

8016

**SECRET**




DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND  
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Project 8016 (U)

Commander  
INSCOM  
ATTN: IAFM-OPS-HU-SA  
Ft Meade, MD 20755

1. (U) Comments concerning CD-17, 19, 22 and 38 are attached as inclosure 1.
2. (S) In general, the results of this project are inconclusive due to difficulty in establishing detailed locations of subject after the fact. Recommend that this project, therefore, be terminated. Further, recommend that if this line of endeavor is continued, an impersonal subject be chosen as the target, and that the time of sessions be coordinated in advance to insure accurate data is available for evaluation of reports.

1 Incl  
as

  
J. R. LINNEN  
LTC, MI  
Commanding

501-01  
# 51

**SECRET**

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

~~SECRET~~

SGFOIA3

1.. (S) Subject: Summary of Unconfirmed Source Information Pertaining to [REDACTED] (S) Project 8016-CD 17

a. Of the three initial reports which were reviewed, this one had the most promise. Although the information contained in each paragraph was considered highly probable, it can not be confirmed at this time because the Subject was on travel [REDACTED] SGFOIA3 SGFOIA3

b. The source of this investigation on [REDACTED] should be encouraged to continue because there are a good deal of accurate comments which were made by him.

c. In the future, the date and time of the session should be a part of the report. Without this information, it is virtually impossible to assess the information.

2. (S) Subject: Summary of Unconfirmed Source Information Pertaining to SGFOIA3 [REDACTED] (S), Project 8016-CD 19.

a. The only part of this report which had any possibilities was the information provided in para 1.c. Asside from that, nothing else was felt to be accurate.

b. Again, Subject was on travel during this period which makes assessment very difficult.

c. The information in paragraph 1.c. above applies.

3. (S) Subject: Summary of Unconfirmed Source Information Pertaining to SGFOIA3 [REDACTED] Project 8016-CD 22

a. It is felt that nothing in this report is accurate.

b. Subject was on travel during this session; therefore, information can not be confirmed at this time.

c. Information in paragraph 1.c above again applies.

4. (S) Subject: Summary Analysis and Transcript of RV Session CD-38, Project 8016.

a. This information showed some promise, but once again is difficult to evaluate the accuracy of physical details because Subject was in a travel status at that time.

b. On page 2 of transcript, the area of expertise being described as "scientific" was basically accurate, and the interviewer encouraged/prompted viewer to continue along this line. (Whether interviewer unduly encouraged viewer is debatable.) However, the trail then led to "electronics" which is not accurate.

c. Page 3 of transcript is accurate in that area of concern is the upgrading of the "state of the art" but it does not pertain to electronics, i.e. "electricity" and "red light" are not in area of interest.

~~SECRET~~