

SECRET

SUBJECT: Interim Response (U)

The information provided as an enclosure to this report was obtained in direct response to a collection requirement provided by your office. The information is from an unconfirmed source; therefore, caution is warranted in its use. The material which you will now review has been acquired from a totally new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted to establish ways by which to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to a preliminary evaluation, and later a final evaluation, will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. In addition, your comments provide impetus upon which approach modification can be predicated for data collection against the same or any additional targets for which you may have an interest. The effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in your receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability. While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of both correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:

The descriptive elements are generally of a higher reliability than judgements or labels as to what is being described by the source (a recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for a water purification pool, or an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.) Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because they have been mislabeled.

The data will often contain gaps (in a three building complex for example, only two buildings may be described, or an airfield may be added which is not there.) Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the information provided is necessarily inaccurate.

Some of the data provided may be abstract with no apparent importance concerning the target. However, when considered in light of additional information provided or obtained at a later date, may then be considered of high intelligence value. (i.e., lack of personnel within a 24 hour operations area on a given time and date, which is later confirmed to coincide with a fire evacuation demonstration.)

Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the information provided and to answer the questions as best you can (hence this interim response), in order to provide us with sufficient feedback to continue our support with the best methods possible. Later, when the project has been terminated against that specific target, our office will provide you with a more detailed format wherein a full evaluation of all the information provided can be accomplished.

If you have any questions regarding the data you have received, or its interim evaluation, please contact this office any time.

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, HQDA (DAMI-ISH)
DTG: 051630Z JUL 78

REVIEW ON:

SECRET

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

Boxes are provided to the right of each question. Only one box should be checked for each question asked. If you have any comments which you would like to make emphasizing the accuracy of the information or with reference to any information not generally covered in this format, please write them on the reverse of this page, or attach an additional page.

Response to Tasking

Does information provided satisfy your intelligence collection requirement?

Accuracy

Geographical location (terrain, water, river, etc.)

Large scale man-made objects (docks, silos, buildings, etc.)

Small scale man-made objects (tanks, computers, antennas, etc.)

Target ambience (research, production, administration, troop movement, etc.)

Activity (nuclear testing, CBR, SIGINT monitoring, etc.)


Personality (Physical, plans, actions, traits, etc.)

Utility

Please check which best describes the utility of the information provided (in view of what is known at this time, understanding that additional information could raise or lower such an interim assessment at a later date)

Responses*

SG1A



*YES - indicates a full agreement with what is known to be fact about the target. NO - indicates a total lack of agreement with what is known to be fact about the target.


SG1A

Additional tasking


Is additional tasking required?
(If yes, please write what that tasking
is on the back of the form)

Did the attached information add to
information derived from other intell-
igence sources?

Did the attached information aid in tasking
other intelligence resources by providing
targeting information?



SG1I



(Printed) NAME, GRADE

TECH SUPT OFF - SED

(Printed) TITLE, or OFFICE

Reference: Response to Tasking: The reason that IN PART was checked is
because we have not fully exploited that
target.

Additional Tasking: Your office has/will have the additional
tasking.

Additional comments: According to the two scientist that have seen/evaluated
the reports, the information maybe new to the intelligence
and R & D Community. Evaluation is continuing.