

**Star Gate**

8/11/95

## Congressionally Directed Action: SAC

- DCI to conduct technical review going back 20 years at CIA, DIA
- DCI to review program history and nature to determine what parts can be declassified
- DIA Director should establish cooperative activity with Russians

**SECRET**

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

**Star Gate**

# **Congressionally Directed Action:** Additional Conferees Language

8-1-95

- **Directed DIA to transfer 10 civilian billets, \$500K to CIAP,**
- **Asked DCI for status report to Committees by March 1st**
  - management strategy
  - transfer status
  - identification of CIA program manager
- **Transferred management of Star Gate to CIA July 1st**
- **Adopted Senate directions in previous SAC language**

**SECRET**

**Star Gate**

Congressionally Directed Action

8/1/95

## **Senate Appropriations Committee**

### **Additional Points in Congressionally Directed Action:**

- *“The Committee...believes that the time has come for a re-evaluation of the classification of the existence of this effort as well as the results...”*
- *“...does not accept the excuse that this somehow compromises US Intelligence .“*
- *“...concerned that Congressional direction in t he past...regarding joint programs with the Russians and Chinese has only been sporadically pursued.“*

**SECRET**

## STAR GATE

# Subsequent Conferees Language

- DIA to transfer 10 billets, \$500K to CIA
- DCI to give status report by March 1st
- Transfer management of Star Gate July 1st
- Adopt all previous SAC language

~~SECRET~~

## STAR GATE

# Proposed Management Strategy

- Terminate remote viewing operations within Intelligence Community (IC)
- Terminate research and development relating to remote viewing within IC
- Complete declassification review of all CIA, DOD files; 4-man yrs, \$400K in FY96, 97
- Apply no immediate resources to assessments of foreign developments in parapsychology

SECRET

## STAR GATE

# Basis of Key Recommendations

- Blue Ribbon Panel Review by American Institutes of Research, Key Scientists
- Recent Foreign Assessment by National Intelligence Council: NIO/S&T, OSWR
- Specific Language of the Congressionally Directed Action

## STAR GATE

# Blue-Ribbon Panel

- Dr. Jessica Utts, Professor of statistics, Univ. of CA/Davis; Primary Proponent
- Dr. Ray Hyman, Professor of psychology, Univ. of Oregon; Primary Skeptic
- Dr. Lincoln Moses, Professor Emeritus of Statistics, Stanford Univ.
- Dr. David Goslin, former Exec. Dir., Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, NRC
- Dr. Michael Mumford, Ph.D. Psychology
- Dr. Andrew Rose, Ph.D. Psychology

## STAR GATE

# AIR's Directed Objectives

- Comprehensive technical evaluation of remote viewing R&D at CIA and DIA
- Evaluation of remote viewing's utility in intelligence operations regardless of scientific validity
- Recommend to CIA management strategies for possible program execution at CIA

## STAR GATE

# AIR R&D Review Process

- SAIC principal investigator asked to identify 10 most favorable documents
- Detailed bibliographies made of all relevant CIA, DIA documents
- Key CIA, DIA documents declassified
- Over 80 documents ultimately declassified, transferred to blue ribbon panel
- Panel given every document requested

## STAR GATE

# AIR R&D Review Process, Cont.

- Blue Ribbon Panel met formally, reviewed CIA objectives, program documents, got presentation from SAIC (R&D contractor)
- Professors Utts and Hyman commissioned to write separate detailed reviews
- Commissioned reviews exchanged, rebuttals permitted, AIR arbitrated final conclusions for CIA key recommendations

## STAR GATE

# AIR Operational Utility Evaluation

- DIA program manager asked to identify most favorable users of remote viewing
- AIR conducted standard interviews of these users on location with CIA present
- AIR interviewed Star Gate remote viewers, and program manager
- AIR re-examined CIA's independent utility study based on documented user evaluations

## STAR GATE

# Dr. Utts' Conclusions

- Asserted that “Psychic functioning” is well-established
- Observed that statistical results obtained were far beyond chance expectations
- Refuted argument that methodological flaws or fraud could account for results
- Claimed some SRI, SAIC results were replicated in other labs

## STAR GATE

# Dr. Hyman's Conclusions

- Recent SAIC results are methodologically superior to previously flawed SRI work
- New results are statistically significant
- Results hampered by secrecy, experimental data base has had no community peer review, no public scrutiny
- Better than chance results do not, by themselves, establish that a paranormal phenomenon is the cause

## STAR GATE

# Dr. Hyman's Review, Cont.

- Use of same “judge” during all SAIC experiments is possible serious flaw
- “Remote viewing,” as opposed to Ganzfeld, has not been replicated in other labs
- Boundary conditions, i.e., when and where remote viewing can reliably occur, have not been defined
- Possibility of methodological flaw in results has not been eliminated

## STAR GATE

# AIR's Judgments on Commissioned R&D Reviews

- Reviewers agree more than they disagree:
  - Statistically significant results
  - Vast improvement in experimental protocols
- Reviewers disagree on key points:
  - Establishment of paranormal causality
  - Replication of “remote viewing” in other labs

## STAR GATE

# AIR's Judgments on Commissioned R&D Reviews

- AIR independently concludes:
  - the data do not establish that a paranormal phenomenon is involved, nature of source not identified
  - the data have not been replicated independently
  - the boundary constraints critical to obtaining statistically significant experimental results are not practical in real world of intelligence collection

## STAR GATE

# Future Research and Development

- SAIC's exp. results must be replicated in an independent lab, not SAIC (RH, AIR)
- Experimental protocols must be re-judged by independent judge (RH, JU, AIR)
- Cloak of secrecy must be removed since it precludes objective community review (RH)
- AIR concluded future R&D should not take place within Intelligence Community since 20 years of IC investment failed to establish a paranormal phenomenon.

## STAR GATE

# AIR's User Interviews

- Users say remote viewers typically give descriptions too broad and vague to be useful as intelligence
- Remote viewers provide much inaccurate, irrelevant, information making it difficult to interpret by analysts
- Different remote viewers often inconsistent
- In no case, *analyzed by AIR*, did the user actually act on remote viewing information

## STAR GATE

# AIR's Conclusions on Utility

- Conditions under which remote viewing is observed in the lab do not apply in the operational environment
- The users found some accuracy on broad background characteristics, but not the concrete, specific information valued by intelligence collectors
- Since remote viewing typically does not produce actionable information, it should not be used operationally

## STAR GATE

# Further Declassification

- Congressionally Directed Action:
  - The Committee believes it's time for re-evaluation of the classification of both the program and its findings
  - DCI should review history and nature of program to see which parts can be declassified
- Dr. Hyman's findings:
  - Secrecy has kept this program from benefiting from the checks and balances that come from doing research in a public forum.

## STAR GATE

# Future Foreign Assessments

- Based on recent assessment, National Intelligence Council concludes and Directorate of Intelligence concurs that no immediate resources should be applied to assessments of foreign developments in parapsychology.
- Assessment had oversight of NIO/S&T and OSWR

## STAR GATE

# Congressionally Directed Action (SAC)

- DCI to conduct review of technical files going back 20 years at CIA, DIA
- DCI to review program history and nature to determine what can be declassified
- Director of DIA to establish cooperative activity with Russians

~~SECRET~~