

DEPARTMENT OF THE HERIFF ROOM 265, HALL OF JUSTICE LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 PHONE: 626-9511, EXTENSION 82041



## ACCUSED KENNEDY SLAYER INDICTED AND ARRAIGNED

Following an indictment handed down by the Los Angeles County Grand Jury during the afternoon of Friday, June 7, 1968, Judge Arthur L. Alarcon of Department 100 of the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles presided at the arraignment of Sirhan B. Sirhan, accused slayer of Senator Robert F. Kennedy.

In keeping with the continued intense security measures enacted by the los Angeles County Sheriff's Department at the personal direction of Sheriff Peter 1. Pitchess, Judge Alarcon presiding at a special session of Department 100, neld temporary court facilities provided by the Sheriff, held the arraignment at the Los Angeles County Men's Central Jail.

Sirhan, represented by Deputy Public Defender Wilbur Littlefield, was held of answer on one count of murder and five counts of assault with intent to commit runder resulting from the events which occurred at the Ambassador Hotel during the early morning hours of June 5, 1968.

Present at the arraignment as an official observer, at the request of Judge Alarcon was A. L. Wirin, a representative of the American Civil Liberties Union.

Further court proceedings were continued until a later date.

 $X \times X$ 

SIB-70M-68 June 7, 1968

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Flaintiffs,

-V2-

SIRHAN BISHARA SIRBAN.

Defendant.

NO. A 233421

ORDER RE PUBLICATY

The Grand Jury having returned an indictment in this matter on this date, June 7, 1968, this Court not has juried of the over all proceedings in this case for the first time. I impless, the Court is now in a legal position to make certain orders at pecting this matter.

Under our Constitution, each defendant is thicked. The due process of the law and to a fair trial. This Count has a saffirmative duty to do everything possible within the Constitution powers and jurisdiction to make certain that each defendant of receive a fair trial.

In order to fulfill that Constitutional daily to gare of that the defendant does receive a fair trial, are because of the obvious public interest in this natter which has produced wheelve news media publicity, and it further appearing to the Court that the dissemination by any means of public communication of any out-of

court statements relating to this case may interfere with the Constitutional aght of the defendant to a air trial and disrupt the proper administration of justice, the Court, on its own motion, will now issue the following orders, a violation of which will result in swift action to punish for contempt any offender within the jurisdiction of this Court.

It is the order of this Court that no party to this action nor any attorney connected with this case as defense counsel or as prosecutor, nor any other attorney, nor any judicial officer or employee, nor any public official, including but not limited to any chief of police, nor any sheriff, nor any agent, deputy, or employee of any such persons nor any grand juror, nor any witness having appeared before the Grand Jury in this matter, nor any person subpoenaed to testify at the trial of this matter, shall release or authorize the release for public dissemination of any purported extrajudicial statement of the defendant relating to this case, nor shall any such persons release or authorize the release of any documents, exhibits, or any evidence, the admissibility of which may have to be determined by the Court, nor shall any such person rake any statement for public dissemination as to the existence or possible existence of any document, exhibit, or any other evidence, the admissibility of which may have to be determined by the Court. shall any such persons express outside of court an opinion or make any comment for public dissemination as to the weight, value, or effect of any evidence as tending to establish guilt or innocence. Nor shall any such persons make any statement outside of court as to the nature, substance, or effect of any testimony that has been given Nor shall any such persons issue any statement as to the identity of any prospective witness, or his probable testimony, or the effect Nor shall any person make any out-of-court statement as to the nature, source, or effect of any purported evidence alleged to

32

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

. 29

30

31

have been accumulated as a result of the investigation of this

The scheduling and result of any stage of the judicial proceeding held in open court in an open or public session.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31.

- A request for assistance in obtaining evidence.
- Any information as to any person not in custody who is sought as a possible suspect or witness, nor any statement aimed at warning the public of any possible danger as to such person not in custody.
- A request for assistance in the obtaining of evidence or the names of possible witnesses.

Further, this order is not intended to preclude any witness from discussing any matter in connection with the case with any of the attorneys representing the defendant or the People, or any representative of such attorneys.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be attached to any subpoena served on any witness in this matter, and that the return of service of the subpoena shall also include the fact of service of a copy of this order.

This order shall be in force until this matter has been disposed of or until further order of Court.

Dated: June 7, 1968.

Arthur L. Alarcon
Judge of the Superior Court

LOCAL BROADCAST BOD 6-7-68

ALL DIVISION COMMANDERS

ALL MEMBERS OF THIS DEPARTMENT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING SUPERIOR COURT ORDER +

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ABSELES

PROPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFFS

-VS-

SIRHAN BISHARA SIRHAN,

DEFENDANT.

NO. A 233421

ORDER RE PUBLICITY

THE GRAND JURY HAVING RETURNED AN INDICTMENT IN THIS MATTER ON THIS DATE, JUNE 7, 1968, THIS COURT NOW HAS JURISDICTION OVER ALL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS CASE FOR THE FIRST TIME. THEREFORE, THE COURT IS NOW IN A LEGAL POSITION TO MAKE CERTAIN ORDERS RESPECT-ING THIS MATTER.

UNDER OUR CONSTITUTION, EACH DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO THE DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW AND TO A FAIR TRIAL. THIS COURT HAS AN AFFIRMAIVE DUTY TO DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE VITHIN ITS CONSTITUTIONAL POWERS AND JURISDICTION TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT EACH DEFENDANT DOES RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL.

IN ORDER I FULFILL THAT CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY TO GUARANTEE THAT THE DEFENDANT DOES RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL, AND BECAUSE OF THE OBVIOUS PUBLIC INTEREST IN THIS MATTER WHICH HAS PRODUCED MASSIVE NEWS MEDIA PUBLICITY, AND IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT THE DISSEMINATION BY ANY MEANS OF PUBLIC COMMUNICATION OF ANY OUT-OF-COURT STATEMENTS RELATING TO THIS CASE MAY INTERFERE WITH THE COURT STITUTIONAL RIGHT OF THE BEFENDANT OF A FAIR TRIAL AND DISRUPT THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, THE COURT, ON ITS OWN MOTION, WILL NOW ISSUE THE FOLLOWING ORDERS, A VIOLATION OF WHICH WILL RESULT IN SWIFT ACTION TO PUNISH FOR CONTEMPT ANY OFFENDER WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT.

IT IS TWO ORDER OF THIS COURT THAT PARTY TO THIS ACTION NOR ANY ATTORNEY CANECTED WITH THIS CASE AS FENSE COUNSEL OR AS PROSECUTOR, NOR ANY OTHER ATTORNEY, NOR ANY JUDICIAL OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE, NOR ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMIT TO ANY CHIEF OF POLICE, NOR ANY SHERIFF, NOR ANY AGENT, DEPUTY, OR EMPLOYEE OF ANY SUCH PERSONS NOR ANY GRAND JUROR, NOR ANY WITNESS HAVING APPEARED BEFORE THE GRAND JURY IN THIS MATTER. NOR ANY PERSON SUB-POENAED TO TESTIFY AT THE TRIAL OF THIS MATTER, SHALL RELEASE OR AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION OF ANY PURPORTED EXTRAJUDICIAL STATEMENT OF THE DEFENDANT RELATING TO THIS CASE, NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSONS RELEASE OR AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE OF ANY DOCU-MENTS, EXHIBITS, OR ANY EVIDENCE, THE ADMISSIBILITY OF WHICH MAY HAVE TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT. NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSON MAKE ANY STATEMENT FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION AS TO THE EXISTENCE OR POS-SIBLE EXISTENCE OF ANY DOCUMENT. EXHIBIT. OR ANY OTHER EVIDENCE. THE ADMISSIBILITY OF WHICH MAY HAVE TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT. SHALL ANY PERSONS EXPRESS OUTSIDE OF COURT AN OPINION OR MAKE ANY COMMENT FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION AS TO THE WEIGHT, VALUE, OR EFFECT OF ANY EVIDENCE AS TENDING TO ESTABLISH GUILT OR INNOCENCE. NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSONS MAKE ANY STATEMENT OUTSIDE OF COURT AS TO THE NATURE, SUBSTANCE, OR EFFECT OF ANY TESTIMONY, OR THE EFFECT THEREOF. NOR SHALL ANY PERSON MAKE ANY OUT-OF-COURT STATEMENT AS TO THE NATURE, SOURCE, OR EFFECT OF ANY PURPORTED EVIDENCE ALLEGED O HAVE BEEN ACCUMULATED AS A RESULT OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THIS MATTER. NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSON OR ANY WITHESS, WHETHER OR NOT UNDER SUBPOENA, MAKE ANY STATEMENT AS TO THE CONTENT, NATURE, SUB-STANCE, OR EFFECT OF ANY TESTIMONY WHICH MAY BE GIVEN IN ANY PRO-CEEDING RELATED TO THIS MATTER. EXCEPT THAT A WITNESS MAY DISCUSS ANY MATTER WITH ANY ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR AGENT THEREOF.

THIS ORDER DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING/

- 1. FACTUAL STATEMENTS OF THE ACCUSED PERSONS NAME, AGE, RESIDENCE, OCCUPATION, AND FAMILY STATUS.
- 2. THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST, NAMELY, THE TIME AND PLACE OF ARREST, THE IDENTITY OF THE ARRESTING AND INVESTIGATION OFFICERS AND AGENCIES, AND THE LENGTH OF THE INVESTIGATION.
- 3. THE NATURE, SUBSTANCE, AND TEXT OF THE CHARGE, INCLUDING A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSES CHARGED.
- 4. QUOTATIONS FROM, OR ANY REFERENCE WITHOUT COM-MENT TO, PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COURT IN THE CASE, OR TO OTHER PUBLIC RECORDS OR COMMUNICATIONS HERETOFORE DISSEMINATED TO THE PUBLIC.
- 5. THE SCHEDULING AND RESULT OF ANY STAGE OF THE JUDICIAL PROCEEDING HELD IN OPEN COURT IN AN OPEN OR PUBLIC SESSION.
  - 6. A REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE IN OBTAINING EVIDENCE.
- 7. ANY INFORMATION AS TO ANY PERSON NOT IN CUSTODY WHO IS SOUGHT AS A POSSIBLE SUSPECT OR WITNESS, NOR ANY STATEMENT AIMED AT WARNING THE PUBLIC OF ANY POSSIBLE DANGER AS TO SUCH PERSON NOT IN CUSTODY.
- 8. A REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE IN OBTAINING OF EVIDENCE OR THE NAMES OF POSSIBLE WITNESSES.

FURTHER, THIS ORDER IS NOT INTENDED TO PRECLUDE ANY WIT-NESS FROM DISCUSSING ANY MATTER IN CONNECTION WITH THE CASE WITH ANY OF THE ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT OR THE PEOPLE, OR ANY REPRESENTATIVE OF SUCH ATTORNEYS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT A'COPY OF THIS ORDER BE ATTACHED TO ANY SUBPOENA SERVED ON ANY WITNESS IN THIS MATTER, AND THAT THE RETURN OF SERVICE OF THE SUBPOENA SHALL ALSO INCLUD THE FACT OF SERVICE OF A COPY OF THIS ORDER.

THIS ORDER SHALL BE IN FORCE UNTIL THIS MATTER HAS BEEN DISPOSED OF OR UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF COURT.

DATED/ JUNE 7, 1968.

ARTHUR L. ALARCON

ARTHUR L. ALARCON
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

LOCAL BROADCAST BOD 6-7-69

ALL DIVISION COMMANDERS

ALL MEMBERS OF THIS DEPARTMENT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING SUPERIOR COURT ORDER +

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS AUGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFFS.

-VS-

SIRHAN BISHARA SIRHAN,

DEFENDANT.

NO. A 233421

ORDER RE PUBLICITY

THE GRAND JURY HAVING RETURNED AN INDICTMENT IN THIS MATTER ON THIS DATE, JUNE 7, 1968, THIS COURT NOW HAS JURISDICTION OVER ALL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS CASE FOR THE FIRST TIME. THEREFORE, THE COURT IS NOW IN A LEGAL POSITION TO MAKE CERTAIN ORDERS RESPECTING THIS MATTER.

UNDER OUR CONSTITUTION, EACH DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO THE DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW AND TO A FAIR TRIAL. THIS COURT HAS AN AFFIRMAIVE DUTY TO DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE WITHIN ITS CONSTITUTIONAL POWERS AND JURISDICTION TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT EACH DEFENDANT DOES RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL.

IN ORDER T FULFILL THAT CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY TO GUARANTEE THAT THE DEFENDANT DOES RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL, AND BECAUSE OF THE OBVIOUS PUBLIC INTEREST IN THIS MATTER WHICH HAS PRODUCED MASSIVE NEWS MEDIA PUBLICITY, AND IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT THE DISSEMINATION BY ANY MEANS OF PUBLIC COMMUNICATION OF ANY OUT-OF-COURT STATEMENTS RELATING TO THIS CASE MAY INTERFERE WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF THE DEFENDANT OF A FAIR TRIAL AND DISRUPT THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, THE COURT, ON ITS OWN MOTION, WILL NOW ISSUE THE FOLLOWING ORDERS, A VIOLATION OF WHICH WILL RESULT IN SWIFT ACTION TO PUNISH FOR CONTEMPT ANY OFFENDER WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT.

HOR ANY ATTORNEY CONNECTED WITH THIS CASE AS OFENSE COUNSEL OR AS PROSECUTOR, NOR ANY OTHER ATTORNEY, NOR ANY JUDICIAL OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE, NOR ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMIT TO ANY CHIEF OF POLICE, NOR ANY SHERIFF, NOR ANY AGENT, DEPUTY, OR EMPLOYEE OF ANY SUCH PERSONS HOR ANY GRAND JUROR, NOR ANY WITNESS HAVING APPEARED BEFORE THE GRAND JURY IN THIS MATTER, NOR ANY PERSON SUB-POENAED TO TESTIFY AT THE TRIAL OF THIS MATTER, SHALL RELEASE OR AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION OF ANY PURPORTED EXTRAJUDICIAL STATEMENT OF THE DEFENDANT RELATING TO THIS CASE, NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSONS RELEASE OR AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE OF ANY DOCU-MENTS, EXHIBITS, OR ANY EVIDENCE, THE ADMISSIBILITY OF WHICH MAY HAVE TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT, NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSON MAKE ANY STATEMENT FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION AS TO THE EXISTENCE OR POS-SIBLE EXISTENCE OF ANY DOCUMENT, EXHIBIT, OR ANY OTHER EVIDENCE, THE ADMISSIBILITY OF WHICH MAY HAVE TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT. NOR SHALL ANY PERSONS EXPRESS OUTSIDE OF COURT AN OPINION OR MAKE ANY COMMENT FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION AS TO THE WEIGHT, VALUE, OR EFFECT OF ANY EVIDENCE AS TENDING TO ESTABLISH GUILT OR INNOCENCE. NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSONS MAKE ANY STATEMENT OUTSIDE OF COURT AS TO THE MATURE, SUBSTANCE, OR EFFECT OF ANY TESTIMONY, OR THE EFFECT THEREOF. HOR SHALL ANY PERSON MAKE ANY OUT-OF-COURT STATEMENT AS TO THE NATURE, SOURCE, OR EFFECT OF ANY PURPORTED EVIDENCE ALLEGED O HAVE BEEN ACCUMULATED AS A RESULT OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THIS MATTER. NOR SHALL ANY SUCH PERSON OR ANY WITNESS, WHETHER OR NOT UNDER SUBPOENA, MAKE ANY STATEMENT AS TO THE CONTENT, NATURE, SUB-STANCE, OR EFFECT OF ANY TESTIMONY WHICH MAY BE GIVEN IN ANY PRO-CEEDING RELATED TO THIS MATTER, EXCEPT THAT A WITNESS MAY DISCUSS ANY MATTER WITH ANY ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR AGENT THEREOF.

THIS ORDER DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING/

- 1. FACTUAL STATEMENTS OF THE ACCUSED PERSONS NAME, AGE, RESIDENCE, OCCUPATION, AND FAMILY STATUS.
- 2. THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST, NAMELY, THE TIME AND PLACE OF ARREST, THE IDENTITY OF THE ARRESTING AND INVESTIGATION OFFICERS AND AGENCIES, AND THE LENGTH OF THE INVESTIGATION.
- 3. THE NATURE, SUBSTANCE, AND TEXT OF THE CHARGE, INCLUDING A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSES CHARGED.
- 4. QUOTATIONS FROM, OR ANY REFERENCE WITHOUT COM-MENT TO, PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COURT IN THE CASE, OR TO OTHER PUBLIC RECORDS OR COMMUNICATIONS HERETOFORE DISSEMINATED TO THE PUBLIC.
- 5. THE SCHEDULING AND RESULT OF ANY STAGE OF THE JUDICIAL PROCEEDING HELD IN OPEN COURT IN AN OPEN OR PUBLIC SESSION.
  - 6. A REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE IN OBTAINING EVIDENCE.
- 7. ANY INFORMATION AS TO ANY PERSON NOT IN CUSTODY WHO IS SOUGHT AS A POSSIBLE SUSPECT OR WITNESS, NOR ANY STATEMENT AIMED AT WARNING THE PUBLIC OF ANY POSSIBLE DANGER AS TO SUCH PERSON NOT IN CUSTODY.
- 8. A REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE IN OBTAINING OF EVIDENCE OR THE NAMES OF POSSIBLE WITNESSES.

FURTHER, THIS ORDER IS NOT INTENDED TO PRECLUDE ANY WITNESS FROM DISCUSSING ANY MATTER IN CONNECTION WITH THE CASE WITH ANY OF THE ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT OR THE PEOPLE, OR ANY REPRESENTATIVE OF SUCH ATTORNEYS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT A COPY OF THIS ORDER BE ATTACHED TO ANY SUBPOENA SERVED ON ANY WITNESS IN THIS MATTER, AND THAT THE RETURN OF SERVICE OF THE SUBPOENA SHALL ALSO INCLUD THE FACT OF SERVICE OF A COPY OF THIS ORDER.

THIS ORDER SHALL BE IN FORCE UNTIL THIS MATTER HAS BEEN DISPOSED OF OR UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF COURT.

DATED/ JUNE 7, 1968.

ARTHUR L. ALARCON

ARTHUR L. ALARCON

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

-- Cd5 3-63

1

6

χ

9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

. 32

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

SIRHAN BISHARA SIRHAN,

Defendant.

NO. A 233421

ORDER RE PUBLICITY

The Grand Jury having returned an indictment in this matter on this date, June 7, 1968, this Court now has jurisdiction over all proceedings in this case for the first time. Therefore, the Court is now in a legal position to make certain orders respecting this matter.

Under our Constitution, each defendant is entitled to the due process of the law and to a fair trial. This Court has an affirmative duty to do everything possible within its Constitutional powers and jurisdiction to make certain that each defendant does receive a fair trial.

In order to fulfill that Constitutional duty to guarantee that the defendant does receive a fair trial, and because of the obvious public interest in this matter which has produced massive news media publicity, and it further appearing to the Court that the dissemination by any means of public communication of any out-of-

....

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

.27

28

29

30

31

32

court statements relating to this case may interfere with the Constitutional right of the defendant to a fair trial and disrupt the proper administration of justice, the Court, on its own motion, will now issue the following orders, a violation of which will result in swift action to punish for contempt any offender within the jurisdiction of this Court.

It is the order of this Court that no party to this action, nor any attorney connected with this case as defense counsel or as prosecutor, nor any other attorney, nor any judicial officer or employee, nor any public official, including but not limited to any chief of police, nor any sheriff, nor any agent, deputy, or employee of any such persons nor any grand juror, nor any witness having appeared before the Grand Jury in this matter, nor any person subpoenaed to testify at the trial of this matter, shall release or authorize the release for public dissemination of any purported extrajudicial statement of the defendant relating to this case, nor shall any such persons release or authorize the release of any documents, exhibits, or any evidence, the admissibility of which may have to be determined by the Court, nor shall any such person make any statement for public dissemination as to the existence or possible existence of any document, exhibit, or any other evidence, the admissibility of which may have to be determined by the Court. shall any such persons express outside of court an opinion or make any comment for public dissemination as to the weight, value, or effect of any evidence as tending to establish guilt or innocence. Nor shall any such persons make any statement outside of court as to the nature, substance, or effect of any testimony that has been gively. Mor shall any such persons issue any statement as to the identity of any prospective witness, or his prolable testimony, or the effect Nor shall any person make any out-of-court statement as to thereof. the nature, source, or effect of any purported evidence alleged to have been accumulated as a result of the investigation of this

matter. Nor shall any such person or any witness, whether or not under subpoena, make any statement as to the content, nature, substance, or effect of any testimony which may be given in any proceeding related to this matter, except that a witness may discuss any matter with any attorney of record or agent thereof.

This order does not include any of the following:

- 1. Factual statements of the accused person's name, age, residence, occupation, and family status.
- 2. The circumstances of the arrest, namely, the time and place of the arrest, the identity of the arresting and investigating officers and agencies, and the length of the investigation.
- 3. The nature, substance, and text of the charge, including a brief description of the offenses charged.
- 4. Quotations from, or any reference without comment to, public records of the Court in the case, or to other public records or communications heretofore disseminated to the public.
- 5. The scheduling and result of any stage of the judicial proceeding held in open court in an open or public session.
  - 6. A request for assistance in obtaining evidence.
- 7. Any information as to any person not in custody who is sought as a possible suspect or witness, nor any statement aimed at warning the public of any possible danger as to such person not in custody.
  - 8. A request for assistance in the obtaining of evidence or the names of possible witnesses.

Further, this order is not intended to preclude any witness from discussing any matter in connection with the case with any of the attorneys representing the defendant or the People, or any representative of such attorneys.

576Т--Сф. 3-66,

,21

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be attached to any subpoens served on any witness in this matter, and that the return of service of the subpoens shall also include the fact of service of a copy of this order.

This order shall be in force until this matter has been disposed of or until further order of Court.

Dated: June 7, 1968.

Arthur L. Alarcon
Judge of the Superior Court

melude in tel fright.

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

- Cdb 3-60

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

SIRHAN BISHARA SIRHAN,

Defendant.

NO. A 233421

ORDER RE PUBLICITY

The Grand Jury having returned an indictment in this matter on this date, June 7, 1968, this Court now has jurisdiction over all proceedings in this case for the first time. Therefore, the Court is now in a legal position to make certain orders respecting this matter.

Under our Constitution, each defendant is entitled to the due process of the law and to a fair trial. This Court has an affirmative duty to do everything possible within its Constitutional powers and jurisdiction to make certain that each defendant does receive a fair trial.

In order to fulfill that Constitutional duty to guarantee that the defendant does receive a fair trial, and because of the obvious public interest in this matter which has produced massive news media publicity, and it further appearing to the Court that the dissemination by any means of public communication of any out-of-

2

3

4

5

6

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

court statements relating to this case may interfere with the Constitutional right of the defendant to a fair trial and disrupt the proper administration of justice, the Court, on its own motion, will now issue the following orders, a violation of which will result in swift action to punish for contempt any offender within the jurisdiction of this Court.

It is the order of this Court that no party to this action nor any attorney connected with this case as defense counsel or as prosecutor, nor any other attorney, nor any judicial officer or employee, nor any public official, including but not limited to any chief of police, nor any sheriff, nor any agent, deputy, or employee of any such persons nor any grand juror, nor any witness having appeared before the Grand Jury in this matter, nor any person subpoenaed to testify at the trial of this matter, shall release or authorize the release for public dissemination of any purported extrajudicial statement of the defendant relating to this case, nor shall any such persons release or authorize the release of any documents, exhibits, or any evidence, the admissibility of which may have to be determined by the Court, nor shall any such person make any statement for public dissemination as to the existence or possible existence of any document, exhibit, or any other evidence, the admissibility of which may have to be determined by the Court. shall any such persons express outside of court an opinion or make any comment for public dissemination as to the weight, value, or effect of any evidence as tending to establish guilt or innocence. Nor shall any such persons make any statement outside of court as to the nature, substance, or effect of any testimony that has been given. Nor shall any such persons issue any statement as to the identity of any prospective witness, or his probable testimony, or the effect Nor shall any person make any out-of-court statement as to thereof. the nature, source, or effect of any purported evidence alleged to have been accumulated as a result of the investigation of this

negon on the

. 

matter. Nor shall any such person or any witness, whether or not under subpoens, make any statement as to the content, nature, substance, or effect of any testimony which may be given in any proceeding related to this matter, except that a witness may discuss any matter with any attorney of record or agent thereof.

This order does not include any of the following:

- 1. Factual statements of the accused person's name, age, residence, occupation, and family status.
- 2. The circumstances of the arrest, namely, the time and place of the arrest, the identity of the arresting and investigating officers and agencies, and the length of the investigation.
- 3. The nature, substance, and text of the charge, including a brief description of the offenses charged.
- 4. Quotations from, or any reference without comment to, public records of the Court in the case, or to other public records or communications heretofore disseminated to the public.
- 5. The scheduling and result of any stage of the judicial proceeding held in open court in an open or public session.
  - 6. A request for assistance in obtaining evidence.
- 7. Any information as to any person not in custody who is sought as a possible suspect or witness, nor any statement aimed at warning the public of any possible danger as to such person not in custody.
- 8. A request for assistance in the obtaining of evidence or the names of possible witnesses.

Further, this order is not intended to preclude any witness from discussing any matter in connection with the case with any of the attorneys representing the defendant or the People, or any representative of such attorneys.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be attached to any subpoena served on any witness in this matter, and that the return of service of the subpoena shall also include the fact of service of a copy of this order.

This order shall be in force until this matter has been disposed of or until further order of Court.

Dated: June 7, 1968.

Arthur L. Alarcon Judge of the Superior Court

-4-