

~~SECRET~~



ORCON  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND  
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

IAFM-OFS--HU-SA

15 Nov 79

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: GRILL FLAME DOD Science Evaluation Team Update Briefing,  
9 November 1979 (U)

1. (U) PURPOSE: At 0900 hrs, 9 Nov 79, LTC Watt presented an update briefing to the GRILL FLAME DOD Science Evaluation Team. The briefing was given in the DCSRADA conference room, Pentagon. The briefing had been arranged in order to provide the DOD Science Evaluation Team a summary of INSCOM GRILL FLAME activities since the 27 Sep 79 initial brief.

2. (U) Following personnel were in attendance:

a. DOD TEAM

Mr. Manfred Gale, GS-18 (Team Chief)  
Laddie Stahl, MG (Ret)  
Harry Holloway, COL  
Frank Cartwright, GS-15  
Douglas Tang, GS-14  
Richard Montgomery, Consultant  
Dr. Jesse Orlansky, Consultant  
Dr. Harry Snyder, Consultant  
William Stoner, MAJ (OACSI)

b. Briefer: LTC Watt

3. (S) Following topics/subject areas were covered:

- a. Brief overview, including # of sessions (195) to date.
- b. Training at SRI.
- c. New trainees.
- d. Operational Tasks

(1) AGE

SG1A

(2) [REDACTED]

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA  
DECLASSIFY ON: 15 Nov 1999  
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

~~SECRET~~ GRILL FLAME

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

~~SECRET~~

IAFM-OPS-HU-SA

15 Nov 79

SUBJECT: GRILL FLAME DOD Science Evaluation Team Update Briefing,  
9 November 1979 (U)

(3) Project [REDACTED] SG1B

(4) Cuba situation.

(5) Korea situation.

e. Individual capabilities.


f. Future objectives.

4. (S) COMMENTS:

a. Overall, I thought the team was attentive to the presentation. However, in my opinion, at least 2/3 members were very negative in their comments in that they really didn't listen to the briefing and their questions so indicated that.

b. The feeling I received was that my word was no longer valid since they had classified me as a "believer" - whatever that means - and, therefore, I could not be objective about the program.

c. I feel, very strongly, that just the opposite is true. That is, that some members of the team don't want to believe that psychic behavior exists and refuse to really listen to any presentation on the subject matter. They find it very convenient to put "labels" on people and summarily dismiss them without fully discussing the situation.

  
MURRAY B. WATT, LTC, MI  
Project Officer MANAGER

NOTED: 

CHAD B. WHITE  
COL, GS  
ADCSOPS-HUMINT

~~SECRET~~