

F B I

Date: 5/21/69

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-587)
 FROM: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (62-5481) (RUC)
 SUBJECT: KENSALT

Re: THOMAS DANIEL GOOD

Re Los Angeles telephone call to San Francisco, dated 5/20/69.

A review of San Francisco files revealed the following concerning THOMAS DANIEL GOOD:

It was determined on the afternoon of 6/5/68 that TOM GOOD resided at 22 South 11th Street, San Jose, which is a rooming house for students at San Jose State College. Efforts were made to contact him later in the day of 6/5/68. Fellow students advised that his present whereabouts were unknown, but that this was not unusual. He was frequently away from his room without explanation.

CALIF In the early morning of 6/6/68, it was determined that GOOD had returned to his room sometime during the night, but was not presently there. A note was left on his door stating that he could be reached thru A. GROSSMAN, telephone numbers 647-0428 or 861-2630.

1 cc 904 (910)

- 3 - Bureau (62-587)
 (1 - 100-450372)
 2 - Los Angeles (56-587)
 1 - San Francisco
 HEW:pas
 (6)

ST-116

MAY 23 1969

51 JUN 6 1969

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

SF 62-5481
HEW:pas

It was noted at this time that students were taking exams at San Jose State College.

Mrs. GRACE MAC MILLAN, Registrar's Office, San Jose State College advised on 5/6/68 that GOOD is enrolled in a Special Program Project. This course does not meet at regular times and does not require the student to be on campus at any particular time.

F B I

Date: 5/26/69

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-587)
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (56-156) (P)
RE: KENSALT

Re Los Angeles airtel to Bureau dated 5/9/69.

RE: WEEKLY NEWS RELEASE FROM
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Attached herewith are two copies of a four page weekly summary of information prepared for the benefit of the news media by the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office. This release is dated 5/14/69 and is being furnished for information of the Bureau.

pa

2 ENCLOSURE

2 - Bureau (Encs. 2)
1 - Los Angeles

AOR/bje
(3)

ST-116

REC 4

62-587-1196

25 MAY 28 1969

Approved: 

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

59 JUN 5 - 1969

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

NEWS RELEASE

Office of the District Attorney
600 Hall of Justice
Los Angeles, California 90012

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Call: Jerry Littman
News Secretary
626-3888, Ext. 82396

RELEASE: Wed., May 14, 1969
After 10 a.m.

WEEKLY SUMMARY #49

Re: The assassination of
Senator Robert F. Kennedy.

Note to editors: Because of the widespread interest in the assassination of Senator Robert F. Kennedy in Los Angeles on Wednesday, June 5, 1968, and proceedings in court, the Office of Los Angeles County District Attorney Evelle J. Younger is publishing a weekly summary of the case. This is the 49th summary, and others will be for release on subsequent Wednesdays.

SUNDAY, MAY 4 -- Because of legal technicalities, the U.S. Supreme Court will overturn Sirhan B. Sirhan's conviction for the murder of Sen. Robert F. Kennedy, the Jordanian immigrant's chief defense counsel said in a TV interview broadcast in Los Angeles today.

According to a story in a Los Angeles newspaper (Herald-Examiner) yesterday, Attorney Grant B. Cooper said the court was in error in admitting Sirhan's notebook in evidence. Among other statements, it contained the sentence, "Kennedy must die."

Continued the newspaper account of the TV program:

"Cooper said that without the book the prosecution would have been unable to establish premeditation for the assassination. The lawyer also said he believes the jury was not a representative cross-section of the people, as he said was constitutionally guaranteed.

"According to Cooper, the case presented by the defense, with its parade of expert psychiatric witnesses, was 'too sophisticated' for

more --

ENCLOSURE

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

62-587-1196

the jury.

"In commenting on the case that was the capstone of his distinguished career, Cooper said his mail from the public indicated that they did not respect him for his defense for Sirhan. But he said the opinion was just the opposite in letters from other attorneys.

"Earlier yesterday, Cooper said he expected to take half a day in his arguments May 21 for a new trial for Sirhan."

(Meanwhile, in a speech at Palmdale (California) late last week, Chief Dep. Dist. Atty. Lynn D. Compton, who headed the three-man prosecution team in the Sirhan case, commented on the case.

(According to a United Press International story in the Hollywood Citizen-News, Compton said "there is no difference between the convicted assassin of Sen. Robert F. Kennedy and student militants who take over campus buildings.

("The assassination of a political figure is essentially no different than the act of occupying a school building," he related.

(Continued the UPI story: "There is no difference between people you see on TV with their distorted faces and hate who feel justified in rioting or taking over a campus building and Sirhan," said Compton.)

Compton added: "Both are examples of using physical force to accomplish political goals. The only difference is one of degree of violence."

* * *

WEDNESDAY, MAY 7 -- Los Angeles County Dep. Dist. Atty.

John E. Howard, one of the prosecutors in the Sirhan case, recently addressed the San Gabriel Valley Bar Association, and admitted that the trial "presented some unique tests for the American judicial system," according to an article in a Los Angeles legal newspaper (Daily Journal).

Continued the article:

"The deputy district attorney said that the state was concerned with security surrounding the defendant because of what had happened in Dallas following the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. He said that the (Los Angeles County) District Attorney's Office as well as the entire system of law was on public trial before the world.

"The speaker stated that it was imperative that adequate publicity be given to every item in the case, since to conceal anything would only raise suspicion.

"Howard said that when he entered the case he was given instructions to make a thorough investigation report to the citizenry, and see that the unpopular alien received a fair trial.

"Sirhan's continued outbursts in court did not make the prosecution's job any easier, said Howard. These outbursts only raised question marks in the minds of some as to the fairness of the trial.

"Howard commended Judge (Herbert V.) Walker on the job he did in maintaining the equilibrium of the court during the long weeks of the trial. 'He did a magnificent job,' said Howard.

more --

"Also, said Howard, the investigation disclosed the flawless job done by the Los Angeles Police Department. Howard said that police officers went into the Ambassador Hotel and peacefully removed the prisoner while protecting him with their own bodies from possible outside forces.

"The deputy district attorney told the bar association that he was present during much of the initial questioning of Sirhan, and can testify that the defendant was informed of his constitutional rights at least nine times.

"If ever a man was protected under the law from start to finish, it was Sirhan, Howard concluded."

* * *

NEWS RELEASE

Office of the District Attorney
600 Hall of Justice
Los Angeles, California 90012

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Call: Jerry Littman
News Secretary
626-3888, Ext. 82396

RELEASE: Wed., May 14, 1969
After 10 a.m.

WEEKLY SUMMARY #49

Re: The assassination of
Senator Robert F. Kennedy

Note to editors: Because of the widespread interest in the assassination of Senator Robert F. Kennedy in Los Angeles on Wednesday, June 5, 1968, and proceedings in court, the Office of Los Angeles County District Attorney Evelle J. Younger is publishing a weekly summary of the case. This is the 49th summary, and others will be for release on subsequent Wednesdays.

SUNDAY, MAY 4 -- Because of legal technicalities, the U.S. Supreme Court will overturn Sirhan B. Sirhan's conviction for the murder of Sen. Robert F. Kennedy, the Jordanian immigrant's chief defense counsel said in a TV interview broadcast in Los Angeles today.

According to a story in a Los Angeles newspaper (Herald-Examiner) yesterday, Attorney Grant B. Cooper said the court was in error in admitting Sirhan's notebook in evidence. Among other statements, it contained the sentence, "Kennedy must die."

Continued the newspaper account of the TV program:

"Cooper said that without the book the prosecution would have been unable to establish premeditation for the assassination. The lawyer also said he believes the jury was not a representative cross-section of the people, as he said was constitutionally guaranteed.

"According to Cooper, the case presented by the defense, with its parade of expert psychiatric witnesses, was 'too sophisticated' for

more --

the jury.

"In commenting on the case that was the capstone of his distinguished career, Cooper said his mail from the public indicated that they did not respect him for his defense for Sirhan. But he said the opinion was just the opposite in letters from other attorneys.

"Earlier yesterday, Cooper said he expected to take half a day in his arguments May 21 for a new trial for Sirhan."

(Meanwhile, in a speech at Palmdale (California) late last week, Chief Dep. Dist. Atty. Lynn D. Compton, who headed the three-man prosecution team in the Sirhan case, commented on the case.

(According to a United Press International story in the Hollywood Citizen-News, Compton said "there is no difference between the convicted assassin of Sen. Robert F. Kennedy and student militants who take over campus buildings.

("The assassination of a political figure is essentially no different than the act of occupying a school building," he related.

(Continued the UPI story: "There is no difference between people you see on TV with their distorted faces and hate who feel justified in rioting or taking over a campus building and Sirhan," said Compton.)

Compton added: "Both are examples of using physical force to accomplish political goals. The only difference is one of degree of violence."

* * *

WEDNESDAY, MAY 7 -- Los Angeles County Dep. Dist. Atty.

John E. Howard, one of the prosecutors in the Sirhan case, recently addressed the San Gabriel Valley Bar Association, and admitted that the trial "presented some unique tests for the American judicial system," according to an article in a Los Angeles legal newspaper (Daily Journal).

Continued the article:

"The deputy district attorney said that the state was concerned with security surrounding the defendant because of what had happened in Dallas following the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. He said that the (Los Angeles County) District Attorney's Office as well as the entire system of law was on public trial before the world.

"The speaker stated that it was imperative that adequate publicity be given to every item in the case, since to conceal anything would only raise suspicion.

"Howard said that when he entered the case he was given instructions to make a thorough investigation report to the citizenry, and see that the unpopular alien received a fair trial.

"Sirhan's continued outbursts in court did not make the prosecution's job any easier, said Howard. These outbursts only raised question marks in the minds of some as to the fairness of the trial.

"Howard commended Judge (Herbert W.) Walker on the job he did in maintaining the equilibrium of the court during the long weeks of the trial. 'He did a magnificent job,' said Howard.

more --

"Also, said Howard, the investigation disclosed the flawless job done by the Los Angeles Police Department. Howard said that police officers went into the Ambassador Hotel and peacefully removed the prisoner while protecting him with their own bodies from possible outside forces.

"The deputy district attorney told the bar association that he was present during much of the initial questioning of Sirhan, and can testify that the defendant was informed of his constitutional rights at least nine times.

"If ever a man was protected under the law from start to finish, it was Sirhan, Howard concluded."

* * *

1 - Mr. Schutz
1 - Mr. McNiff

The Deputy Attorney General

May 29, 1969

Director, FBI

KENSALT

Reference is made to your letter dated February 25, 1969, captioned "Assassination of Senator Robert F. Kennedy on June 5, 1968," and Bureau memorandum dated April 24, 1969, at Los Angeles, California, captioned "Sirhan Bishara Sirhan," a copy of which has been previously furnished recipients of this letter.

In connection with requests contained in referenced letter of February 25, 1969, the following points not covered in referenced memorandum of April 24, 1969, are set forth for your information.

Copies of all reports of the Los Angeles Police Department pertaining to the Walter Crowe aspect of instant investigation have been furnished your office.

The [REDACTED] interviewed by Department Attorneys in connection with proposed Subversive Activities Control Board hearings scheduled to be held in the Los Angeles area.

There is no information presently available indicating that Walter Crowe ever traveled outside the continental limits of the United States.

Investigation to date has not disclosed that a communist conspiracy has existed in the relationship between Sirhan Sirhan, Walter Crowe and the assassination of Senator Robert F. Kennedy. Interviews of members of the Communist Party (CP) Club to which Walter Crowe belonged were not conducted as:

[REDACTED] is a member of this CP Club and is cognizant of pertinent activities occurring within the Club; it is felt that these interviews, if conducted [REDACTED]

1 - Bufile 100-445791 (Walter Crowe)
1 - Bufile 100-450372 (Thomas Good)

62-587

TJM:rs (9)

70 JUN 5 1969

SEE NOTE PAGE THREE

The Deputy Attorney General

[REDACTED] who is scheduled to testify before the Subversive Activities Control Board against one of the members of this club, and, finally, should information concerning interviews of this nature reach representatives of the mass media genesis may be given to a new wave of speculation that a conspiracy may have existed in this matter. If you desire that these interviews be conducted, please advise.

There is no information available indicating that Thomas Good was ever a member of the CP in the Southern California District. He has been reliably reported as being a CP member of a CP Youth Club located in the Northern California District.

Regarding the whereabouts of Thomas Good during the period mentioned in referenced letter of February 25, 1969, unsuccessful efforts were made to contact Good at his place of residence on the afternoon of June 5, 1968. Fellow students advised that his whereabouts at that time was unknown, but added this was not unusual as he was frequently away from his room without explanation. It was later determined that Good had returned to his room sometime during the evening or morning of June 5-6, 1968, but had departed early June 6, 1968, leaving a phone number at which he could be reached during the day. Inquiry at San Jose State College on June 6, 1968, indicated that Good was then enrolled in a course which did not meet at regular times and did not require the student to be on the campus at any particular time.

Pertinent information concerning the travel of Thomas Good to Havana, Cuba, in the Summer of 1968 is contained in San Francisco report of Special Agent Malcolm E. Sample, dated March 5, 1969, concerning Good, a copy of which has been previously furnished recipients of this letter.

If you have any questions concerning the above or the material contained in referenced memorandum of April 24, 1969, please advise.

1 - Special Assistant to the Attorney General
William S. Lynch

The Deputy Attorney General

NOTE:

Sirhan B. Sirhan, convicted killer of the late Senator Robert F. Kennedy, was friendly with Crowe and Good, both of whom are included on the Security Index because of CP membership. The Department, in relet for the purpose of resolving whether or not a complicity surrounds the assassination had requested specific investigation be conducted that would tend to confirm or deny such a complicity. The answers to the greater proportion of the Department's request concerning this matter were contained in referenced Los Angeles memorandum. Instant communication answers the remaining Department inquiries concerning the conspiracy aspect of this investigation.

F B I

Date: 5/26/69

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-587)
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (56-156)(P)
SUBJECT: KENSALT

ReBulet 5/20/69 concerning material furnished by
JOHN CHRISTIAN.

Inquiry at the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) reflects they are cognizant of the facts incorporated in the material. The LAPD, in the person of Deputy Chief ROBERT A. HOUGHTON, and the District Attorney advise that all material not already furnished the courts will be kept in a confidential status as to source.

UACB by 5/31/69, the material will be given to local authorities for their information.

REC-91

62-587-1198

2 MAY 28 1969

2 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Los Angeles
WJN:CM
(3)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

56 JUN 5 1969

Special Agent in Charge