(april 1979) Session XX:

Taigeting methodology BEACON.

The Remote Views is performing while chawing his perceptions of the target meant the same time. This was me of the frist Sessions un done in a training mode - prior to refinements. There is very little control on the views by the interviews, since the interviews was also in a training worde. The interviews was also in a training worde. The innovative line of questioning is almost now-existent. Session result was still a very high digree of accuracy discribing surroundings in which Beacon was located.

(august 1979) Session C16:

Jargeting methodology SFACED ENVELOPE COORDINATES INSIDE

Remote Viewing and full & detailed discription of the bridge which be perceived to buttle taget. The interviews therefore allowed him to talk until finished without interruption.

The Interview did this because be 4000 idea what the taget was and Cherefore had no basis for belief. At +18 the views lost faith in his imaging and requested the coordinates he read which were in the invelope. This resulted in no additional information. Two important expects of session were; I) Viewer was allowed to ramble on - since so much detail was being given, 2) This was me of the first sessions done to indicate to project personnel the amount of follow-massenet fanolytic work which would be required in support of Grill Feleme.

SECRIT

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

This taget was also highly accurate, although a complete description a marked of the hidge has never been obtained.

(5 Septembre 1980). Session D29:

> Jaigeting Methodology: SEALED ENVELOPE PHOTO OF OBJECT INSIDE

Remote View is walked onto the target by first checking to see if he is in the night horation. Actual toget was parked inside a metal honger type struck wilding. Even though View doesn't seem to be having an easily time of it - interviewed kups steering him back to the target by using questions directed at his last position atations. Interviewed had not idea what object was july the hilding type it would be in. Evenguestions by the analyst to inturious did not get through because of a faulty microphone volume.

also at end of session - analyst / writer come into viewing norm and asked for details pertinent to statement made during the session by Viewer . Jarget was actually the XMI Jark Viewe.

(Septembre 1979) Session C54/C55. Jangeling Methodology - COORDINATES.

Note interviewers technique of misiderecting Venin with "5 senses" questions "small" " "color ""moise" etc. This wide in centuring venir on target.

This double session resulted in a duriet hit not cluncy established until 8 months to again letter

Approved For Release 2000(08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000900660002-0
(January 1980) Sessim CC69
Jangeting nuttodology COORDINATES.
This is a prime example of Interviewing
This is a prime example of Interviewing technique.
a duict hit on what/how/and junction!
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CEABLE
CE. OIL