

Eval: 4 D 8 Aug 88

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN

PROJECT SUN STREAK

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

PROJECT NUMBER: 8805

SESSION NUMBER: 2

DATE OF SESSION: 880322

DATE OF REPORT: 880324

START: 1400

END: 1453

METHODOLOGY: SRV

VIEWER IDENTIFIER: 003

1. (S/NF/SK) MISSION: Determine the nature and scope of an event(s) of singular importance and interest to the US. Prior to describing the event and its significance, access and describe the military forces, diplomatic activities, and sociological factors of the society (ies) involved in the event.

2. (S/NF/SK) VIEWER TASKING: Reaccess the leaders of state associated with coordinates 681001/ 187811 and previously described in your first session (1 Feb 88). Obtain information on the state's diplomatic activities and policies to include 1) Scope and value of diplomatic undertakings, 2) Basis for agreements, 3) Basis for disagreements, 4) Facts.

3. (S/NF/SK) COMMENTS: No inclemencies noted. The German phrase "Weltanschauung" means "world view/ perception of his/his state's position in the world."

HANDLE VIA SKEET CHANNELS ONLY  
SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA (DT)  
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

Inside the large, ornate structure described in previous session it is warm, still, with a lazy, summer afternoon feel and a buzzy sound, "like" a fly moving around the ground floor. Voices are perceived on an upper floor. Voices are ~~not~~ English, and at least one voice sounds argumentative and strident. A short, thin, pinch-faced person is speaking vehemently, arguing, and persuading. It's "like" he's trying to convince someone to do or see things his way. He has power over his own particular responsibilities, but can mainly only influence, not command, those among whom he is now. This person is a bit of a zealot, and is promoting some course of action that others see as rash or perilous or ill-advised. He's a good salesman, though, and some fear he may persuade undecided one to give his plans majority support. There is one overall key figure who carries most weight but whom those present regard as somewhat "out of it" or arbitrary, who might be inclined to make controversial decisions because of a whim, but which would bind the others for support of it. The zealous person is bemusedly accepted by this key figure because the zealous person is a "gung-ho" "hard-charger," whose goals and Weltanschauung are quite similar to the key figure's, though the latter is marginally aware that the zealous person can get carried away and be preposterous in his demands. Still, the key figure is likely to listen to him because he likes what he says, often agrees in principle, and regards the others present as worry-wart wafflers.

The currently perceived debate is about a course of action involving violence, ~~low-scale~~ military involvement--both directed against external target~~s~~ of apparent national size. The plan has a potential for physical and diplomatic harm to their own interests, and therefore the cooler heads present consider that, though there is an acknowledged chance for success, the potential damage might outweigh any good that might come of it. Still, they're intrigued, if cautious. on a continuum, they're a little right of center, the zealot way towards the left end. (He senses the need to be extreme to balance out the others)

There is somewhat an overall sense of paranoia. Internal structure and loyalty base are stable, but leaders have a feeling that everyone external is out to get them. Friends are made conditionally, always with the suspicion that they'll turn on them when interests dictate. Nations they trust most don't share contiguous borders. Except in rare cases, alliances are opportunistic and not expected to last ("Like" Nazi Germany and Stalinist USSR).

An offensive, semi-covert, paramilitary operation is being proposed. Not a larger-scale maneuver-type operation, but a broad-scoped small scale harassment sort of operation. More to make trouble and keep things stirred up than to reach a well-defined objective—"Burr-Under-the-Saddle" sort of a concept. Attention seems to be directed north and west. US seems to be viewed as an antagonist.