couldn't get this thing up on appeal before two years. I am hopeful that the nature of the Court will change by then.

"MR. FITTS: It is going to create a substantial problem. No matter how far it is into the future, if it is reversed, it is going to create a substantial problem and the Legislature is going to have to talk their heads off about it and I don't see anything we can do.



"JUDGE WALKER: It is no different than the problem we'had when we started the trial. It would just be augmented and it would be a very difficult problem.

"MR. FITTS: This is something worth writing up
if you would all like to listen to it. There should
be some kind of security precautions enforced so far as
the Clerk's Office is concerned. People who want to make
copies of these things can make copies on facilities
available in the presence of somebody that is watching
what they are doing. Those who aren't satisfied with
the facilities available can bring their own equipment.

"MR. HATCHER: Oh, no, no.

"MR. FITTS: No comment.

"MR. HATCHER: With all of our precautions, they might destroy something so we duplicate everything.

"Pete, the point I was trying to make is this. Earlier in the trial there was something that was released by the press which indicated to members of the public that all they needed to do was to write in and they could get a copy of the Grand Jury transcript and we were getting requests like that, and we don't want anything going out to give the impression that all they need to do is to write in and they can get copies of everything.

"DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: What we are going to do, well, the files of this investigation should be separated from all other files and they will be under lock and key

Я

Q

and there will be minimum distribution of those keys. 1 At the moment there are three that have been released 2 and one I will have until such time. 3 "MR. FITTS: Nielson has one, and who has got the other? 5 "DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: Captain Brown. 6 "We are going to isolate the files because 7 they are not available to the average person. Я "MR. HATCHER: We advise them that they can 9 procure a copy of a page and that our fee is fifty 10 cents a page. That will stop a lot of them. 11 "MR. FITTS: Okay, does something like this 12 13 sound reasonable? I am just talking off the top of 14 my head. 15 "DEPUTY CHIEF HOUGHTON: I would like to meet 16 once with them or their commissioners so long as our 17 problems are similar. 18 "JUDGE LORING: Well, I don't want to take any 19 more of Judge Loring's time on these details. 20 21 22 otherwise I would have handled it myself. 23 "JUDGE LORING: Absolutely. 24 25 26 this time for distribution. 27 "(The meeting adjourned at 2:15 28 P.M.)"

MR. HECHT: Mr. Talmachoff, please. 1 (Thereupon, the witness, Peter John Talmachoff, was 2 then escorted into the Grand Jury Hearing Room by the 3 Sergeant At Arms.) 6 PETER JOHN TALMACHOFF, called as a witness before the Grand Jury, was duly sworn as 8 follows: 9 THE FOREMAN: You do solemnly swear that the evidence 10 you shall give in this matter now pending before the Grand 11 Jury of the County of Los Angeles shall be the truth, the 12 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 13 14 THE WITNESS: I do. 15 16 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. HECHT: 19 Mr. Talmachoff, what is your business or 20 occupation? 21 T'm Chief of the Criminal Division of the 22 Los Angeles County Clerk's Office. 23 Mr. Talmachoff, I asked you to bring a number 24 of items with you. Did you bring the Court Order issued by 25 Judge Alarcon? 26 I have the original Order and a certified copy 27 of it. 28 May I have it, please?

Q

Yes, thank you. 1 MR. HECHT: Mr. Foreman, Mr. Talmachoff has given me a Superior Court Order and a certified copy of the original 3 that was made by Judge Alarcon in hec verba. May this be marked as Exhibit 39? THE FOREMAN: It will be so marked. BY MR. HECHT: Did you take this from the official business records of the Los Angeles County Clerk's Office? Q A Yes, I did. 10 Q All right, thank you, Mr. Talmachoff. 11 Will you please tell the Grand Jury your job 12 13 title? Chief of the Criminal Division. 14 15 How long have you had that title? Q 16 Α Since 1963. 17 Prior to that time, what was your experience 18 insofar as the Clerk's Office was concerned? 19 I have been employed in the County Clerk's Office 20 since October of 1941. 21 Beginning from the beginning I worked in the 22 civil areas and then I went into the Service in 1942 and 23 came back in 1946. 24 I resumed my employment in the civil areas, 25 civil filings and trial divisions. 26 I became a court clerk in the civil court and 27 continued to work on the civil side until 1952. 28 In 1953 I worked on the Master Calendar, Pasadena

Branch.

Returning from Pasadena in '54, for a very short time I worked civil but from that time on I worked in the Criminal Master Calendar as a court clerk.

I worked as a criminal court clerk from 1955 and then I went to Juvenile and worked there as a court clerk for about a year and a half and then I went to the Psychiatric Section and worked there for approximately ten months.

From there I came back to the Juvenile area as the office manager and I remained there from 1958 until 1962, at which time I came back to the criminal division as the Assistant Chief.

In 1963 I became the Division Chief and I've held that position since that time.

Q What are your duties in connection with your present assignment?

A I am the top management of the Division. I'm in charge of the Division.

Q Please go ahead.

A I'm responsible for the conduct of the Division.

I'm responsible for the assignment of duties between the different individuals.

Q Is one of the responsibilities of your Division the handling of criminal case exhibits?

A Yes, sir.

Q What are the various methods by which an exhibit in a criminal case comes into possession of your Division?

A The most frequent manner by which we receive the

exhibits would be through the preliminary hearing process in Municipal Court.

After a person is held to answer, these exhibits are then transmitted along to us with the complaint and that is our initial contact with them.

Another method by which we would receive original exhibits would be through the Grand Jury process.

If the Grand Jury holds proceedings and an Indictment is voted on, then they send us the exhibits and we received these exhibits.

A third method would be that we receive exhibits from our trial courts.

In other words, various documents and physical objects are entered into as exhibits in the trial courts, and they later come to our exhibit division for safekeeping pending appellate and post-trial proceedings.

Did you bring with you, pursuant to my request, a copy of the letter signed by Attorney George Shibley dated August 17, 1970?

A Yes, sir.

Q This is from your official business records and files?

A Yes, sir.

MR. HECHT: Mr. Foreman, the letter that Mr. Talmachoff has just brought with him, may that at this time be marked Grand Jury Exhibit Number 43?

THE FOREMAN: It will be so marked.

BY MR. HECHT:

| 1  | Ω Did you bring with you a copy of a document                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | entitled, "Record of Viewing of Exhibits - Sirhan case"?       |
| 3  | A Do you mean the log, sir?                                    |
| 4  | Q Yes, sir.  |
| 5  | A I did.   |
| 6  | Q May I have it, please?                                       |
| 7  | A Yes.   |
| 8  | Q Did you bring a copy of that with you?                       |
| 9  | A I've copies but I don't have certified copies.               |
| 10 | Q I don't want a certified copy, but if you have a             |
| 11 | copy that will be just as satisfactory.                        |
| 12 | A Yes, I do, but it is not quite as legible as the             |
| 13 | original.  |
| 14 | $\Omega$ I think it will be satisfactory.                      |
| 15 | MR. HECHT: Mr. Foreman, with your permission, I would          |
| 16 | like to mark this Grand Jury Exhibit Number 44 for identifica- |
| 17 | tion.  |
| 18 | THE FOREMAN: It will also be so marked.                        |
| 19 | BY MR. HECHT:  |
| 20 | Ω Did you also bring with you certified copies                 |
| 21 | of the exhibit viewing slips, Mr. Talmachoff?                  |
| 22 | A Yes, sir.  |
| 23 | Q May I have those, please?                                    |
| 24 | A Yes. I have the originals attached to them.                  |
| 25 | Ω Now, let me indicate precisely what you have.                |
| 26 | You have just given to me what appears to be the               |
| 27 | originals?   |
| 28 | A Yes, part of the originals.                                  |

44 id.

| 1  | Q What are the rest of these documents?                         |
|----|---|
| 2  | A Certified copies of that group of originals.                  |
| 3  | Q Do you have any Exhibit Viewing Slips?                        |
| 4  | A Yes, sir.   |
| 5  | Q And where are they?   |
| 6  | A They are in two different piles.                              |
| 7  | Q Someone had requested these first from your                   |
| 8  | office, that they be separated in this particular manner.       |
| 9  | A I did not prepare these myself but they were                  |
| 10 | prepared under my supervision and direction.                    |
| 11 | Q May I remove the originals and give them back to              |
| 12 | you?  |
| 13 | A Yes.  |
| 14 | Q I take it the second group was attached to the                |
| 15 | first group so we have what I believe to be a set of Viewing    |
| 16 | Slips?  |
| 17 | A Yes, sir.   |
| 18 | MR. HECHT: With your permission, Mr. Foreman, may I             |
| 19 | take these and mark them as a group as Grand Jury Exhibit       |
| 20 | Number 45?  |
| 21 | THE FOREMAN: It will be so marked.                              |
| 22 | BY MR. HECHT:   |
| 23 | Q All right, Mr. Talmachoff, do you have procedures             |
| 24 | established in your Division in connection with the display and |
| 25 | exhibition of criminal case exhibits, which you have in your    |
| 26 | custody, care and control?                                      |
| 27 | A Yes, sir.   |
| 28 | Q Will you explain those proceedings to the members             |
|    |   |



of the Grand Jury, please?

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

If anyone wants to see an exhibit, they come to Α our exhibit intake area and they make their request.

We have a form which we call a viewing slip. We request they complete the viewing slip form.

The exhibit clerk will then check to see if we have that exhibit.

We have what we call a guide envelope and that is an envelope containing the receipt slips which describes the exhibits in the case.

The guide envelope is the locater index to where we store the exhibits.

The exhibit custodian clerk would go to this guide envelope, pull it, verify that there is such an exhibit that the person has requested.

He would pull the guide envelope, examine the contents of the envelope, examine any markings that may be on the envelope itself as to any limitation on the viewing.

If there were none, he would then obtain the exhibits or exhibit for the person requesting to view the exhibit.

Has your Division, since the time you have been Division Chief of the Criminal Division, ever been assigned certain responsibilities in connection with restrictions as to the availability of the original exhibits in a criminal case, pursuant to a court order?

Frankly, I cannot recall if we had any such Α court orders prior to the Sirhan case.

| There may have been, but I just cannot recall.                |
|---|
| Q Was the Sirhan case, in fact, probably the only             |
| case such a court order was made?                             |
| A Well, I think so, up to that point in time.                 |
| Q As a matter of fact, in the Sirhan case, there              |
| were two court orders imposing certain restrictions on the    |
| exhibits, were there not?                                     |
| A I think there were two written court orders but             |
| I think throughout the trial there were different orders made |
| relative to the exhibits.                                     |
| I cannot recite those verbatim, but I think there             |
| was an order made on June 14th, a Minute Order made on June   |
| 14, 1969, which I believe was an amendment to Judge Alarcon's |
| Order.  |
| Q Do you have that with you, sir?                             |
| A I have a copy.  |
| Q Well, Mr. Talmachoff, let me show you a transcript          |
| of what purports to be a conversation between Mr. Burnett     |
| and a man named Robert Kaiser.                                |
| MR. HECHT: First of all, Mr. Foreman, may this be marked      |
| Grand Jury Exhibit Number 46?                                 |
| THE FOREMAN: It will be so marked.                            |
| MR. HECHT: I also have a picture of what purports to          |
| be a picture of a person by the name of William W. Harper.    |
| May this be marked Grand Jury Exhibit Number 47?              |
| THE FOREMAN: It will be so marked.                            |
| MR. HECHT: I have further what purports to be an Index        |
|   |
|   |

46 id

47 id

26

27

28

•

to Exhibits -- Sirhan case -- Trial.

May this combined index be marked as Exhibit Number 48 for identification?

THE FOREMAN: It will be so marked.

MR. HECHT: Thank you.

Now, you've handed me a two-page document dated January 14, 1969, and on the second page there is the following language:

On motion of the People and the defendant
Judge Alarcon's Court Order is modified to permit
the People and the defense counsel to inspect
exhibits in County Clerk's Office.

May this be marked Grand Jury Exhibit Number 49 for identification?

THE FOREMAN: May be so marked.

BY MR. HECHT:

Q Do you have a practice in your Division,
Mr. Talmachoff, of making any notations on the case guide
envelope where exhibits are not to be viewed or to be
available to members of the public?

A The notation that would be made is not necessarily the notation that would reflect what you're saying.

The notation that would be made would be a notation based on any special handling that the particular exhibits may require.

If you will permit me I will give you an example of a different situation.

We have a request from the District Attorney's Office in a particular case, a written request wherein the District Attorneyhas asked that in the event of the opposing side wanting to see that particular set of exhibits they would like to be notified so that someone from the District Attorney's Office will be present. This is the type of notation that we put on the cover of the guide envelope so it will be the first thing that will hit the eye of the exhibit custodian clerk.

Q Would you say that an order restricting the availability of the exhibits in the case of the importance of the Sirhan case would be the kind of case in which there would be notations, to use your language, to hit the eye of the custodian clerk?

A Yes, it would.

Q Generally speaking, these restricted court orders are, in fact, rare so far as the restriction is placed on viewing the exhibits is concerned, isn't that true?

A They are rare.

There are some that have occurred, but I think there has been more activity in that area of limited viewing or limited publicity in the recent few years than there ever have been in the prior years.

 $\Omega$  And this occurred in connection with criminal cases?

A Yes, sir.

Q Insofar as the differentiation might be made, the Sirhan case was a major criminal case?

|    | \$ mar follows to the market markets of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of th |
|----|---|
| 1  | is that correct?  |
| 2  | A That's correct.   |
| 3  | Q That language was placed there a few days prior   |
| 4  | to the interview you and I had on June 10th of this year?   |
| 5  | A Probably; if that is the correct date.  |
| 6  | Q Can you tell us when the Sirhan Bishara Sirhan  |
| 7  | exhibits were sent from your office up to the Supreme Court?  |
| 8  | A Only certain exhibits were sent.  |
| 9  | They were sent from here to the Supreme Court   |
| 10 | Clerk's Office in Los Angeles and that, I believe, was January  |
| 11 | 13, 1971.   |
| 12 | Ω You say that some exhibits were sent during the   |
| 13 | month of January, 1971?   |
| 14 | A Yes, sir.   |
| 15 | Q Was that most of the exhibits or just a few?  |
| 16 | A There were quite a few that were sent.  |
| 17 | I think the great majority was sent.  |
| 18 | Q Are you telling us that the language that was   |
| 19 | supposed to hit the eye of the Exhibit Clerk, alerting him  |
| 20 | to the fact that there was a court order regarding the exhibits   |
| 21 | was put on there after you no longer had most of the exhibits   |
| 22 | in your custody?  |
| 23 | A Yes, I told you it was put on in June of this   |
| 24 | year.   |
| 25 | Q What use would that language be when you no longer  |
| 26 | had the original exhibits to show to anyone?  |
| 27 | A We still have the original exhibits in our  |
| 28 | possession.   |
|    | 1   |

| 1  | Q            | You still have the original exhibits in your       |
|----|--------------|--|
| 2  | possession?  |  |
| 3  | A            | We still have some today, yes.                     |
| 4  | Q            | How many do you have?                              |
| 5  |              | Very few, do you not?                              |
| 6  | A            | Not necessarily very few. I would say, well, I     |
| 7  | would guess, | offhand I would guess about 17 or 20 exhibits.     |
| 8  | Q            | How many exhibits were introduced in evidence      |
| 9  | during the S | irhan case?  |
| 10 | A            | Again quite a few.                                 |
| 11 |              | I cannot tell you the exact number.                |
| 12 | Q            | Well, over 100?                                    |
| 13 | A            | Yes; I think the exhibit numbers went over 100     |
| 14 | and, of cour | se, the defense had exhibits, too, which would be  |
| 15 | alphabetical | •  |
| 16 | Ω            | Can you tell us why that language was not placed   |
| 17 | on that enve | lope prior to June of that year?                   |
| 18 | A            | The explanation for that is something that I       |
| 19 | cannot give  | you because I'm not the one that discarded the     |
| 20 | old envelope | and made up the new envelope.                      |
| 21 |              | If there was an expression on the old envelope,    |
| 22 | it should ha | ve been carried on to the new envelope.            |
| 23 | Q            | If I recall your testimony accurately it was that  |
| 24 | you cannot 1 | ocate the old guide envelope?                      |
| 25 | A            | No, sir, we cannot.                                |
| 26 | Q            | Can you tell us with certainty that the old guide  |
| 27 | envelope con | tained language that was supposed to alert members |
| 28 | of your staf | f to the existence of the court order?             |

#5



•

in a number of different rooms throughout this building.

We had vermin problems; for example, one package was being torn apart by mice and the contents thereof scattered and this would be even a few minutes after a package would be cleaned up we would find the vermin had come back and gotten to it again.

We had terribly crowded conditions.

Unfortunately, not having the Grand Jury Report before me, I cannot recall the specifics so I cannot respond to the specifics.

- O In any event, is there any doubt that the 1968 Grand Jury was, in fact, concerned with the proper control, handling and preservation of the exhibits which came into the custody of members of your division?
  - A No doubt at all in my mind.
- Q All right, let's go back in point of time to the date the 1968 Grand Jury returned the Indictment which was on June the 7th of 1968.

Were you present in Department 100 which at that time was presided over by Judge Alarcon, when that Indictment was returned?

- A I believe I was.
- Q Did Judge Alarcon convey to you the contents of a certain court order which was later served upon your office?
  - A Yes.
- Q Had Judge Alarcon discussed any aspects of that order with you prior to the time he read it in open court on June 7th?

` 3

5

drawer and kept there, I believe, except that one exhibit was

a rolled chart and was so large it could not be kept with the 1 rest of the exhibits in the safe and in the locked area. 2 As I recall, there were only two keys to this locked area, one was held by myself and one by Mr. Wilson and the rolled chart would not fit into the drawer and I 5 believe we placed it elsewhere with a special notation relative 6 to its handling. If you would like, I have a copy of that notation Я 9 here. In connection with the efforts made to implement 10 0 Judge Alarcon's court order, did you write any memos to members 11 of your staff alerting them of Judge Alarcon's order? 12 13 I don't recall of having written any memos. 14 Did you give any instructions? 0 15 I'm sure I did. A To which persons did you give such instructions? 16 Q 17 I cannot recall specifically saying what to whom, A 18 but as a matter of practice I'm sure I did speak to 19 Mr. Wilson. 20 I'm sure I spoke to the head of the section and 21 possibly to all of the employees working in the section. 22 What is Mr. Wilson's first name? Q 23 Richard Wilson. A 24 Is he still a member of your staff? Q25 No, sir. He's in the chief administrative officer s Α 26 office now.

and the later court order issued by Judge Walker, what did you

And during the time between Judge Alarcon's order

27

28

Q

personally do to see that the Alarcon order was continually followed by members of your staff?

A We kept those exhibits locked in that drawer I mentioned. We maintained a document called "Record of Use of Exhibits."

Q I note on the filled in portion of that document there are dates and the next column is the department and the next column the name and the next column is the purpose and the last column says "Authorized".

Would you explain the purpose of keeping that document, please?

A I cannot recall whether we started this before or after Mr. Sharp, the County Clerk, gave us oral instructions to keep a record of the people who came in to look at the exhibits in the case.

Q Would you have done this without Mr. Sharp specifically telling you to do that?

A Yes, that's why I say I can't recall we did it before he gave instructions or after. It is possible that we started it before.

Q Can you tell us what efforts you engaged in personally to notify members of your staff that Judge Alarcon had, in fact, issued such a restrictive court order about the exhibits?

A Again, this is remote in time. However, I believe we made copies of the court order, at least one copy of the court order was circulated among the members of the exhibit staff and it was scotch-taped or pinned to the wall just within

| 1  | the exhibit room.  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Q Did you bring that document with you?                      |
| 3  | A I do not have that particular document.                    |
| 4  | Q Are you certain that particular document was, in           |
| 5  | fact, the Alarcon order and not some other document?         |
| 6  | A At this point in time I cannot say for certain.            |
| 7  | Q Did the court order issued by Judge Alarcon which          |
| 8  | he read aloud while presiding in Superior Court, generally   |
| 9  | was concerned with publicity and with the integrity of the   |
| 10 | exhibits?  |
| 11 | A Yes.   |
| 12 | Q All right; let me call your attention to Friday,           |
| 13 | May 19, 1969. Were you personally present in the chambers of |
| 14 | Assistant Presiding Judge Charles A. Loring?                 |
| 15 | A Yes; but what was the date?                                |
| 16 | Q Friday, May 19, 1969?                                      |
| 17 | A There was a meeting in Judge Loring's chambers,            |
| 18 | but I'm not positive that was the date.                      |
| 19 | Could it have been the 16th?                                 |
| 20 | Q You're correct, May 16, 1969.                              |
| 21 | A Yes; I believe so.   |
| 22 | Q Was there such a meeting at which you were                 |
| 23 | present?   |
| 24 | A Yes, sir.  |
| 25 | Q Now, you say that with a certain amount of                 |
| 26 | certainty.   |
| 27 | Do you remember that I identified that conversa-             |
| 28 | tion to you at 9:00 o'clock A.M. on Thursday, June 20, 1971, |
|    |  |

| 1  | in Mr. Busch's office?  |
|----|---|
| 2  | A Yes, I do.  |
| 3  | Q Do you recall at that time telling me that you                |
| 4  | had a vague recollection of being present at such a meeting?    |
| 5  | A Yes, sir.   |
| 6  | Q In view of the fact that your memory was vague                |
| 7  | at that time when I asked you about that meeting, has anything  |
| 8  | happened between your conversation with me and now that has     |
| 9  | refreshed your recollection as to that meeting?                 |
| 10 | A Yes, it has.  |
| 11 | Q And what is that?   |
| 12 | A I received a copy of the transcript of those                  |
| 13 | proceedings of of that meeting.                                 |
| 14 | Q I made that copy available to Mr. Sharp?                      |
| 15 | A Yes, I believe you did.                                       |
| 16 | Q Also present, as the record reflects besides                  |
| 17 | Judge Loring was Judge Walker, Deputy Chief Robert A. Houghton, |
| 18 | Deputy District Attorney David Fitts, Chief Deputy Emory        |
| 19 | Hatcher, Division Chief Peter Talmachoff that's yourself        |
| 20 | and Mrs. Alice Nishikawa?                                       |
| 21 | A That's correct. I believe there was a court                   |
| 22 | reporter present, also.   |
| 23 | Q There was a court reporter taking down the                    |
| 24 | proceedings?  |
| 25 | A Yes, there was.   |
| 26 | Q What was Mrs. Nishikawa's function?                           |
| 27 | A Mrs. Nishikawa was the court clerk assigned to                |
| 28 | Tudgo Walker She was the court clerk throughout the Sirhan      |

case as it progressed in Judge Walker's court.

- Q And what were the circumstances that led up to your being personally present in Judge Loring's chambers?
- A Well, there were questions relative to the handling of these exhibits.
  - Q Who raised those questions?
  - A I cannot say at this time. I don't recall.
- Q How did you know that there were questions raised as to the handling of exhibits which resulted in your being present?

A Well, I believe I was told there was going to be a conference concerning the exhibits in the Sirhan case but that they were going to have a conference about it, because although the exhibits were in our possession there was an interest in making it available to the public so that the public could have the information as to the availability of these exhibits.

Actually, of course, the exhibits are always available to members of the public, but in this case -- I'm not certain at whose insistence the meeting came about -- it could have been either Judge Walker himself or possibly Mr. Younger, the District Attorney, but, in any event, they decided to have a meeting and decide on how to handle this aspect of the exhibits at that particular meeting.

I'm not certain at this time at whose request the meeting was called. All I can say is that chronologically, sir, it occurred after Mr. Sirhan was found guilty and I believe that date was April 17th and then the death penalty was

returned on April 23rd. So the meeting in Judge Loring's chambers took place well after the exhibits had been introduced and used in the court proceeding, during which time they were under the control of the court clerk in the courtroom? Yes, that's correct. Now it was a question that the exhibits would be taken from the courtroom and placed in the custody of the County Clerk's Office on the 4th Floor? 9 10 Α That's correct. Do you have any quarrel with the chronology that 11 12 I'm now relating? I'm not aware of any errors in what you have said. In view of the fact that you were invited to O 15 attend these proceedings, did that suggest to you that some portions of that meeting would be concerned with some aspects 17 of your division? 18 Yes. sir. 19 How long did that meeting take place? I cannot recall, sir. Α 21 You already have indicated, that you noted the 22 presence of the court reporter? 23 I know there was a court reporter by virtue of our A having a transcript of that meeting. 25 During the time you had been in your present 26 position, how many other meetings, either in or out of 27 chambers have you attended that were reported and transcribed

1

3

5

13

14

16

20

24

28

where one of the many articulated purposes of those conferences

| 1  | was to estab  | lish strict procedures with respect to the handling |  |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2  | of exhibits?  |   |  |  |  |
| 3  | A   | I cannot recall any other.                          |  |  |  |
| 4  |   | There may have been, but I just cannot recall.      |  |  |  |
| 5  | Ω ~   | In all fairness, then, this was a rather unique     |  |  |  |
| 6  | and unusual procedure?  |   |  |  |  |
| 7  | A   | Yes, it was.  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Ω   | Do you recall the substance of the meeting?         |  |  |  |
| 9  |   | I'm not asking you to testify verbatim but do you   |  |  |  |
| 10 | recall the substance of the matters that were discussed at that |   |  |  |  |
| 11 | meeting?  | ,   |  |  |  |
| 12 | A   | Having refreshed myself on the transcript, I do.    |  |  |  |
| 13 | Q   | Do you agree at the time you were interviewed on    |  |  |  |
| 14 | June 10, 197  | 1, you told me you could not recall that conversa-  |  |  |  |
| 15 | tion?   |   |  |  |  |
| 16 | A   | Yes, sir.   |  |  |  |
| 17 | Q   | Did you consider the matters discussed during that  |  |  |  |
| 18 | proceeding insofar as they related to duties of your division   |   |  |  |  |
| 19 | very important?   |   |  |  |  |
| 20 | A   | Yes, sir.   |  |  |  |
| 21 | Q   | Did you take notes during those proceedings?        |  |  |  |
| 22 | A   | I don't recall at this time.                        |  |  |  |
| 23 | Q   | Did you prepare a written report concerning the     |  |  |  |
| 24 | subject matter of those proceedings insofar as it related to    |   |  |  |  |
| 25 | your respective duties?   |   |  |  |  |
| 26 | A   | No, sir.  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Ω   | Were any statements or instructions relative to th  |  |  |  |
| 28 | operation of  | your division which were made during that meeting,  |  |  |  |

were there any that were felt by you to be unclear or ambiguous?

- A I don't believe at the time of the meeting I had any such feeling.
- Q . Have you ever requested any clarification from either the County Clerk's Office, the Superior Court or the District Attorney's Office in connection with the roll of the Clerk's Office, as it was discussed during that proceeding?
  - A No, sir.
- Q Having in mind that you took no notes, did you request any such clarification?
  - A No.
- Q What subsequent action did you take after attending this meeting?
- A One of the purposes of the meeting was to decide the form of the order that would be issued by the court, and during the meeting there was an indication -- not just an indication -- but just as a matter of fact Judge Walker requested that the District Attorney prepare such an order. At such time as the order was prepared and signed by Judge Walker, we received our directions as to how to handle the exhibits.
- Q In effect, they were written instructions for your handling of the exhibits and they would have been in the form of this court order?
  - A Yes, I believe so.
- Q Vell, I'm referring now to Page 4, and because I have the Xerox copy of the transcript that was given to you

that may not come in the precise place, but in my copy, starting on Line 13, Judge Walker said:

"I know Alice has kept track of it. It is not going to be any problem to figure it out.

"And then we come to the second problem, as I see it. These exhibits were extremely valuable and they're going to go up on appeal and to have them mutilated or even some of them, it is going to be very bad, and I think particularly if they are not in packages."

Do you recall that language?

- A I'm not sure, but if it is in the transcript then certainly Judge Walker did say that.
- Q There were a number of references made to the packaging of exhibits during that proceeding?
- A I don't believe so. You say a number of references and I think there were several references but not quite in that context.
  - Q All right, sir.

What efforts did you make to see that the exhibits were packaged so that none of the exhibits could be mutilated so that basically the evidence would remain in the same condition it was as it was presented in the courtroom?

A We do not claim to be experts in knowing whether an item or any items could be mutilated.

We, as a practice, use the same package, the same envelopes that are used by the ballistics experts, as we feel they are more expert in this particular area.

Q Did you advise Judge Walker that you did intend to

package any of the exhibits? 1 It depends upon what you mean by packaging. A 2 We put things together in envelopes and stored 3 them all away. There was some reference made to the use of 5 plastic containers for certain of the exhibits. 6 Would that plastic or maybe cellophane, when 7 that language was used, as I understand it, by Judge Loring, 8 who is not adverse to people viewing the exhibits that were 9 used but he wanted them to view it through something that 10 11 was transparent. I don't recall that. 12 You don't recall any discussion as to the safe-13 guarding of the exhibits or the markings on the exhibits? 14 15 Well, there may have been some discussion about A 16 it. 17 Do you feel that there may have been some dis-Q 18 cussion as to what the precise extent of your responbility 19 may have been in connection with packaging exhibits? 20 I did not feel that we were expected to repack Α 21 in some unique way the items contained in the case. On Page 5 of the transcript I have, there appears 22 23 the following: 24 "JUDGE WALKER: Well, it could be supported 25 by a Minute Order, but I think there should also 26 be an actual signed order, I thought. 27 "MR. TALMACHOFF: I would like to recommend you

do this. We had this at the very beginning of the

case and it is quite helpful to us to be able to show a copy of the court order with the Judge's signature on it."

Did you make that statement?

- A As best as I can recall, yes.
- In other words, that statement would seem to indicate that you did have a copy of the court order and most likely knowing the chronology of the case, because you refer to the "very beginning of the case", that would have been Judge Alarcon's order?
  - A Yes: I think that's right.
  - All right, then the transcript continues:

    "JUDGE WALKER: Well, we can write up an

    order covering it in particularity.

"Now, getting back to our second problem, we have got these bullets, we have got the gun, and I've even had a request from some woman that got hit with one of these bullets. She wanted it for a souvernir. I have already told her where she can get it.

"What I'm trying to do is to set up something like this, that the actual exhibits are not
exhibited to these people in some manner, so they
are not mutilated or lost or anything else, because
it is easy for these exhibits to get lost in your
office and everybody is in a mess. I understand that
maybe you could have copies that the public could
see."

Do you recall that language? 1 Not independently, but I recall reading it in the Α 2 transcript and I'm certain that Judge Walker said that. 2 Did Judge Walker later issue a court order, did Ω he not? 5 Yes. When you were in Judge Loring's chambers and the content of that court order was being discussed what method did R you contemplate using to bring to the attention of your clerks 9 the court order issued by Judge Walker? 10 Well, first as to this question of packing Á 11 materials, that would be the envelopes the items came in. 12 We would put those into regular size envelopes, 13 and pack them into boxes and put the boxes out of circulation. 14 15 You're telling me now, as I understand it, what 16 you expected to do? Well, wasn't that your question? A 17 I'm talking about communicating the contents of the 0 - 18 court order to the exhibit custodian clerks. 19 Were you thinking of any way in which to communi-20 21 cate the contents of the court order so that no one could see 22 the exhibits except the attorneys without an order of court? Well, I think there are a couple of aspects there. A 24 One is to see the court order and that court order 25 would tell them that nobody except the attorneys were entitled 26 to see the exhibits. 27 Now we had certain of these exhibits duplicated

so that they would be available to the People, to the public so



that they could come in and see these copies and if they wished they could order certain of these exhibits.

There are certain exhibits which I understand, like the autopsy pictures, were absolutely not to be reproduced.

Q I'm not quite sure that you're answering my question.

I want to make the question as clear as possible. What efforts, when you got Judge Walker's order, what efforts did you make or did you personally engage in to make sure that every one of your custodian clerks saw the court order so that they would be familiar with the contents of the court order?

A Again I cannot recall the specific actions taken. I know that a copy of the court order was taped to the exhibit section. I know the supervisor was informed about it and we put a copy of the court order in the guide envelope.

Also there was a copy of the court order and I can visualize it. There was a copy of the court order that was affixed to the bulletin board which is in the exhibit area.

As I understand it, you had a copy of the court order placed in the original guide envelope which may or may not have had the cautionary language that would hit the eye of the exhibit custodian clerk?

A Yes, sir.

Q Can you tell me with certainty whether a copy of the court order was pasted to the bulletin board in your office?

A At this point in time, no. I feel we did, by my

visualizing it. I know we had a document pinned up there but I can't tell you at what point in time it was.

I don't want to give the impression that we just put it on the board and we just left it there and that's all we did. But I do know that we did have the court order pinned on the board.

Q You indicated you expected a great deal of turnover in certain areas in a certain time.

Did that include the exhibit custodian clerks?

A That is why I made a qualification -- excuse me -at that point in time we had a different classification of
employee.

Starting in 1967 I tried to get that position reclassified and upgraded so there would be less turnover taking place.

Prior to the actual reclassification and the acquisition of the level of the employee that we now have, we had quite a turnover in the exhibit area and it was quite severe.

In an attempt to reduce the turnover in the exhibit area, we took the employees that we considered more stable, in terms of turnover, not necessarily the most competent or highly qualified, but these were the people we felt would remain with us for a long period of time, so these employees formed the nucleus of the employees in the exhibit section.

There were what you might call fringe-type employees, who would be in or out and only stay there a short

\$6

| 1  | O Do you have the same crew of people working there            |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | that you had when Judge Walker issued his order?               |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | A No, sir.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Q Do you have an entirely different crew down there            |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | now?   |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | A Yes.   |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Q In the exhibit section?                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | A Yes, sir.  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Q During the past two years have you, in fact, been            |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | concerned with the caliber of the employees that you have been |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | getting in the Clerk's Office?                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | A Yes.   |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Q Are you charged with the hiring and training of              |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | persons in your department?                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | A Yes.   |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Q And how are they recruited?                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | A Mainly through the civil service.                            |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Q So you do expect vacancies in your department and            |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | that would not be an unexpected event?                         |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | A That's true.   |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Ω What training do you provide for new employees in            |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | your division?   |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | A Again it depends on the various areas but usually            |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | it's a form of on-the-job training, the exposure to the work   |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | itself, the counseling by the supervisors and we have several  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | levels of supervision between myself and the employee himself. |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | It's primarily come part of a pragmatic type training where we |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | expose thow to the work and thoy become accomminted with it    |  |  |  |  |

| Q            | Has the | caliber   | of the  | employee   | who works  | as | an  |
|--------------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|----|-----|
| exhibit cust | odian c | erk in y  | our off | ice been o | of concern | to | you |
| in the sense | that y  | ou weren' | t satis | fied with  | the level  | of |     |
| employee you | may ha  | ve receiv | ed down | there?     |            |    |     |

A Yes, sir.

Amount of turnover in your employees and that at least in your opinion the caliber of the employee was not as you would like them to be, what precise efforts did you make to see if the new employees coming into your division who were assigned to the exhibit custodian section were informed as to the nature and contents of Judge Walker's Order?

A I personally did not give special instruction to these employees concerning Judge Walker's order. I left such matters to the supervisors who worked specifically with the employees.

- Q What supervisors are you speaking of?
- A Initially --
- Q Are you going back to when the case first concluded?

A I'm going back to the time when I first got
the court order from Judge Walker. At that time I believe
I did talk with the employees. I believe Mr. Wilson, who was the
assistant division chief, talked with the employees.

I believe that Guy Tracy also conferred with the employees.

Q When you conferred with the employees did you give them instructions as to what they were supposed to do?

| 1  | A I showed them the court order and discussed it         |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | with them.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | Q Did you check to see whether your conference with      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | them resulted in your instructions being carried out?    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | A In certain areas, yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | Q And in your conversation with me on June 10, 1971,     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | I'm referring now to Page 9, did I ask you the following |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | question:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | "What method was used to disseminate the                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | contents of the court order to any new employees         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | that might come on the job, after you were               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | served with Judge Walker's Court Order?                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | "ANSWER: It's not my recollection we                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | discussed the Sirhan case with any new                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | employee.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | "It is my recollection that such                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | instructions should have been given by the               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | supervising clerk, Mr. Richard Buckley, who, at          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | that time, would explain the general practice            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | in the handling of special exhibits.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | "QUESTION: Did you specifically tell                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Mr. Buckley to instruct all new employees in             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | connection with the unique way the Sirhan exhibits       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | were to be handled?                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | "ANSTHER: May I make this observation, may               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | I first make the observation that Mr. Buckley            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | became a supervisor of the section much longer after     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | the arbibite were received                               |  |  |  |  |  |