

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000200560001-9

ORCON

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755



IAFM-OPS-HU-SA

18 April 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SG1A

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

1. (U) The following information applies:

a. (S/NOFORN) An interior portion of an unidentified clear-story type structure (Inclosure 1) corresponds to Inclosure 2, this Memo. This portion of the structure is reported as being an assembly line area and is further described below.

(1) (S/NOFORN) Source reported the criterior "wings" of the clear-story structure consist of work bays containing machinery and tools.

(2) (S/NOFORN) Source reported that down the (apparent) centerline of the structure is a slow moving conveyor-type of apparatus along which (apparent) vehicles are moved during assembly.

(3) (S/NOFORN) Along each side of the conveyor-type apparatus are located work tables separated by (apparent)work space.

(4) (S/NOFORN) Hanging down from above throughout the interior are hoses and similar type apparatus.

(5) (S/NOFORN) Source reported that located to the left of the conveyor-type apparatus, near the end of the unidentified structure, was an open garage-type door, to the left of which was a large cradle-type apparatus (Inclosure 3).

(6) (S/NOFORN) To the left of the cradle apparatus was a small interior building and parked vehicle (Inclosure 3).

(7) (S/NOFORN) The apparent end of the structure had two large garage type doors, one of which was open and the other closed (Inclosure 3).

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, HQA(DAMI-ISH)
dtd 051630Z JUL 78
REVIEW ON: 18 April 2000

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000200560001-9

SECRET

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

IAFM-OPS-HU-SA
SUBJECT:

18 April 1980

b. (S/NOFORN) The activity within the unidentified building on or about 15 April 1980 is reported as follows:

(1) (S/NOFORN) Source reported that located on the conveyor type apparatus was a vehicle resembling that at Inclosure 4. This vehicle was being worked on by personnel standing on either side of the conveyor. These personnel would irregularly move between the (apparent) outer machinery bays and the conveyor type apparatus.

(2) (S/NOFORN) Source reported that standing near the small interior building, and in front of the open garage type door, was a vehicle resembling that at Inclosure 4. It was reported that the vehicle appeared to have been completed, and was standing near a quality control/inspection point shown at Inclosure 5.

(3) (S/NOFORN) A forklift type vehicle was reported as moving through the open garage door on the left (apparently) retrieving and moving pieces or parts from racks outside the building into the interior of the building.


c. (S/NOFORN) Other data is reported as follows: Source's estimate/appraisal is that the vehicle/equipment assembly is finalized or completed at this reported location.

2. (U) Comments: Request informal evaluation of data contained herein be provided NLT 15 June 1980.


4 Incl
as

Kenneth V. Bell, Jr.
KENNETH V. BELL, JR.
Captain, MI
Action Officer

④




INCL 2



INCL 3



5




Incl 4

6

6



6



6

INCL 5

IAOPS-H-S
SUBJECT: INTERIM RESPONSE (U)

The information provided as an enclosure to this report was obtained in direct response to a collection requirement provided by your office. The information is from an unconfirmed source, therefore caution is warranted in its use. The material which you will now review has been acquired from a totally new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted to establish ways by which to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to a preliminary evaluation, and later a final evaluation, will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. In addition, your comments provide impetus upon which approach modification can be predicated for data collection against the same or any additional targets for which you may have an interest. The effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in your receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability. While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of both correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:

The descriptive elements are generally of a higher reliability than judgements or labels as to what is being described by the source (a recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for a water purification pool, or an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.) Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because they have been mislabeled.

The data will often contain gaps (in a three building complex for example, only two buildings may be described, or an airfield may be added which is not there.) Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the information provided is necessarily inaccurate.

Some of the data provided may be abstract with no apparent importance concerning the target. However, when considered in light of additional information provided or obtained at a later date, may then be considered of high intelligence value. (i.e., lack of personnel within a 24 hour operations area on a given time and date, which is later confirmed to coincide with a fire evacuation demonstration.)

Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the information provided and to answer the questions as best you can (hence this interim response), in order to provide us with sufficient feedback to continue our support with the best methods possible. Later, when the project has been terminated against that specific target, our office will provide you with a more detailed format wherein a full evaluation of all the information provided can be accomplished.

If you have any questions regarding the data you have received, or its interim evaluation, please contact this office (IAOPS-H-S) at any time.

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, HQDA (DAMI-ISH)
Dtd; 051630Z JUL 78

REVIEW BY: Norman 2000

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Boxes are provided to the right of each question. Only one box should be checked for each question asked. If you have any comments which you would like to make emphasizing the accuracy of the information or with reference to any information not generally covered in this format, please write them on the reverse of this page.

Response to Tasking

Responses*

Does information provided satisfy your intelligence collection requirement?

YES IN PART NO

Accuracy

Geographical location (terrain, water, river, etc.)

YES IN PART NO

Large scale man-made objects (docks, silos, buildings, etc.)

YES IN PART NO

Small scale man-made objects (tanks, computers, antennas, etc.)

YES IN PART NO

Target ambience (research, production, administration, troop movement, etc.)

YES IN PART NO

Activity (nuclear testing, CBR, SIGINT monitoring, etc.)

YES IN PART NO

Personality (Physical, plans, actions, traits, etc.)

YES IN PART NO

Utility

Please check which best describes the utility of the information provided (in view of what is known at this time, understanding that additional information could raise or lower such an interim assessment at a later date)

- VERY USEFUL
- USEFUL
- MARGINAL
- NONE
- CANNOT BE DETERMINED AT THIS TIME

*YES - indicates a full agreement with what is known to be fact about the target. NO - indicates a total lack of agreement with what is known to be fact about the target.

Additional tasking

Is additional tasking required?
(If yes, please write what that tasking
is on the back of the form)

YES NO

Did the attached information add to
information derived from other intell-
igence sources?

YES NO

Did the attached information aid in tasking
other intelligence resources by providing
targeting information?

YES NO

(Signed) SIGNATURE

(Printed) NAME, GRADE

(Printed) TITLE, or OFFICE

SECRET

Project wrap-up

1. CUSTOMER OFFICE	2. INFORMATION REQUESTED DATE		
	YEAR	MONTH	DAY

3. TARGET COUNTRIES	4. PROJECT NUMBER	5. SOURCE NUMBER
---------------------	-------------------	------------------

6. NUMBER REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT	7. REPORT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS
---	----------------------------------

8. REFERENCES A. REQUIREMENTS (CITE) _____ (ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9) <input type="checkbox"/> B. INITIATIVE REPORT	9. REASON FOR EVALUATION (select one) <input type="checkbox"/> A. ICR RESPONSE <input type="checkbox"/> B. COLLECTOR'S REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> C. SELECTED BY ANALYST
---	---

10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (select one) <input type="checkbox"/> A. OF MAJOR SIGNIFICANCE <input type="checkbox"/> B. OF VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> C. OF NO VALUE	11. TYPES OF PRODUCTS TO BENEFIT FROM USE OF REPORTED INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> A. BASIC INTELLIGENCE <input type="checkbox"/> B. CURRENT INTELLIGENCE <input type="checkbox"/> C. ESTIMATIVE INTELLIGENCE <input type="checkbox"/> D. S&T INTELLIGENCE
---	--

12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO VALUE (select one only) <input type="checkbox"/> A. TOO FRAGMENTARY <input type="checkbox"/> B. DUPLICATIVE <input type="checkbox"/> C. UNTIMELY <input type="checkbox"/> D. NOT RESPONSIVE TO TASKING CITED	13. DEGREE OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION (select one only) <input type="checkbox"/> A. COMPLETELY SATISFIED <input type="checkbox"/> B. PARTIALLY SATISFIED <input type="checkbox"/> C. NOT SATISFIED AT ALL
--	---

14. NAME OF PRODUCT(S)

15. COLLECTION GUIDANCE (Mandatory unless 13A, above, is checked)

16. REMARKS (Optional)

SECRET

(remarks continued)

17. FOREIGN DISCLOSURE DATA

A. EVALUATORS RECOMMENDATION
(select one only)

- RELEASABLE EVALUATION NOT REQUESTED
- EVALUATION IS NOT RELEASABLE
- PARA(S) _____, ABOVE, IS/ARE
RELEASABLE TO THE GOVT(S) OF _____

B. FOREIGN DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY DECISION
(select one only)

- EVALUATION IS NOT RELEASABLE
- NON-CAVEATED PORTIONS OF THIS EVAL, AS
INDICATED, MAY BE REL TO THE AUTH REPS
OF THE GOVT(S) OF _____
AT THE DISCRETION OF THE ORIGINATOR.

18. EVALUATOR'S NAME

19. EVALUATOR'S OFFICE
SYMBOL

20. SECURITY INSTRUCTIONS
(DOWNGRADING, DECLASSIFICATION,
AND SPECIAL MARKINGS)

21. DATE EVALUATED

22. ORIGINATOR OF REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

YEAR	MONTH	DAY

23. (Signature of evaluator) _____

SECRET
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION